

RA

restauro archeologico

Conoscenza, conservazione e valorizzazione
del patrimonio architettonico
Rivista del Dipartimento di Architettura
dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Knowledge, preservation and enhancement
of architectural heritage
Journal of the Department of Architecture
University of Florence

Poste Italiane spa - fassa pagata - Plego di libro Aut. n. 072/DCB/FI1/VF del 31.03.2005

1972/2022
World Heritage in transition
About management,
protection and sustainability

2022
special issue

1

FIRENZE
UNIVERSITY
PRESS



**Il Patrimonio Mondiale
alla prova del tempo.**

**A proposito di gestione,
salvaguardia e sostenibilità**

Firenze, 18-19 novembre 2022

World Heritage in transition
About management, protection and sustainability
International Conference (Florence, 18-19 november 2022)

Le Patrimoine mondial à l'épreuve du temps
Sur la gestion, la protection et la durabilité
Colloque international (Florence, 18-19 novembre 2022)



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

DIDA
DIPARTIMENTO DI
ARCHITETTURA

RA | restauro archeologico

Conoscenza, conservazione e valorizzazione
del patrimonio architettonico
**Rivista del Dipartimento di Architettura
dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze**

Knowledge, preservation and enhancement
of architectural heritage
**Journal of the Department of Architecture
University of Florence**

Anno XXX special issue/2022
Registrazione Tribunale di Firenze
n. 5313 del 15.12.2003

ISSN 1724-9686 (print)
ISSN 2465-2377 (online)

Director

Giuseppe De Luca
(Università degli Studi di Firenze)

Editors in Chief

Susanna Caccia Gherardini,
Maurizio De Vita
(Università degli Studi di Firenze)

Guest Editors

Susanna Caccia Gherardini
Università degli Studi di Firenze

Maurizio De Vita
Università degli Studi di Firenze

Carlo Francini
Comune di Firenze

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC BOARD

Hélène Dessales, Benjamin Mouton, Carlo Olmo,
Zhang Peng, Andrea Pessina, Guido Vannini

EDITORIAL BOARD

Andrea Arrighetti, Sara Di Resta, Junmei Du,
Annamaria Ducci, Maria Grazia Ercolino, Rita Fabbri,
Gioia Marino, Pietro Matracchi, Emanuele Morezzi,
Federica Ottoni, Andrea Pane, Rosario Scaduto,
Raffaella Simonelli, Andrea Ugolini, Maria Vitiello

EDITORIAL STAFF

Francesca Giusti, Virginia Neri,
Francesco Pisani, Margherita Vicario

layout revision by

Giorgio Ghelfi, Adele Rossi, Marta Raggi, Margherita Vicario, Salvatore Zocco
Università degli Studi di Firenze

The authors are at the disposal of those who, untraced, were legally entitled to
payment of any publication rights, subject to the solely scientific character of
this study and its nonprofit purpose.

Copyright: © The Author(s) 2022

This is an open access journal distributed under the Creative Commons
Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License
(CC BY-SA 4.0: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/legalcode>).

cover photo

Firenze, Grotta del Buontalenti, Giardino di Boboli,
(123RF)

cover design

●●● didacommunicationlab
DIDA Dipartimento di Architettura
Università degli Studi di Firenze
via della Mattonaia, 8
50121 Firenze, Italy

published by

Firenze University Press
Università degli Studi di Firenze
Firenze University Press
Via Cittadella, 7 - 50144 Firenze, Italy
www.fupress.com



Stampato su carta di pura cellulosa Fedrigoni



**COMITATO PROMOTORE | Promoting
Committee | Comité de Pilotage**

Susanna Caccia Gherardini
Università degli Studi di Firenze
Maurizio De Vita
Università degli Studi di Firenze
Carlo Francini
Comune di Firenze

**COMITATO SCIENTIFICO
INTERNAZIONALE | International
Scientific Committee | Comité
Scientifique International**

Patricia Alberth
*Site manager Bamberg World Heritage
/ President International Association of
World Heritage Professionals (IAWHP)*
Gianluca Belli
University of Florence
Chris Blandford
President World Heritage UK
Wolfgang Börner
*Municipality of Vienna / ICOMOS
Austria / Founder of the International
Conference "Cultural Heritage and New
Technologies"*
Susanna Caccia Gherardini
*Co-director of the academic journal
«Restauro Archeologico», University of
Florence*
Chloé Campo de Montauzon
*General Delegate of the Association of
French World Heritage properties*
Lorenzo Cantoni
*UNESCO Chair on ICT to Develop and
Promote Sustainable Tourism at World
Heritage Sites, Università della Svizzera
Italiana, Lugano*

