1	Peroxymonosulfate/solar radiation process for the removal of aqueous
2	microcontaminants. Kinetic modeling, influence of variables and matrix
3	constituents
4	Rafael R. Solís ^{1,*} , F. Javier Rivas ^{2,3,*} , Ana M. Chávez ^{2,3} , Dionysios D. Dionysiou ¹
5	¹ Environmental Engineering and Science program, Department of Chemical and
6	Environmental Engineering, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati OH 45221-0012 (USA)
7	² Department of Chemical Engineering and Physical Chemistry, University of
8	Extremadura, Avda. Elvas 06006 Badajoz (Spain)
9	³ University Institute of Water, Climate Change and Sustainability (IACYS), University
10	of Extremadura, Avda. de la Investigación 06006 Badajoz (Spain)
11	*Correspondence to Rafael Rodríguez Solís (rafarsolis@gmail.com) and F. Javier Rivas
12	(fjrivas@unex.es)

13 Abstract

New technologies to address the presence of pharmaceutical and personal care 14 products (PPCPs) in wastewater are needed, especially in those cases in which water will 15 be reused. In this work, the activation of peroxymonosulfate (PMS) with simulated solar 16 radiation has been applied to the oxidation of a mixture of six PPCPs, *i.e.* caffeine, 17 18 primidone, N,N-diethyl-3-methylbenzamide (DEET), methylparaben, clofibric acid and ibuprofen. The sole application of solar radiation, i.e. solar photolysis, only led to the 19 20 oxidation of clofibric acid (complete degradation in 90 min). The combination of PMS 21 and solar radiation resulted in the degradation of all target micropollutants. The complete 22 degradation of this mixture at initial 100 ppb was achieved with 0.5 mM of initial PMS after 90 min. A kinetic study that acceptably simulates the experimental data under 23 24 different conditions has been proposed. The effects of initial PPCP concentration (1 mg L⁻¹-100 µg L⁻¹), PMS dose (0.1-5 mM), and pH (3-9) were tested and kinetically 25 simulated. Finally, the PPCPs removal study was carried out in two real water matrices 26

- 27 (river and a secondary effluent of an urban wastewater treatment plant). A higher dose of
- PMS, ten times higher, was required to achieve complete degradation of themicropollutants if compared to ultrapure water.
- 30 Keywords: Oxidation process, Peroxymonosulfate, Simulated solar radiation, PPCPs,
- 31 Wastewater

32 1. INTRODUCTION

Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) include a large class of chemical 33 34 compounds that can be generated from anthropogenic usage, excretions and veterinary applications. The increasing concern of potential threats caused by the widespread 35 occurrence of these chemicals in the environment has forced the assessment of efficient 36 strategies to eliminate/reduce the presence of PPCPs (Kümmerer et al., 2018). No 37 accepted standards to complete environmental risk assessments are available. 38 39 Subsequently, substantial research is recommended to address the fate and occurrence of these compounds in the environment (Svalova, 2018). Recently, technologies based on 40 the production of sulfate radicals are gaining more attention. Formation of these powerful 41 42 oxidizing agents is commonly related to the use of persulfate or peroxymonopersulfate 43 and further activation by radiation, catalysts and/or heat (Guerra-Rodríguez et al., 2018; Wacławek et al., 2017; Wang and Wang, 2018). The use of solar radiation contributes to 44 45 minimize the energy consumption and therefore the environmental impact if compared to other irradiation systems based on UV lamps. Consequently, solar radiation is gaining 46 47 attention in the water treatment field and diverse applications involving sulfate radical and solar light have been recently reported (Ferreira et al., 2020; Solís et al., 2019; Vela 48 et al., 2019). 49

Six model PPCPs have been selected in this study mainly based on their occurrence in surface and wastewaters, namely primidone, caffeine, methylparaben, DEET, ibuprofen, and clofibric acid (Haman et al., 2015; Kuster et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2011). Some examples can be found in the recent literature on the application of sulfate radical based systems to degrade individual organic pollutants. For example, Chen et al. (Chen et al., 2017), treated ethyl and methyl parabens using activation of persulfate by heat. These authors found that both sulfate radicals and hydroxyl radicals contributed to the

3

degradation of the PPCPs with a negative effect exerted by pH and inorganic scavengers. 57 58 Acero and coworkers investigated the elimination of DEET by UV activated persulfate (Acero et al., 2018) concluding that the maximum degradation rate was achieved at near-59 neutral pH and that natural organic matter (NOM) and radical scavengers inhibited the 60 removal of contaminants. Lian and collaborators studied the abatement of 20 PPCPs, 61 including ibuprofen, by radiation activated persulfate (Lian et al., 2017). Second-order 62 63 rate constants of pollutants with radicals were obtained by competitive kinetics, highlighting the significant role played by carbonate radicals. In all cases, the efficacy of 64 these technologies was proven. In this work, activation of peroxymonosulfate has been 65 66 carried out by radiation emitted from a solar light simulator. Under these conditions, sulfate radicals and hydroxyl radicals can be generated by the disproportionation of the 67 inorganic peroxide (Luo et al., 2015) especially due to the contribution of UV light; the 68 quantum yield at 254 nm is 0.52 mol Einstein⁻¹ (Guan et al., 2011). Activation of 69 peroxymonosulfate by solar radiation may have a number of advantages over the use of 70 71 catalysts that need to be recovered after use or other more expensive methodologies such as heat or ultrasound. Solar radiation can be directly used with low cost and even 72 concentrated by means of adequate parabolic collectors. 73

In addition, the simultaneous presence of contaminants may lead to interactions; 74 increasing or decreasing the rate of degradation of individual PPCPs. In this work, the 75 elimination of six model PPCPs has been conducted and the influence of the most 76 important variables were assessed. A pseudo empirical mechanism has been applied to 77 model the process. The impact of several radical scavengers has been investigated and 78 the role played by the water matrix was also studied by using river water (Guadiana's 79 river) and the effluent of the secondary treatment of the wastewater treatment plant 80 (WWTP) of the city of Badajoz. 81

82 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

83 2.1. Chemicals

The micropollutant standards were of analytical grade and purchased from Sigma-84 85 Aldrich®. Technical grade Oxone® (2KHSO5·KHSO4·K2SO4, CAS: 37222-66-5) and potassium peroxydisulfate (K₂S₂O₈, CAS: 7727-21-1) were used as the respective sources 86 for PMS and PS. H₂O₂ (30%) was from Panreac®. The rest of chemicals were of 87 analytical grade and purchased from Panreac® or Sigma Aldrich®. Ultrapure water (18.2 88 M Ω cm) from a Milli-Q \mathbb{R} Integral 5 system was used for the preparation of all the stock 89 90 and standard solutions. HPLC-grade acetonitrile was supplied for the chromatographic analyses. 91

92 2.2. Experimental installation and procedure

The solar photo-activation of PMS was carried out in a solar simulator reactor (Suntest CPS, 1500W, air-cooled Xe lamp) in which a glass beaker of 500 mL was located and kept under magnetic stirring. The light source consisted of 500 W m⁻² of polychromatic radiation within 290-800 nm. Detailed information about the radiation characterization is available in previous work (Solís et al., 2019).

