ANTIMICROBIAL PROPERTIES OF OLIVE OIL PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS AND THEIR REGENERATIVE CAPACITY TOWARDS FIBROBLAST CELLS

Melguizo-Rodríguez L., Illescas-Montes R. Costela-Ruiz VJ., Ramos-TorrecillaS J.,

De-Luna Bertos E., García-Martínez O, Ruiz C

1. ABSTRACT

Some micronutrients of vegetable origin are considered potentially useful as woundhealing agents because they can increase fibroblast proliferation and differentiation.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the regenerative effects of selected olive oil phenolic compounds on cultured human fibroblasts and explore their antimicrobial properties.

Material and methods: The CCD-1064Sk fibroblast line was treated for 24 h with 10⁻⁶M luteolin, apigenin, ferulic, coumaric acid or caffeic acid, evaluating the effects on cell proliferation by using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) spectrophotometric assay; the migratory capacity by the scratch assay and determining the expression of Fibroblast Growth Factor (FGF), Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF), Transforming Growth Factor- β 1 (TGF β 1), Platelet Derived Growth Factor (PDGF), and Collagen Type I (COL-I) genes by real-time polymerase chain reaction. The antimicrobial capacity of the polyphenols was evaluated by the disc diffusion method.

Results: All compounds except for ferulic acid significantly stimulated the proliferative capacity of fibroblasts, increasing their migration and their expression of the aforementioned genes. With respect to their antimicrobial properties, treatment with the studied compounds inhibited the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Escherichia coli, Proteus spp.*, and *Candida Albicans*.

Conclusions: The phenolic compounds in olive oil have a biostimulatory effect on the regeneration capacity, differentiation, and migration of fibroblasts and exert major antibacterial activity. According to the present findings, these compounds may have a strong therapeutic effect on wound recovery.

KEY WORDS: olive oil phenolic compounds, fibroblasts, proliferation, antimicrobial and migratory capacity,

2. INTRODUCTION

Skin is the largest organ of the body (surface area of 1.5-2 m) and comprises three main layers: the epidermis, dermis, and hypodermis [1]. Damage to the skin activates mechanisms to close the wound and recover its functional status. Wound healing is a complex process characterized by inflammatory, proliferative, and remodeling phases [2]. Hemostasia at the start of the inflammatory phase involves fibrin clot formation and coagulation, for which platelets are largely responsible [3]. Platelets also release growth factors, including transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), and epidermal growth factor (EGF), which are essential for the regeneration of damaged skin [2,4]. Leukocytes (neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages) then migrate to the tissue, attracted by histamines, growth factors, and proinflammatory cytokines, among other molecules [5,6]. These leukocytes release chemotactic factors that promote the arrival of various cell populations to the damaged area [7,8]. These include dermal fibroblasts, which are derived from dermal progenitors [9] and are largely responsible for producing the elements that compose the extracellular matrix (e.g., collagen and fibronectin), contributing to the formation of granulation tissue [10]. These fibroblasts can sometimes differentiate towards myofibroblasts, whose main functions are related to wound contraction [11]. The proliferative phase is completed by angiogenesis and re-epithelialization processes [12,13]. During the remodeling phase, the matrix stabilizes and its constitutive elements are reorganized, with the degradation of fibroblast-secreted type III collagen and the increased production of type I collagen [14].

Wound healing can be affected by local factors such as oxygenation [15,16] or infections [17,18] and by systemic factors such as age [19,20], stress [21,22], diabetes [23,24], obesity [25,26], drug consumption [27–29], or nutrition [30–32]. Thus, various micronutrients have been found to influence wound healing, including vitamins A [33],

C [34], and E [35], whose antioxidant capacity has been associated with greater fibroblast proliferation and differentiation and increased collagen and hyaluronic acid production, and whose deficiency has been associated with reduced angiogenic activity and greater capillary fragility [36,37]. The phenolic compounds of olive oil have also demonstrated antioxidant capacity through their action as chain breakers, donating hydrogen radicals to alkylperoxyl radicals [38,39] produced by lipid oxygenation and giving rise to the formation of stable derivatives during the reaction. These properties have attracted the attention of the food and drug industry to the phenolic compounds in olive oil as possible nutraceuticals, with the potential to protect against chronic, degenerative, and oxidative stress-related diseases [40–42].

However, despite the myriad of potential health benefits of olive oil, there is a gap of data published on the possible effects of olive oil phenolic fraction on fibroblasts, especially over the last two year. Despite of *in vitro* studies limitations our results provide new knowledge about molecular mechanism of action of olive oil phenolic compounds on fibroblasts, which suggest that these compounds could be useful to enhance wound healing. Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the regenerative effects of selected olive oil phenolic compounds on cultured human fibroblasts and explore their antimicrobial properties.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 CHEMICAL PRODUCTS AND REAGENTS

Standards of apigenin, luteolin, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid and ferulic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Stock solutions of phenolic compounds were prepared in ethanol and stored at -20 °C. All solvents were of analytical or HPLC grade (Sigma-Aldrich) and water was of Milli-Q quality (Millipore Corp, Bedford, MA, USA).

