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## S1. Details of the Spherical Tracers and Bath of Hard Spherocylinders Systems

We present the details of the systems studied in this paper, consisting of $N_{r}=1400$ rod-like particles with length-to-diameter ratio $L^{*} \equiv L / \sigma=5$ and 1 spherical tracer with diameter $d_{t}$. For comparison, we report $d_{t}$, the bath volume fraction $\phi=N_{r} v_{r} / V$ with $v_{r}$ the single rod volume, elementary time steps $\delta t_{\mathrm{MC}, t}$ and $\delta t_{\mathrm{MC}, r}$ in units of $\tau$, maximum displacements $\delta r_{\|}, \delta r_{\perp}$, $\delta r$ in units of $\sigma$, maximum rotations $\delta \varphi$, and acceptance rates $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{r}$.

Table S1: Details of the tracer-rods systems studied in this work. The diameter $d_{t}$, MC time step $\delta t_{\mathrm{MC}, t}$, maximum displacement $\delta r$ of the tracer particle are presented with the acceptance rates $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ of the tracer and rods, respectively. In all the simulations the time step of the hard rods has been set to $\delta t_{\mathrm{MC}, r} / \tau=10^{-2}$, which fixes the maximum parallel, perpendicular and angular displacements of the rods to $\delta r_{\|} / \sigma=2.99 \cdot 10^{-2}, \delta r_{\perp} / \sigma=$ $2.67 \cdot 10^{-2}$, and $\delta \varphi / \mathrm{rad}=1.10 \cdot 10^{-2}$, respectively.

| Isotropic phase, $\phi=0.35$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{t} / \sigma$ | $\delta t_{\mathrm{MC}, t} / \tau$ | $\delta r / \sigma$ | $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ | $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ |
| 0.5 | $8.41 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $5.97 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.927 | 0.780 |
| 1 | $8.59 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $4.27 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.908 | 0.780 |
| 2 | $9.15 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $3.12 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.853 | 0.780 |
| 4 | $1.10 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.42 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.712 | 0.781 |
| 6 | $1.43 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.23 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.550 | 0.784 |
| 8 | $2.35 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.51 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.334 | 0.785 |
| Nematic phase, $\phi=0.45$ |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{t} / \sigma$ | $\delta t_{\mathrm{MC}, t} / \tau$ | $\delta r / \sigma$ | $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ | $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ |
| 1 | $7.89 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $4.09 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.859 | 0.678 |
| 2 | $8.83 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $3.07 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.769 | 0.679 |
| 3 | $1.03 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.71 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.658 | 0.679 |
| Smectic phase, $\phi=0.51$ |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{t} / \sigma$ | $\delta t_{\mathrm{MC}, t} / \tau$ | $\delta r / \sigma$ | $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ | $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ |
| 1 | $7.92 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $4.12 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.838 | 0.663 |
| 2 | $9.29 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $3.16 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.714 | 0.663 |
| 3 | $1.11 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.79 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.596 | 0.663 |

## S2. Comparison between the Fourier and Compliance Approaches to Calculate the Viscoelastic Moduli of a Bath of Hard Rods in Isotropic Phase

Along with Fourier-based methods, compliance approaches are an appropriate choice (see comment on [Soft Matter, 14, 8666, 2018] and reply on [Soft Matter, 14, 8671, 2018] as well as references therein) for calculating the viscoelastic properties of soft matter systems. Essentially, the frequencydependent complex modulus, $G^{*}(\omega)$ can be computed by transforming the time dependent material's compliance, $J(t)$, which is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(t)=\left(\frac{\pi a}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}\right)\left\langle\Delta r_{t}^{2}(t)\right\rangle, \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the tracer radius, $k_{\mathrm{B}}$ the Boltzmann's constant, $T$ the absolute temperature, and $\left\langle\Delta r_{t}^{2}(t)\right\rangle$ the tracer mean-squared displacement (MSD). Following the work of Evans et al. [1], the relationship between $J(t)$ and $G^{*}(\omega)$ reads
$\frac{i \omega}{G^{*}(\omega)}=\left(1-e^{-1 \omega t_{1}}\right) \frac{J\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{1}}+6 D e^{-i \omega t_{N_{t}}}+\sum_{k=2}^{N_{t}} \frac{J_{k}-J_{k-1}}{t_{k}-t_{k-1}}\left(e^{-i \omega t_{k-1}}-e^{-i \omega t_{k}}\right)$,
where $N_{t}$ refers to the number of time points where the MSD was calculated, $J_{k}$ indicates the value of $J(t)$ at time $t_{k}$, and $D \sim \eta^{-1}$ is related to the inverse of the system's steady-state viscosity. By using Eq. S2, it is possible to calculate the elastic, $G^{\prime}(\omega)$, and viscous, $G^{\prime \prime}(\omega)$, moduli from $G^{*}(\omega)=$ $G^{\prime}(\omega)+i G^{\prime \prime}(\omega)$.