Tests started with the addition of 500 mL of water sample (ultrapure, river or wastewater) spiked with the mixture of the six micropollutants at an initial concentration ranging from 100 μ g L⁻¹ to 1 mg L⁻¹ each. Afterward, a desired amount of PMS from a concentrated fresh solution (generally 0.1 M) was added and the irradiance lamp was connected for 2 hours. Samples were withdrawn at predetermined time intervals and the reaction solution was quenched by the addition of 10 μ L of sodium thiosulfate 0.1 M to 1 mL of sample.

105 2.3. Aqueous analyses

The aqueous concentration of PPCPs was determined by Liquid Chromatography (LC) 106 in a UFLC Shimadzu Prominence LC-AD equipped with Diode-Array detection. A 107 mixture of acetonitrile (phase A) and acidified ultrapure water (0.1% H₃PO₄, phase B) 108 was fed at a rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹. The elution gradient program went from 95% A (5 min) 109 to 95% B in 15 min, and kept thereafter for 1 min before returning to initial conditions in 110 5 min. The stationary phase used was a core-shell C18 Kinetex® (150 x 4.6 mm, particle 111 size 5 µm & pore 100 Å). Different wavelength values, according to the maximum of 112 absorbance for each compound, were used for the quantification. 113

114

2.4. Water characterization

Table 1 shows the main parameters that characterize the water collected in the 115 116 Guadiana river (Badajoz, Spain) and after the biological treatment in the Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP). Water samples were filtered (>11 µm) and stored at 4 °C 117 before their use. 118

119

Table 1. Characterization of the two water matrices studied

Parameter	Guadiana river	WWTP effluent
pН	8.02 ± 0.05	7.69 ± 0.02
Conductivity (μ S cm ⁻¹)	482.3 ± 0.6	616 ± 1
Turbidity (NTU)	1.97 ± 0.01	0.83 ± 0.01
TC (mg L ⁻¹)	29.06 ± 0.08	34.9 ± 0.4
IC (mg L^{-1})	12.4 ± 0.1	24.8 ± 0.4
TOC (mg L^{-1})	16.68 ± 0.05	10.12 ± 0.02
Chlorides (mg L ⁻¹)	60.6 ± 0.9	83 ±11
Nitrates (mg L ⁻¹)	5.05 ± 0.24	16 ± 1
Phosphates (mg L ⁻¹)	0.14 ± 0.03	0.23 ± 0.06
Sulfates (mg L ⁻¹)	55 ± 1	60.4 ± 0.5

121 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

122 **3.1. Direct oxidation with PMS and solar photolysis**

First, some control experiments were carried out to examine the role of daylight 123 radiation or PMS to eliminate the selected target compounds. Hence, at uncontrolled pH 124 (initial pH ca. 3.3) runs conducted in the presence of 1 mg L^{-1} in each PPCP using 125 different amounts of initial PMS (from 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻² M) led to the negligible conversion of 126 contaminants after 120 min of reaction (results not shown). Next, some runs were carried 127 out by adjusting the initial pH at 5, 7 and 9 (buffered with H₃PO₄ 5 mM). Although, the 128 presence of high concentration of phosphate could interfere in the activation of PMS (Lou 129 et al., 2014), no significant PPCPs degradation was obtained at pH values below alkaline 130 conditions. Actually, a low 10% conversion was obtained at pH values of 5 and 7; 131 however, when the pH was increased to 9, the conversion of individual PPCPs increased, 132 especially in the case of methylparaben. From Fig. 1, conversion values of roughly 40, 133 24, 100, 37, 41, and 33% after 120 min were obtained for caffeine, primidone, 134 methylparaben, DEET, clofibric acid and ibuprofen, respectively. 135





Figure 1. (A) Removal of PCPPs in water by direct oxidation by PMS at pH=9. Experimental conditions: T=293 K, $C_{PPCP,0}$ =100 µg L⁻¹ (each), $C_{PMS,0}$ =0.5 mM, C_{H3PO4} = 5 mM; V=500 mL. (B) Removal of clofibric acid by solar photolysis. Influence of initial clofibric acid concentration. Experimental conditions: T=293 K, V=500 mL.

The efficiency increase registered at pH=9 can be attributed to the activation of 141 peroxymonosulfate with hydroxyl anions at basic pH, releasing the generation of 142 superoxide radical and singlet oxygen (Qi et al., 2016). Moreover, the dissociation of the 143 target compounds can also involve a higher reactivity of the anionic form if compared to 144 145 the protonated or neutral molecule. In this sense, the pKa value of methylparaben located in the proximity of 8.5 could explain the significant change in reactivity of this compound 146 from acid-neutral conditions to pH=9. pKa values of clofibric acid (3.2), DEET (0.67) and 147 primidone (11.5) are outside of the pH interval studied. Ibuprofen has a pK_a=5.3 while 148 caffeine shows a dissociation constant of pK_a=10.4; however, no significant differences 149 were obtained between pH 3.3 and 5 in the first case or between pH 7 and 9 in the second 150 151 case.

Direct photolysis of PPCPs did not lead to any significant removal of target compounds with the exception of clofibric acid. The molar extinction coefficient of clofibric acid is rather low at the wavelength range of daylight radiation (Pereira et al., 2007). Nevertheless, the radiation intensity applied is enough to produce the oxidation of clofibric acid (Doll and Frimmel, 2003). It can be assumed that the removal of this compound by photolysis follows a first-order provided clofibric acid (CA) is the main absorbing species. After applying Taylor series expansion to Lambert-Beer expression:

159
$$-\frac{dC_{CA}}{dt} = \phi_{CA} I_o [1 - \exp(-2.303 L \varepsilon_{CA} C_{CA})] = k_{Photo} C_{CA}$$
(1)

where ϕ_{CA} , ε_{CA} , I_0 and L stand for the quantum yield of clofibric acid, the molar extinction coefficient of clofibric acid, the incident photon flux by reactor volume unit and the effective optical path in the reactor, respectively. The experimental k_{Photo} was calculated in the proximity of $6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹. Experiments completed at different initial concentrations of clofibibric acid corroborated the development of first-order kinetics (see Fig. 1).

165 **3.2.** Photolysis in the presence of daylight radiation

166 *3.2.1. Influence of initial PMS concentration*

167 A radical mechanism can be developed when irradiating a solution of 168 peroxymonosulfate. Under adequate irradiance conditions (wavelength and intensity), the 169 following reactions shown in Table 2 can proceed (Guan et al., 2011; Sbardella et al., 170 2019; Yu and Barker, 2003).

1	7	1
т	1	т

Table 2. Mechanism of PMS irradiated system.

