## ORIGINAL ARTICLE



doi: 10.1111/1749-4877.12864

# Environmental conditions influence host–parasite interactions and host fitness in a migratory passerine

Enrique GONZÁLEZ-BERNARDO,<sup>1,2</sup> Gregorio MORENO-RUEDA,<sup>1</sup> Carlos CAMACHO,<sup>3</sup> Jesús MARTÍNEZ-PADILLA,<sup>4</sup> Jaime POTTI<sup>3</sup> and David CANAL<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Granada, Granada, Spain, <sup>2</sup>University of Oviedo, Oviedo, Asturias, Spain, <sup>3</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolution, Estación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), Seville, Spain, <sup>4</sup>Department of Biological Conservation and Ecosystem Restoration, Pyrenean Institute of Ecology (IPE-CSIC), Jaca, Spain and <sup>5</sup>Department of Evolutionary Ecology, National Museum of Natural Sciences (MNCN-CSIC), Madrid, Spain

#### Abstract

The study of host-parasite co-evolution is a central topic in evolutionary ecology. However, research is still fragmented and the extent to which parasites influence host life history is debated. One reason for this incomplete picture is the frequent omission of environmental conditions in studies analyzing host-parasite dynamics, which may influence the exposure to or effects of parasitism. To contribute to elucidating the largely unresolved question of how environmental conditions are related to the prevalence and intensity of infestation and their impact on hosts, we took advantage of 25 years of monitoring of a breeding population of pied flycatchers, *Ficedula hypoleuca*, in a Mediterranean area of central Spain. We investigated the influence of temperature and precipitation during the nestling stage at a local scale on the intensity of blowfly (Protocalliphora azurea) parasitism during the nestling stage. In addition, we explored the mediating effect of extrinsic and intrinsic factors and blowfly parasitism on breeding success (production of fledglings) and offspring quality (nestling mass on day 13). The prevalence and intensity of blowfly parasitism were associated with different intrinsic (host breeding date, brood size) and extrinsic (breeding habitat, mean temperature) factors. Specifically, higher average temperatures during the nestling phase were associated with lower intensities of parasitism, which may be explained by changes in blowflies' activity or larval developmental success. In contrast, no relationship was found between the prevalence of parasitism and any of the environmental variables evaluated. Hosts that experienced high parasitism intensities in their broods produced more fledglings as temperature increased, suggesting that physiological responses to severe parasitism during nestling development might be enhanced in warmer conditions. The weight of fledglings was, however, unrelated to the interactive effect of parasitism intensity and environmental conditions. Overall, our results highlight the temperature dependence of parasite-host interactions and the importance of considering multiple fitness

*Correspondence*: Enrique González-Bernardo, Department of Zoology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Granada, Fuente Nueva s/n., 18071 Granada, Spain.

Email: gonzalezbernardoenrique@gmail.com; inv.egonzalezber@ugr.es

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. indicators and climate-mediated effects to understand their complex implications for avian fitness and population dynamics.

**Key words:** avian nest ectoparasites, environmental variation, *Ficedula hypoleuca*, host–parasite interaction, *Protocalliphora* blowflies

#### INTRODUCTION

Parasites are a crucial component of ecosystems, being a significant evolutionary force and influencing the population dynamics of their hosts (Price 1980). Understanding the co-evolution of parasites and their hosts is thus a central topic in evolutionary ecology (Schmid-Hempel 2009). Although parasitism and its ecological functions have been extensively studied (e.g. Wood & Johnson 2015; Loker & Hofkin 2022; Gardner *et al.* 2023), our understanding of the biology and ecology of parasites and host–parasite interactions is far from complete (Wobeser 2008; Bonneaud 2021).

In birds, parasites can have potential deleterious effects on phenotypic traits as well as influence the survival and fitness of their hosts (Møller 1997; Atkinson et al. 2009). These effects may be particularly important during early development, such as the nestling stage, because of the reduced capacity for defense and escape from parasitic infections (Merino 2010). In parasitized nestlings, parasites cause, among other effects, lower mass, smaller size (Allander 1998; Weddle 2000; Moreno et al. 2002), lower recruitment rates (Allander 1998), and restricted post-fledgling movements (Streby et al. 2009), as well as decreased blood levels (Richner et al. 1993; Hurtrez-Boussès et al. 1997a), delayed fledgling dates (Merino & Potti 1995a), and increased developmental time (Arendt 1985; Hurtrez-Boussès et al. 1997b; Reed et al. 2012) and mortality (Fessl et al. 2006; Streby et al. 2009; Antoniazzi et al. 2011; Dadam et al. 2019). However, these effects are highly variable, and research on them has often yielded inconsistent results (Poulin & Forbes 2012; Dunn et al. 2021). Possibly, this is because the potential effects of parasitic infections in their hosts depend on the direct and indirect effects of other (a)biotic factors that influence the risk of exposure to parasites or the severity of their effects (Hall 2021). However, the role of biotic and abiotic factors has been frequently neglected in studies of host-parasite interactions (Wolinska & King 2009).

The most important of these abiotic influences are climatic factors (Dunn *et al.* 2021; Maziarz *et al.* 2022). The consequences of current changes in climate trends are a reality for many organisms (Root *et al.* 2003; Rosenzweig et al. 2008; Weiskopf et al. 2020). Such consequences are assumed to be particularly severe for long-distance migratory birds (Lindström 2003; Carey 2009; Marra et al. 2015) due to phenological mismatches (Crick 2004; Both & Visser 2005), with potential effects on fitness (Mayor et al. 2017; Marrot et al. 2018; McLean et al. 2022; Mingozzi et al. 2022). Although an overall positive relationship between temperature and the intensity of parasitic infections has been reported (Antoniazzi et al. 2011; Hernandez et al. 2013; Loiseau et al. 2013; Dube et al. 2018), the effects of changes in climate variables on parasites are heterogeneous (Ogden & Lindsay 2016). Ectoparasites, which are the focus of this article, are expected to suffer significantly from changes in temperature and humidity regimes (Merino 2019), as abiotic environmental conditions may significantly affect their population dynamics and, therefore, the interactions with their hosts (Hudson et al. 2006; Antoniazzi et al. 2011; Castaño-Vázquez et al. 2018; Musgrave et al. 2019; Castaño-Vázquez et al. 2021). Accordingly, associations between the intensity of ectoparasitic infestations and precipitation, humidity, or temperature have often been reported, albeit in variable directions (Antoniazzi et al. 2011; Hernandez et al. 2013; Loiseau et al. 2013; Dube et al. 2018)

Environmental conditions and their variation are key determinants of host-parasite interactions as they can affect both the life cycles of bird hosts (Charmantier et al. 2008; Potti 2008a; Pautasso 2012) and their parasites (Hudson et al. 2006; Martínez-De La Puente et al. 2009; Ogden & Lindsay 2016) as well as host-parasite dynamics (Atkinson & Van Ripier III 1991; Møller et al. 2013; García del Río et al. 2020). The combination of more stressful climatic conditions and the increased incidence of parasitic infestations can have negative consequences on host fecundity and condition (Møller et al. 2013; Castaño-Vázquez et al. 2021) and ultimately on bird population dynamics (Antoniazzi et al. 2011). Nevertheless, the interactive effects between parasitism and environmental conditions on host fitness are not yet well understood (Dunn et al. 2021; Maziarz et al. 2022). Additionally, given the expected increase in parasite abundance in the current context of climate change (Møller et al. 2013; Castaño-Vázquez & Merino 2022),

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

a largely unresolved question is how environmental conditions affect not only the prevalence and intensity of infestation but also the impact that these infestations have on hosts (Mouritsen *et al.* 2002; Hoover & Tylianakis 2012). Likewise, unraveling the responses of birds and their parasites to potential changes in multiple environmental variables is essential to predict the direction of changes in parasite–host co-evolution and dynamics in a climate change scenario and their complex and extensive consequences on host fitness (Hoover & Tylianakis 2012; Hall 2021; Maziarz *et al.* 2022).

Here, we used 25 years of data from a Mediterranean population of pied flycatchers (Ficedula hypoleuca), a small migratory, cavity-nesting passerine (Lundberg & Alatalo 1992) commonly parasitized by blowflies (Merino & Potti 1995a, 1998). Larvae of the blowfly Protocalliphora azurea are common obligate ectoparasites of birds that feed on the blood of nestlings (Hurtrez-Boussès et al. 1997a; Hurtrez-Boussès et al. 1998; Wesołowski 2001). This species infests a range of species of passerine birds in Europe (Moreno-Rueda 2021), in whose nests it may lay up to 75 eggs (Gold & Dahlsten 1989; Bennett & Whitworth 1991). Parasitism by Protocalliphora larvae negatively affects growth (Johnson & Albrecht 1993; Merino & Potti 1996; Hurtrez-Boussès et al. 1997b), mass (Hurtrez-Boussès et al. 1997a; Bańbura et al. 2004; Simon et al. 2004), blood levels (Whitworth & Bennett 1992; Hurtrez-Boussès et al. 1997b; Hannam 2006), and survival (Merino & Potti 1995a; Bańbura et al. 2004; Puchala 2004) during the early life of the bird hosts, as well as condition, fitness, and survival when nestlings reach adulthood (Hurtrez-Boussès et al. 1998: Moreno et al. 2002; Bańbura et al. 2004; Potti 2008a; Castaño-Vázquez & Merino 2022; Martínez-Padilla et al. 2022). Previous studies have often revealed higher prevalence and/or intensity of parasitism by larval blowflies under dry conditions and high ambient temperature (Heeb et al. 2000; Mennerat et al. 2021; Castaño-Vázquez & Merino 2022; Maziarz et al. 2022). However, the direction of the reported effects of climatic variables on these nestdwelling ectoparasites was not consistent (Albert et al. 2023), and the joint effects of both on bird hosts remain unclear (Mennerat et al. 2021; Maziarz et al. 2022).