Nicola Casagli
*UNESCO Chair on the Prevention and
Sustainable Management of Geo-
Hydrological Hazards, University of
Florence*
Adele Cesi
*National Focal Point for the World
Heritage Convention, UNESCO Office of
the Ministry of Culture, Italy*

Sarah Court
*Instead Heritage
ICCROM / Herculaneum Conservation
Project*

Maurizio De Vita
*Co-director of the academic journal
«Restauro Archeologico», University of
Florence*

Paolo Faccio
Iuav University, Venice

Emanuela Ferretti
University of Florence

Donatella Fiorani
Sapienza University of Rome

Nicole Franceschini
*International Consultant on World
Heritage / World Heritage Leadership
programme, ICCROM*

Carlo Francini
*Site manager Historic Centre of Florence,
Municipality of Florence / Scientific
Coordinator Association of Italian World
Heritage sites*

Maria Cristina Giambruno
Polytechnic University of Milan

Francesca Giliberto
University of Leeds

Fergus MacLaren
*President, ICOMOS International
Cultural Tourism Committee*

Pietro Matracchi
University of Florence

Alessandro Merlo
University of Florence

Giovanni Minutoli
University of Florence

Anne-Laure Moniot
Bordeaux Metropole

Stefano Musso
Università di Genova

Mara Nemela
*Director, "Dolomiti-Dolomiten-
Dolomites-Dolomitis UNESCO"
Foundation*

Emanuele Pellegrini
IMT School for Advanced Studies Lucca

Renata Picone
University of Naples Federico II

Marco Pretelli
University of Bologna

Alessio Re
*Fondazione Santagata
Università degli Studi di Torino*

Emanuele Romeo
Polytechnic University of Turin

Paolo Salonia
*National Research Council / ICOMOS
Italia*

Christina Sinclair
Director of Edinburgh World Heritage

Jane Thompson
*Instead Heritage / ICCROM /
Herculaneum Conservation Project /
SDA Bocconi*

Michael Turner
*Bezalel Academy of Arts and Design,
UNESCO Chair in Urban Design and
Conservation Studies*

**COMITATO ORGANIZZATIVO |
Organising Committee | Comité
d'Organisation**

Università degli Studi di Firenze
Paola Bordoni
Maddalena Branchi
Marta Conte
Elisa Fallani
Giorgio Ghelfi
Francesca Giusti
Gaia Lavoratti
Giulia Lazzari
Alessia Montacchini
Francesco Pisani
Carlo Ricci
Loredana Rita Scuto
Gaia Vannucci
Margherita Vicario

CON IL PATROCINIO DI | sponsored by | avec le soutien de

Ministero degli Affari Esteri e della Cooperazione Internazionale, Ministero della Transizione Ecologica, Ministero della Cultura, Commissione Nazionale Italiana per l'UNESCO, Regione Toscana, International Centre for the Study of the Preservation and Restoration of Cultural Property (ICCROM), ICOMOS Italia Consiglio Internazionale dei Monumenti e dei Siti - Comitato Nazionale Italiano, Associazione Beni Italiani Patrimonio Mondiale, Accademia delle Arti del Disegno.

Indice | Summary | Index

vol. 1

Carte e convezioni: evoluzione del concetto di Patrimonio Mondiale Charters and Conventions: evolution of the notion of World Heritage Chartes et conventions : l'évolution de la notion de Patrimoine mondial	10
Study on the Recognition and Interpretation of the World Heritage Criterion (ii) “an important interchange of human values” <i>Semina An</i>	12
Synergies for World Heritage <i>Calogero Bellanca, Susana Mora Alonso-Muñoyerro</i>	18
Ampliare un World Heritage Site verso il passato recente. L'opera di Giancarlo De Carlo a Urbino <i>Maria Paola Borgarino, Davide Del Curto</i>	24
Patrimoine collectif des Nations/Patrimoine commun de l'Humanité At the origins of the debate on the conservation of world heritage <i>Susanna Caccia Gherardini</i>	30
La Dichiarazione europea per lo studio del latino e del greco antico: filologia e autenticità dei beni culturali <i>Maria Carolina Campone</i>	36
Conservazione e Ricostruzione: la difficile ricerca di equilibrio nella salvaguardia del Patrimonio Mondiale <i>Donatella Fiorani</i>	42
State of the art of open-air rock art as World Heritage site: past, present and future <i>Estrela C. García García</i>	48
Restauro storico tra riconoscimenti e negazioni nell'interpretazione del Patrimonio Mondiale. Spunti e confronti a partire dal Percorso arabo-normanno di Palermo <i>Carmen Genovese</i>	54
ICOMOS Charters on cultural tourism throughout the 50 years of the UNESCO World Heritage Convention <i>Margaret Gowen, Fergus Maclaren, Celia Martínez, Cecilie Smith-Christensen</i>	60
Oltre le barriere del tempo e dell'autorialità? Osservazioni sul concetto di patrimonio UNESCO <i>Michela Marisa Grisoni</i>	66
Le prochain patrimoine. L'architecture tropicale à Kinshasa <i>Manlio Michieletto, Alexis Tshiunza</i>	72
“Quality” of interventions on built Cultural Heritage <i>Stefano Francesco Musso</i>	78
Patrimonio rurale: percorsi concettuali nelle Carte e nelle Convenzioni (1972-2022) <i>Iole Nocerino</i>	84
50 Years After the World Heritage Convention. An analysis of the evolution of the concepts of Monuments and Authenticity <i>Alessandra Pica</i>	90
Il mausoleo di Oljeitu a Soltaniyeh in Iran. Bilanci e prospettive per un sito UNESCO <i>Francesco Pisani</i>	94