3.2 CELL CULTURE

The CCD-1064Sk typified human fibroblast cell line was purchased from American Type Cultures Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). It was kept in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Invitrogen Gibco Cell Culture Products, Carlsbad, CA) with 100 IU/mL penicillin (Lab Roger SA, Barcelona, Spain), 50 µg/mL gentamicin (Braum Medical SA, Jaen, Spain), 2.5 µg/mL amphotericin B (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), 1% glutamine (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), 2% HEPES (Sigma), and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Paisley, UK). Cultures were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. Cells were detached from the culture flask with a solution of 0.05% Trypsin (Sigma) and 0.02% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Sigma) and then washed and suspended in complete culture medium with 10% FBS.

3.3 CELL PROLIFERATION ASSAY

The MTT colorimetric method was used to determine proliferation (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie), measuring the chemical reduction of MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) into formazan, which is directly proportional to the number of viable cells in the culture. Fibroblasts were seeded at 1 x 10^4 cells/mL per well in a 96-well plate (Falcom, Becton Dickinson Labware, New Jersey) on estrogen-free culture medium without FBS and were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂ for 24 h. The medium was then replaced with DMEM containing phenolic compounds at 10^{-6} M. All experiments included cells incubated under the same

conditions without treatment compounds, as internal controls. Three separate experiments were performed for each treatment, and every experiment was performed at least in triplicate. On completion of the treatment, the medium was replaced with DMEM without phenol-red, containing 0.5 mg/mL MTT (Sigma), and was incubated for 4 h. Cellular reduction of the tetrazolium ring of MTT resulted in the formation of a dark-purple water-insoluble deposit of formazan crystals. After incubation, the medium was aspirated and DMSO was added to dissolve the crystals. Absorbance was measured at 570 nm with a spectrophotometer (Sunrise TM, Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). The percentage cell proliferation was calculated with respect to cell cultures treated with ethanol alone (controls) [43].

3.4 STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS ON THE GENE EXPRESSION OF FIBROBLASTS

Real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was used to determine the effect of the phenolic compounds under study on the gene expression of fibroblasts.

3.4.1 RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis (Reverse Transcription)

Cultured human fibroblasts were treated for 24 h with apigenin, luteolin, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid or ferulic acid at 10^{-6} M. mRNA was extracted from the cells by a silicate gel technique using the Qiagen RNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen Inc., Hilden, Germany), which includes a DNAse digestion step. The amount of extracted mRNA was measured by UV spectrophotometry at 260 nm (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany), and contamination with proteins was determined according to the 260/280 ratio. An equal amount of RNA (1 µg total RNA in 40 µL total volume) was reverse-transcribed to cDNA

and amplified by PCR using the iScript[™] cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions [44].

3.4.2 Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)

The mRNA of FGF, VEGF, TGF β 1, PDGF, and COL-I was detected with primers designed using the NCBI-nucleotide library and Primer3-design (Table 1). All primers were matched to the mRNA sequences of the target genes (NCBI Blast software). The final results were normalized using ubiquitin C (UBC), peptidylprolyl isomerase A (PPIA), and ribosomal protein S13 (RPS13) as stable housekeeping genes [45].

Gene	Sense Primer	Antisense Primer	Amplicon (bp)
FGF	5'-CCCATATTCCCTGCACTTTG-3'	5'-ACCTTGACCTCTCAGCCTCA-3'	195
VEGF	5'-CCTTGCTGCTCTACCTCCAC-3'	5'-CACACAGGATGGCTTGAAGA-3'	197
TGF-β1	5'-TGAACCGGCCTTTCCTGCTTCTCATG-3'	5'-GCGGAAGTCAATGTACAGCTGCCGC-3'	152
COL-I	5'-CTGGCAAAGAAGGCGGCAAA-3'	5'-CTCACCACGATCACCACTCT-3'	503
PDGF	5'-CGGAGTCGGCATGAATCGCT-3'	5'-CTCCTTCAGTGCCGTCTTGT-3'	720

Table 1. Primer sequences for the amplification of cDNA by real-time PCR

3.5 STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS ON THE MIGRATION OF CULTURED HUMAN FIBROBLASTS

The effect of phenolic compounds on fibroblast migration was analyzed by using the scratch assay in accordance with Moghadam et al (2019). Culture inserts were used, consisting of two wells separated by a wall, and 70 μ L of cell suspension (10×10⁴ cells/mL) was cultured in each well. After 24 h of attachment and full confluency, the culture inserts were removed to form a cell-free gap. Cells were washed with PBS to

remove cell debris and then supplemented with phenolic compounds at 10⁻⁶ M and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Images were taken at 0, 4, 8 12, and 24 h post-treatment with a phase contrast inverted microscope. Motic Images Plus software (Motic, Hong Kong) was used to analyze the cell migration. The percentage wound closure was calculated by measuring the gap according to the formula 1, where Wn is the width of the gap after a given time interval and W0 is the initial width immediately after forming the scratch.