Reaction or equilibrium	Rate constant	Eq.
Initiation		
$HSO_{5}^{-}(aq) \xrightarrow{h_{\mathcal{V}}} SO_{4}^{\bullet-}(aq) + HO^{\bullet}(aq)$	k _{ini1} =?	(2)
$\mathrm{SO}_5^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hv}} \mathrm{SO}_4^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{O}^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq})$	k _{ini2} =?	(3)
Equilibria:		

$H_2O(l) f OH^-(aq) + H^+(aq)$	pKw=14	(4)
$HOCl(aq) + HCl(aq) f Cl_2(g) + H_2O(l)$	k=18000 M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ k ₋₁ =0.4 M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(5)
$\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) f \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$	pK _a =2	(6)
$HSO_{5}^{-}(aq) f SO_{5}^{2-}(aq) + H^{+}(aq)$	k=1 s ⁻¹ k ₋₁ =2.5·10 ⁹ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(7)
HOCl(aq) f OCl ⁻ (aq) + H ⁺ (aq)	$\begin{array}{l} k=320 \ s^{-1} \\ k_{-1}=1.0 \cdot 10^{10} \ M^{-1} \ s^{-1} \end{array}$	(8)
Chain reactions		
$2SO_5^{\bullet-}(aq) \rightarrow 2SO_4^{\bullet-}(aq) + O_2(g)$	k=2.15·10 ⁹ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(9)
$\mathrm{HO}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{HSO}_{5}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{5}^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$	$k=1.7 \cdot 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	(10)
$S_2O_8^{2-}(aq) + H_2O(l) \rightarrow HSO_5^{-}(aq) + HSO_4^{-}(aq)$	k=7.5·10 ⁻⁵ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(11)
$SO_4^{\bullet-}(aq) + HSO_5^{-}(aq) \rightarrow SO_5^{\bullet-}(aq) + HSO_4^{-}(aq)$	k=1.0·10 ⁵ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(12)
$SO_4^{\bullet-}(aq) + H_2O(l) f HO^{\bullet}(aq) + HSO_4^{-}(aq)$	k=7.3 M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ k ₋₁ =3.5·10 ⁵ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(13)
$\mathrm{HO}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{SO}_{5}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \to \mathrm{SO}_{5}^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{HO}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$	k=2.1·10 ⁹ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(14)
Radical recombination:		
$2\text{HO}^{\bullet}(\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2(\text{aq})$	$k=5.5\cdot10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	(15)
$2\mathrm{SO}_4^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) \to \mathrm{S}_2\mathrm{O}_8^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$	$k=3.1\cdot10^8 M^{-1} s^{-1}$	(16)
$2\mathrm{SO}_5^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) \to \mathrm{S}_2\mathrm{O}_8^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{O}_2(\mathrm{g})$	$k=3.5\cdot10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	(17)
$\mathrm{SO}_4^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{HO}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{aq}) \to \mathrm{HSO}_5^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$	$k=1.1\cdot 10^{10} M^{-1} s^{-1}$	(18)
Oxidation and photolysis reactions:		
$PPCP(aq) + SO_4^{\bullet-}(aq) \rightarrow Intermediate(aq)$	k=f(PPCP)	(19)
$PPCP(aq) + HO^{\bullet}(aq) \rightarrow Intermediate(aq)$	k=f(PPCP)	(20)
Clofibric acid(aq) $\xrightarrow{h_{U}}$ Intermediate(aq)	$k=6.0\cdot10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (this work)	(21)
Scavenger / Intermediate(aq) + SO ₄ ^{•-} (aq) \rightarrow Product(aq)	k=f(Scavenger)	(22)
Scavenger / Intermediate(aq) + $HO^{\bullet}(aq) \rightarrow Product(aq)$	k=f(Scavenger)	(23)
In the presence of chloride:		
$Cl^{-}(aq) + HSO_{5}^{-}(aq) \rightarrow HClO(aq) + SO_{4}^{2-}(aq)$	$k=1.87\cdot10^{-3} M^{-1} s^{-1}$	(24)
$\text{Cl}^-(\text{aq}) + \text{HO}^{\text{g}}(\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{HClO}^{\text{g}-}(\text{aq})$	k=4.3·10 ⁹ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(25)
$\text{HClO}^{\bullet-}(\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{HO}^{\bullet}(\text{aq}) + \text{Cl}^{-}(\text{aq})$	k=6.1·10 ⁹ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(26)
$\text{HClO}^{\bullet}(\text{aq}) + \text{H}^{+}(\text{aq}) f \text{Cl}^{\bullet}(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$	$\begin{array}{l} k=2.6\cdot 10^{10}\ M^{-1}\ s^{-1}\\ k-1=3.6\cdot 10^{10}\ M^{-1}\ s^{-1} \end{array}$	(27)
$HClO(aq) + PPCP(aq) \rightarrow Intermediate(aq)$	k=f(PPCP)	(28)

$\mathrm{Cl}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq})$	$k=2.5\cdot10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	(29)
$\mathrm{Cl}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq})$	k=2.5·10 ⁸ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(30)
$\operatorname{Cl}^{\bullet}(\operatorname{aq}) + \operatorname{Cl}^{-}(\operatorname{aq}) \to \operatorname{Cl}_{2}^{\bullet-}(\operatorname{aq})$	$k=7.8\cdot10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	(31)
$\text{Cl}_{2}^{\bullet-}(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_{2}\text{O}(\text{l}) \rightarrow \text{HClO}^{\bullet}(\text{aq}) + \text{H}^{+}(\text{aq}) + \text{Cl}^{-}(\text{aq})$	$k \cdot C_{H2O} < 100 \text{ s}^{-1}$	(32)
$\mathrm{Cl}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{g})$	k=2.1·10 ⁹ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	(33)
$\operatorname{Cl}_{2}^{\bullet-}(\operatorname{aq}) + \operatorname{Cl}_{2}^{\bullet-}(\operatorname{aq}) \rightarrow 2\operatorname{Cl}^{-}(\operatorname{aq}) + \operatorname{Cl}_{2}(g)$	$k=9.10^{8} M^{-1} s^{-1}$	(34)

172 If the energy of the applied radiation is adequate to produce the scission of HSO_5^- 173 molecule, Eqs. 2 and 3 take place generating radical species capable of removing organic 174 and inorganic species with rate constants as high as $10^7 - 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the normalized PPCPs concentrations with time in 175 experiments carried out with an initial concentration in PMS ranging from 0.1 to 5 mM. 176 As observed, the higher the initial promoter concentration, the higher the conversion rate 177 of PPCPs. Contrarily to other authors (Wu et al., 2019), experimental data indicate that, 178 under the PMS concentration used, the molecules of PMS do not react with the generated 179 180 radicals through reactions 10, 12, and 14. Additionally, with the exception of the 181 experiment completed with the highest PMS concentration, clofibric acid removal rate 182 seems to be independent of peroxymonosulfate dose, this compound is mainly degraded by photolysis following first-order kinetics. 183



Figure 2. Removal of PPCPs in water by PMS in the presence of solar radiation. Influence
of initial PMS concentration. Experimental conditions: T=293 K, C_{PPCP,0}=1.0 mg L⁻¹
(each), V=500 mL. Lines: model calculation.

