SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Application of LC-ion mobility spectrometry-MS-based metabolomics to investigate the basal chemical profile of olive cultivars differing in *Verticillum dahliae* resistance

Irene Serrano-García¹, Ioannis C. Martakos^{2,3}, Lucía Olmo-García^{1*}, Lorenzo León⁴, Raúl de la Rosa^{4,5}, Ana M. Gómez-Caravaca¹, Angjelina Belaj⁴, Alicia Serrano⁶, Marilena E. Dasenaki³, Nikolaos S. Thomaidis², Alegría Carrasco-Pancorbo¹

¹Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Granada, Ave. Fuentenueva s/n, 18071 Granada, Spain

²Analytical Chemistry Laboratory, Chemistry Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis Zographou, 15771 Athens, Greece

³Food Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis Zographou, 15771 Athens, Greece

⁴IFAPA Centro Alameda del Obispo, Ave. Menéndez Pidal s/n, 14004 Córdoba, Spain

⁵Instituto de Agricultura Sostenible, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Av. Menéndez Pidal s/n, 14004 Córdoba, Spain

⁶The University Institute of Research into Olives and Olive Oils (INUO). University of Jaén, Campus Las Lagunillas s/n, 23071 Jaén, Spain

*Corresponding author: Dr. L. Olmo-García Department of Analytical Chemistry Faculty of Sciences University of Granada Granada, Spain. Tel.: +34 958 249510 E-mail address: luciaolmo@ugr.es

Table S1. TIMSCCSN2 Database of many compounds detected in olive-derived matrices by LC-ESI-TimsTOF MS/MS profiling along with its presence in the olive root,

stem and leaf organ.