The Porticoes of Bologna and contemporary architecture. A proposal for a Minor Boundary Modification towards Kenzo Tange <i>Marco Pretelli, Ines Tolic</i>	100
The Shift in Paradigm of the (Post)Mining Landscapes, Between Risks and Recognitions <i>Oana Cristina Tiganea, Francesca Vigotti</i>	106
Tutela, salvaguardia e protezione del Patrimonio Mondiale Protection and safeguarding of World Heritage Préservation, sauvegarde et protection du Patrimoine mondial	110
20th-Century architectural heritage adaptation to present climate challenges: Interdisciplinary methods for a rational intervention <i>Myriame Ali-oualla, Caroline Mazel</i>	112
Conservazione e nuove frontiere tecniche pluridisciplinari <i>Claudia Aveta</i>	118
Learning from the past: old and new ksour in the M'Zab Valley (Algeria) <i>Cheima Azil, Margherita Vicario</i>	124
Urbino città Patrimonio UNESCO: un piano per la conservazione, valorizzazione e gestione del patrimonio storico – artistico dell'Ateneo <i>Laura Baratin, Alessandra Cattaneo, Francesca Gasparetto, Veronica Tronconi</i>	130
"L'arte dei muri a secco", confronti tra esperienze per la conservazione del patrimonio culturale dei paesaggi rurali <i>Zaira Barone, Francesco Marchese</i>	136
New Technologies for the Preservation, Conservation and Enhancement of Verona's UNESCO Heritage: The Walls <i>Pietro Becherini</i>	142
I <i>ciabòt</i> del sito UNESCO Langhe-Roero e Monferrato: problematiche di conservazione delle architetture rurali fragili quali componenti storico-culturali dei paesaggi vitivinicoli <i>Giulia Beltramo</i>	148
Rispetta la montagna – Risparmia l'acqua e riduci i rifiuti quando visiti le Dolomiti WHS <i>Elisabeth Berger, Virna Bussadori, Marcella Morandini</i>	154
The safeguarding and enhancement of the Historic and Traditional businesses of the Historical Centre of Florence <i>Stefano Bertocci, Federico Cioli</i>	160
Guerra tra giganti. Il riconoscimento del patrimonio archeologico sardo tra conservazione e accessibilità <i>Bruno Billeci</i>	166
The relation between use and safeguarding: the case study of the Portico of Glory <i>Anna Bonora</i>	172
Il valore della sostenibilità per la conservazione del patrimonio. Riflessioni verso una definizione dei prodotti sostenibili del restauro <i>Paola Bordoni</i>	178
Caratteri architettonici e problematiche conservative dei fronti edilizi ottocenteschi del centro storico fiorentino <i>Maddalena Branchi</i>	184
Florence Heritage data System. Un modello di controllo e valutazione per i siti Patrimonio Mondiale <i>Carolina Capitanio, Daniela Chiesi, Martina Franco</i>	190
L'UNESCO e la 'democratizzazione della cultura'. Siti archeologici nel centro antico di Napoli tra accessibilità e fruizione inclusiva <i>Luigi Cappelli, Luigi Veronese</i>	196
Un patrimonio celato fra le architetture moderne di Asmara: avanguardie e sperimentazioni costruttive italiane in terra d'Oltremare <i>Giovanni Carbonara, Pier Pasquale Trausi</i>	202