In Fig. S1, we compare $G^{\prime \prime}$ and $G^{\prime}$ by employing Fourier transformation approach by Mason [2], as reported in the manuscript (see Eqs. 14-16), and the compliance-based method [1]. In both cases, we calculated the MSD of a spherical tracer of diameter $1 \sigma$ and $8 \sigma$ embedded in an bath of hard spherocylinders in isotropic phase.


Figure S1: (colour on-line) Viscous $G^{\prime \prime}(\omega)$ (empty circles, dashed lines) and elastic $G^{\prime}(\omega)$ (empty squares, solid lines) moduli as obtained with the compliance-based method by Evans [1] (symbols) and the Fourier-transform method by Mason [2] (lines) for an isotropic bath of hard rods incorporating a spherical tracer of size $1 \sigma$ (orange symbols, black curves) and $8 \sigma$ (green symbols, blue curves).

## S3. Viscous and Elastic Moduli of a Bath of Hard Rods in Isotropic, Nematic, and Smectic Phases

Viscous ( $G^{\prime \prime}$ ) and elastic ( $G^{\prime}$ ) moduli for a tracer with different diameters immersed in a bath of hard rods in isotropic (I), nematic (N), and smectic $(\mathrm{Sm})$ phases. The rods are modelled as hard spherocylinders with aspect ratio $L^{*}=5$ and form I, N, and Sm phases with volume fractions $\phi=0.35$, 0.45 , and 0.51 , respectively. The tracers are hard spheres whose diameter ranges between $0.5 \sigma$ and $8 \sigma$. Figures S2, S3, and S4 depict, respectively, $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ for systems in $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N}$ and Sm phases and different sizes of the tracer.


Figure S2: (colour on-line) Viscous ( $G^{\prime \prime}$, dashed lines) and elastic ( $G^{\prime}$, solid lines) moduli of a bath of hard rods forming an I phase containing a tracer particle with size $0.5 \sigma, 1 \sigma, 2 \sigma, 4 \sigma, 6 \sigma$, and $8 \sigma$ represented by red, black, green, orange, magenta, and blue curves, respectively. Calculated errors are delimited by the dotted lines.


Figure S3: (colour on-line) Viscous ( $G^{\prime \prime}$, dashed lines) and elastic ( $G^{\prime}$, solid lines) moduli of a bath of hard spherocylinders in the N phase with tracer diameters $1 \sigma, 2 \sigma$ and $3 \sigma$ represented by black, red, and blue solid curves, respectively. Calculated errors are delimited by the dotted lines.


Figure S4: (colour on-line) Viscous ( $G^{\prime \prime}$, dashed lines) and elastic ( $G^{\prime}$, solid lines) moduli of a bath of hard spherocylinders in the Sm phase with tracer diameters $1 \sigma, 2 \sigma$ and $3 \sigma$ represented by black, red, and blue solid curves, respectively. Calculated errors are delimited by the dotted lines.