		For experimental [M-H] ⁻							Detected in:	
Proposed compound	Molecular Formula	Rt (min)	m/z _{exp}	Error (ppm)	mSigma	^{™S} CCS _{N2} (Ų)♦	Main fragments via MS/MS	Root	Stem	Leaf
Organic acids										
quinic acid*	$C_7H_{12}O_6$	1.24	191.0562	0.52	4.4	134.3	127.0416; 93.0344; 85.0294	х	х	Х
citric acid	$C_6H_8O_7$	1.26	191.0197	-0.01	11.9	126.5	111.0092; 87.0087; 85.0291	х	х	Х
Iridoids										
loganic acid	$C_{16}H_{24}O_{10}$	1.30	375.1296	0.07	22.7	184.7	213.0764; 169.0876; 151.0752; 125.0606; 113.0244; 107.0499	х	Х	Х
7-deoxyloganic acid	$C_{16}H_{24}O_{9}$	4.82	359.1347	-0.36	6.1	182.4	197.0814; 153.0923; 135.0817	х	Х	-
11-hydroxyiridodial glucoside pentaacetate	$C_{26}H_{36}O_{13}$	5.66	555.2082	-0.06	9.9	222.3	225.1134; 183.0659; 167.0717; 151.0406	Х	Х	-
Coumarins								1		
aesculin	C ₁₅ H ₁₆ O ₉	4.44	339.0721	-0.06	27.0	174.6	177.0192; 133.0299	х	Х	-
aesculetin	C ₉ H ₆ O ₄	6.39	177.0194	0.39	10.6	127.5	149.0244; 133.0300; 105.0345; 89.0401	Х	Х	Х
Simple phenols and derivatives								1		
hydroxytyrosol glucoside (is. 1, main peak)	C14H20O8	4.33	315.1084	-0.31	6.4	163.1	153.0559; 135.0451; 123.0459	х	х	х
hydroxytyrosol glucoside (is.2)	0.11.0	4.40	315.1085	0.04	2.8	1/1.8	153.0556; 135.0450; 123.0453	Х	Х	X
hydroxytyrosol*	$C_8H_{10}O_3$	4.49	153.0557	-0.38	5.6	128.8	123.0455; 109.0293	-	Х	X
tyrosol glucoside	C ₁₄ H ₂₀ O ₇	4.69	299.1136	-0.17	10.0	161.4	137.0622; 119.0425	Х	Х	X
tyrosol^	$C_8H_{10}O_2$	5.00	137.0611	1.86	3.5	127.6	119.0449; 107.0508	-	-	-
verbascoside*	C ₂₉ H ₃₆ O ₁₅	5.73	623.1979	-0.25	8.2	223.2	461.1663; 179.0351; 161.0242; 135.0446	Х	Х	X
phenyletnyl primeveroside	$C_{19}H_{28}O_{10}$	5.78	415.1609	-0.12	4.6	202.2	149.0444	Х	Х	X
Isoverbascoside	C ₂₉ H ₃₆ O ₁₅	6.17	623.1981	0.08	5.5	223.4	461.1665; 161.0245	Х	-	
Lignans and derivatives			F07 4077	0.00	2.0	004.4	275 4450, 405 0004, 470 0740			
cycloolivil glucoside (is.1, main peak)	C ₂₆ H ₃₄ O ₁₂	5.03	537.1977	-0.09	3.8	231.4	375.1450, 195.0661, 179.0710	X	X	-
Cycloolivil glucoside (is.2, main peak)		E 16	537.1970	-0.32	2.1	208.9	375.1440, 345.1372, 195.0001, 179.0710	X	X	-
	C ₂₆ П ₃₄ O ₁₂	5.10	275 1440	-0.27	21.0	214.0	260 1227: 245 1260: 227 1252: 105 0670: 170 0712	X	X	
	C ₂₀ H ₂₄ O ₇	5.54	275 1449	-0.17	10.2	197.0	300.1227, 345.1300, 327.1232, 195.0070, 179.0713	X	X	
(1) 1 acotovuminorosinol 4' β D alucosido (is 1 main poak)			577 1029	-0.33	9.4	203.0	415 1305	×	×	-