Formula 1. % wound closure = $(W0-Wn)/W0 \times 100$

3.6 STUDY OF ANTIMICROBIAL CAPACITY

The antimicrobial capacity of phenolic compounds was evaluated by using the disc diffusion method. Strains of *Escherichia coli, Candida albicans, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Enterococcus feacalis, Pseudomona aeuroginosa,* and *Proteus spp.* were cultured in Müeller Hinton Agar medium overnight at 37 °C. A suspension in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, Becton Dickinson) was prepared from these cultures at a concentration of 1×10^8 according to McFarland standards 0.5 (DO600 0.1). The suspension was subsequently spread using a sterile cotton swab on a plate with Müeller Hinton Agar medium and later dried under a laminar flow hood for 30 min. Sterile cellulose discs with a diameter of 5 mm, impregnated with 10 µL of 10^{-6} M apigenin, luteolin, ferulic acid, caffeic acid, or coumaric acid, were then placed on the agar surface, and the plates were incubated in a stove at 37 °C for 24 h. After this time, the growth inhibition halo was measured with a ruler, using as control a disc impregnated with the maximum ethanol concentration utilized to dissolve polyphenols [46]. All assays were performed at least in triplicate.

3.7 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Mean values±standard deviations were calculated for all variables. Multiple t-tests were performed using Graph-Pad Prism 7.03 (La Jolla, CA, USA) to evaluate betweengroup differences, considering p<0.05 as significant. At least three experiments were performed in all assays and for each culture.

4. RESULTS

4.1 EFFECT OF OLIVE OIL PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS ON FIBROBLAST PROLIFERATION

Figure 1 depicts the proliferative effect on CCD-1064SK human epithelial fibroblast cell line at 24 h after treatment with the studied phenolic compounds, which significantly increased the proliferative capacity of fibroblasts (p<0.0001) *versus* controls by 38-81.2%, depending on the compound in question. Coumaric acid achieved the highest increase (81.2% vs. controls), followed by caffeic acid (77.1%), ferulic acid (65.0%), apigenin (55.8%), and luteolin (38.0%).



Figure 1. Effect of apigenin, luteolin, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid and caffeic acid at a dose of 10-6 M on fibroblast proliferation after 24 h of incubation. Data are expressed as means + SD. * $p \le 0.05$

4.2 EFFECT OF PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS ON THE GENE EXPRESSION OF FIBROBLASTS

Figure 2 depicts the results of quantitative RT-PCR analysis of cells treated with 10⁻⁶ M luteolin, ferulic, coumaric, or caffeic acid for 24 h, showing a significantly increased expression of COL-I, PDGF, FGF, VEGF, and TGFβ1 (Fig. 2). Treatment with apigenin significantly increased the expression of COL-I, PDFG, and TGFβ1 *versus* controls but produced no change in the expression of FGF or VEGF.



Figure 2. Expression of fibroblast genes (FGF, TGF β 1, VEGF, COL-I and PDGF) treated with apigenin, luteolin, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid and caffeic acid at a dose of 10⁻⁶ M. Data are expressed as ng of mRNA per average ng of housekeeping mRNAs ± SD. *p \leq 0.05

4.3 EFFECT OF PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS ON CELL MIGRATION

Figure 3 (A and B) depicts the scratch assay results on the effect of the phenolic compounds on fibroblast migration towards a cell-free space. Cells treated with 10⁻⁶ M apigenin, luteolin, caffeic, p-coumaric, or ferulic acid were analyzed at 4, 8, 12, and 24 h (Fig. 3.A).



Figure 3A. Migration assay of phenolic compounds. Wound closure percentage of fibroblasts after different time intervals of exposure to phenolic compounds. Multiple t-tests were performed to determine the significance between each experimental group and control (* $p \le 0.05$).

Luteolin alone significantly activated fibroblast migration from the beginning of treatment and at all time points (Fig. 3.B), being the only treatment to produce a significant increase in percentage closure *versus* controls at 4 h (p<0.009) and 8 h (p<0.005).

Luteolin, apigenin, and coumaric acid all significantly increased cell migration at 12 h of treatment, virtually doubling that of the control group. Finally, all studied phenolic compounds except ferulic acid showed a stimulating effect on fibroblast migration at 24 h of treatment, when the control treatment had reduced the scratch distance by 31.5%,

coumaric acid by 74.9%, apigenin by 66.2%, luteolin by 65.7%, and caffeic acid treatment by 58.8%.



Figure 3B. Migration assay of phenolic compounds. Representative images of each treatment group after 24 h.