Assuming a perfectly mixed batch reactor, equations 2-23 allowed to propose a set of 188 first-order differential equations that could be solved by adjusting the value of kinil and 189 kini2 to fit the experimental and calculated PPCPs concentrations. The software tool 190 COPASI (Hoops et al., 2006) was used for the resolution and simulation of the generated 191 set of ordinary differential equations. For doing so, published data of rate constants 192 corresponding to Eqs. 19 and 20 were initially used before optimization (see Table 3). 193 Generic values of Intermediate (P) reactions with hydroxyl radicals $(5 \cdot 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and 194 sulfate radicals (5.10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) were assumed. Since the extinction coefficient of clofibric 195

acid is low and PMS remains almost constant during the experiments, the rate of PMSphotolysis can be modeled by a simple expression of the type:

198
$$-\frac{dC_{PMS}}{dt} = \alpha (C_{PMS}) (1 - 10^{C_{PMS}}) = k_{ini_1} (1 - 10^{C_{PMS}})$$
(35)

199 Where the parameter $\alpha(C_{PMS})$ was experimentally observed to depend on PMS initial 200 concentration. The potential inefficient decomposition of peroxymonosulfate towards 201 sulfate and oxygen can be considered:

202
$$\operatorname{HSO}_{5}^{-} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Inefficient}} \operatorname{SO}_{4}^{2-} + \operatorname{H}^{+} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{O}_{2}$$
 (36)

Fitted values of $\alpha(C_{PMS})$ were 5.10⁻⁶, 1.7.10⁻⁶, 1.3.10⁻⁶, and 1.3.10⁻⁶ in experiments with PMS initial concentration of 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 5.0 mM, respectively. The dependency of $\alpha(C_{PMS})$ on $C_{PMS,0}$ seems to be inversely proportional (a, b, and c are constant parameters):

206
$$\alpha(C_{PMS}) \propto \frac{a}{b + c \times C_{PMS_0}}$$
 (37)

At the sight of the calculated radical concentrations, hydroxyl radical concentration is two orders of magnitude higher than that of sulfate radicals. The reactivity of the PPCPs studied follow the order primidone < methylparaben = caffeine < DEET < ibuprofen < clofibric acid. These profiles could be obtained by using the second-order rate constants for HO[•] and SO₄^{•-} shown in Table 3. In general, the rate constants used with sulfate radicals are slightly higher than those found in the bibliography.

	Molecular	ular Molecular Water Se				Second-o	Second-order rate constant with HO [•] and SO ₄ ^{•-}																																																	
PPCPs (use)	structure	formula	$(mg L^{-1})^1$	pĸa	kно• 10 ⁻⁹ (М ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	рН	Ref.	kso4 10 ⁻⁹ (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	¹) pH	Ref.																																														
					4.1	7.0	(Shu et al., 2013)	_																																																
	H ₃ C _N O N CH ₃ CH ₃		2.16·10 ⁴	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4		5.9	NA	(Broséus et al., 2009)			Nihamaiti																																													
Caffeine (nervous stimulant)		$H_3 = C_8 H_{10} N_4 O_2$			2.16·10 ⁴	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	10.4	16·10 ⁴ 10.4	2.16·10 ⁴ 10.4	6·10 ⁴ 10.4	$2.16 \cdot 10^4$ 10.4	$2.16 \cdot 10^4$ 10.4	0 ⁴ 10.4	6.4±0.7	5.5-9.0	(Wols and Hofman- Caris, 2012)	2.39	7.0	et al., 2018)																											
												_	6.9	NA	(Kesavan and Powers, 1985)																																									
Primidone (drug,	H ₃ C NH	$C_{12}H_{14}N_2O_2$	500	11.5	6.7	3.0	(Real et al., 2009)	0.53	7.0	(Nihemaiti et al., 2018)																																														
anticonvulsant)	o N																		6.7	3.3-9.0	this study ²	0.90	3.3-9.0	this study ²																																
Methylparaben	OCH.	CoHoOy	2500	85	6.8	3.0	(Tay et al., 2010)	Nc	t available																																															
anti-fungal)	но	0311803		2300	2300 0.5	2300	0.5	7.1	3.3-9.0	this study ²	1.0	3.3-9.0	this study ²																																											
	0				4.95	7.0	(Song et al., 2009)																																																	
DEET (Insecticide.	С N CH3	CH ₃ C ₁₂ H ₁₇ NO	912 0.67	912 0.67	912	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67 -	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67 -	912 0.67	912 0.67	0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	0.67	0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67 -	0.67	912 0.67	912 0.67	012 0.67	7.51	3.0	(Benitez et al., 2013)	9.5	7.0	(Acero et al., 2018)								
repellent)	CH3 CH3										,12 0.07)12 0.07 -)12 0.07 -	/12 0.07 -	0.07	0.07	0.0/	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.0/	0.07					8.5	3.0	(Tay et al., 2011)																											
					6.0	3.3-9.0	this study ²	4.0	3.3-9.0	this study ²																																														

Table 3. Physicochemical characteristics of the target pollutants used in this study and their reactivity towards HO[•] and SO₄^{•-}

					4.7	3.5	(Packer et al., 2003)	1.50		(Lu et al																																
Clofibric acid (herbicide and drug, fat regulator)		$C_{10}H_{11}ClO_3$	583	3.2	5.0±2.4	5.5-9.0	(Wols and Hofman- Caris, 2012)	1.73	7.0	2018)																																
i egulutoi)					8.0	3.3-9.0	this study ²	1.7	3.3-9.0	this study ²																																
					6.5	3.5	(Packer et al., 2003)	1.26	7.5	(Su et al., 2018)																																
Ibuprofen (drug. anti-	rofen anti- natory) $H_{3}C$ CH_{3} OH $C_{13}H_{18}O_{2}$	21	21	5.3 _	5.3	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 -	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	53	53	53	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	53	53	5.3	5.3 -	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	5.3 _	7.0±0.5	5.5-9.0	(Wols and Hofman- Caris, 2012)	1.32	7.0	(Kwon et al., 2015)
inflammatory)		019111002			7.4	7.0	(Marc M. Huber et al., 2003)	1.66	3.0	(Yang et al., 2017)																																
					7.0	3.3-9.0	this study ²	4.9	3.3-9.0	<i>this study</i> ²																																

214 ¹National Center for Biotechnology Information. PubChem Database

215 ²Optimized values used in the simulation of this work

An additional experimental series was carried out by changing the initial concentration in each target contaminant from 100 μ g L⁻¹ to 1000 μ g L⁻¹. Fig. 3 shows the results obtained.

As observed, conversion rates increase as the initial concentration of contaminants is 220 221 decreased. The mechanism of reactions was used to simulate the results, however, the value of kinil changed as the initial concentration of contaminants was varied. Hence 222 values of 1.7.10⁻⁶ s⁻¹, 1.1.10⁻⁶ s⁻¹, and 0.6.10⁻⁶ s⁻¹ were obtained for initial concentrations 223 of 1000, 500 and 100 μ g L⁻¹ of PPCPs. The reason is unclear, although clofibric acid can 224 act as a photosensitizer. Homolytic scission of a covalent bond can generate radical 225 226 species, such as HO[•] and HO₂[•] radicals or even chlorine radicals. Also, by energy transfer or hydrogen abstraction, excited molecules can contribute to the abatement of aqueous 227 contaminants by the production of singlet oxygen as it is the case of pyrene used as a 228 photosensitizer (Miyoshi and Tomita, 1978). In this sense, clofibric acid was also shown 229 230 to photosensitize the lysis of human erythrocytes (Vargas et al., 1993). The dependency of k_{inil} with clofibric acid initial concentration was evaluated leading to a linear 231 relationship. Based on the previous results, the following expression ($R^2 > 0.99$) was 232 233 proposed to calculate the photolysis of PMS to generate radicals (verified under the operating conditions investigated): 234

235
$$-\frac{dC_{PMS}}{dt} = \left[\frac{C_{CA_{o}}(ppb) - 600}{1.89 \times 10^{12} C_{PMS_{o}}(M) - 8.41 \times 10^{7}} + 1.2 \times 10^{6}\right] (1 - 10^{C_{PMS}(M)})$$
(38)

However, the previous expression was only validated in the range of the operatingconditions selected.