Our study aims were twofold: first, to identify the environmental variables underlying the prevalence and intensity of blowfly parasitism, and second, to investigate the interactive associations of environmental variables and parasitism on host fitness. Based on previous work on this and other species, we expect that: (1) warm and dry climates should favor the spread of nest ectoparasites; thus, we expect higher prevalence and/or intensity under these environmental conditions (e.g. Bennett & Whitworth 1991; Merino & Potti 1996; Heeb *et al.* 2000) and (2) under the aforementioned adverse conditions for nestling development, adverse effects of nest ectoparasites are increased (Howe 1992; Little 2008; Maziarz *et al.* 2022).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study species and population

The pied flycatcher is a small insectivorous passerine (11–13 g), trans-Saharan migrant that breeds in temperate forests across Eurasia (Lundberg & Alatalo 1992; Ouwe-hand *et al.* 2016), in both deciduous and coniferous wood-lands, with a preference for the former (Mäntylä *et al.* 2015). The study area is located in the central Iberian Peninsula near La Hiruela (Madrid, 41°04'N, 3°27'W) and Colmenar de la Sierra (Castilla-La Mancha, 40°40'N, 4°8'W). The population occupies two different habitat patches that differ markedly in vegetation and structure: a 9.3-ha deciduous oakwood (*Quercus pyrenaica*, 1242 broods) and a 4.8-ha mixed coniferous plantation (primarily *Pinus sylvestris*, 686 broods).

#### Field data collection

Data for this study were collected between 1996 and 2022 (sample sizes in Table S1, Supporting Information). Sampling intensity was reduced in 2002 and 2003; therefore, these years were excluded from the analyses. Detailed field procedures have been previously described elsewhere (see Canal et al. 2011, 2021; Camacho et al. 2016). In summary, we conducted routine inspections (every 2-3 days) of all nest boxes throughout the breeding season (from the third week of April to the first half of July), to ascertain their occupation by pied flycatchers and to record laying date (first egg laid), clutch size (typically 5–6 eggs), hatching date (of the first egg), and the number of nestlings at 13 days of age (brood size). Adult birds were captured while feeding nestlings 8-10 days posthatching. Many individuals were of known age since they had been ringed as nestlings (Potti & Montalvo 1991), and the local recruitment rate is among the highest recorded for the species (reaching up to 22%, averaging 14%) (Potti & Montalvo 1991; Potti et al. 2013, 2014). Unringed individuals were sexed and aged as either 1 year old or older based on the criteria outlined by Karlsson et al. (1986) and Svensson (1992). We took standard morphological measurements for all nestlings that survived to the ringing age (13 days), including tarsus length ( $\pm 0.01$  mm) and body mass  $(\pm 0.1 \text{ g})$ .

<sup>© 2024</sup> The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

#### **Blowfly quantification**

P. azurea is a blowfly (family Calliphoridae) in which adults are free-living organisms while the larvae are obligatory nest-dwelling hematophagous ectoparasites of birds. Blowfly larval development starts after nestlings hatch, and pupation is completed in about 13-14 days when the adult flies emerge. Adults overwinter near the nesting sites of avian hosts until the following spring when the females lay their eggs (Zumpt 1965; Bennett & Whitworth 1991). Infestation by blowflies was assessed by disassembling nest contents just after the young fledged (15-19 days after hatching). We defined prevalence as the proportion of infested nests (those with the presence of blowfly larvae and/or pupae buried in the nest material; Moreno-Rueda et al. 2016). Infestation intensity was assessed by counting the number of blowflies in infested nests. Only P. azurea was considered since no other blowfly species have been found in our study area (Potti 2008b; Garrido-Bautista et al. 2020).

#### **Environmental variables**

Meteorological data were obtained from the only nearby station (50 km away) having a complete record for the entire study period (Colmenar Viejo AEMET station—40°39'N, 3°45'W; https://opendata.aemet.es/ centrodedescargas/productosAEMET). Average temperatures and precipitation did not differ from the data available for the meteorological station closest to the study area but with an incomplete temporal record (Buitrago de Lozoya—41°00'N, 3°36'W). Average temperature and precipitation in the years when both stations were active were highly correlated, confirming the validity of the long-term climate data used in the analyses (see Le Vaillant *et al.* 2021 for further details).

Initially, we considered average temperatures and precipitation in different periods for each year: April, May, June, and spring (which includes the averages of April, May, and June), in addition to those calculated for each brood for the incubation period (from the laying of the last egg until hatching) and the nestling stage (from hatching date until day 13 of nestling age) of each brood. After checking the correlations among all climatic variables (Table S2, Supporting Information), we decided to use the mean temperatures and precipitations during the nestling stage for three reasons: (1) these two indexes are correlated with those from other periods (Table S2, Supporting Information); (2) considering temperature and precipitation during the nestling stage makes the most biological sense given the developmental cycle of the blowfly (Zumpt 1965; Bennett & Whitworth 1991) and that the nestling stage is a very sensitive period in altricial birds, including pied flycatchers; (3) these indexes are explicitly calculated for each brood (unlike, e.g. monthly indexes), thus reflecting more accurately the conditions faced by the blowflies and nestlings in each nest box. Considering relative time windows affecting trait expression is crucial when those traits are expressed asynchronously between individuals, as is the case in our study system (Gienapp et al. 2005; van de Pol & Cockburn 2011; van de Pol et al. 2016). It is worth noting that adult blowflies seem to oviposit in avian nests typically after the eggs hatch (Gold & Dahlsten 1989; Sabrosky et al. 1989; Bennett & Whitworth 1991; Maziarz et al. 2022), but the exact timing of blowfly infestation of pied flycatcher nests is unknown, and the environmental conditions before hatching could affect survival and behavior of adult blowflies. For completeness and full comparison, we explored the effect of mean temperatures and precipitation during both the nestling and incubation stages in the models analyzing the probability and intensity of blowfly parasitization, considering brood size and clutch size, respectively. The relevant variables in this model were similar to those in the model with environmental variables during the nestling period (Table S3, Supporting Information); for simplicity and the reasons given above, only the results of the analyses involving the nestling stage are reported in the main text.

#### Statistical analyses

Different statistical analyses were used for each analysis block described below (see Table S1, Supporting Information, for details of variables and sample sizes for each analysis).

(A) Probability and intensity of blowfly parasitization: First, we simultaneously investigated the environmental factors affecting the prevalence (presence/absence) and intensity (larvae/pupae count per nest in infested broods) of blowfly parasitization with a hurdle generalized linear mixed model (GLMM). Because of the large number of zeros in parasite counts, we fitted a truncated negative binomial error distribution with the blowfly count per nest as the response variable. Hurdle regression models have two components (models) for which estimates are calculated: (i) a binomial component for the zero versus positive counts conceptually reflecting the prevalence of parasites (Checchi et al. 2012; Niu et al. 2024) by analyzing the factors associated with the probability of not being parasitized (zero hurdle model coefficients), thus allowing to account for data overdispersion (Cameron & Trivedi 1998; Dalrymple et al. 2003; Brooks et al. 2017;

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

Hacking et al. 2018; Álvarez-Ruiz et al. 2021); and (ii) a truncated negative binomial component only for the non-zero counts that analyzes the factors related to the parasitization intensity (count model coefficients). As fixed factors, we included laying date, brood size, breeding habitat (oak wood vs. pine plantation), relative ages of female and male parents (as a proxy for their breeding experience, two-level class variable: 1-year old [young] or older [adult]), and mean temperature and precipitation during the nestling stage. We included temperatures and precipitation with their linear and quadratic terms. as bell-shaped effects between environmental variables and blowfly populations have been previously reported (Dawson et al. 2005; Ogden & Lindsay 2016). Finally, we included the year and the nest box ID as random factor intercepts but excluded the female and male IDs because their inclusion resulted in model singularity. To check whether there was any relationship between the two dependent variables at the year level, we tested the relation between the annual prevalence of infested nests (% of nests with blowflies) and the intensity of infestation (average number of blowflies in infested nests) with a Pearson correlation, using the average annual data from 1996 to 2022 (see Results).