Il patrimonio della Tirana Moderna: il rapporto tra monumento e città <i>Corrado Castagnaro</i>	208
Marketplace per elementi lapidei di pregio: un'innovativa opportunità per la salvaguardia del patrimonio UNESCO <i>Alessandra Cernaro, Ornella Fiandaca</i>	214
Civilization Lost and Re-discovered, International Efforts for Advocacy and Conservation of Ani Archaeological Site <i>Hunghsi Chao, Jonathan S. Bell</i>	220
Le rôle paradoxal de l'eau à Lalibela (Ethiopie) : Enjeux et méthodes pour la conservation d'un affleurement naturel anthropisé <i>Rémy Chapoullie, Romain Mensan, Loïc Espinasse, Pascal Mora, François Daniel, Emma Lamothe-Dubrocca, Bruno Dutailly, Caroline Delevoie, Vincent Baillet, Kidane Ayalew, Marie-Laure Derat</i>	226
Integration and BIM digitization of interdisciplinary research and diagnostic campaigns for knowledge and conservation: Palazzo Vecchio in Florence <i>Anna Livia Ciuffreda, Massimo Coli, Marco Tanganelli, Giorgio Verdiani</i>	232
Monitorare per conservare: il caso della Torre Ghirlandina di Modena <i>Eva Coïsson, Lia Ferrari, Elena Zanazzi</i>	238
Distruzione, protezione e restauro in Italia a seguito di eventi bellici <i>Daniela Concas, Roberto Nadalin</i>	244
Il patrimonio residenziale Moderno e il problema della certificazione: interventi sostenibili per la conservazione <i>Giuseppina Currò, Fabio Minutoli</i>	250
Firenze Novecento fra conoscenza, tutela e pianificazione <i>Maurizio De Vita</i>	256
Fabbriche di mattoni - fabbriche di cultura. La riconversione di forni Hoffmann sul litorale abruzzese e laziale <i>Daniela Di Donato, Matteo Abita, Alessandra Bellicoso</i>	262
Tecnologie digitali e consapevolezza culturale <i>Marco Di Paolo, Stefania Raschi</i>	268
Il Progetto 4CH per un Centro di Competenza per la Conservazione del Patrimonio Culturale: nuove tecnologie a supporto della tutela <i>Giulia Favaretto, Danila Longo, Serena Orlandi, Rossella Roversi, Beatrice Turillazzi</i>	274
Il sistema informativo territoriale della "Carta del rischio del patrimonio culturale italiano" e i siti UNESCO italiani: un processo virtuoso per il monitoraggio della vulnerabilità dei beni patrimonio mondiale <i>Angela Maria Ferroni, Carlo Cacace</i>	282
Il complesso delle Ville di Oplontis. Strategie per il restauro e il miglioramento della fruizione del sito UNESCO <i>Ersilia Fiore</i>	292
Ricerca e conservazione sul patrimonio costruito: Casina Spinelli ad Acerra <i>Raffaella Fiorillo</i>	298
Frank Lloyd Wright's World Heritage throughout Time. The complex compromise between use and conservation in the American approach to architectural restoration <i>Davide Galleri</i>	304
Quale 'restauro' per i siti patrimonio dell'Umanità. Riflessioni a partire da alcuni casi studio nei Paesi emergenti <i>Mariacristina Giambruno, Sonia Pistidda</i>	310
Amatrice avant Amatrice : Une méthode de travail pour l'étude, la documentation et la conservation d'un village perdu <i>Simone Lucchetti</i>	316
Fonti e strumenti digitali per la conoscenza e la tutela del patrimonio costruito di Roma <i>Nicoletta Marconi, Ilaria Giannetti, Valentina Florio</i>	322