4.4 EFFECT OF PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS ON ANTIMICROBIAL CAPACITY

Treatment with the compounds under study produced growth halo inhibition against *Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Escherichia coli, Proteus spp., and Candida albican,* and the largest inhibition halos were obtained by treatments with caffeic acid and coumaric acid (Table 2). Inhibition effects were especially marked against *Staphylococcus epidermidis, Proteus spp.,* and *Candida albicans.*

	Ferulic Acid		Cafeic Acid		Cumaric acid		Apigenin		Luteolin	
	Mean	Sd	Mean	Sd	Mean	Sd	Mean	Sd	Mean	Sd
Staphylococcus epidermidis	1,83	0,35	1,88	0,24	1,77	0,51	1,68	0,2	1,78	0,25
Staphylococcus aureus	0,9	0,2	1,02	0,16	1,12	0,30	1,02	0,13	0,95	0,27
Eschericia coli	0,87	0,06	0,83	0,06	0,87	0,15	0,90	0	0,93	0,06
Candida albicans	1,45	0,07	1,55	0,21	1,45	0,07	1,4	0,15	1,55	0,05
Proteus sp	1,13	0,06	1,23	0,06	1,30	0,1	1,23	0,06	1,23	0,15
Enterococcus feacalis	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Pseudomona Aeuroginosa	0,96	0,05	1	0	0,97	0,05	0,97	0,05	0,93	0,2

Table 2. Antimicrobial activities of apigenin, luteolin, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid and caffeic acid at 10⁻⁶ M, against different microbial species (mm zone).

5. DISCUSSION

Wound regeneration can be enhanced by cell biostimulation or bacterial load control, among other approaches [47]. The results of this study demonstrate the regenerative potential of extra virgin olive oil phenolic compounds and their promotion of wound healing through the biostimulation of fibroblasts, augmenting their proliferative capacity and migration, increasing their expression of VEGF, PDGF, IGF, TGF β 1, and Col-I, and exerting antimicrobial activity to reduce the bacterial load.

Specifically, the proliferative capacity of cultured human fibroblasts was significantly increased by treatment with 10⁻⁶ M luteolin, apigenin, ferulic acid, coumaric acid, or caffeic acid for 24 h. In the same way, Hahn HJ et al (2016) found that treatment with ferulic acid protected human dermal fibroblasts from UVA-induced cell damage, favoring their proliferation without altering their cell cycle; the authors also associated

this treatment with an antioxidant effect through a reduction in dichlorofluorescein intensity and an alteration in the mRNA expression of superoxide dismutase 1 and catalase. They indicated that ferulic acid may act against the effects of aging on skin by modifying its physiological structure [48]. In another study, the viability of cultured human fibroblasts damaged by UVA-induced cytotoxicity was enhanced by treatment with 10 or 20 μ M apigenin [49]. Among studies of phenolic compounds in other vegetable species, Sharma et al. demonstrated that the combination of curcumin and hyaluronic acid increased keratinocyte proliferation, reduced H₂O₂-induced oxidative damage, and improved cell migration in scratch wounds [50]. Compounds present in strawberries and blackberries have also been reported to reduce oxidative damage and increase the migration of fibroblasts by around 50% with respect to controls [51].

Treatment of osteoblasts with the same compounds has been found to increase their proliferative capacity and their expression of genes involved in cell differentiation and to modulate their surface antigens, with 10⁻⁶ M being the most active dose [41,52,53].

In the present study, *in vitro* treatment with phenolic compounds in olive oil significantly increased the expression of human fibroblast markers, including FGF, VEGF, TGF β 1, PDGF, and COL-I. The proteins encoded by these genes play an important role in wound healing by stimulating the proliferation, migration, and/or maturation of cell populations involved in the healing process, including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and keratinocytes (Barrientos et al., 2014; Eckes et al., 2010; Gurtner et al., Sarvajnamurthy et al., 2013).

Wound repair is characterized by the formation of fibrovascular tissue, which contains fibroblasts, collagen, and blood vessels. The vascular component depends on angiogenesis, in which TGF β and VEGF play important roles [58]. VEGF is considered to be the main factor involved in angiogenesis during wound healing, alongside collagen

production and epithelialization, while TGF β is more important for polypeptide production and cell proliferation and differentiation in comparison to other growth factors [59]. In the present study, TGF β expression was significantly increased by treatment with ferulic acid, caffeic acid, and coumaric acid. Platelet activation by prothrombin in response to skin damage would favor the release of EGF, IGF-1, PDGF, FGF, and TGF β [60], which serve as biological signals to attract neutrophils, monocytes, leukocytes, and macrophages to mediate in the inflammation, protect the skin from infection, and secrete more growth factors to accelerate wound healing [7]. Frykberg et al. (2010) treated 65 refractory ulcers with growth factor concentrate and reported a reduction in ulcer area and volume in almost all cases after a mean of 2.8 weeks [61]. The above data confirm that the biostimulatory effects of phenolic compounds of extra virgin olive oil on fibroblasts, either alone or in combination with other products, can contribute to the regeneration of damaged tissue [62,63].

Collagen formation, an essential process in wound healing and contraction, is regulated by dermal and epidermal cells. In the present study, the studied compounds increased COL-I expression and accelerated fibroblast migration during the first hours of treatment, as demonstrated by the *in vitro* scratch assay (around double the closure rate vs. controls). The compounds favored increases in cell migration and in the expression of growth factor genes, inducing differentiation from fibroblasts to myofibroblasts, which participate in wound contraction [64]. In previous studies, the application of growth factor-rich plasma promoted the regeneration of damaged tissue [65], both alone and in combination with other products such as hyaluronic acid, achieving promising outcomes in the treatment of pressure ulcers [64].