## S4. Mean Square Displacement of a Spherical Tracer in a Bath of Hard Rods in Isotropic Phase

Figure S5 shows the mean square displacement (MSD) of a tracer particle immersed in a bath of hard rods with length-to-diameter ratio $L^{*}=5$ in isotropic phase at a volume fraction $\phi=0.35$. The tracer particle is a hard sphere with a diameter that ranges from $0.5 \sigma$ to $8 \sigma$. The MSD from the position of the tracer is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSD} \equiv\left\langle\Delta \mathbf{r}_{t, d}^{2}(t)\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\mathbf{r}_{t, d}(t)-\mathbf{r}_{t, d}(0)\right)^{2}\right\rangle, \tag{S3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{t, d}(t)$ refers to the position of the tracer particle at time $t$, the brackets represent the averages over uncorrelated trajectories, and $d$ is the dimensionality of the tracer's displacements. The case $d=3$ corresponds to 3D displacements thus representing the total mean square displacement $\left(\mathrm{MSD}_{\text {tot }}\right)$.

Similarly, the values $d=2$, and 1 represent particle displacements in two and one dimensions, respectively.

To calculate $\mathrm{MSD}_{\text {tot }}$, we have simulated 4000 independent trajectories for the dynamics of the bath and tracer particles.


Figure S5: (colour on-line) $\mathrm{MSD}_{\text {tot }}$ calculated by computer simulations of a hard spherical tracer freely diffusing in a bath of hard rods. The sizes of both tracer and rod particles are $0.5 \sigma-8 \sigma$ and $L^{*}=5$, respectively. The bath is in an isotropic phase with $\phi=0.35$.

## S5. Effective Viscosity from DMC simulations and a Semi-Empirical Model

According to Kalwarczyk et al. [3, 4], the effective viscosity on tracer size in a polymer matrix can be described by a semi-empirical equation of the type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\mathrm{MR}}=\eta_{s} \exp \left[\left(\frac{R_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\xi}\right)^{a}\right] \tag{S4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\text {eff }}^{-2}=R_{h}^{-2}+\left(d_{t} / 2\right)^{-2}$ is the effective radius of the tracer, being $R_{h}$ the hydrodynamic radius of the matrix elements and $d_{t} / 2$ the hydrodynamic radius of the particle. In the equation above, $\xi$ refers to the mean
free distance between those elements, and $a$ is an exponent of order one. The radius of gyration of the rods (in the transverse direction) is $R_{h} \approx$ $(\sigma / 2) \sqrt{1 / 4+\left(L^{*}+1\right)^{2} / 3}$ and in our case corresponds to $\left.R_{h}\right|_{L^{*}=5} \approx 1.75 \sigma$, $\xi$ is obtained from the first neighbour peak of the rod-rod pair distribution function, located at $\sigma+\xi=1.32 \sigma$, and the exponent $a=0.56$, which is indeed of order 1 , is a fitting parameter. Figure S 6 shows the rods' radial distribution function obtained from MC simulations.


Figure S6: (colour on-line) Rod-rod radial distribution function $g_{\text {eq }}(r)$ calculated by Monte Carlo simulations in a system of hard-rods with $L^{*}=5$ in isotropic phase at a volume fraction $\phi=0.35$.

## S6. Loss Tangent in Nematic and Smectic Phases for Different Tracer Sizes

In Fig. S 7 we present the loss tangent $\mathcal{R} \equiv G^{\prime \prime} / G^{\prime}$ calculated in the three spatial coordinates for a bath of hard rods in N and Sm phases, and spherical tracers whose diameter ranges from $1 \sigma$ up to $3 \sigma$.


Figure S7: (colour on-line) Loss tangent, $\mathcal{R} \equiv G^{\prime \prime} / G^{\prime}$, of a bath of hard spherocylinders in the N (top panel) and Sm (bottom panel) phases with tracer particle diameters $1 \sigma, 2 \sigma$, and $3 \sigma$ represented by black, red, and blue curves, respectively. Calculated errors are delimited by the dotted lines.