Il complesso termale Tettuccio a Montecatini Terme. Un patrimonio da svelare <i>Pietro Matracchi, Alessio Prandin</i>	328
Nuove tecnologie e sostenibilità: dal rilievo alla comunicazione per il Museo di Casa Romei di Ferrara, città Patrimonio UNESCO <i>Gianmarco Mei, Cristian Boscaro, Stefano Costantini, Manuela Incerti</i>	334
Nuove strategie per il piano di gestione del verde di Parchi e Giardini storici alla luce delle mutate condizioni ambientali e di fruizione <i>Alberto Minelli, Paola Viola</i>	340
Strumenti BIM e GIS per la gestione della manutenzione e salvaguardia della Fortezza veneziana di Bergamo <i>Virna Maria Nannei, Vittorio Paris, Giuseppe Ruscica, Giulio Mirabella Roberti</i>	346
Spazi in attesa nelle Residenze Sabaude, Patrimonio Mondiale dal 1997. Previsioni di restauro e messa a sistema nell'obiettivo della sostenibilità culturale <i>Monica Naretto</i>	352
La conoscenza per il recupero dei 'valori' del passato: il caso della chiesa della Madonna delle Vergini a Matera <i>Antonello Pagliuca, Giuseppe D'Angiulli</i>	358
Nuove tecnologie per conoscere e valorizzare il Patrimonio: "itinerari culturali" nella città di Ascoli Piceno <i>Enrica Petrucci, Sara Cipolletti</i>	364
Ripensare i confini. La città antica di Pompei e i siti minori del Parco archeologico nella buffer zone vesuviana <i>Renata Picone</i>	370
The archaeology of architecture for the knowledge and preservation of the 'modern' <i>Daniela Pittaluga</i>	378
L'Imperiale Palazzo de' Pitti. Conservazione e valorizzazione <i>Elena Pozzi</i>	384
Strategie per la conoscenza e il restauro del Paesaggio Culturale. Il caso dell'antico vigneto e del Palazzo Mansi ex Palazzo Vescovile di Scala (Sa) <i>Giulia Proto</i>	390
Strategie per la conservazione integrata di un patrimonio a rischio, tra fruizione e tutela: il sito UNESCO della Costiera Amalfitana <i>Giuseppina Pugliano</i>	396
Il patrimonio scomparso di Guarino Guarini per l'Ordine dei Chierici Regolari Teatini: catalogazione con l'impiego di nuove tecnologie <i>Rossana Ravesi</i>	402
Protezione del colore nel Patrimonio Mondiale. La pelle del Cenador del León nel Real Alcázar di Siviglia <i>María Dolores Robador González</i>	408
Innovative techniques integrating advanced and bio-composite materials for energy and seismic retrofitting of built heritage <i>Rosa Romano, Alessandra Donato, Valerio Alecci, Paola Gallo</i>	414
Di pietre e d'acqua. La conservazione del patrimonio proto-industriale nel paesaggio culturale della Costiera Amalfitana <i>Valentina Russo, Stefania Pollone</i>	420
La Convenzione UNESCO del 1972 nel XXI secolo e la trasformazione Digitale Tecnologica Antropologica, una riflessione <i>Paolo Salonia</i>	426
La verifica di un de restauro come criterio per una riflessione necessaria. La Villa Romana del Casale di Piazza Armerina (Enna), dal 1997 nella World Heritage List <i>Rosario Scaduto</i>	432
E l'acqua si fa luce: la rigenerazione di un patrimonio cambiato di segno <i>Chiara Simoncini</i>	440

ICOMOS Charters on cultural tourism throughout the 50 years of the UNESCO World Heritage Convention

Margaret Gowen | mmgowen@live.com

Archaeological and Heritage Consultant. Expert member ICOMOS ICAHM and member ICOMOS ICTC

Fergus Maclaren | ftmaclaren@gmail.com

President of the ICOMOS ICTC

Celia Martínez (corresponding author) | celiamarya@ugr.es

Tenured Professor, Art History Department of the University of Granada. Vice President ICOMOS ICTC

Cecilie Smith-Christensen | csc@whcatalysis.org

Founder of World Heritage Catalysis, Expert member of ICOMOS ICTC, and Expert Advisor to the UNESCO World Heritage Sustainable Tourism Programme

Abstract

This paper outlines the contribution of the ICOMOS International Scientific Committee on Cultural Tourism (ICTC) to tourism management throughout the history of the UNESCO Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Natural and Cultural Heritage (1972) and traces the evolution of international standard-setting texts on tourism brought forward by ICOMOS. It presents the draft International Charter for Cultural Heritage Tourism - Reinforcing cultural heritage protection and community resilience through responsible and sustainable tourism management (2021). Its new principles are formulated to address challenges of our time, instigate change, improve practice, guide future cultural tourism development and support adaptive and responsive visitor management supporting a more resilient future.

Keywords

Cultural Heritage Tourism, ICOMOS, UNESCO, Charter.

1. Tourism in the evolution of the 1972 Convention and ICOMOS Charters

The post- World War 2 period saw the establishment of organisations supporting recovery and peaceful coexistence through education, culture, and heritage. Among these organisations were the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) with its cultural conventions and Advisory Bodies. Its Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Natural and Cultural Heritage (1972) is regarded as its flagship Convention. Sites inscribed on the World Heritage List, recognised for their Outstanding Universal Value (OUV), soon became the focus of tourist interest. With improved standards of life, technological advancements and more accessible long haul travel, tourism developed into a globalised industry with cultural heritage sites as valuable attractions.