(B) Interactive effects of environmental factors and blowfly parasitization on fitness: Second, we investigated the interactive effects of environmental conditions and intensity of parasitization during the nestling stage on breeding success and nestling mass. To model breeding success, we used a GLMM (binomial error structure and logit link function). Our response variable was a 2-column matrix that combined the number of chicks that fledged and the number of eggs that failed to produce fledglings (e.g. due to hatching failure or early chick loss; Grueber et al. 2011). Using this matrix as the response variable rather than the ratio of chicks fledged to eggs laid accounts for differences in the absolute number of chicks produced. That is, the breeding success of an individual producing, for example, three chicks from six eggs is considered higher than that of a bird producing two chicks from four eggs, despite the chick-to-egg ration being 50% in both cases. We investigated the influence being 50% in both cases. As covariates, we included breeding habitat (oak wood vs. pine plantation), parental ages (young vs. adult), and the two-way interactions between the number of blowflies and: (i) laying date, (ii) mean temperature during the nestling stage, and (iii) mean precipitation during the nestling stage. We included the year, the nest box ID, and the female and male IDs as random intercept effects. The GLMM analyzing the effect of environmental conditions and the intensity of blowfly

parasitization on nestling mass (which is a good proxy of survival in our study population, Potti *et al.* 2002) was fitted with a Student's *t* error distribution (Lange *et al.* 1989; Brooks *et al.* 2017). The random and fixed factors in this model were the same as in the previous one, except that tarsus length (to control for allometric effects) and brood size were also included as covariates.

Statistical analyses were done using R-4.2.2 (R Core Team 2022, http://cran.r-project.org/). For the mixed modeling, we used the package "lme4" (Bates et al. 2015), while the package "glmmTMB" was used for the hurdle GLMM (Brooks et al. 2017). In the GLMM built to analyze the breeding success, the bivariate response variable was calculated using the "cbind" function in R software (R Core Team 2022). We checked the fit of all models through visual examination of residuals and using the packages "DHARMa" (Hartig 2020) and "performance" (Lüdecke et al. 2021), and we discarded collinearity (all VIFs <3, Zuur et al. 2010) using the package "car" (Fox & Weisberg 2011). Model diagnostics suggested no deviations from the model assumptions. We compared error distributions and random structures of the models described above by fitting them with restricted maximum likelihood and comparing Akaike's information criterion (AIC; Akaike 1973; Zuur et al. 2009). The goodness-of-fit was assessed using the conditional  $R^2$  ( $R^2$ c), which estimates the proportion of variance in the response variable explained by both fixed and random factors (i.e. the entire model; Nakagawa & Schielzeth 2013). AICs were estimated using the R library "MuMIn" (Barton 2020), while  $R^2$  was calculated with the "r2 zeroinflated" function from the "performance" package for the hurdle GLMM and with the "r.squaredGLMM" function from the "Mu-MIn" package in the GLMMs analyzing breeding success and nestling mass. Numeric variables were standardized to mean = 0 and standard deviation = 1 to make the estimates comparable (Schielzeth 2010). For data visualization, we used the "ggplot2" package (Wickham et al. 2016). We assessed the statistical significance of fixed effects, verifying that the 95% confidence intervals of the estimates did not contain 0 (computed using the "car" package; Fox & Weisberg 2011).

#### **Ethical note**

All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for capturing and ringing birds were adhered to, and the study received approval from the Spanish institutional authorities, most recently under license no. 530293. The CSIC Ethical Committee approved field procedures (refs. PAC05-006-2, CGL2006-07481/ BOS, CGL2009-10652, CGL2011-29694, CGL2014-55969-P, CGL2015-70639-P, PGC2018-099685-B-I00, PID2019-104835GB-I00), along with the Andalusian Committee of Animal Experimentation (ref. 2011\_03), to comply with Spanish and European legislation regarding the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. The time required for field procedures was minimized, and all activities were conducted with utmost care and efficiency.

### RESULTS

The prevalence of blowfly parasitism was 44% (n = 848 out of 1928 broods). Among infested nests, the mean intensity (±SD) of blowfly parasitism was 7.4 (±7.2) blowflies per infested nest (3.27 ± 6.05 blowflies per nest considering all nests, range 0–55). The number of occupied nest boxes in each year was unrelated to either the annual prevalence of blowfly parasitism (r = -0.27, 95% CI = -0.598-0.144) or its intensity (r = 0.08, 95% CI = -0.328-0.458).

(A) Probability and intensity of blowfly parasitization: Yearly prevalences and mean intensities of blowfly parasitism were not correlated over time (r = 0.35, 95% CI = -0.055-0.653). On the one hand, the hurdle model ( $R^2 = 0.778$ ) showed that the probability of being parasitized by blowflies was higher in the pine plantation than in the oakwood, and increased with the breeding date and the brood size (Table 1a; Fig. 1a,b,d). No association was detected between the prevalence of blowfly infection and either mean temperature or precipitation during the nestling stage. On the other hand, the intensity of parasitism increased with brood size and decreased linearly with higher mean temperatures during the nestling stage (Table 1b; Fig. 1d,e) but was not related to mean precipitation during the nestling stage.

(B) Interactive effects of environmental factors and blowfly parasitization on host fitness: Pied flycatcher breeding success (GLMM:  $R^2c = 0.595$ ,  $R^2m = 0.048$ ) was related to the interaction between the number of blowflies infesting their nests and the mean temperatures experienced during the nestling stage (Table 2 and Fig. 2; see Fig. S1, Supporting Information, for a threedimensional visualization of the interaction). Specifically, mean temperature during the nestling stage was positively linked to the breeding success at high parasitism intensities, but not at low intensities. In addition, the breeding success decreased with advancing laying date and was lower in the pine plantation than in the oak wood (Table 2).

Nestling mass (GLMM:  $R^2c = 0.722$ ,  $R^2m = 0.178$ ) was unrelated to the interactions between parasitism and

mean temperature as well as between parasitism and precipitation. However, nestling mass decreased with advancing laying date and larger brood sizes as well as with mean temperature and precipitation during the nestling stage (Table 3). In addition, fledglings weighed more on average in the pine plantation than in the oak wood (Table 3).

### DISCUSSION

Using a 25-year data set collected in a songbird population, we investigated how environmental conditions modulate the probability and intensity of blowfly infestation and their impact on host fitness. We showed that a combination of factors both extrinsic and intrinsic to the nest influenced the prevalence of blowflies (host breeding date, brood size, and habitat) and the intensity of parasitism (brood size and temperature). In addition, hosts' breeding success showed a temperature-mediated dependence on parasite load, while nestling mass was unrelated to blowfly parasitism.

(A) Probability and intensity of blowfly parasitization: Annual prevalences and intensities of blowflies' parasitism were not correlated over time. In other host-parasite systems, a positive relationship between prevalence and either abundance of parasites (Morand & Guégan 2000; Šimková *et al.* 2002) or intensity of parasitism (Poulin 1999) has been reported, although the latter is highly variable and seems to be contingent on parasite/host groups (Shaw & Dobson 1995; Poulin 1999). Our results may be explained if adult blowflies distribute their eggs among several nests rather than deposit all/most of their eggs in a single bird nest, although more information on the blowflies' ecology would be needed to verify this hypothesis.

In line with what was explained in the previous paragraph, blowfly prevalence and intensity of infestation were differently associated with extrinsic factors. Blowfly prevalence differed between the two types of habitats in our study. Habitat differences in prevalence have been detected in other locations and attributed to differences in habitat quality, with a lower prevalence of blowfly parasitism in lower-quality habitats, where the absence or scarcity of understory plants limited food sources and survival of adult blowflies (Eeva et al. 1994; Eeva & Klemola 2013). The two habitats of the study population differ markedly in the composition and structure of vegetation, and following the above idea, we found a higher prevalence of blowfly parasitism in the more luxuriant natural oak forest than in the relatively barren pine plantation (Mäntylä et al. 2015; Camacho et al. 2018,



© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

	Model coefficients and confidence intervals							
(a) Probability of non-parasitization (zero-inflation model)								
Fixed effects	eta	SE	Ζ	CI				
Intercept	0.099	0.256	0.385	-0.403 - 0.601				
Laying date (days)	-0.411	0.090	-4.567	-0.587-(-0.235				
No. fledglings	-0.165	0.059	-2.814	-0.280-(-0.050				
Nestling stage mean temperature, NMT (°C)	-0.199	0.1059	-1.892	-0.405 - 0.007				
Nestling stage mean temperature, NMT <sup>2</sup> (°C), quadratic	0.102	0.070	1.465	-0.034 $-0.239$				
Nestling stage mean precipitation, NMP (mm)	0.087	0.090	0.964	-0.090 - 0.265				
Nestling stage mean precipitation, NMP <sup>2</sup> (mm), quadratic	-0.084	0.065	-1.299	-0.212 - 0.043				
Habitat	0.628	0.136	4.617	0.362-0.894				
Female age	-0.077	0.149	-0.520	-0.369 - 0.214				
Male age	0.026	0.181	0.145	-0.328 - 0.380				
Random effects	$\sigma^2$			SD				
Year	0.479		0.692					
Nest box ID	0.306		0.553					
(b) Intensity of parasitization (count model)								
Fixed effects	β	SE	Ζ	CI				
Intercept	1.947	0.150	12.963	1.653-2.242				
Laying date (days)	0.008	0.053	0.152	-0.097 - 0.113				
No. fledglings	0.100	0.042	2.356	0.017-0.183				
Nestling stage mean temperature, NMT (°C)	-0.135	0.063	-2.145	-0.258-(-0.012				
Nestling stage mean temperature, NMT <sup>2</sup> (°C), quadratic	0.023	0.049	0.475	-0.073 - 0.120				
Nestling stage mean precipitation, NMP (mm)	0.016	0.059	0.261	-0.101 - 0.132				
Nestling stage mean precipitation, NMP <sup>2</sup> (mm), quadratic	-0.030	0.046	-0.647	-0.121 - 0.061				
Habitat	-0.120	0.092	-1.311	-0.299 - 0.059				
Female age	-0.136	0.107	-1.267	-0.345 - 0.074				
Male age	0.046	0.125	0.367	-0.199 - 0.290				
Random effects	$\sigma^2$		SD					
Year	0.042			0.206				
Nest box ID	0.	017		0.131				

Table 1 Fixed and random effects of the generalized mixed model built to test the factors affecting the probability and intensity of blowfly parasitization based on environmental variables during the nestling stage (N = 1928 nests)

Here, we provide parameters corresponding to both the zero hurdle model (a), analyzing the probability of non-infestation, and the count model (b), analyzing the intensity of blowfly parasitization. For each fixed effect, the estimate ( $\beta$ ), standard error (SE), z value (z), and 95% confidence interval (CI) are given. For each random effect, variance ( $\sigma^2$ ) and standard deviation (SD) are shown. The reference levels for factors Habitat, Female age, and Male age are "Oakwood," "Young," and "Young," respectively. The most important parameters are highlighted in bold.

2019). Thus, the pine plantation would be a less attractive habitat for blowflies than the oakwood. In addition, we detected a negative effect of ambient temperature on the intensity of blowfly parasitism (but no association with prevalence). Protocalliphora species, being ectotherms,

depend on environmental temperature for their development and activity (Bennett & Whitworth 1991). The negative relationship between temperature and parasitism intensity contrasts with some previous studies describing that cold conditions can decrease blowfly infestations or 17494877, 0, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/17494877.12864 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [25/11/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/dems

and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Breeding success	Ν	Model coefficients and confidence intervals			
Fixed effects	β	SE	Ζ	CI	
Intercept	1.775	0.163	10.916	1.456-2.093	
No. of blowflies per nest (NPUP)	0.084	0.035	2.378	0.015-0.153	
Laying date (days)	-0.202	0.051	-3.959	-0.303-(-0.102)	
Nestling stage mean temperature (NMT, °C)	0.024	0.060	0.396	-0.093 - 0.141	
Nestling stage mean precipitation (NMP, mm)	0.020	0.053	0.368	-0.085 - 0.124	
Habitat	-0.177	0.085	-2.082	-0.343-(-0.010)	
Female age	-0.115	0.093	-1.239	-0.297 - 0.067	
Male age	0.187	0.109	1.727	-0.025 - 0.400	
NPUP $\times$ laying date	-0.013	0.035	-0.374	-0.081 - 0.055	
NPUP × NMT	0.090	0.036	2.473	0.019-0.161	
NPUP $\times$ NMP	0.007	0.036	0.187	-0.065 - 0.078	
Random effects	$\sigma^2$			SD	
Year	0.229			0.478	
Female ID	0.389			0.624	
Male ID	0.121			0.349	
Nest box ID	0.062			0.249	

**Table 2** Fixed and random effects of the generalized mixed model built to test the environmental factors and blowfly parasitization affecting breeding success of pied flycatchers (N = 1926 nests)

For each fixed effect, we report estimate ( $\beta$ ), standard error (SE), z value (z), and 95% confidence interval (CI). For each random effect, we report variance ( $\sigma^2$ ) and standard deviation (SD). The reference levels for factors Habitat, Female age, and Male age are "Oakwood," "Young," and "Young," respectively. The most important parameters are highlighted in bold.

that blowfly abundances increase with temperature (Gold & Dahlsten 1989; Bennett & Whitworth 1991; Merino & Potti 1996; Dawson et al. 2005; Mennerat et al. 2021; Castaño-Vázquez et al. 2022). However, this relationship is host-specific, suggesting an indirect relationship of temperature with the parasite through a direct effect on hosts (reviewed by Albert et al. 2023). This may be the case in our population, where a positive relationship between temperature and breeding success dependent on parasitism intensity was detected, pointing to a better response of hosts to parasitism or an attenuated effect of infestation under warmer conditions (see section (B) Interactive effects of environmental factors and blowfly parasitization on host fitness). Also, it has been reported that temperature increases above certain thresholds could become detrimental to blowfly populations (Dawson et al. 2005; Ogden & Lindsay 2016; Moreno-Rueda 2021). However, we have not found quadratic effects of temperature, so we rule out a non-linear relationship between temperature and parasitism and suggest the indirect host-mediated relationship between temperature and blowflies mentioned above. Unlike temperature and contrary to predictions, there was no association between rainfall and the prevalence or intensity of blowfly parasitism, supporting previous research in flycatchers (Eeva *et al.* 1994, but see Merino & Potti 1996). Other studies in different passerines reported mixed evidence (Heeb *et al.* 2000; Wesołowski 2001), including a recent study with blue tits in a study area close to ours, where Merino *et al.* (2024) found a positive relationship with rainfall in interaction with temperature. In view of this, the effects of rainfall on blowfly parasitism appear to be host-dependent, as is the case with temperature (Albert *et al.* 2023).

Blowfly prevalence and intensity were also related to the intrinsic characteristics of the brood. Thus, the probability of parasitization, but not its intensity, increased as the season advanced. In other passerines, variable relationships between blowfly prevalence or abundance and breeding date have been reported (positive: Roby *et al.* 1992; Maziarz *et al.* 2022; no significant relationship: Hurtrez-Boussès *et al.* 1999). The higher prevalence of blowflies in late nests in our study may be due to better conditions for parasite development as spring progresses



**Figure 2** Interaction relationships among breeding success and mean nestling stage temperature and number of *Protocalliphora azurea* larvae/pupae per nest. In the generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) built to analyze the breeding success, the response variable was a bivariate response variable (see Materials and Methods). However, for representation purposes, the proportion of nestlings fledged (no. fledglings on day 13/no. of hatched eggs) has been used instead in this figure. Similarly, to enable representation of the relationship between breeding success and the interaction term, the continuous covariate number of blowflies was transformed into a categorical variable with five categories from least to most intense parasitism: "Without blowflies" = uninfested nests, "Low blowflies load" = 1-5 blowflies per nest, "Medium blowflies load" = 6-11 blowflies per nest, "High blowflies load" = 11-22 blowflies per nest, and "Very high blowflies load" = >22 blowflies per nest. These categories, after setting aside a separate category for uninfested nests, were established according to the "natural breaks" method, which is based on the nature of the data and identifies important jumps in the sequence of values, optimizing the grouping of similar values and maximizing the differences between categories (Jenks 1967).

(e.g. availability of flowers for blowfly adults; Eeva *et al.* 1994; Eeva & Klemola 2013) or due to infestation by adult blowflies hatched earlier in the same year, which is more likely later in the season (Bennett & Whitworth 1991). Nests with larger brood sizes also suffered from a higher prevalence and intensity of parasitism. The relationship between brood size and blowfly parasitism intensity is also inconsistent across passerine species (Hurtrez-Boussès *et al.* 1999; Wesołowski 2001; Dawson *et al.* 2005; Maziarz *et al.* 2022), suggesting that the relationship is host-specific or environmentally mediated. Large host broods are probably more attractive to adult blowflies and/or favor larvae developmental success given that they provide more resources for the larvae.