## S7. Directional Viscous and Elastic Moduli of a Bath of Rods in Nematic and Smectic Phases

On the basis of the work of Hasnain and Donald [5], in a nematic or smectic phase, the complex moduli parallel and perpendicular to the nematic director can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{d}^{*}(\omega)\right|=\frac{d k_{\mathrm{B}} T}{3 \pi\left(d_{t} / 2\right)\left\langle\Delta r_{t, d}^{2}(1 / \omega)\right\rangle \Gamma\left[1+\alpha_{d}(\omega)\right]}, \tag{S5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ indicates the space dimension. In our case, $d=1$ if $\left|G_{d}^{*}\right|$ is calculated along the nematic director (indicated with $\|$ subscript), and $d=2$ if it is calculated in planes perpendicular to the nematic director (marked with $\perp$ subscript), resulting into $\left\langle\Delta r_{t, \|}^{2}(1 / \omega)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\Delta r_{t, \perp}^{2}(1 / \omega)\right\rangle$, with lo-
cal exponents $\alpha_{\|}(\omega)$ and $\alpha_{\perp}(\omega)$, respectively. $\Delta r_{t, \|}^{2}$, and $\Delta r_{t, \perp}^{2}$, refer, respectively, to the tracer's MSDs parallel and perpendicular to the nematic director. The directional viscous ( $G_{d}^{\prime \prime}=\left|G_{d}^{*}\right| \sin \left(\pi \alpha_{d}(\omega) / 2\right)$ ) and elastic $\left(G_{d}^{\prime}=\left|G_{d}^{*}\right| \cos \left(\pi \alpha_{d}(\omega) / 2\right)\right)$ moduli are shown in Figs. S8 and Fig. S9 for the N and Sm phases, respectively.


Figure S8: (colour on-line) Viscous ( $G^{\prime \prime}$, dashed lines) and elastic ( $G^{\prime}$, solid lines) moduli of a bath of hard spherocylinders in the N phase in the parallel (blue curves) and perpendicular (red curves) directions to the nematic director for tracer diameters (a) $1 \sigma$, (b) $2 \sigma$, and (c) $3 \sigma$. Calculated errors are delimited by the dotted lines.


Figure S9: (colour on-line) Viscous ( $G^{\prime \prime}$, dashed lines) and elastic ( $G^{\prime}$, solid lines) moduli of a bath of hard spherocylinders in the Sm phase in the parallel (blue curves) and perpendicular (red curves) directions to the nematic director for tracer diameters (a) $1 \sigma$, (b) $2 \sigma$, and (c) $3 \sigma$. Calculated errors are delimited by the dotted lines.

## S8. Mean Square Displacement of a Spherical Tracer in a Bath of Hard Rods in Smectic Phase

The MSD of a tracer particle with varying size in a bath of hard rods in smectic phase is shown in Fig. S10. While the rods are modelled as hard spherocylinders with aspect ratio $L^{*}=5$, the tracer particle is represented by a hard sphere with diameter $1 \sigma, 2 \sigma$, and $3 \sigma$. The host system is in a smectic phase at a volume fraction $\phi=0.51$. The MSD of the tracer is calculated from Eq. S3. While the value $d=3$ represents the total mean square displacement $\left(\mathrm{MSD}_{\text {tot }}\right)$, the cases where $d=2$ and $d=1$ are used to indicate the MSDs perpendicular $\left(\mathrm{MSD}_{\perp}\right)$ and parallel $\left(\mathrm{MSD}_{\|}\right)$to the nematic director, respectively. At least 1000 trajectories have been computed to simulate the motion of bath and tracer particles.


Figure S10: (colour on-line) From top to bottom: total, parallel and perpendicular MSDs of a hard spherical tracer diffusing in a bath of hard rods in smectic phase at a volume fraction $\phi=0.51$. The rods have a fixed length-todiameter ratio $L^{*}=5$, and the diameter of the tracer particle varies between $1 \sigma, 2 \sigma$, and $3 \sigma$ represented by the black, red and blue solid lines, respectively.

## S9. Trajectories of a Spherical Tracer in a Bath of Rods in Smectic Phase

Figure S11 shows typical trajectories, from DMC simulations, of spherical tracers with different sizes parallel to the nematic director, $r_{t, \|}(t)$. Tracers
are immersed in a bath or hard rods (with $L^{*}=5$ ) in the Sm phase.


Figure S11: (colour on-line) Typical trajectories of tracers with sizes $1 \sigma, 2 \sigma$, and $3 \sigma$ represented by black, red, and blue solid lines, respectively, along the nematic director in a bath of hard spherocylinders in the Sm phase.
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