The first 12 sites were inscribed onto the World Heritage List in 1978. The extent to which the World Heritage Committee was concerned with the potential impacts of visitors at the time is unknown due to the lack of systematic research on this topic. The Convention was primarily a mechanism for the identification, protection and conservation of exceptional heritage and the first versions of its Operational Guidelines did not mention

tourism or visitors. ICOMOS however, was already concerned with the growth in visitor numbers and the potential negative impacts on cultural sites and in response it published its first Cultural Tourism Charter in 1976. The Charter was a result of the first known meeting to gather representatives from the tourism industry and main international organisations dealing with heritage protection¹. Signed by a large number of institutions, it can be considered the founding document of the international guidance and recommendations on tourism and cultural heritage.

The Charter advocated an approach to tourism focusing on its potential to support the protection and dissemination of heritage through education, awareness raising and media. Bearing in mind that neither UNESCO nor the World Tourism Organisation (WTO) had issued any policies on these subjects at the time, the 1978 Charter became one of the main references for subsequent recommendations and standard-setting documents in this field.

The interest in and concern about the impacts and the management of tourism has evolved since that time. The seminal report 'Our Common Future' (1987) introducing the concept of 'sustainable development'² was soon followed up with definitions of 'sustainable tourism'. In 1993 a UNESCO UNEP study showed that most managers of natural World Heritage sites regarded tourism as a key issue concerning the protection of heritage and sustainability³. *Cultural Tourism: Tourism at World Heritage Sites: the Site Manager's Handbook* by ICOMOS ICTC was published in the same year⁴.

In 1999 ICOMOS adopted the *International Cultural Tourism Charter - Managing Tourism at Places of Heritage Significance*. It became an essential document for highlighting the potential of tourism as a form of intercultural collaboration and exchange. It demanded tourism collaboration in the protection of heritage, underlining the dynamic dimension of the relationship between tourism and heritage, and raised awareness on the effects of globalisation. The Charter defended cultural diversity and local identity ahead of the adoption of the 2005 *Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions*. It was formative due to: i) its vision of the diverse dimensions of "sustainability", ii) its focus on communities, and iii) its pioneering attention to visitor experience as an important awareness-raising aspect within the context of cultural heritage sustainability, carrying capacity and limits of acceptable change. The Charter set a precedent by interlinking these aspects, which remain fundamental in today's standard setting texts.

The Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention did not address visitor management before 1999. In order to preserve the integrity of cultural sites, particularly those open to large numbers of visitors, nominations were encouraged to include in their management section "Agreed plans related to property (e.g., regional, local plan, conservation plan, tourism development plan)" and to identify "visitor/tourism pressures" among the factors affecting the sites⁵. However, no specific guidance was provided until 2005 and particularly 2011, when the Guidelines were updated to include management measures, plans and indicators on tourism.

In 2001 the World Heritage Committee in Helsinki (25COM) recognised growing threats on World Heritage sites from tourism which, if sustainably managed, could offer socio-economic development opportunities. At the

same time, it adopted the World Heritage Tourism Programme to support training at specific World Heritage sites. Standing out among its contributions was the first volume of the World Heritage Papers series in 2002: *Managing Tourism at World Heritage Sites: a Practical Manual for World Heritage Site Managers*, informed by and including the ICOMOS 1999 Charter in its Annex 2⁶.

The years that followed saw some changes that would strongly influence implementation of the Convention including efforts related to tourism. In 2007, the importance of enhancing the role of communities in implementation of the Convention was recognised by adding a '5th C' (communities) to its strategic objectives (WHC-07/31.COM/13B). The same year, the 16th General Assembly of States Parties to the World Heritage Convention first addressed climate change through the *Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties* (WHC-07/16.GA/10)⁷.

In 2010 in Brasilia, the World Heritage Committee adopted the policy guidelines *Defining the relationship between World Heritage and sustainable tourism* (34COM 5F.2.4)⁸. This was the first to address the responsibilities of the different actors in World Heritage and tourism including the Committee, the Advisory Bodies, the WHC, States Parties, property managers and the tourism sector.

The same year an evaluation of the 2001 Programme⁹ led to its conclusion by the Committee asking the World Heritage Centre to convene a new and inclusive programme (34COM 5F.2). The current World Heritage and Sustainable Tourism Programme was adopted by the Committee in 2012 at its meeting in Saint Petersburg (36COM 5E). The programme focuses on the shared responsibility for conservation of our common cultural and natural heritage for sustainable development through appropriate tourism management. It advocates enhancing awareness and participation of all stakeholders to protect the properties and their OUV. The Programme supports capacity building, offers policy guidance and strategic support, and through its Sustainable Tourism Toolkit provides advice and resources including 'How To' guides and the Visitor Management Assessment & Strategy Tools (VMAST) as practical resources supporting site management authorities¹⁰.