(B) Interactive effects of environmental factors and blowfly parasitization on host fitness: Parasitism by blowflies has been found to increase nestling mortality in several passerine species (Bańbura *et al.* 2004; Puchala 2004; Simon *et al.* 2004), but a lack of effect has also been reported in several studies (Roby *et al.* 1992; Johnson & Albrecht 1993; Wesołowski 2001; Dawson et al. 2005; Hannam 2006; Streby et al. 2009; Garrido-Bautista et al. 2023), including those focused on other flycatcher populations (Eeva et al. 1994; Moreno et al. 2002). In a previous study in our population, an effect of blowflies on nestling mortality was found, but only in one of the three studied years, suggesting that the effect may be yeardependent (Merino & Potti 1995a, 1996). Discrepancies between studies suggest that other factors may play a role in parasite-host relationships. For example, it may be expected that the detrimental effects of parasites would be enhanced in the presence of additional stressors, such as adverse environmental conditions (Antoniazzi et al. 2011; Møller et al. 2013; Castaño-Vázquez et al. 2021; Dunn et al. 2021; Hall 2021). Accordingly, high temperatures during the nestling stage were associated with increased fledging success in nests with high parasite loads. A possible explanation for this temperature-mediated effect might be an enhanced immune response against parasitism favored by warm temperatures (or an attenuated

© 2024 The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

Nestling mass	Model coefficients and confidence intervals				
Fixed effects	β	SE	Ζ	CI	
Intercept	14.196	0.120	118.510	13.961-14.431	
No. of blowflies per nest (NPUP)	-0.022	0.018	-1.220	-0.058 - 0.014	
Laying date (days)	-0.120	0.029	-4.150	-0.176-(-0.063	
Brood size	-0.043	0.017	-2.600	-0.075-(-0.010	
Tarsus length (mm)	0.504	0.012	41.700	0.480-0.527	
Nestling stage mean temperature (NMT, °C)	-0.239	0.033	-7.310	-0.303-(-0.175	
Nestling stage mean precipitation (NMP, mm)	-0.065	0.030	-2.210	-0.123-(-0.007	
Habitat	0.139	0.070	1.990	0.002-0.276	
Female age	-0.060	0.050	-1.210	-0.157 - 0.037	
Male age	0.007	0.061	0.120	-0.112 - 0.127	
NPUP $\times$ laying date	0.013	0.018	0.740	-0.022 - 0.049	
NPUP $\times$ NMT	0.020	0.019	1.060	-0.039 - 0.030	
NPUP $\times$ NMP	-0.004	0.018	-0.250	-0.017 - 0.057	
Random effects	$\sigma^2$			SD	
Year	0.203			0.451	
Female ID	0.368			0.606	
Male ID	0.345			0.587	
Nest box ID		0.099		0.315	

**Table 3** Fixed and random effects of the generalized mixed model built to test the environmental factors and blowfly parasitism affecting nestling mass (N = 9030 fledglings)

For each fixed effect, we report estimate ( $\beta$ ), standard error (SE), z value (z) and 95% confidence interval (CI). For each random effect, we report variance ( $\sigma^2$ ) and standard deviation (SD). The reference levels for factors Habitat, Female age, and Male age are "Oakwood," "Young," and "Young," respectively. The most important parameters are highlighted in bold.

response with decreasing temperature), as already described in other birds (Lifield et al. 2002; Ardia 2005; Garvin et al. 2006; Butler et al. 2009). This effect may be particularly relevant if the heightened response extends to other pathogens, as blowfly parasitism is associated with higher risks of bacterial and viral infections in nestlings (Warren 1994; Mennerat et al. 2009). A non-mutually exclusive explanation for the interactive effect of temperature and parasitism on host fitness might be that favorable environmental conditions during the nestling phase directly or indirectly reduce the adverse effects of ectoparasites on survival, for example, due to increased food availability (Hurtrez-Boussès et al. 1998; Simon et al. 2004; Little 2008), which may translate into faster growth or a better condition to implement physiological responses to ectoparasites (Simon et al. 2004; O'Brien & Dawson 2008; Knutie 2020). However, this last idea does not seem to explain the temperature-dependent effect of parasitism on the breeding success, insomuch as nestling mass was not affected similarly.

Nestling mass was not associated with the intensity of parasite infestation either on its own or in interaction with environmental conditions. This may initially seem surprising as these climatic variables influenced both the nestling mass and the intensity of blowfly infestation. The effect of environmental variables on nestling mass in altricial birds is highly variable in direction (Sauve et al. 2021) and varies geographically (Both et al. 2010), though it has been suggested that parasitism may affect host fitness and condition through the indirect effect of temperature (Møller et al. 2013). The detrimental effects of blowflies on the growth of flycatcher nestlings may be buffered by an early brood reduction due to parasitism (Merino & Potti 1995a). This is a possible explanation for the observed differences between both fitness traits and may affect the lighter nestlings so that surviving fledglings are in similar physical condition. Finally, although the fitness consequences investigated here are a priori restricted to the nestling period, it cannot be ruled out that there may be subsequent detrimental

17494877, 0, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1749-4877.12864 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [25/1/2024], See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons Licens

effects related to blowfly parasitism interacting with climatic variables, as has been shown for both factors separately (Potti 2008a,b). Regardless of the underlying mechanisms, our findings highlight the need to analyze the effects of parasites on different proxies of fitness to understand parasite—host dynamics comprehensively.

In response to blowfly infestations of their nests, pied flycatchers may remove some larvae from their nests by nest sanitation behavior (Hurtrez-Boussés et al. 2000; Cantarero et al. 2013), as well as increasing their feeding rates and/or changing the composition of the food delivered to nestlings (Bouslama et al. 2002; Banbura et al. 2004). This suggests a cost of parasitism shared between adults and nestlings that may be partially compensated (Hurtrez-Boussés et al. 2000; Cantarero et al. 2013). We cannot rule out that nest sanitization in our population may counteract increases in blowfly abundance in nest boxes, although we discount extrinsic factors such as climatic variables as having a significant effect on this behavior. Another possible defense against nest ectoparasites may be the removal of old nest material that may attract or contain more ectoparasites (Merino & Potti 1995b; López-Arrabé et al. 2012). In this regard, our nest boxes are thoroughly cleaned by researchers between breeding seasons, so we ruled out this situation that could generate noise in our results.

In summary, our study highlights the contextdependent nature of host-parasite relationships. The relationship between the intensity of parasitism and temperature and their combined effects on breeding success emphasizes the importance of considering multiple climate-mediated effects in the context of ongoing climate change and its impact on avian populations (Brooks & Hoberg 2007; Pautasso 2012; Mennerat *et al.* 2021). Our findings contribute to understanding the multifaceted relationships between parasitism and environmental factors and their potential implications for the population dynamics of hosts (Antoniazzi *et al.* 2011; Møller *et al.* 2013; García del Río *et al.* 2020).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research received support from projects CGL2006-07481/BOS (to J.C. Senar), CGL2009-10652 (to J.C. Senar), CGL2011-29694 (to J. Potti), and CGL2014-55969-P (to F. Valera) from the Spanish Ministry of Education, as well as project PAC05-006-2 (to J.A. Dávila) from the Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha (Spain). During the writing process, E.G.B. was supported by a Margarita Salas Contract financed by the European Union-NextGenerationEU and the Recovery,

Transformation, and Resilience Plan (Spanish Ministry of Universities). D.C. received support through a Talent Attraction fellowship from the Autonomous Community of Madrid (CAM), Spain (2022-T1\_AMB-24025), and the projects PID2022-141763NA-I00, CGL2015-70639-P, and PID2019-104835GB-I00, funded by MCIN/AEI (doi: 10.13039/501100011033). C.C. was supported by the grant ref. RYC2021-033977-I funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and the European Union NextGenerationEU/PRTR. J.M.P. was supported by the ARAID Foundation. Funding for open access charge: Universidad de Granada/CBUA.

## REFERENCES

- Akaike H (1973). Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In: Petrov BN, Csaki BF, eds. Second International Symposium on Information Theory. Academiai Kiado, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 267–81.
- Albert L, Rumschlag S, Parker A, Vaziri G, Knutie SA (2023). Elevated nest temperature has opposing effects on host species infested with parasitic nest flies. *Oecologia* **201**, 877–86.
- Allander K (1998). The effects of an ectoparasite on reproductive success in the great tit: A 3-year experimental study. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **76**, 19–25.
- Álvarez-Ruiz L, Belliure J, Santos X, Pausas JG (2021). Fire reduces parasite load in a Mediterranean lizard. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 288, 20211230.
- Antoniazzi LR, Manzoli DE, Rohrmann D, Saravia MJ, Silvestri L, Beldomenico PM (2011). Climate variability affects the impact of parasitic flies on Argentinean forest birds. *Journal of Zoology* **283**, 126–34.
- Ardia DR (2005). Cross-fostering reveals an effect of spleen size and nest temperatures on immune responses in nestling European starlings. *Oecologia* **145**, 327–34.
- Arendt WJ (1985). *Philornis* ectoparasitism of pearlyeyed thrashers. I. Impact on growth and development of nestlings. *The Auk* **102**, 270–80.
- Atkinson CT, Thomas NJ, Hunter DB (2009). *Parasitic Diseases of Wild Birds*. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, IA.
- Atkinson CT, Van Ripier III C (1991). Pathogenicity and epizootiology of avian haematozoa: *Plasmodium, Leucocytozoon,* and *Haemoproteus*. In: Zuk M, Loye JE, eds. *Bird-Parasite Interactions: Ecology,*

*Evolution, and Behaviour.* Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 19–48.