Wound healing can be delayed by bacterial colonization, due both to leukocyte chemotaxis, with the consequent inflammatory response, and to interruption of the

migration and proliferation of fibroblasts and endothelial cells, among other relevant cell populations. Among all the microorganisms that can colonize a skin wound, the ones that are most frequently isolated are those analyzed in this study, such as Escherichia coli, Candida albicans, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Enterococcus feacalis, Pseudomona aeuroginosa, and Proteus spp [66,67]. An outstanding feature in this type of wound is the formation of biofilms (polymicrobial biofilms) that are aggregates of microcolonies enclosed in an extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) that are distributed on the wound, intricate with fibroblasts or keratinocytes and the matrix extracellular (ECM), which communicate with each other through quorum sensing circuits (Quorum Sensing). Microbial cells embedded in their EPS develop in an optimal environment so that they evade the host's immune response and antibiotic action [67]. Infections have a negative impact on the wound healing process and on the health of patients, and may even be life-threatening [68–70]. All phenolic compounds under study demonstrated antimicrobial activity. Polyphenols from other sources have also shown effects against wound infections, including resveratrol, curcumin, chitosan, and the compounds present in pomegranates [71–74]. In this sense, recent researches have used multifunctional polyelectrolyte wound dressing membrane on the basis of chitosan, hyaluronan, phosphatidylcholine dihydroquercetin, for acute and chronic wounds treatment, showing regenerative, antioxidant, antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory properties, suggesting their utility in the treatment of wound healing [74–76]. Similarly, Omer et al. have tested the use of these compounds as nanocarriers for drugs that promote their effective encapsulation and subsequent slow release, in places that require their regenerative properties [77].

In conclusion, treatment with phenolic compounds in olive oil have a biostimulatory effect on cultured human fibroblasts, improving their regenerative capacity, differentiation, and migration and exerting an important antibacterial action. According to the present findings, these compounds have high therapeutic potential for wound recovery; however, further *in vivo* studies in animals and humans are required to verify their clinical usefulness.

6. FUNDING SOURCES

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,

commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

7. REFERENCES

[1] Arenas J. Las heridas y su cicatrización. vol. 22. 2003.

[2] Li J, Chen J, Kirsner R. Pathophysiology of acute wound healing. Clinics in Dermatology 2007;25:9–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clindermatol.2006.09.007.

[3] Martin P. Wound healing--aiming for perfect skin regeneration. Science

1997;276:75-81. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5309.75.

[4] Guo S, DiPietro LA. Factors Affecting Wound Healing. J Dent Res

2010;89:219-29. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034509359125.

[5] Gonzalez AC de O, Costa TF, Andrade Z de A, Medrado ARAP. Wound healingA literature review. An Bras Dermatol 2016;91:614–20.

https://doi.org/10.1590/abd1806-4841.20164741.

[6] Ng MFY. The role of mast cells in wound healing. Int Wound J 2010;7:55–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-481X.2009.00651.x.

[7] Eming SA, Krieg T, Davidson JM. Inflammation in wound repair: molecular and cellular mechanisms. J Invest Dermatol 2007;127:514–25.

https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jid.5700701.

[8] Rodero MP, Khosrotehrani K. Skin wound healing modulation by macrophages. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2010;3:643–53.

[9] Driskell RR, Lichtenberger BM, Hoste E, Kretzschmar K, Simons BD,

Charalambous M, et al. Distinct fibroblast lineages determine dermal architecture in skin development and repair. Nature 2013;504:277–81.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12783.

[10] Pilcher BK, Dumin JA, Sudbeck BD, Krane SM, Welgus HG, Parks WC. The activity of collagenase-1 is required for keratinocyte migration on a type I collagen matrix. J Cell Biol 1997;137:1445–57. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.137.6.1445.

[11] Gabbiani G. The myofibroblast in wound healing and fibrocontractive diseases. J Pathol 2003;200:500–3. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1427.

[12] Mendonça RJ de, Coutinho-Netto J. Cellular aspects of wound healing. An Bras Dermatol 2009;84:257–62. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0365-05962009000300007.

[13] Senger DR, Claffey KP, Benes JE, Perruzzi CA, Sergiou AP, Detmar M. Angiogenesis promoted by vascular endothelial growth factor: regulation through alpha1beta1 and alpha2beta1 integrins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997;94:13612–7. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.25.13612.

[14] Singer AJ, Clark RA. Cutaneous wound healing. N Engl J Med 1999;341:738–46. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199909023411006.

[15] Bishop A. Role of oxygen in wound healing. J Wound Care 2008;17:399–402. https://doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2008.17.9.30937.

[16] Rodriguez PG, Felix FN, Woodley DT, Shim EK. The role of oxygen in wound healing: a review of the literature. Dermatol Surg 2008;34:1159–69. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-4725.2008.34254.x.