(+)-1-aceloxypholesinol 4-is-D-glucoside (is. 1, 11ain peak)	$C_{28}H_{34}O_{13}$	6.09	577 1027	0.23	2.4	210.7	415.1595	×	×	-
bydroxypinoresinol ducoside (is.2)			535 1820	-0.16	2.8	215.9	373 1280 355 1180 205 0008	× ×	×	
hydroxypinoresinol glucoside (is 2 main neak)	$C_{26}H_{32}O_{12}$	6.33	535 1822	0.10	89	210.0	373 1200	×	×	_
	ConHarOa	7 15	415 1398	-0.02	12.0	203.5	325 1066: 280 0728: 181 0508: 151 0399: 136 0163	×	x	_
Secoiridoids and derivatives	022112408	7.10	410.1000	0.02	12.0	200.0	323.1000, 200.0720, 101.0300, 101.0303, 100.0103	^	^	
aldehydic form of decarboxymethyl elenolic acid alucoside (is 1)	CracHacQaa	1 27	377 1452	-0.15	86	188.8	197 0821: 153 0921	x	x	×
oleoside / secologanoside	C40H20O44	1.29	389 1090	0.08	8.9	184.6	345 1164 209 0446 183 0663 165 0519 121 0663 113 0250	x	x	X
oleoside / secologanoside	C16H22O11	1.32	389,1088	-0.44	11.7	189.5	345 1161 227 0558 209 0445 183 0669 121 0665 113 0253	x	x	X
elenolic acid alucoside (is.1)	C17H24O11	2.14	403.1245	-0.36	15.7	192.5	371.0975 223.0598 181.0504 179.0557 127.0393 101.0243	x	x	X
elenolic acid glucoside (is.2)	C17H24O11	2.69	403.1246	-0.02	10.4	189.9	371.0978 223.0607 179.0561 127.0419 101.0238	x	x	X
aldehvdic form of decarboxymethyl elenolic acid glucoside (is.2)	C16H26O10	4.23	377.1452	0.02	8.8	188.6	197.0823: 153.0915	x	X	X
elenolic acid glucoside (is.3)	C17H24O11	4.49	403.1245	-0.01	13.4	192.1	371.0975: 223.0601: 181.0493: 165.0555: 121.0296: 101.0241	x	X	X
elenolic acid dihexose derivate	C ₂₅ H ₃₈ O ₁₈	4.85	625,1986	0.05	6.9	231.5	223.0601: 179.0564: 119.0353	х	х	x
demethyl oleuropein	C ₂₄ H ₃₀ O ₁₃	4.95	525.1606	0.01	8.9	213.5	481.1694; 389.1085; 209.0442; 195.0663; 121.0670	х	х	x
elenolic acid dihexose	C ₂₃ H ₃₄ O ₁₅	5.16	565.1775	-0.20	5.9	234.9	403.1239; 371.0981; 223.0603; 113.0224; 101.0232; 89.0232	х	х	x
demethyl ligstroside	C ₂₄ H ₃₀ O ₁₂	5.23	509.1663	-0.22	27.1	208.8	347.1128; 277.0713; 233.0801; 165.0554; 121.0680	х	х	x
hydroxy oleuropein (is.1, main peak)	0.11.0	5.00	555.1719	0.03	15.3	218.9	537.1597; 403.1242; 393.1190; 323.0770; 151.0386	х	х	x
hvdroxy oleuropein (is.2)	$C_{25}H_{32}O_{14}$	5.60	555.1721	-0.03	13.2	227.6	537.1595; 403.1241; 151.0383	х	х	х
neonuzhenide / oleuropein glucoside (is.1)	C ₃₁ H ₄₂ O ₁₈	5.63	701.2293	-0.72	19.1	245.5	539.1770; 377.1241; 307.0795; 275.0925	х	х	-
elenolic acid glucoside (is.4)	C ₁₇ H ₂₄ O ₁₁	5.94	403.1246	0.00	8.6	190.1	223.0603; 121.0289; 113.0228; 101.0246	х	х	х