- Barton K (2020). MuMIn: multi-model inference. Available from URL: https://cran.r-project.org/package= MuMIn
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal* of Statistical Software **67**, 1–48.
- Bańbura J, Perret P, Blondel J, Thomas DW, Cartan-Son M, Lambrechts MM (2004). Effects of *Protocalliphora* parasites on nestling food composition in Corsican blue tits *Parus caeruleus*: Consequences for nestling performance. *Acta Ornithologica* **39**, 93–103.
- Bennett GF, Whitworth TL (1991). Studies on the life history of some species of *Protocalliphora* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 69, 2048– 58.
- Bonneaud C (2021). Host-pathogen evolution and coevolution in avian systems. In: Owen JC, Hawley DM, Huyvaert KP, eds. *Infectious Disease Ecology of Wild Birds*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 77– 98.
- Both C, Van Turnhout CA, Bijlsma RG, Siepel H, Van Strien AJ, Foppen RP (2010). Avian population consequences of climate change are most severe for long-distance migrants in seasonal habitat. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **277**, 1259–66.
- Both C, Visser ME (2005). The effect of climate change on the correlation between avian life-history traits. *Global Change Biology* **11**, 1606–13.
- Bouslama Z, Lambrechts MM, Ziane N, Djenidi R, Chabi Y (2002). The effect of nest ectoparasites on parental provisioning in a north-African population of the blue tit *Parus caeruleus*. *Ibis* **144**, E73–E78.
- Brooks DR, Hoberg EP (2007). How will global climate change affect parasite-host assemblages? *Trends in Parasitology* **23**, 571–74.
- Brooks, ME, Kristensen K, Benthem K *et al.* (2017). glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated generalized linear mixed modeling. *R Journal* **9**, 378–400.
- Butler MW, Garvin JC, Wheelwright NT, Freeman-Gallant CR (2009). Ambient temperature, but not paternity, is associated with immune response in savannah sparrows (*Passerculus sandwichensis*). Auk **126**, 536–42.
- Camacho C, Martínez-Padilla J, Canal D, Potti J (2019). Long-term dynamics of phenotype-dependent disper-

sal within a wild bird population. *Behavioral Ecology* **30**, 548–56.

- Camacho C, Canal D, Potti J (2016). Natal habitat imprinting counteracts the diversifying effects of phenotype-dependent dispersal in a spatially structured population. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **16**, 158.
- Camacho C, Pérez-Rodríguez L, Abril-Colón I, Canal D, Potti J (2018). Plumage colour predicts dispersal propensity in male pied flycatchers. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **72**, 2.
- Cameron A, Trivedi P (1998). Regression Analysis of Count Data. Cambridge University Press, UK, Cambridge.
- Canal D, Potti J, Dávila JA (2011). Male phenotype predicts extra-pair paternity in pied flycatchers. *Behaviour* 148, 691–712.
- Canal D, Schlicht L, Santoro S, Camacho C, Martinez-Padilla J, Potti J (2021). Phenology-mediated effects of phenotype on the probability of social polygyny and its fitness consequences in a migratory passerine. *BMC Ecology and Evolution* **21**, 55.
- Cantarero A, López-Arrabé J, Redondo AJ, Moreno J (2013). Behavioural responses to ectoparasites in pied flycatchers *Ficedula hypoleuca*: An experimental study. *Journal of Avian Biology* **44**, 591–99.
- Carey C (2009). The impacts of climate change on the annual cycles of birds. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **364**, 3321–30.
- Castaño-Vázquez F, Merino S (2022). Differential effects of environmental climatic variables on parasite abundances in blue tit nests during a decade. *Integrative Zoology* **17**, 511–29.
- Castaño-Vázquez F, Merino S, Valera F, Veiga J (2022). Experimental manipulation of humidity in a cavitynesting bird influences ectoparasites' abundance. *Parasitology* **149**, 436–43.
- Castaño-Vázquez F, Schumm YR, Bentele A, Quillfeldt P, Merino S (2021). Experimental manipulation of cavity temperature produces differential effects on parasite abundances in blue tit nests at two different latitudes. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* 14, 287–97.
- Castaño-Vázquez, F, Martínez J, Merino S, Lozano M (2018). Experimental manipulation of temperature reduce ectoparasites in nests of blue tits *Cyanistes caeruleus*. *Journal of Avian Biology* **49**, e01695–2018.
- Charmantier A, McCleery RH, Cole LR, Perrins C, Kruuk LEB, Sheldon BC (2008). Adaptive phenotypic

<sup>© 2024</sup> The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

plasticity in response to climate change in a wild bird population. *Science* **320**, 800–803.

- Checchi F, Cox AP, Chappuis F, Priotto G, Chandramohan D, Haydon DT (2012). Prevalence and under-detection of gambiense human African trypanosomiasis during mass screening sessions in Uganda and Sudan. *Parasites & Vectors* **5**, 157.
- Crick HQ (2004). The impact of climate change on birds. *Ibis* **146**, 48–56.
- Dadam D, Robinson RA, Clements A et al. (2019). Avian malaria-mediated population decline of a widespread iconic bird species. *Royal Society Open Science* 6, 182197.
- Dalrymple ML, Hudson IL, Ford RPK (2003). Finite mixture, zero-inflated Poisson and Hurdle models with application to SIDS. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* **41**, 491–504.
- Dawson RD, Hillen KK, Whitworth TL (2005). Effects of experimental variation in temperature on larval densities of parasitic *Protocalliphora* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) in nests of tree swallows (Passeriformes: Hirundinidae). *Environmental Entomology* 34, 563–68.
- Dube WC, Hund AK, Turbek SP, Safran RJ (2018). Microclimate and host body condition influence mite population growth in a wild bird-ectoparasite system. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* 7, 301–8.
- Dunn JC, Hawley DM, Huyvaert KP, Owen JC (2021). Fitness effects of parasite infection in birds. In: Owen JC, Hawley DM, Huyvaert KP, eds. *Infectious Disease Ecology of Wild Birds*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 99–120.
- Eeva T, Lehikoinen E, Nurmi J (1994). Effects of ectoparasites on breeding success of great tits. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **72**, 624–35.
- Eeva T, Klemola T (2013). Variation in prevalence and intensity of two avian ectoparasites in a polluted area. *Parasitology* **140**, 1384–93.
- Fessl B, Sinclair BJ, Kleindorfer S (2006). The life-cycle of *Philornis downsi* (Diptera: Muscidae) parasitizing Darwin's finches and its impacts on nestling survival. *Parasitology* **133**, 739–47.
- Fox J, Weisberg S (2011). An R Companion to Applied Regression. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- García del Río M, Castaño-Vázquez F, Merino S (2020). Effects of climate change on bird-parasite interactions. *Ecosistemas* **29**, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.7818/ECOS. 1981
- Gardner SL, Diamond J, Rácz GR (2023). *Parasites: The Inside Story*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

- Garrido-Bautista J, Hernández-Ruiz C, Ros-Santaella JL et al. (2023). Habitat-dependent breeding biology of the blue tit (*Cyanistes caeruleus*) across a continuous and heterogeneous Mediterranean woodland. *Avian Research* 14, 100109.
- Garrido-Bautista J, Moreno-Rueda G, Baz A *et al.* (2020). Variation in parasitoidism of *Protocalliphora azurea* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) by *Nasonia vitripennis* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) in Spain. *Parasitology Research* **119**, 559–66.
- Garvin JC, Abroe B, Pedersen MC, Dunn PO, Whittingham LA (2006). Immune response of nestling warblers varies with extra-pair paternity and temperature. *Molecular Ecology* **15**, 3833–40.
- Gienapp P, Hemerik L, Visser ME (2005). A new statistical tool to predict phenology under climate change scenarios. *Global Change Biology* **11**, 600–606.
- Gold C, Dahlsten D (1989). Prevalence, habitat selection, and biology of *Protocalliphora* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) found in nests of mountain and chestnut-backed chickadees in California. *Hilgardia* **57**, 1–19.
- Grueber CE, Nakagawa S, Laws RJ, Jamieson IG (2011). Multimodel inference in ecology and evolution: Challenges and solutions. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 24, 699–711.
- Hacking JD, Stuart-Fox D, Godfrey SS, Gardner MG (2018). Specific MHC class I supertype associated with parasite infection and color morph in a wild lizard population. *Ecology and Evolution* **8**, 9920–33.
- Hall RJ (2021). Climate change and avian disease. In: Owen JC, Hawley DM, Huyvaert KP, eds. *Infectious Disease Ecology of Wild Birds*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 189–206.
- Hannam K (2006). Ectoparasitic blow flies (*Protocalliphora* sp.) and nestling Eastern Bluebirds (*Sialia sialis*): direct effects and compensatory strategies. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **84**, 921–30.
- Hartig F (2020). DHARMa: residual diagnostics for hierarchical (multi-level/mixed) regression models, R Package Version 0.3. Available from URL: https:// cran.r-project.org/package=DHARMa
- Heeb P, Kölliker M, Richner H (2000). Bird-ectoparasite interactions, nest humidity, and ectoparasite community structure. *Ecology* **81**, 958–68.
- Hernandez AD, Poole A, Cattadori IM (2013). Climate changes influence free-living stages of soil-transmitted parasites of European rabbits. *Global Change Biology* 19, 1028–42.
- 17494877, 0, Downloaded from https://oinleibary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/17494877.12864 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [25/1/12024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://oinleibary.wiley.com/ems-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