[17] Gompelman M, van Asten SAV, Peters EJG. Update on the Role of Infection and Biofilms in Wound Healing: Pathophysiology and Treatment. Plast Reconstr Surg 2016;138:61S-70S. https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.00000000002679.

[18] Molinas A, Turkina MV, Magnusson K-E, Mirazimi A, Vikström E. Perturbation of Wound Healing, Cytoskeletal Organization and Cellular Protein Networks during Hazara Virus Infection. Front Cell Dev Biol 2017;5:98. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2017.00098.

[19] Kapetanaki MG, Mora AL, Rojas M. Influence of age on wound healing and fibrosis. J Pathol 2013;229:310–22. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4122.

[20] Sgonc R, Gruber J. Age-related aspects of cutaneous wound healing: a minireview. Gerontology 2013;59:159–64. https://doi.org/10.1159/000342344.

[21] Brown J. The impact of stress on acute wound healing. Br J Community Nurs 2016;21:S16–22. https://doi.org/10.12968/bjcn.2016.21.Sup12.S16.

[22] Vegas Ó, VanBuskirk J, Richardson S, Parfitt D, Helmreich D, Rempel M, et al. Effects of psychological stress and housing conditions on the delay of wound healing. Psicothema 2012;24:581–6.

[23] Chandu A. Diabetes, wound healing and complications. Aust Dent J 2013;58:536. https://doi.org/10.1111/adj.12117_1.

[24] Yan J, Tie G, Wang S, Tutto A, DeMarco N, Khair L, et al. Diabetes impairs wound healing by Dnmt1-dependent dysregulation of hematopoietic stem cells differentiation towards macrophages. Nat Commun 2018;9:33. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02425-z.

[25] Pence BD, Woods JA. Exercise, Obesity, and Cutaneous Wound Healing: Evidence from Rodent and Human Studies. Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle) 2014;3:71–9. https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2012.0377.

[26] Pierpont YN, Dinh TP, Salas RE, Johnson EL, Wright TG, Robson MC, et al. Obesity and surgical wound healing: a current review. ISRN Obes 2014;2014:638936. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/638936.

[27] Krischak GD, Augat P, Claes L, Kinzl L, Beck A. The effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug application on incisional wound healing in rats. J Wound Care 2007;16:76–8. https://doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2007.16.2.27001.

[28] Levine JM. How oral medications affect wound healing. Nursing2020 2018;48:34–40. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NURSE.0000530402.51912.a8.

[29] Stuermer EK, Besser M, Terberger N, Bachmann HS, Severing A-L. Side Effects of Frequently Used Antihypertensive Drugs on Wound Healing in vitro. Skin Pharmacol Physiol 2019;32:162–72. https://doi.org/10.1159/000499433.

[30] Arnold M, Barbul A. Nutrition and wound healing. Plast Reconstr Surg 2006;117:42S-58S. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.prs.0000225432.17501.6c.

[31] Brown KL, Phillips TJ. Nutrition and wound healing. Clin Dermatol 2010;28:432–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clindermatol.2010.03.028.

[32] Lozano SA, Bonet CM, Bou J-ETI, Llobet Burgués M. [Nutrition and chronic wound healing. A clinical case of a patient with a hard to heal venous leg ulcers]. Rev Enferm 2014;37:26–30.

[33] Zinder R, Cooley R, Vlad LG, Molnar JA. Vitamin A and Wound Healing. Nutr Clin Pract 2019;34:839–49. https://doi.org/10.1002/ncp.10420.

[34] Mohammed BM, Fisher BJ, Kraskauskas D, Ward S, Wayne JS, Brophy DF, et al. Vitamin C promotes wound healing through novel pleiotropic mechanisms. Int Wound J 2016;13:572–84. https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.12484.

[35] Hobson R. Vitamin E and wound healing: an evidence-based review. Int Wound J 2016;13:331–5. https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.12295.

[36] Campos ACL, Groth AK, Branco AB. Assessment and nutritional aspects of wound healing. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2008;11:281–8.

https://doi.org/10.1097/MCO.0b013e3282fbd35a.

[37] Polcz ME, Barbul A. The Role of Vitamin A in Wound Healing. Nutr Clin Pract 2019;34:695–700. https://doi.org/10.1002/ncp.10376.

[38] Baldioli M, Servili M, Perretti G, Montedoro GF. Antioxidant activity of tocopherols and phenolic compounds of virgin olive oil. J Am Oil Chem Soc 1996;73:1589–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02523530.

[39] Servili M, Esposto S, Fabiani R, Urbani S, Taticchi A, Mariucci F, et al. Phenolic compounds in olive oil: antioxidant, health and organoleptic activities according to their chemical structure. Inflammopharmacology 2009;17:76–84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10787-008-8014-y.

[40] Garcia-Martinez O, Ruiz C, Gutierrez-Ibanez A, Illescas-Montes R, Melguizo-Rodriguez L. Benefits of Olive Oil Phenolic Compounds in Disease Prevention. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets 2018;18:333–40.

https://doi.org/10.2174/1871530318666180213113211.