Figure 3. Removal of PPCPs in water by PMS in the presence of solar radiation. Influence
of initial PPCPs concentration. Experimental conditions: T=293 K, C_{PMS,0}=0.5 mM,
V=500 mL. Lines: model calculations.

242 *3.2.3. Influence of initial pH*

247

The influence of pH was investigated with the addition of H₃PO₄ buffer (5 mM). Experiments were completed at pH values of 3.3 (no pH adjustment), 5, 7 and 9. Fig. 4 shows the influence of pH in which the significant impact on conversion under basic conditions has to be highlighted.



Figure 4. Removal of PPCPs in water by PMS in the presence of solar radiation at different pH values. Experimental conditions: T=293 K, $C_{PMS,0}=0.5$ mM, V=500 mL, $C_{PPCP,0}=100 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$ (each); buffered with H₃PO₃ 5 mM (pH=3.3, unbuffered).

This enhancement of the process at pH=9 can be justified based on (1) the higher reactivity of methylparaben with PMS in the absence of radiation at alkaline pH, (2) the conversion of sulfate radicals to the less selective hydroxyl radicals, (3) the potential higher photoreactivity of clofibric acid ($pK_a=3.2$) at basic pH leading to singlet oxygen generation, (4) a change of reactivity in the formula of PPCPs due to dissociation with

pH and (5) the higher radical generation by PMS photolysis. A change in reactivity of the 256 257 PPCPs dissociated and non-dissociated formulas are discharged as the main reason since the marginal change of the second order rate constant with hydroxyl radical, see Table 3, 258 259 do not explain the higher reactivity registered at basic pH. However, the higher photolysis of PMS at high pH was hypothesized by Guan and coworkers in the pH range 8-10 by 260 using UVC radiation (Guan et al., 2011). The apparent-molar absorption coefficient of 261 PMS is 1 order magnitude higher at pH=11 if compared to neutral pH. This behavior 262 would explain the increase of the removal rate when raising the pH from 7 to 9. 263

264 *3.2.4. Influence of scavengers*

265 Influence of azide addition

Previously, the potential generation of singlet oxygen was proposed as an alternative 266 route of contaminant abatement. NaN₃ is known to scavenge singlet oxygen (Xu et al., 267 2008), however, this compound can also react with hydroxyl radical, sulfate radical and 268 even PMS. The azide ion reacts with HO[•] with a high rate constant value of $1-8 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$ 269 s⁻¹ (Shinohara et al., 1962; Xu et al., 2008) and also with SO₄⁻⁻ but with a one magnitude 270 lower rate constant, 2.4.10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Huie and Clifton, 1990). The presence of 10 mM of 271 azide completely inhibited the abatement of PPCPs with the exception of clofibric acid 272 which is eliminated by direct photolysis (results not shown). The process was simulated 273 274 by means of the proposed mechanism. Two strategies were adopted, first assuming that azide does not react with sulfate radicals, and, secondly, assigning a rate constant of 275 $2.4 \cdot 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ to the aforementioned reaction. In the first case, the abatement of PPCPs 276 277 was not inhibited, suggesting the existence of additional routes of elimination of 278 contaminants, likely due to their reaction with singlet oxygen, or alternatively, due to their reaction with sulfate radicals. In the second case, PCPPs conversion modelling came to a 279 halt. As a consequence, addition of azide cannot be taken as an irrefutable proof of the 280

role played by singlet oxygen. Likely, the reaction of NaN₃ with sulfate radicals is the
reason of the complete inhibition of the oxidation process.

283 Influence of t-BuOH addition

t-BuOH shows a relatively high reactivity towards hydroxyl radicals $(3.8-7.6 \cdot 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and low rate constant with sulfate radicals $(4.0-9.1 \cdot 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$. Experimental results indicate that in the presence of 10 mM of t-BuOH, partial inhibition of the process was experienced, suggesting the presence of hydroxyl radicals in the process (Fig. 5). The mechanism of reactions proposed could adequately model the kinetics of degradation for the rest of the target contaminants

290 Influence of EtOH addition

Ethanol can scavenge both hydroxyl radicals $(1.2-2.8 \cdot 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and sulfate radicals 291 $(1.6-7.7 \cdot 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ if a high concentration of the scavenger is used. According to the 292 mechanism of reactions proposed, a concentration of 10 mM in ethanol should almost 293 completely inhibit PPCPs removal with the exception of clofibric acid. The lack of 294 295 influence of alcohols in the degradation of clofibric acid denotes, once again, that this compound is not removed by the action of radicals. However, experimental data revealed 296 the partial abatement of the rest of contaminants with the exception of ibuprofen (Fig. 5). 297 From the analysis of the evolution of ibuprofen in the presence of alcohols can be 298 observed that the degradation of this PPCPs is not completely, as expected with EtOH, of 299 300 partially, as expected with T-BuOH, which provides some evidence of extra degradation 301 routes. These results can be explained by the presence of singlet oxygen, or even, by considering that ethanol attack can initiate a radical mechanism involving the generation 302 303 of hydroperoxyl radicals and ultimately hydrogen peroxide according to Table 4 (Bothe et al., 1983). 304

Reaction	Rate constant	Eq.
$CH_3CH_2OH(aq) + HO^{\bullet}(aq) \rightarrow CH_3CHOH^{\bullet}(aq) + H_2O(l)$	$k=1.2-2.8\cdot10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	(39)
$CH_{3}CH_{2}OH(aq) + SO_{4}^{\bullet-}(aq) \rightarrow CH_{3}CHOH^{\bullet}(aq) + H_{2}O(l)$	$1.6-7.7 \cdot 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	(40)
$CH_{3}CHOH^{\bullet}(aq) + O_{2}(g) \rightarrow CH_{3}CO_{2}HOH^{\bullet}(aq)$	$k=3.5\cdot10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	(41)
$CH_3CO_2HOH^{\bullet}(aq) \rightarrow CH_3CHO(aq) + HO_2^{\bullet}(aq)$	$k=9.10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$	(42)
$CH_3CO_2HOH^{\bullet}(aq) \rightarrow CH_3CHO(aq) + O_2^{\bullet-}(aq)$	$k=4.10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$	(43)
$2 \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{HOH}^{\bullet}(aq) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHOH} - \text{OOOO} - \text{HOHCH}_3\text{C}(aq)$	$k=3.5\cdot10^8 M^{-1} s^{-1}$	(44)
$CH_{3}CHOH - OOOO - HOHCH_{3}C(aq) \rightarrow 2CH_{3}COHOH^{\bullet}(aq) + O_{2}(g)$	Not available	(45)
$CH_{3}CHOH - OOOO - HOHCH_{3}C(aq) \rightarrow 2CH_{3}COHOH^{\bullet}(aq) + H_{2}O_{2}(aq)$	Not available	(46)
$2CH_{3}COHOH^{\bullet}(aq) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 2HO_{2}^{\bullet}(aq) + 2CH_{3}COOH(aq) \\ 2CH_{3}^{\bullet}(aq) + 2HCOOH(aq) \end{cases}$	Not available	(47)
$\mathrm{HO}_{2}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{O}_{2}^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{g})$	k=9.0·10 ⁷	(48)

Table 4. PMS photolysis mechanism: scavenging reactions in the presence of EtOH

Hydrogen peroxide generated in the previous mechanism can undergo homolytic
scission by radiation to form hydroxyl radicals. Alternatively, some compounds can react
with hydroperoxyl radical or methyl radical.