- Hoover SER, Tylianakis JM (2012). Species interactions. In: Candolin U, Wong BBM, eds. *Behavioural Responses to a Changing World: Mechanisms and Consequences*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 129–42.
- Howe FP (1992). Effects of *Protocalliphora braueri* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) parasitism and inclement weather on nestling sage thrashers. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **28**, 141–43.
- Hudson PJ, Cattadori IM, Boag B, Dobson AP (2006). Climate disruption and parasite-host dynamics: Patterns and processes associated with warming and the frequency of extreme climatic events. *Journal of Helminthology* **80**, 175–82.
- Hurtrez-Boussès S, Blondel J, Perret P, Fabreguettes J, Renaud FR (1998). Chick parasitism by blowflies affects feeding rates in a Mediterranean population of blue tits. *Ecology Letters* **1**, 17–20.
- Hurtrez-Boussès S, Blondel J, Perret P, Renaud F (1997a). Relationship between intensity of blowfly infestation and reproductive success in a Corsican population of Blue Tits. *Journal of Avian Biology*, **28**, 267–70.
- Hurtrez-Boussès S, Garine-Wichatitsky MD, Perret P, Blondel J, Renaud F (1999). Variations in prevalence and intensity of blow fly infestations in an insular Mediterranean population of blue tits. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **77**, 337–41.
- Hurtrez-Boussès S, Perret P, Renaud F, Blondel J (1997b). High blowfly parasitic loads affect breeding success in a Mediterranean population of blue tits. *Oecologia* **112**, 514–17.
- Hurtrez-Boussés S, Renaud F, Blondel J, Perret P, Galan MJ (2000). Effects of ectoparasites of young on parents' behaviour in a Mediterranean population of Blue Tits. *Journal of Avian Biology* **31**, 266–69.
- Jenks G (1967). The data model concept in statistical mapping. *International Yearbook of Cartography* 7, 186–90.
- Johnson LS, Albrecht DJ (1993). Effects of haematophagous ectoparasites on nestling house wrens, *Troglodytes aedon*: Who pays the cost of parasitism? *Oikos* **66**, 255.
- Karlsson L, Persson K, Walinder G (1986). Ageing and sexing in pied flycatchers, *Ficedula hypoleuca*. *Vår Fågelvärld* **45**, 131–46.
- Knutie SA (2020). Food supplementation affects gut microbiota and immunological resistance to parasites in a wild bird species. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **57**, 536–47.

- Lange KL, Little RJA, Taylor JMG (1989). Robust statistical modeling using the t distribution. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **84**, 881–96.
- Le Vaillant J, Potti J, Camacho C, Canal D, Martínez-Padilla J (2021). Fluctuating selection driven by global and local climatic conditions leads to stasis in breeding time in a migratory bird. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **34**, 1541–53.
- Lifjeld JT, Dunn PO, Whittingham LA (2002). Shortterm fluctuations in cellular immunity of tree swallows feeding nestlings. *Oecologia* **130**, 185–90.
- Lindström A (2003). Fuel deposition rates in migrating birds: causes, constraints and consequences. In: Berthold P, Gwinner E, Sonnenschein E, eds. Avian Migration. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 2307–20.
- Little SE (2008). Myiasis in wild birds. In: Atkinson CT, Thomas NJ, Hunter DB, eds. *Parasitic Diseases of Wild Birds*. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, IA, pp. 546– 56.
- Loiseau C, Harrigan RJ, Bichet C *et al.* (2013). Predictions of avian *Plasmodium* expansion under climate change. *Scientific Reports* **3**, 1126.
- Loker ES, Hofkin BV (2022). *Parasitology: A Conceptual Approach*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- López-Arrabé J, Cantarero A, González-Braojos S, Ruiz-De-Castañeda R, Moreno J (2012). Only some ectoparasite populations are affected by nest re-use: an experimental study on Pied Flycatchers. *Ardeola* 59, 253–66.
- Lüdecke D, Ben-Shachar MS, Patil I, Waggoner P, Makowski D (2021). performance: An R package for assessment, comparison and testing of statistical models. *Journal of Open Source Software* **6**, 3139.
- Lundberg A, Alatalo RV (1992). *The Pied Flycatcher*. Poyser, London, UK.
- Marra PP, Cohen EB, Loss SR, Rutter JE, Tonra CM (2015). A call for full annual cycle research in animal ecology. *Biology Letters* **11**, 20150552.
- Marrot P, Charmantier A, Blondel J, Garant D (2018). Current spring warming as a driver of selection on reproductive timing in a wild passerine. *The Journal of Animal Ecology* **87**, 754.
- Martínez-De La Puente J, Merino S, Lobato E *et al.* (2009). Does weather affect biting fly abundance in avian nests? *Journal of Avian Biology* **40**, 653–57.
- Martínez-Padilla J, Camacho C, Canal D, Muriel J, López-Idiáquez D, Potti J (2022). Parasites during early life mediate the strength of phenotypic selection on sexual traits (in press). EcoEvoRxiv, https://doi.org/ 10.32942/x2t88v

<sup>© 2024</sup> The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

- Mayor SJ, Guralnick RP, Tingley MW *et al.* (2017). Increasing phenological asyncrony between spring green-up and arrival of migratory birds. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 1902.
- Maziarz M, Broughton RK, Chylarecki P, Hebda G (2022). Weather impacts on interactions between nesting birds, nest-dwelling ectoparasites and ants. *Scientific Reports* **12**, 17845.
- McLean N, Kruuk LE, Van Der Jeugd HP, Leech D, van Turnhout CA, van de Pol M (2022). Warming temperatures drive at least half of the magnitude of long-term trait changes in European birds. *PNAS* **119**, e2105416119.
- Mennerat A, Charmantier A, Perret P, Hurtrez-Boussès S, Lambrechts MM (2021). Parasite intensity is driven by temperature in a wild bird. *Peer Community Journal* 1, e60.
- Mennerat A, Mirleau P, Blondel J, Perret P, Lambrechts MM, Heeb P (2009). Aromatic plants in nests of the blue tit *Cyanistes caeruleus* protect chicks from bacteria. *Oecologia* **161**, 849–55.
- Merino M, García-Del-Río M, Castaño-Vázquez F, Merino S (2024). A long-term study on the impact of climatic variables on two common nest-dwelling ectoparasites of the Eurasian blue tit (*Cyanistes caeruleus*). *Integrative Zoology*, https://10.1111/1749-4877.12834.
- Merino S (2010). Immunocompetence and parasitism in nestlings from wild populations. *The Open Ornithology Journal* **3**, 27–32.
- Merino S, Potti J (1995a). Mites and bowflies decrease growth and survival in nestling Pied Flycatchers. *Oikos* **73**, 95.
- Merino S, Potti J (1995b). Pied flycatchers prefer to nest in clean nest boxes in an area with detrimental nest ectoparasites. *Condor* **97**, 828–31.
- Merino S, Potti J (1996). Weather dependent effects of nest ectoparasites on their bird hosts. *Ecography* **19**, 107–13.
- Merino S, Potti J (1998). Growth, nutrition, and blow fly parasitism in nestling Pied Flycatchers. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **76**, 936–41.
- Merino S (2019). Host–parasite interactions and climate change. In: Dunn PO, Møller AP, eds. *Effects of Climate Change on Birds*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 187–98.
- Mingozzi T, Storino P, Venuto G, Massolo A, Tavecchia G (2022). Climate warming induced a stretch of the breeding season and an increase of second clutches in

a passerine breeding at its altitudinal limits. *Current Zoology* **68**, 9–17.