Instancial Call HapOre 6.03 663.27 -0.34 18.0 24.11 652.1813, 453.1891, 421.1492, 291.132 x	Secoiridoids and derivatives										
Internative inde volume print Cite Cite <	nuzhenide	$C_{31}H_{42}O_{17}$	6.03	685.2347	-0.34	19.0	241.1	523.1813; 453.1391; 421.1499; 299.1132	х	х	х
Image Image Call A State State <tttate< td=""> <tttate< td=""> <tttate< td=""></tttate<></tttate<></tttate<>	neonuzhenide / oleuropein glucoside (is.2)	C ₃₁ H ₄₂ O ₁₈	6.10	701.2297	-0.22	16.1	241.8	539.1773; 377.1238; 307.0830; 275.0925	х	х	-
Ineonuzhenide / oleuropein Jucosade (s): 3) C, H, L, O.; C, H, O.; I, G, C, H, O.; I, G, H, O.; I	methoxyoleuropein	C ₂₆ H ₃₄ O ₁₄	6.52	569.1867	-0.55	28.2	223.2	537.1587; 403.1235; 223.0604; 165.0570; 121.0292	х	х	х
$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:absolute} \begin{tabular}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	neonuzhenide / oleuropein glucoside (is.3)	C ₃₁ H ₄₂ O ₁₈	6.54	701.2296	-0.33	19.2	248.9	539.1769; 377.1236; 307.0816; 275.0928	- 1	х	х
elenolic acid glucoside (is, 5) C ₁ H ₂ O ₁ 6.86 403.124 0.01 371.0967; 223.0604; 161.0483; 127.0409; 101.0249; 80.9103 x<	oleuropein*	C ₂₅ H ₃₂ O ₁₃	6.66	539.1718	0.19	4.5	217.5	403.1233; 377.1234; 307.0822; 275.0924; 223.0609; 179.0558	х	х	х
Incidence Cert Hu ₂ Oru 7.13 583.2022 0.03 14.8 229.1 403.1224; 223.0533; 179.0541; 151.0399 x x x x x x Indercores Cert Hu ₂ Oru 7.36 533.1766 -0.77 10.9 217.1 401.1230; 377.1244; 345.099, 307.0824; 275.0926; 110.0245 x x x x x x Indercore in adycone (is.2) Cert Hu ₂ Oru 8.03 377.1243 0.41 2.3 185.2 307.0822; 275.0561; 149.0241; 139.0399; 127.0407; 111.0028; 95.000 x <	elenolic acid glucoside (is.5)	C ₁₇ H ₂₄ O ₁₁	6.86	403.1246	-0.04	6.9	190.4	371.0967; 223.0604; 181.0483; 127.0409; 101.0249; 89.0193	х	х	х
Image: Signed	lucidumoside C	$C_{27}H_{36}O_{14}$	7.13	583.2032	0.03	14.8	229.1	403.1224; 223.0593; 179.0541; 151.0399	х	х	х
Image: Signed Biology and Si	ligstroside	$C_{25}H_{32}O_{12}$	7.26	523.1824	0.37	9.0	214.7	361.1290; 291.0879; 259.0976; 223.0621; 101.0245	х	х	х
oleuropein aglycone (is.1) Cu ₁ H ₂ O ₄ 8.03 377.1243 0.47 2.3 186.0 307.0820; 275.0572; 149.0241; 139.0399; 127.0407; 121.0038; x x x x elaropein aglycone (is.2) Cu ₁ H ₂ O ₄ 8.33 377.1243 0.41 2.3 184.8 275.0572; 149.0241; 139.0399; 127.0407; 121.0315 x x x x Elaronoids 377.1243 0.41 2.3 184.8 275.0572; 149.0241; 139.0399; 127.0407; 121.0315 x x x x Elaronoids 4.09 4.09 2.00 17.1243 0.41 2.3 156.8 245.0519; 250.0399; 177.0191; 125.0261 - <	oleuroside	$C_{25}H_{32}O_{13}$	7.35	539.1766	-0.70	10.9	217.1	403.1230; 377.1244; 345.0990; 307.0824; 275.0926; 223.0610	-	х	х
oleuropein aglycone (is.2) Ci.pH ₂ O ₀ 8.33 377.124 0.41 2.3 185.2 307.0622_275.0564; 149.0234; 139.0399; 127.0406; 111.0085 x	oleuropein aglycone (is.1)	C ₁₉ H ₂₂ O ₈	8.03	377.1239	-0.73	3.2	186.0	307.0820; 275.0572; 149.0241; 139.0389; 111.0082; 95.0500	х	х	х
$ \begin{array}{ $	oleuropein aglycone (is.2)	C ₁₉ H ₂₂ O ₈	8.33	377.1243	0.41	2.3	185.2	307.0822; 275.0564; 149.0234; 139.0390; 127.0408; 111.0088	х	х	х
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	oleuropein aglycone (is.3)	C ₁₉ H ₂₂ O ₈	9.00	377.1242	-0.02	17.9	184.8	275.0564; 149.0237; 139.0399; 127.0407; 121.0315	х	х	х
$ \begin{array}{c} dihydroquercetin-O-tplacoside (is, 1) \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_2 Q_{11} \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_2 Q_{11} \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_2 Q_{11} \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_2 Q_{12} \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_2 Q_{12} \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_2 Q_{12} \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_2 Q_{12} \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 H_n Q_6 \\ c_2 H_n Q_6 \\ c_1 $	Flavonoids										
$ \begin{array}{c} catechin^* \\ C_{10}H_{1}O_{0} \\ etachin^* \\ C_{11}H_{2}O_{11} \\ etachin^* \\ child v drokaempferol-O-glucoside \\ C_{11}H_{2}O_{11} \\ etachin^* \\ child v drokaempferol-O-glucoside \\ C_{11}H_{2}O_{11} \\ etachin^* \\ child v drokaempferol-O-glucoside \\ C_{11}H_{2}O_{11} \\ etachin^* \\ child v drokaempferol \\ child $	dihydroquercetin-O-glucoside (is.1)	$C_{21}H_{22}O_{12}$	4.67	465.1036	-0.54	12.9	191.8	303.0505; 285.0399; 177.0191; 125.0261	<u> </u>	х	-