309

Figure 5. Removal of PPCPs in water by PMS in the presence of solar radiation. Influence

of the scavenging effect of ethanol (A) and TBA (B). Experimental conditions: T=293 K, C_{PMS,0}=0.5 mM, V=500 mL, C_{PPCP,0}=100 μ g L⁻¹ (each); C_{scavenger}=10 mM;

313 pH=unbuffered. Lines: model calculations.

314 **3.3. PMS photolysis in real water matrices**

Real waters present a variety of substances capable of inhibiting the radicals present in the system. The main species to be considered are the organic matter (measured as total organic carbon, TOC), carbonate (measured as inorganic carbon, IC), nitrate, sulfate and chloride. Accordingly, the reactions summarized in Table 5 should be added to the general mechanism (Ahn et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2020; Lian et al., 2017).

Reaction	Rate constant (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	Eq.
$\mathrm{HO}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$	$k=3.9\cdot10^{8}$	(49)
$\mathrm{HO}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{\bullet-}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{HO}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$	$k=8.6\cdot10^{6}$	(50)
$SO_4^{\bullet-}(aq) + HCO_3^{-}(aq) \rightarrow CO_3^{\bullet-}(aq) + HSO_4^{-}(aq)$	$k=2.8\cdot 10^{6}$	(51)
$SO_4^{\bullet-}(aq) + CO_3^{2-}(aq) \rightarrow CO_3^{\bullet-}(aq) + SO_4^{2-}(aq)$	$k=6.1\cdot10^{6}$	(52)
$SO_4^{\bullet-}(aq) + Cl^-(aq) \rightarrow Cl^{\bullet}(aq) + SO_4^{2-}(aq)$	$k=3.0\cdot10^{8}$	(53)
$HO^{\bullet}(aq) + TOC(aq) \rightarrow P(aq)$	$k=(1.6-3.3)\cdot 10^8$	(54)
$SO_4^{\bullet-}(aq) + TOC(aq) \rightarrow P(aq)$	$k=(2.5-8.1)\cdot 10^7$	(55)
$SO_4^{\bullet-}(aq) + NO_3^{-}(aq) \rightarrow NO_3^{\bullet}(aq) + SO_4^{2-}(aq)$	$k=2.1\cdot 10^{6}$	(56)

Table 5. PMS photolysis mechanism: scavenging reactions in real water matrix

An experimental series was carried out with water taken from the Guadiana River in 321 Badajoz after spiking with 100 μ g L⁻¹ in each pharmaceutical. As observed in Fig. 6, with 322 323 the exception of clofibric acid, the degradation rate for the rest of pharmaceuticals significantly decreased if compared to the run completed performed in ultrapure water 324 325 (Fig. 2). Degradation percentages after 2 hours also decreased. Competition for radicals 326 seems to be the reason for this effect. Common values for the reaction of radicals with organic matter (Eqs. 54 and 55) allowed to simulate the process and predict the 327 experimental kinetics with a low error. Several studies report that the second order rate 328

constant between natural organic matter and sulfate radicals are 1 magnitude lower thanthe respective with hydroxyl radical (Lee et al., 2020).

According to the previous results, an increase in radical generation is suggested to enhance the removal rate of target contaminants. Consequently, an experimental series

- 333 was carried out by increasing the amount of PMS added at the beginning. Fig. 6 shows
- the beneficial effect of higher doses of peroxymonosulfate.



335

Figure 6. Removal of PPCPs in Guadiana River water by PMS in the presence of solar
radiation. Influence of initial PMS concentration. Experimental conditions: T=293 K,
V=500 mL, C_{PPCP,0}=100 µg L⁻¹ (each). Lines: model calculation.

A similar experimental series was carried out by spiking the wastewater effluent of a
wastewater treatment plant. The role of PMS dose was tested also in the range 0.5-5 mM.

All the micropollutants were satisfactorily oxidized after 120 min with an initial PMS 341 342 dose of 5 mM. As observed in Fig. 7, this effluent presented a higher scavenging capacity of radicals, inhibiting the process to a higher extent under similar conditions than that 343 used with river water. The WWTP effluent presented lower TOC value than the river 344 water; therefore, the higher amount of inorganic carbon and chloride may explain the 345 greater scavenging effect observed in the WWTP effluent. If the application of the model 346 347 is analyzed for both matrices, the model prediction is more accurate for the river effluent. In the WWTP matrix, the model underestimates the scavenging effect of the matrix. A 348 plausible explanation for that effect may be the different nature of the organic matter 349 350 between the two matrices. Substances accounting for TOC in WWTP are more reactive than what is considered in Eqs. 54 and 55 and the standard value considered for the kinetic 351 rate constant is not representative of the TOC of this matrix. 352

The treatment of wastewater with inorganic peroxides such as peroxymonosulfate results in an increase of sulfates in water after treatment. Considering that all the sulfur contained in PMS is transformed into sulfate, and also the sulfate already included in Oxone® salt formula, a final amount of 480 mg L⁻¹ of sulfate would be added to the initial 55 and 60.4 mg L⁻¹ already present in the river and wastewater matrices, respectively. Depending on the further application or discharge policy, sulfate may need additional removal from water.



Figure 7. Removal of PPCPs in WWTP effluent by PMS in the presence of solar
radiation. Influence of initial PMS concentration. Experimental conditions: T=293 K,
V=500 mL C_{PPCP,0}=100 µg L⁻¹ (each). Lines: model calculation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

A mixture of six organic micropollutants representative of the typical pharmaceutical 365 366 and personal care products (i.e. caffeine, primidone, methylparaben, DEET, clofibric acid and ibuprofen) detected in aqueous effluents has been successfully treated by the 367 368 combination of peroxymonosulfate and solar radiation. The irradiation of PMS leads to the generation of hydroxyl radicals and sulfate radicals, as scavenging test suggested, 369 370 capable of oxidizing the organic contaminants. In the absence of radiation, no direct oxidation of the contaminants was observed except for methylparaben at a basic pH. 371 Clofibric acid showed preferential oxidation by the sole action of radiation (photolysis). 372 The reactivity of the compounds followed the order methylparaben > caffeine > ibuprofen 373 374 > primidone ~ DEET.

The kinetic simulation of the whole process considering initiation, mainly the formation of hydroxyl radicals and sulfate radicals, propagation and termination steps was satisfactorily able to describe the experimental profile of the PPCPs concentration versus time. Different conditions, such as initial PMS concentration, initial PPCPs concentration, pH and matrix constituents were taken into account for proper modeling of the kinetics of the process.