But guidance on tourism still remains insufficiently integrated into formal mechanisms within the Convention. The current Operational Guidelines (2021) and required nomination format (Op.G. Annex 5)¹¹ do address the need to limit the negative impacts of tourism: Section 4b seeks identification of factors that may negatively impact sites, including tourism development; section 4.b (iii) focuses on visitor numbers, carrying capacity and how management will deal with and prevent adverse effects and pressures; and section 5.h focuses on visitor facilities and infrastructure, interpretation and presentation. The Operational Guidelines also requests State Parties to develop Heritage Impact Assessments (according to the Guidance developed by the Advisory Bodies)¹². While more detailed than in the past, there is still no formal requirement for tourism management plans in nominations or after inscription. While focus on tourism has improved, including a dedicated chapter in Periodic Reporting¹³ requesting information on tourism activities and visitor management, the formal mechanisms of the Convention remain of limited use in proactive and adaptive tourism and visitor management.

2. The International Charter for Cultural Heritage Tourism 2021: An ICOMOS Charter for the future

As an Advisory Body to the World Heritage Committee, ICOMOS provides advice and makes recommendations

on all matters related to cultural and mixed heritage, including the evaluation and monitoring of the state of conservation of inscribed properties. In this role, ICOMOS expert members, guided by the ICTC Charters, deal first-hand with the effects of tourism on the preservation of the sites and their communities, and offer recommendations to the States Parties and the World Heritage Committee. The Committee is expected to make decisions based on these recommendations.

The 1976 and 1999 Charters remain critically relevant. However, the growth in tourism has negatively impacted heritage values and challenges implementation of the Convention. The economic growth approach has led to unsustainable commercial use of heritage and significant acquisition by international corporations to the detriment of local people. It has also led to tourism-dependent communities and reduced resilience through less diverse local economies. The climate crisis is affecting fragile tourism destinations and the entire planet.

Honouring its responsibility as an Advisory Body and aiming to address new and emerging challenges, ICOMOS and the ICTC decided to update the 1999 Charter. The process took place during a period of profound disruption caused by Covid-19 exacerbated by the Climate Emergency resulting in a new document: the draft *ICOMOS International Charter for Cultural Heritage Tourism 2021: reinforcing cultural heritage protection and community resilience through responsible and sustainable tourism management*¹⁴. The ICTC task-force has focused on how the new Charter should contribute beyond existing standard-setting texts, seeking for an alternative to the extractive economic growth paradigm.

The objectives and principles of the Charter are included in table 1:

<p>Objective 1 - To place the protection of cultural heritage and community rights at the heart of cultural heritage tourism policy and projects, by providing principles that will inform responsible tourism planning and management for cultural heritage protection, community resilience and adaptation;</p> <p>Objective 2 - To promote stakeholder collaboration and participatory governance in the stewardship of cultural heritage and management of tourism, applying a people-centered and rights-based approach, emphasizing access, education and enjoyment;</p> <p>Objective 3 - To guide cultural heritage and tourism management in supporting the UN Sustainable Development Goals and Climate Action policy.</p> <hr/> <p>Principle 1: Place cultural heritage protection and conservation at the centre of responsible cultural tourism planning and management;</p> <p>Principle 2: Manage tourism at cultural heritage places through management plans informed by monitoring, carrying capacity and other planning instruments;</p> <p>Principle 3: Enhance public awareness and visitor experience through sensitive interpretation and presentation of cultural heritage;</p> <p>Principle 4: Recognize and reinforce the rights of communities, Indigenous Peoples and traditional owners by including access and engagement in participatory governance of the cultural and natural heritage commons used in tourism;</p> <p>Principle 5: Raise awareness and reinforce cooperation for cultural heritage conservation among all stakeholders involved in tourism;</p> <p>Principle 6: Increase the resilience of communities and cultural heritage through capacity development, risk assessment, strategic planning and adaptive management;</p> <p>Principle 7: Integrate climate action and sustainability measures in the management of cultural tourism and cultural heritage.</p>

Formulated in the context of the 2030 Agenda, the climate emergency¹⁵, overtourism and disruptions experienced during the Covid-19 pandemic, the Charter introduces a number of concepts not previously mentioned in ICOMOS Charters. Most importantly, it stands up to the exploitation of heritage allowed by the notion of heritage as an economic resource either as a ‘property’ of a few or a “free for all” public good. Instead, it seeks to contribute transformative change by considering heritage as ‘commons’ to be stewarded and governed¹⁶ for the shared benefit and enjoyment of the whole community. The Charter promotes a rights based approach through the principle of participative governance, and states that the sustainability and resilience of communities and heritage conservation is intrinsically dependent on the carrying capacity of heritage sites and destinations.