$ \begin{array}{c} catechin' & Cathon W & Anov & 280,722 & 1.51 & 1.2 & 169.5 & 245,0811; 203,0746; 179,0358 & - & & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - $	catechin*		1 90	289.0721	1.23	3.3	156.8	245.0810; 203.0744; 179.0362	-	-	-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	catechin*	C ₁₅ I 1 ₁₄ O ₆	4.00	289.0722	1.51	1.2	169.5	245.0811; 203.0746; 179.0358	-	-	-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	dihydrokaempferol-O-glucoside	$C_{21}H_{22}O_{11}$	4.91	449.1089	0.37	10.6	186.3	287.0550; 259.0633; 243.0664; 151.0034; 125.0245	-	х	-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	dihydroquercetin-O-glucoside (is.2)	$C_{21}H_{22}O_{12}$	5.40	465.1036	0.42	15.7	192.5	303.0504; 285.0406	-	Х	-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	taxifolin (dihydroquercetin)	$C_{15}H_{12}O_7$	5.77	303.0510	-0.07	3.8	164.7	285.0409; 177.0199; 125.0263	-	х	-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	naringenin-O-glucoside	$C_{21}H_{22}O_{10}$	6.26	433.1138	-0.02	11.2	183.1	271.0615; 151.0018; 119.0515	-	х	Х
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	dihydrokaempferol	$C_{15}H_{12}O_6$	6.30	287.0560	-0.30	2.9	163.4	259.0598; 243.0661; 177.0561; 151.0039; 125.0244	-	х	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	rutin*	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{16}$	6.36	609.1471	1.63	2.9	232.4	301.0349; 300.0252	-	х	х
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	luteolin-O-glucoside (is.1) (luteolin-7-O-glucoside)*	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	6.46	447.0934	-0.06	19.6	210.2	285.0408	-	х	х
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	quercetin-O-glucoside (is.1)	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{12}$	6.50	463.0879	-0.48	17.1	202.1	301.0347; 300.0251	-	х	х
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	apigenin-O-rutinoside (is.1)	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{14}$	6.55	577.1563	0.03	10.4	232.7	269.0458	-	-	х
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	apigenin 7-0-rutinoside (is.2)	$C_{27}H_{30}O_{14}$	6.65	577.1561	0.25	12.0	224.5	269.0458	-	-	х
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	apigenin-7-0-glucoside	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{10}$	6.73	431.0984	-0.03	10.5	208.1	269.0459	-	х	х
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	diosmin	$C_{28}H_{32}O_{15}$	6.74	607.1664	-0.75	24.8	231.8	299.0562; 284.0319	-	-	Х
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	chrysoeriol-7-O-glucoside	$C_{22}H_{22}O_{11}$	6.80	461.1089	-0.25	3.7	215.9	446.0858; 299.0561	-	-	Х
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	luteolin-O-glucoside (is.2)	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	6.85	447.0931	-0.45	8.3	208.4	285.0405; 133.0297	-	х	х
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	quercetin-O-glucoside (is.2)	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{12}$	6.90	463.0879	-0.71	14.7	210.9	301.0345	-	х	х
naringenin C ₁₅ H ₁₂ O ₅ 7.77 271.0613 0.35 1.6 163.0 151.0028; 119.0500 x<	luteolin-O-glucoside (is.3)	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{11}$	7.17	447.0933	-0.02	15.4	210.2	285.0405; 284.0331	-	х	х
Iuteolin* C15H10O6 8.71 285.0407 0.89 19.3 160.6 217.0516; 199.0409; 175.0401; 151.0038; 133.0291; 107.0139 x<	naringenin	$C_{15}H_{12}O_5$	7.77	271.0613	0.35	1.6	163.0	151.0028; 119.0500	х	х	х
apigenin* C15H10O5 8.80 269.0456 0.04 6.5 157.6 225.0554; 201.0547; 149.0238 x x x x x x Pentacyclic triterpenes maslinic acid* C30H46O4 13.13 471.3479 -0.30 12.7 223.4 - x	luteolin*	$C_{15}H_{10}O_6$	8.71	285.0407	0.89	19.3	160.6	217.0516; 199.0409; 175.0401; 151.0038; 133.0291; 107.0139	х	х	х
Maslinic acid* C ₃₀ H ₄₆ O ₄ 13.13 471.3479 -0.30 12.7 223.4 - x <th< td=""><td>apigenin*</td><td>$C_{15}H_{10}O_{5}$</td><td>8.80</td><td>269.0456</td><td>0.04</td><td>6.5</td><td>157.6</td><td>225.0554; 201.0547; 149.0238</td><td>х</td><td>х</td><td>х</td></th<>	apigenin*	$C_{15}H_{10}O_{5}$	8.80	269.0456	0.04	6.5	157.6	225.0554; 201.0547; 149.0238	х	х	х
maslinic acid* C ₃₀ H ₄₈ O ₄ 13.13 471.3479 -0.30 12.7 223.4 - x	Pentacyclic triterpenes										
betulinic acid C ₃₀ H ₄₆ O ₃ 14.00 455.3531 -0.02 2.6 220.1 - x	maslinic acid*	$C_{30}H_{48}O_4$	13.13	471.3479	-0.30	12.7	223.4	-	х	х	х
oleanolic acid* C ₃₀ H ₄₈ O ₃ 14.14 455.3530 0.32 5.1 220.7 - x x x	betulinic acid	$C_{30}H_{48}O_3$	14.00	455.3531	-0.02	2.6	220.1	-	х	х	х
	oleanolic acid*	$C_{30}H_{48}O_3$	14.14	455.3530	0.32	5.1	220.7	-	х	х	х