381 Acknowledgments

Mr. Rafael Rodríguez Solís is grateful to *Ramón Areces* Foundation (Madrid, Spain) for his postdoctoral fellowship at the University of Cincinnati (XXX edition of grants for Postgraduate Studies in Life and Matter Sciences in Foreign Universities and Research Centers 2018/2019). D. D. Dionysiou also acknowledges support from the University of Cincinnati through a UNESCO co-Chair Professor position on "Water Access and

- 387 Sustainability" and the Herman Schneider Professorship in the College of Engineering
- 388 and Applied Sciences.

389 **REFERENCES**

- Acero, J.L., Benítez, F.J., Real, F.J., Rodríguez, E., 2018. Degradation of selected
 emerging contaminants by UV-activated persulfate: Kinetics and influence of matrix
 constituents. Sep. Purif. Technol. 201, 41–50.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.02.055
- Ahn, Y., Lee, D., Kwon, M., Choi, I. hwan, Nam, S.N., Kang, J.W., 2017. Characteristics
 and fate of natural organic matter during UV oxidation processes. Chemosphere 184,
 960–968. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.06.079
- Benitez, F.J., Acero, J.L., Real, F.J., Roldan, G., Rodriguez, E., 2013. Modeling the
 photodegradation of emerging contaminants in waters by UV radiation and
 UV/H2O2 system. J. Environ. Sci. Heal. Part A Toxic/Hazardous Subst. Environ.
 Eng. 48, 120–128. https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2012.707864
- 401 Bothe, E., Nien Schuchmann, M., Schulte-Frohlinde, D., von Sonntag, C., 1983.
 402 Hydroxyl Radical-Induced Oxidation of Ethanol in Oxygenated Aqueous Solutions.
 403 A Pulse Radiolysis and Product Study. Zeitschrift fur Naturforsch. Sect. B J. Chem.
 404 Sci. 38, 212–219. https://doi.org/10.1515/znb-1983-0218
- Broséus, R., Vincent, S., Aboulfadl, K., Daneshvar, A., Sauvé, S., Barbeau, B., Prévost,
 M., 2009. Ozone oxidation of pharmaceuticals, endocrine disruptors and pesticides
 during drinking water treatment. Water Res. 43, 4707–4717.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.07.031
- Chen, Y., Deng, P., Xie, P., Shang, R., Wang, Z., Wang, S., 2017. Heat-activated persulfate oxidation of methyl- and ethyl-parabens: Effect, kinetics, and mechanism.
 Chemosphere 168, 1628–1636.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.11.143
- 413 Doll, T.E., Frimmel, F.H., 2003. Fate of pharmaceuticals—photodegradation by
 414 simulated solar UV-light. Chemosphere 52, 1757–1769.
 415 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(03)00446-6
- Ferreira, L.C., Castro-Alférez, M., Nahim-Granados, S., Polo-López, M.I., Lucas, M.S.,
 Li Puma, G., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., 2020. Inactivation of water pathogens with solar
 photo-activated persulfate oxidation. Chem. Eng. J. 381, 122275.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122275
- Guan, Y.-H., Ma, J., Li, X.-C., Fang, J.-Y., Chen, L.-W., 2011. Influence of pH on the
 Formation of Sulfate and Hydroxyl Radicals in the UV/Peroxymonosulfate System.

- 422 Environ. Sci. Technol 45, 9308–9314. https://doi.org/10.1021/es2017363
- Guerra-Rodríguez, S., Rodríguez, E., Singh, D.N., Rodríguez-Chueca, J., GuerraRodríguez, S., Rodríguez, E., Singh, D.N., Rodríguez-Chueca, J., Singh, N.D.,
 Rodríguez-Chueca, J., 2018. Assessment of Sulfate Radical-Based Advanced
 Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment: A Review. Water 10,
 1828. https://doi.org/10.3390/w10121828
- Haman, C., Dauchy, X., Rosin, C., Munoz, J.F., 2015. Occurrence, fate and behavior of
 parabens in aquatic environments: A review. Water Res.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.09.030
- Hoops, S., Gauges, R., Lee, C., Pahle, J., Simus, N., Singhal, M., Xu, L., Mendes, P.,
 Kummer, U., 2006. COPASI A COmplex PAthway SImulator. Bioinformatics 22,
 3067–3074. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl485
- Huie, R.E., Clifton, C.L., 1990. Temperature dependence of the rate constants for
 reactions of the sulfate radical, SO4-, with anions. J. Phys. Chem. 94, 8561–8567.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/j100386a015
- 437 Kesavan, P.C., Powers, E.L., 1985. Differential Modification of Oxic and Anoxic Components of Radiation Damage in Bacillus Megaterium Spores by Caffeine. Int. 438 439 Radiat. Biol. Relat. Stud. Physics, Chem. Med. 48, 223-233. J. https://doi.org/10.1080/09553008514551221 440
- Kümmerer, K., Dionysiou, D.D., Olsson, O., Fatta-Kassinos, D., 2018. A path to clean
 water. Science (80-.). 361, 222–224. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau2405
- Kuster, M., López de Alda, M.J., Hernando, M.D., Petrovic, M., Martín-Alonso, J.,
 Barceló, D., 2008. Analysis and occurrence of pharmaceuticals, estrogens,
 progestogens and polar pesticides in sewage treatment plant effluents, river water
 and drinking water in the Llobregat river basin (Barcelona, Spain). J. Hydrol. 358,
 112–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2008.05.030
- Kwon, M., Kim, S., Yoon, Y., Jung, Y., Hwang, T.M., Lee, J., Kang, J.W., 2015.
 Comparative evaluation of ibuprofen removal by UV/H2O2 and UV/S2O82processes for wastewater treatment. Chem. Eng. J. 269, 379–390.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.01.125
- Lee, J., von Gunten, U., Kim, J.-H., 2020. Persulfate-based Advanced Oxidation: Critical
 Assessment of Opportunities and Roadblocks. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 3064–
 3081. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b07082
- Lian, L., Yao, B., Hou, S., Fang, J., Yan, S., Song, W., 2017. Kinetic Study of Hydroxyl
 and Sulfate Radical-Mediated Oxidation of Pharmaceuticals in Wastewater
 Effluents. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 2954–2962.