[41] Melguizo-Rodríguez L, Manzano-Moreno FJ, De Luna-Bertos E, Rivas A, Ramos-Torrecillas J, Ruiz C, et al. Effect of olive oil phenolic compounds on osteoblast differentiation. Eur J Clin Invest 2018;48. https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.12904.

[42] Melguizo-Rodríguez L, Manzano-Moreno FJ, Illescas-Montes R, Ramos-Torrecillas J, Luna-Bertos E de, Ruiz C, et al. Bone Protective Effect of Extra-Virgin Olive Oil Phenolic Compounds by Modulating Osteoblast Gene Expression. Nutrients 2019;11. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11081722.

[43] Illescas-Montes R, Melguizo-Rodríguez L, Manzano-Moreno FJ, García-Martínez O, Ruiz C, Ramos-Torrecillas J. Cultured Human Fibroblast Biostimulation Using a 940 nm Diode Laser. Materials (Basel) 2017;10.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10070793.

[44] Illescas-Montes R, Melguizo-Rodríguez L, García-Martínez O, de Luna-Bertos E, Manzano-Moreno FJ, Ruiz C, et al. Human Fibroblast Gene Expression Modulation Using 940 NM Diode Laser. Sci Rep 2019;9:12037. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48595-2.

[45] Ragni E, Viganò M, Rebulla P, Giordano R, Lazzari L. What is beyond a qRT-PCR study on mesenchymal stem cell differentiation properties: how to choose the most reliable housekeeping genes. J Cell Mol Med 2013;17:168–80.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2012.01660.x.

[46] Hardy BL, Bansal G, Hewlett KH, Arora A, Schaffer SD, Kamau E, et al. Antimicrobial Activity of Clinically Isolated Bacterial Species Against Staphylococcus aureus. Front Microbiol 2020;10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02977.

[47] Zhao R, Liang H, Clarke E, Jackson C, Xue M. Inflammation in Chronic Wounds. Int J Mol Sci 2016;17. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17122085.

[48] Hahn HJ, Kim KB, Bae S, Choi BG, An S, Ahn KJ, et al. Pretreatment of Ferulic Acid Protects Human Dermal Fibroblasts against Ultraviolet A Irradiation. Ann Dermatol 2016;28:740–8. https://doi.org/10.5021/ad.2016.28.6.740.

[49] Choi S, Youn J, Kim K, Joo DH, Shin S, Lee J, et al. Apigenin inhibits UVAinduced cytotoxicity in vitro and prevents signs of skin aging in vivo. International Journal of Molecular Medicine 2016;38:627–34.

https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2016.2626.

[50] Sharma M, Sahu K, Singh SP, Jain B. Wound healing activity of curcumin conjugated to hyaluronic acid: in vitro and in vivo evaluation. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol 2018;46:1009–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/21691401.2017.1358731.

[51] Van de Velde F, Esposito D, Grace MH, Pirovani ME, Lila MA. Antiinflammatory and wound healing properties of polyphenolic extracts from strawberry and blackberry fruits. Food Res Int 2019;121:453–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.11.059.

[52] García-Martínez O, De Luna-Bertos E, Ramos-Torrecillas J, Ruiz C, Milia E, Lorenzo ML, et al. Phenolic Compounds in Extra Virgin Olive Oil Stimulate Human Osteoblastic Cell Proliferation. PLoS ONE 2016;11:e0150045.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150045.

[53] Melguizo-Rodríguez L, Ramos-Torrecillas J, Manzano-Moreno FJ, Illescas-Montes R, Rivas A, Ruiz C, et al. Effect of phenolic extracts from different extra-virgin olive oil varieties on osteoblast-like cells. PLoS ONE 2018;13:e0196530. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196530.

[54] Barrientos S, Brem H, Stojadinovic O, Tomic-Canic M. Clinical application of growth factors and cytokines in wound healing. Wound Repair Regen 2014;22:569–78. https://doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12205.

[55] Eckes B, Nischt R, Krieg T. Cell-matrix interactions in dermal repair and scarring. Fibrogenesis & Tissue Repair 2010;3:4. https://doi.org/10.1186/1755-1536-3-4.

[56] Gurtner GC, Werner S, Barrandon Y, Longaker MT. Wound repair and

regeneration. Nature 2008;453:314-21. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07039.

[57] Sarvajnamurthy S, Suryanarayan S, Budamakuntala L, Suresh DH. Autologous Platelet Rich Plasma in Chronic Venous Ulcers: Study of 17 Cases. J Cutan Aesthet Surg 2013;6:97–9. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-2077.112671.

[58] Barrientos S, Stojadinovic O, Golinko MS, Brem H, Tomic-Canic M. PERSPECTIVE ARTICLE: Growth factors and cytokines in wound healing. Wound Repair and Regeneration 2008;16:585–601. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2008.00410.x.