*Corroborated with a pure standard •The variability in the determination of CCS values, expressed as the coefficient of variation (%CV) across all samples in which each compound was detected, was consistently below 0.148%.



Principal Component Analysis

Figure S1. PCA score plots generated in two dimensions (2D) for olive roots, stems, and leaves, using the two most optimal principal components (2PCs) to effectively distinguish between HR and S samples.

	PLS-DA model	Α	Ν	R ² X (cum)	R ² Y (cum)	Q ² (cum)
(1)-	HR vs. Others	3	129	0.283	0.747	0.598
(2)-	S vs. Others	4	129	0.318	0.789	0.482

(1)- Permutations PLS-DA (HR)

(2)- Permutations PLS-DA (S)





STEM TISSUE TYPE

	PLS-DA model	Α	Ν	R ² X (cum)	R ² Y (cum)	Q ² (cum)
(1)-	HR vs. Others	3	129	0.275	0.803	0.677
(2)-	S vs. Others	5	129	0.391	0.861	0.630

(1)- Permutations PLS-DA (HR)

(2)- Permutations PLS-DA (S)



LEAF TISSUE TYPE

	PLS-DA model	Α	Ν	R ² X (cum)	R ² Y (cum)	Q ² (cum)
(1)-	HR vs. Others	4	129	0.339	0.788	0.533
(2)-	S vs. Others	3	129	0.279	0.666	0.318

(1)- Permutations PLS-DA (HR)



(2)- Permutations PLS-DA (S)



Figure S2. Model quality descriptors (R²X, R²Y and Q²) and permutation tests of PLS-DA models built for each tissue type.



Figure S3. Box and whisker plots of compounds with the highest VIP values from PLS-DA models (HR vs. rest and S vs. rest) for each olive organ. The representation of unknown compounds that could be potential isomers include the CCS value to avoid any possible misinterpretation.



Figure S3 (cont.). Box and whisker plots of compounds with the highest VIP values from PLS-DA models (HR *vs.* rest and S *vs.* rest) for each olive organ. The representation of unknown compounds that could be potential isomers include the CCS value to avoid any possible misinterpretation.



Figure S4. Molecular structure and MetFrag outcomes for guaiaconic and coumaroyl hexoside fragment assignments.