- Morand S, Guégan JF (2000). Distribution and abundance of parasite nematodes: Ecological specialisation, phylogenetic constraint or simply epidemiology? *Oikos* **88**, 563–73.
- Moreno J, Merino S, Sanz JJ, Arriero E (2002). An indicator of maternal stress is correlated with nestling growth in pied flycatchers *Ficedula hypoleuca*. *Avian Science* **2**, 175–82.
- Moreno-Rueda G (2021). Elevational patterns of blowfly parasitism in two hole nesting avian species. *Diversity* **13**, 591.
- Moreno-Rueda G, Redondo T, Ochoa D, Camacho C, Canal D, Potti J (2016). Nest-dwelling ectoparasites reduce begging effort in pied flycatcher *Ficedula hypoleuca* nestlings. *Ibis* **158**, 881–86.
- Mouritsen KN, Poulin R, Otago U, Box PO, Zealand N (2002). Parasitism, climate oscillations and the structure of natural communities. *Oikos* **97**, 462–68.
- Musgrave K, Bartlow AW, Fair JM (2019). Long-term variation in environmental conditions influences host–parasite fitness. *Ecology and Evolution* **9**, 7688–703.
- Mäntylä E, Sirkiä PM, Klemola T, Laaksonen T (2015). An experimental test of whether pied flycatchers choose the best territory for rearing the young. *Current zoology* **61**, 604–13.
- Møller AP (1997). Parasitism and the evolution of host life history. In: Clayton DH, Moore J, eds. *Host-Parasite Evolution: General Principles and Avian Models*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 105–27.
- Møller AP Merino S, Soler JJ *et al.* (2013). Assessing the effects of climate on host-parasite interactions: A comparative study of European birds and their parasites. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e82886.
- Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H (2013). A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in ecology and evolution* **4**, 133–42.
- Niu Y, Laffitte B, Zuoqiu S (2024). Understanding predictors of mistletoe infection across an urban university campus in Southwest China. Urban Ecosystems, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-0254-01514-4
- O'Brien EL, Dawson RD (2008). Parasite-mediated growth patterns and nutritional constraints in a cavity-nesting bird. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **77**, 127–34.

- Ogden NH, Lindsay LR (2016). Effects of climate and climate change on vectors and vector-borne diseases: Ticks are different. *Trends in Parasitology* **32**, 646–56.
- Ouwehand J, Ahola MP, Ausems AN *et al.* (2016). Lightlevel geolocators reveal migratory connectivity in European populations of pied flycatchers *Ficedula hypoleuca. Journal of Avian Biology* **47**, 69–83.
- Pautasso M (2012). Observed impacts of climate change on terrestrial birds in Europe: An overview. *Italian Journal of Zoology* **79**, 296–314.
- Potti J (2008a). Temperature during egg formation and the effect of climate warming on egg size in a small songbird. *Acta Oecologica* **33**, 387–93.
- Potti J (2008b). Blowfly infestation at the nestling stage affects egg size in the pied flycatcher *Ficedula hypoleuca*. *Acta Ornithologica* **43**, 76–82.
- Potti J, Dávila JA, Tella JL, Frís Ó, Villar S (2002). Gender and viability selection on morphology in fledgling pied flycatchers. *Molecular Ecology* 11, 1317–26.
- Potti J, Montalvo S (1991). Return rate, age at first breeding and natal dispersal of pied flycatchers *Ficedula hypoleuca* in central Spain. *Ardea* **79**, 419–28.
- Potti J, Canal D, Camacho C (2014). Ontogenetic variation in the plumage colour of female European pied flycatchers *Ficedula hypoleuca*. *Ibis* **156**, 879–84.
- Potti J, Canal D, Serrano D (2013). Lifetime fitness and age-related female ornament signalling: Evidence for survival and fecundity selection in the pied flycatcher. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 26, 1445–57.
- Poulin R (1999). The intra- and interspecific relationships between abundance and distribution in helminth parasites of birds. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **68**, 719–25.
- Poulin R, Forbes MR (2012). Meta-analysis and research on host-parasite interactions: Past and future. *Evolutionary Ecology* 26, 1169–85.
- Price PW (1980). *Evolutionary Biology of Parasites*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Puchala P (2004). Detrimental effects of larval blow flies (*Protocalliphora azurea*) on nestlings and breeding success of Tree Sparrows (*Passer montanus*). Canadian Journal of Zoology 82, 1285–90.
- R Core Team (2022). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Reed TE, Daunt F, Kiploks AJ *et al.* (2012). Impacts of parasites in early life: Contrasting effects on juvenile growth for different family members. *PLoS ONE* 7, e32236.

- Richner H, Oppliger A, Christe P (1993). Effect of an ectoparasite on reroduction in great tits.pdf. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 62, 703–10.
- Roby DD, Brink KL, Wittmann K (1992). Effects of bird blowfly parasitism on eastern bluebird and tree swallow nestlings. *Wilson Bulletin* **104**, 630–43.
- Root TL, Price JT, Hall KR, Schneider SH, Rosenzweig C, Pounds JA (2003). Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature* **421**, 57–60.
- Rosenzweig C, Karoly D, Vicarelli M *et al.* (2008). Attributing physical and biological impacts to anthropogenic climate change. *Nature* **453**, 353–57.
- Sabrosky CW, Bennett GF, Whitworth TL (1989). Bird Blow flies (Protocalliphora) in North America (Diptera: Calliphoridae) with Notes on Palearctic Species. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.
- Sauve D, Friesen VL, Charmantier A (2021). The effects of weather on avian growth and implications for adaptation to Climate Change. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* **9**, 569741.
- Schielzeth H (2010). Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **1**, 103–13.
- Schmid-Hempel P (2009). Parasites-the new frontier: celebrating Darwin 200. *Biology letters* **5**, 625–27.
- Shaw DL, Dobson AP (1995). Patterns of macroparasite abundance and aggregation in wildlife populations: A quantitative review. *Parasitology* **111**, S111–33.
- Šimková A, Kadlec D, Gelnar M, Morand S (2002). Abundance-prevalence relationship of gill congeneric ectoparasites: Testing the core satellite hypothesis and ecological specialisation. *Parasitology Research* **88**, 682–86.
- Simon A, Thomas D, Blondel J, Perret P, Lambrechts MM (2004). Physiological ecology of mediterranean blue tits (*Parus caeruleus* L.): Effects of ectoparasites (*Protocalliphora* spp.) and food abundance on metabolic capacity of nestlings. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 77, 492–501.
- Streby HM, Peterson SM, Kapfer PM (2009). Fledging success is a poor indicator of the effects of bird blow flies on ovenbird survival. *The Condor* **111**, 193–97.
- Svensson L (1992). Identification Guide to European Passerines. Identification Guide to European Passerines. British Trust for Ornithology, Stockholm.
- van de Pol M, Cockburn A (2011). Identifying the critical climatic time window that affects trait expression. *The American Naturalist* **177**, 698–707.

<sup>© 2024</sup> The Author(s). *Integrative Zoology* published by International Society of Zoological Sciences, Institute of Zoology/Chinese Academy of Sciences and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

- van de Pol M, Bailey LD, McLean N, Rijsdijk L, Lawson CR, Brouwer L (2016). Identifying the best climatic predictors in ecology and evolution. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **7**, 1246–57.
- Warren Y (1994). Protocalliphora braueri (Diptera: Calliphoridae) induced pathogenesis in a brood of Marsh Wren (*Cistothorus palustris*) young. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **30**, 107–9.
- Weddle CB (2000). Effects of ectoparasites on nestling body mass in the House Sparrow. *Condor* **102**, 684–87.
- Weiskopf SR, Rubenstein MA, Crozier LG et al. (2020). Climate change effects on biodiversity, ecosystems, ecosystems services, and natural resource management in the United States. *Science of the Total Environment* 733, 137782.
- Wesołowski T (2001). Host–parasite interactions in natural holes: marsh tits (*Parus palustris*) and blow flies (*Protocalliphora falcozi*). Journal of Zoology 255, 495–503.
- Whitworth TL, Bennett GF (1992). Pathogenicity of larval *Protocalliphora* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) parasitizing nestling birds. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 70, 2184–91.
- Wickham H (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York. https://ggplot2. tidyverse.org
- Wobeser GA (2008). Parasitism: Costs and effects. In: Atkinson CT, Thomas NJ, Hunter DB, eds. *Parasitic Diseases of Wild Birds*. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, IA, pp. 1–9.
- Wolinska J, King KC (2009). Environment can alter selection in host-parasite interactions. *Trends in Parasitol*ogy 25, 236–44.

- Wood CL, and Johnson PTJ (2015). A world without parasites: Exploring the hidden ecology of infection. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **13**, 425–34.
- Zumpt F (1965). *Myiasis in Man and Animals in The Old World. A textbook for Physicians, Veterinarians and Zoologists.* Butterworth & Co. Ltd., London, UK.
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS (2010). A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **1**, 3–14.
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009). *Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R*. Springer, New York.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

 Table S1 List of variables included in the models built

 in this study

**Table S2** Pearson correlations between the mean temperatures (A) and precipitations (B) variables considered for this study

**Table S3** Fixed and random effects of the generalized mixed model built to test the factors affecting the probability and intensity of blowfly parasitization, based on environmental variables during the incubation stage (N = 1928 broods)

**Figure S1** 3D (left) and contour (right) plots showing the predicted values of the breeding success (i. e. corrected brood size) in relation to the standardized values of the mean nest stage temperature and the number of *Protocalliphora azurea* larvae/pupae per nest, as included in the GLMM built (see methods).

#### Cite this article as:

González-Bernardo E, Moreno-Rueda G, Camacho C, Martínez-Padilla J, Potti J, Canal D (2024). Environmental conditions influence host–parasite interactions and host fitness in a migratory passerine. *Integrative Zoology* **00**, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12864