[59] Bao P, Kodra A, Tomic-Canic M, Golinko MS, Ehrlich HP, Brem H. The Role of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor in Wound Healing. Journal of Surgical Research 2009;153:347–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2008.04.023.

[60] Gainza G, Villullas S, Pedraz JL, Hernandez RM, Igartua M. Advances in drug delivery systems (DDSs) to release growth factors for wound healing and skin regeneration. Nanomedicine 2015;11:1551–73.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2015.03.002.

[61] Frykberg RG, Driver VR, Carman D, Lucero B, Borris-Hale C, Fylling CP, et al. Chronic wounds treated with a physiologically relevant concentration of platelet-rich plasma gel: a prospective case series. Ostomy Wound Manage 2010;56:36–44.

[62] Gümüş K, Özlü ZK. The effect of a beeswax, olive oil and Alkanna tinctoria (L.) Tausch mixture on burn injuries: An experimental study with a control group. Complementary Therapies in Medicine 2017;34:66–73.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2017.08.001.

[63] Vitsos A, Tsagarousianos C, Vergos O, Stithos D, Mathioudakis D, Vitsos I, et al. Efficacy of a Ceratothoa oestroides Olive Oil Extract in Patients With Chronic Ulcers: A Pilot Study. Int J Low Extrem Wounds 2019;18:309–16. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534734619856143.

[64] Ramos-Torrecillas J, De Luna-Bertos E, García-Martínez O, Ruiz C. Clinical utility of growth factors and platelet-rich plasma in tissue regeneration: a review. Wounds 2014;26:207–13.

[65] Werner S, Grose R. Regulation of wound healing by growth factors and cytokines. Physiol Rev 2003;83:835–70. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00031.2002.

[66] Hassan MA, Tamer TM, Rageh AA, Abou-Zeid AM, Abd El-Zaher EHF, Kenawy E-R. Insight into multidrug-resistant microorganisms from microbial infected diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews 2019;13:1261–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2019.01.044.

[67] Kadam S, Shai S, Shahane A, Kaushik KS. Recent Advances in Non-Conventional Antimicrobial Approaches for Chronic Wound Biofilms: Have We Found the "Chink in the Armor"? Biomedicines 2019;7.

https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines7020035.

[68] Aitken LM, Williams G, Harvey M, Blot S, Kleinpell R, Labeau S, et al. Nursing considerations to complement the Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines. Crit Care Med 2011;39:1800–18. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31821867cc.

[69] Ramarathnam V, De Marco B, Ortegon A, Kemp D, Luby J, Sreeramoju P. Risk factors for development of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection among

colonized patients. Am J Infect Control 2013;41:625-8.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2012.08.005.

[70] Soldera J, Nedel WL, Cardoso PRC, d'Azevedo PA. Bacteremia due to Staphylococcus cohnii ssp. urealyticus caused by infected pressure ulcer: case report and review of the literature. Sao Paulo Med J 2013;131:59–61. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1516-31802013000100010.

[71] Ibrahim N 'Izzah, Wong SK, Mohamed IN, Mohamed N, Chin K-Y, Ima-Nirwana S, et al. Wound Healing Properties of Selected Natural Products. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2018;15. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15112360.

[72] Saeed M, Naveed M, BiBi J, Kamboh AA, Arain MA, Shah QA, et al. The Promising Pharmacological Effects and Therapeutic/Medicinal Applications of Punica Granatum L. (Pomegranate) as a Functional Food in Humans and Animals. Recent Pat Inflamm Allergy Drug Discov 2018;12:24–38.

https://doi.org/10.2174/1872213X12666180221154713.

[73] Shevelev AB, La Porta N, Isakova EP, Martens S, Biryukova YK, Belous AS, et al. In Vivo Antimicrobial and Wound-Healing Activity of Resveratrol,

Dihydroquercetin, and Dihydromyricetin against Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Candida albicans. Pathogens 2020;9.

https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9040296.

[74] Hassan MA, Tamer TM, Valachová K, Omer AM, El-Shafeey M, Mohy Eldin MS, et al. Antioxidant and antibacterial polyelectrolyte wound dressing based on chitosan/hyaluronan/phosphatidylcholine dihydroquercetin. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 2021;166:18–31.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.11.119.

[75] Tamer TM, Hassan MA, Valachová K, Omer AM, El-Shafeey MEA, Mohy Eldin MS, et al. Enhancement of wound healing by chitosan/hyaluronan polyelectrolyte membrane loaded with glutathione: in vitro and in vivo evaluations. Journal of Biotechnology 2020;310:103–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2020.02.002.

[76] Hassan MA, Omer AM, Abbas E, Baset WMA, Tamer TM. Preparation, physicochemical characterization and antimicrobial activities of novel two phenolic chitosan Schiff base derivatives. Sci Rep 2018;8:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29650-w.

[77] Omer AM, Ziora ZM, Tamer TM, Khalifa RE, Hassan MA, Mohy-Eldin MS, et al. Formulation of Quaternized Aminated Chitosan Nanoparticles for Efficient Encapsulation and Slow Release of Curcumin. Molecules 2021;26:449. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26020449.