As the tourism industry is recovering after global lock downs and travel restrictions, the ICTC shares a concern about the rapid return to ‘business as usual’. For this reason the Charter goes beyond sustaining tourism to advocate responsible planning, management and practice in the face of climate change and future warned and yet unforeseen disruptions. It addresses all stakeholders stressing that “The responsible management of tourism is a shared responsibility of governments, tour operators, tourism businesses, destination managers and marketing organisations, site management authorities, land-use planners, heritage and tourism professionals, civil society and visitors” (Preamble). The Charter is the first ICOMOS standard-setting text that addresses these interrelated issues. By exposing and articulating blindspots in current approaches, it seeks to empower practitioners and improve practice through the application of its principles.

3. Conclusions

Tourism development and visitor management will remain one of the main challenges for implementation of the Convention in the next 50 years. From its inception and the inscription of the first sites on the World Heritage List, site management authorities and communities have struggled to manage the dynamics of tourism. Many World Heritage nominations are made with the intention of using tourism as an economic lever for local community development and to support the rationale for funding for conservation of cultural heritage. The mechanisms of the Convention, including the Operational Guidelines, Periodic Reporting and Reactive Monitoring, have increasingly dealt with tourism and visitor management especially in the effort to balance heritage protection and development.

As an Advisory Body, ICOMOS aspires to lead improvements in professional practice and site management through its standard setting instruments. While the values underpinning the 1976 and 1999 Charters remain, the ICOMOS Cultural Heritage Tourism Charter 2021 aims to *reinforce cultural heritage protection and enhance community resilience through responsible and sustainable tourism management*. In doing so it stands up to the extractive economic growth paradigm used in tourism by advocating the consideration of cultural heritage as ‘commons’ belonging to all, to be stewarded and governed through broad-based participation and for the shared benefit of current and future generations. As such, the Charter argues for a change in approach that will have a bearing on practice orientation and future policy formulation. The Charter is a contribution by ICOMOS to be considered alongside the relevant recommendations and resources provided by UNESCO, IUCN and ICCROM as well as other relevant organisations.

The way in which cultural tourism is addressed as a driver of sustainable development will be crucial for the Convention's future relevance. Looking forward, the ICOMOS ICTC has recognised that the way in which tourism at World Heritage sites has been conducted over the past 50 years needs substantial reconsideration. The 2021 ICOMOS Charter offers and advocates an updated approach that will require individual as well as organisational courage, leadership and collaboration.

¹ The Charter was the result of the International Seminar on Contemporary Tourism and Humanism, Brussels 8-9 November 1976. It was signed by ICOMOS itself, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), the International Union of Architects (UIA), Europa Nostra, the European Travel Commission (ETC), the World Tourism Organisation (WTO) and many others. <https://www.icomosictc.org/p/1976-icomos-cultural-tourism-charter.html>

² Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: Our Common Future (1987)
<https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-future.pdf>

³ UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME. *Managing tourism in natural World Heritage Sites*: report of the international workshop held in Dakar, Senegal, 22-26 November 1993, Paris, UNEP Industry and Environment Office, UNESCO World Heritage Centre, 1994.

⁴ Produced within the framework of the ICOMOS 10th General Assembly and International Symposium in Sri Lanka, 1993. https://www.icomos.org/fr?option=com_content&view=article&id=296:isc-cultural-tourism&catid=116:publications

⁵ Op.G.1999, 24(b) (ii), 6-7; 17

⁶ ARTHUR PEDERSEN, *Managing Tourism at World Heritage Sites: a Practical Manual for World Heritage Site Managers*, World Heritage Papers No.1, Paris, UNESCO, 2002.

⁷ UNESCO WORLD HERITAGE CENTRE, *Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties*, Paris, UNESCO, 2008

⁸ <https://whc.unesco.org/en/tourism/4> COM 5F.2

⁹ WHC-10/34.COM/INF.5F.3

¹⁰ <https://whc.unesco.org/en/tourism/>

¹¹ <https://whc.unesco.org/en/guidelines/>

¹² UNESCO, ICCROM, ICOMOS and IUCN, *Guidance and Toolkit for Impact Assessments in a World Heritage Context*, Paris, UNESCO, 2022. <https://whc.unesco.org/en/resourcemanuals/>

¹³ <https://whc.unesco.org/en/periodicreporting/>

¹⁴ <https://www.icomosictc.org/>

¹⁵ ICOMOS declared a Climate Emergency in 2020: <https://www.icomos.org/en/focus/climate-change/85740-icomos-declares-a-climate-emergency>

¹⁶ Building on the work of Elinor Ostrom on Governing the Commons for which she was awarded the Nobel Memorial Award on Economic Sciences 2009 and later contributions.