Lou, X., Wu, L., Guo, Y., Chen, C., Wang, Z., Xiao, D., Fang, C., Liu, J., Zhao, J., Lu,
S., 2014. Peroxymonosulfate activation by phosphate anion for organics degradation
in water. Chemosphere 117, 582–585.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.09.046

- Lu, X., Shao, Y., Gao, N., Chen, J., Deng, H., Chu, W., An, N., Peng, F., 2018. 463 464 Investigation of clofibric acid removal by UV/persulfate and UV/chlorine processes: 465 Kinetics and formation of disinfection byproducts during subsequent 466 chloramination. Chem. Eng. J. 331, 364-371. 467 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2017.08.117
- Luo, C., Ma, J., Jiang, J., Liu, Y., Song, Y., Yang, Y., Guan, Y., Wu, D., 2015. Simulation
 and comparative study on the oxidation kinetics of atrazine by UV/H2O2,
 UV/HSO5- and UV/S2O82-. Water Res. 80, 99–108.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.05.019
- Marc M. Huber, Silvio Canonica, Gun-Young Park, A., Gunten, U. von, 2003. Oxidation
 of Pharmaceuticals during Ozonation and Advanced Oxidation Processes. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 37, 1016–1024. https://doi.org/10.1021/ES025896H
- Miyoshi, N., Tomita, G., 1978. Production and Reaction of Singlet Oxygen in Aqueous
 Micellar Solutions Using Pyrene as Photosensitizer. Zeitschrift fur Naturforsch. Sect. B J. Chem. Sci. 33, 622–627. https://doi.org/10.1515/znb-1978-0612
- Nihemaiti, M., Miklos, D.B., Hübner, U., Linden, K.G., Drewes, J.E., Croué, J.P., 2018.
 Removal of trace organic chemicals in wastewater effluent by UV/H2O2 and UV/PDS. Water Res. 145, 487–497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.08.052
- Packer, J.L., Werner, J.J., Latch, D.E., McNeill, K., Arnold, W.A., 2003. Photochemical
 fate of pharmaceuticals in the environment: Naproxen, diclofenac, clofibric acid, and
 ibuprofen. Aquat. Sci. 65, 342–351. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-003-0671-8
- Pereira, V.J., Linden, K.G., Weinberg, H.S., 2007. Evaluation of UV irradiation for
 photolytic and oxidative degradation of pharmaceutical compounds in water. Water
 Res. 41, 4413–4423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.05.056
- Qi, C., Liu, X., Ma, J., Lin, C., Li, X., Zhang, H., 2016. Activation of peroxymonosulfate
 by base: Implications for the degradation of organic pollutants. Chemosphere 151,
 280–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.02.089
- Real, F.J., Benitez, F.J., Acero, J.L., Sagasti, J.J.P., Casas, F., 2009. Kinetics of the
 Chemical Oxidation of the Pharmaceuticals Primidone, Ketoprofen, and Diatrizoate
 in Ultrapure and Natural Waters. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 48, 3380–3388.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ie801762p

- 494 Sbardella, L., Velo-Gala, I., Comas, J., Rodríguez-Roda Layret, I., Fenu, A., Gernjak, W.,
 495 2019. The impact of wastewater matrix on the degradation of pharmaceutically
 496 active compounds by oxidation processes including ultraviolet radiation and sulfate
 497 radicals. J. Hazard. Mater. 380, 120869.
 498 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.120869
- Shinohara, K., Shida, T., Saito, N., 1962. Radiation Decomposition of Aqueous Azide
 Solution. J. Chem. Phys. 37, 173–177. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1732947
- Shu, Z., Bolton, J.R., Belosevic, M., Gamal El Din, M., 2013. Photodegradation of
 emerging micropollutants using the medium-pressure UV/H2O2 Advanced
 Oxidation Process. Water Res. 47, 2881–2889.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.02.045
- Solís, R.R., Rivas, F.J., Chávez, A.M., Dionysiou, D.D., 2019. Simulated solar photoassisted decomposition of peroxymonosulfate. Radiation filtering and operational
 variables influence on the oxidation of aqueous bezafibrate. Water Res. 162, 383–
 393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.06.063
- Song, W., Cooper, W.J., Peake, B.M., Mezyk, S.P., Nickelsen, M.G., O'Shea, K.E., 2009.
 Free-radical-induced oxidative and reductive degradation of N,N'-diethyl-mtoluamide (DEET): Kinetic studies and degradation pathway. Water Res. 43, 635–
 642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.11.018
- Su, R., Wei, Z., Luo, S., Spinney, R., Gao, L., Liu, Y., Wu, C., Chai, L., Xiao, R., 2018.
 Environmental Matrix Effects on Degradation Kinetics of Ibuprofen in a UV/
 Persulfate System. J. Adv. Oxid. Technol. 21.
 https://doi.org/10.26802/jaots.2017.0067
- 517 Svalova, Z.H.E.-V., 2018. Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products: Risks,
 518 Challenges, and Solutions. IntechOpen, Rijeka.
 519 https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.70799
- Tay, K.S., Rahman, N.A., Abas, M.R. Bin, 2011. Removal of Selected Endocrine
 Disrupting Chemicals and Personal Care Products in Surface Waters and Secondary
 Wastewater by Ozonation. Water Environ. Res. 83, 684–691.
 https://doi.org/10.2175/106143011x12989211841179
- Tay, K.S., Rahman, N.A., Abas, M.R. Bin, 2010. Ozonation of parabens in aqueous
 solution: Kinetics and mechanism of degradation. Chemosphere 81, 1446–1453.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.09.004
- Vargas, F., Canudas, N., Miranda, M.A., Boscá, F., 1993. In vitro phototoxicity of
 clofibrate. Photochemical and photohemolytic studies on its metabolite clofibric
 acid. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol. 21, 61–67.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/1011-1344(93)80165-6

- Vela, N., Fenoll, J., Garrido, I., Pérez-Lucas, G., Flores, P., Hellín, P., Navarro, S., 2019.
 Reclamation of agro-wastewater polluted with pesticide residues using sunlight
 activated persulfate for agricultural reuse. Sci. Total Environ. 660, 923–930.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.060
- Wacławek, S., Lutze, H. V., Grübel, K., Padil, V.V.T., Černík, M., Dionysiou, D.D.,
 2017. Chemistry of persulfates in water and wastewater treatment: A review. Chem.
 Eng. J. 330, 44–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2017.07.132
- Wang, J., Wang, S., 2018. Activation of persulfate (PS) and peroxymonosulfate (PMS)
 and application for the degradation of emerging contaminants. Chem. Eng. J. 334,
 1502–1517. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2017.11.059
- Wols, B.A., Hofman-Caris, C.H.M., 2012. Review of photochemical reaction constants
 of organic micropollutants required for UV advanced oxidation processes in water.
 Water Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.03.036
- Wu, Y., Fang, Z., Shi, Y., Chen, H., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Dong, W., 2019. Activation of peroxymonosulfate by BiOCl@Fe3O4 catalyst for the degradation of atenolol:
 Kinetics, parameters, products and mechanism. Chemosphere 216, 248–257. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.10.012
- Xu, Z., Jing, C., Li, F., Meng, X., 2008. Mechanisms of Photocatalytical Degradation of
 Monomethylarsonic and Dimethylarsinic Acids Using Nanocrystalline Titanium
 Dioxide. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42, 2349–2354. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0719677
- Yang, X., Flowers, R.C., Weinberg, H.S., Singer, P.C., 2011. Occurrence and removal of
 pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in an advanced wastewater
 reclamation plant. Water Res. 45, 5218–5228.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2011.07.026
- Yang, Z., Su, R., Luo, S., Spinney, R., Cai, M., Xiao, R., Wei, Z., 2017. Comparison of
 the reactivity of ibuprofen with sulfate and hydroxyl radicals: An experimental and
 theoretical study. Sci. Total Environ. 590–591, 751–760.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.039
- Yu, X.Y., Barker, J.R., 2003. Hydrogen peroxide photolysis in acidic aqueous solutions
 containing chloride ions. II. Quantum yield of HO(Aq) radicals. J. Phys. Chem. A
 107, 1325–1332. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp026666s