Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Discrete Mathematics** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

# A Frobenius problem suggested by prime k-tuplets

# Aureliano M. Robles-Pérez<sup>a,\*</sup>, José Carlos Rosales<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Matemática Aplicada & Instituto de Matemáticas (IMAG), Universidad de Granada, 18071-Granada, Spain
<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Álgebra & Instituto de Matemáticas (IMAG), Universidad de Granada, 18071-Granada, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 March 2022 Received in revised form 14 February 2023 Accepted 15 February 2023 Available online xxxx

Keywords: k-tuplets Admissible constellation Frobenius number Numerical semigroup Apéry set

# ABSTRACT

We study the Frobenius problem for certain *k*-tuplets, which include prime *k*-tuplets. Moreover, we analyze some properties of the numerical semigroups associated with these tuplets.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

A prime triplet is a sequence of three prime numbers  $(p_1, p_2, p_3)$  such that  $p_1 < p_2 < p_3$  and  $p_3 - p_1 = 6$ . In particular, the sequences must be of the form (p, p+2, p+6) or (p, p+4, p+6). Since one of every three consecutive odd numbers is a multiple of three, and therefore not prime (except for three itself), we have that the prime triplets are the closest possible groupings of three prime numbers with the exceptions of (2, 3, 5) and (3, 5, 7).

Analogously, a *prime quadruplet* is a sequence  $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$ , of four prime numbers, such that  $p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < p_4$  and  $p_4 - p_1 = 8$ . In this case, the sequences must be of the form (p, p + 2, p + 6, p + 8) and are the closest possible groupings of four prime numbers with the exceptions of (2, 3, 5, 7) and (3, 5, 7, 11).

To formalize the concept of k-tuplet, let us follow [6]: if k is an integer greater than one, then s(k) is the smallest number for which there exists a set of k ordered integers  $B_k = \{b_1, ..., b_k\}$  such that  $b_1 = 0$ ,  $b_k = s(k)$ , and  $\{b_1 \mod q, ..., b_k \mod q\} \neq \{0, 1, ..., q - 1\}$  (that is,  $\{b_1 \mod q, ..., b_k \mod q\}$  is not a complete set of residues modulo q) for any prime number q. From here, a prime k-tuplet is a sequence of consecutive prime numbers,  $P_k = (p_1, ..., p_k)$ , such that  $p_i - p_1 = b_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  (observe that  $p_k - p_1 = s(k)$ ). Thus, roughly speaking, a prime k-tuplet is a sequence of k consecutive prime numbers such that the difference between the first and the last (that is, s(k)) is as small as possible. Sometimes  $B_k$  is called an *admissible set* and  $P_k$  is named an *admissible constellation (of consecutive prime numbers)* (see [5]).

Let us observe that if we remove the condition on the function s(k), we will have other admissible constellations. For example, (p, p + 4) (cousin primes), (p, p + 6) (sexy prime pairs), (p, p + 6, p + 12) (sexy prime triplets), etcetera.

Let us also note that from the definition, it is easy to see that we can only obtain a finite number of sequences of k consecutive prime numbers  $(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$  such that  $p_k - p_1 < s(k)$ . In contrast, the generalized Hardy-Littlewood conjecture

\* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: arobles@ugr.es (A.M. Robles-Pérez), jrosales@ugr.es (J.C. Rosales).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2023.113394





<sup>0012-365</sup>X/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

(see [8,9]) implies that, for every admissible set  $B_k$ , we can obtain infinitely many prime k-tuplets. In fact, all the current evidence confirm the conjecture for any admissible constellation (whether or not considering the condition on s(k)).

Let  $(a_1, \ldots, a_e)$  be a sequence of positive integers such that  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_e) = 1$ . Then a classical problem in additive number theory is the Frobenius problem: what is the greatest integer  $F(a_1, \ldots, a_e)$  that is not an element of the set  $a_1 \mathbb{N} + \ldots + a_e \mathbb{N}$ ? Although there exists a solution for this problem when e = 2 (see [18]), it is well-known that it is not possible to find a polynomial formula in order to compute  $F(a_1, \ldots, a_e)$  if  $e \ge 3$  (see [4]). Therefore, many efforts have been devoted to obtaining partial results or developing algorithms to get the answer to this question (see [13]).

Among others, the main objective of this work is to find the solution to the Frobenius problem for (prime) triplets (Section 3) and (prime) quadruplets (Section 4). We also comment on some results for *k*-tuplets with  $k \ge 5$  (Section 5). Moreover, from the computations, we conjecture that polynomials of degree two allow us to compute the Frobenius number of *k*-tuplets.

To achieve our purposes, we use the theory of numerical semigroups (closely related to the Frobenius problem) and, in particular, the Apéry set of a numerical semigroup (Section 2).

It is worth noting that the restriction on prime numbers is not essential to get the proposed results. In fact, in the statements on Apéry sets, Frobenius and pseudo-Frobenius numbers, and genus, we will consider *k*-tuples that only verify the condition of the admissible constellation; that is, if  $\{p_1, p_2, ..., p_k\}$  is a *k*-tuplet, then  $\{p_1 - p_1, p_2 - p_1, ..., p_k - p_1\}$  must be an admissible set.

# 2. Preliminaries (on numerical semigroups)

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of integers and  $\mathbb{N} = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \ge 0\}$ . A submonoid of  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  is a subset M of  $\mathbb{N}$  that is closed under addition and contains the zero element. A *numerical semigroup* is a submonoid of  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  such that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \notin S\}$  is finite.

Let *S* be a numerical semigroup. Since  $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$  is a finite set, we can define two invariants of *S*. Namely, the *Frobenius number of S* is the greatest integer that does not belong to *S*, denoted by F(S), and the *genus of S* is the cardinality of  $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ , denoted by g(S). Let us note that, in number theory, it is common to use the term *Sylvester number* instead of the term genus (see [10]).

If X is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , then we denote by  $\langle X \rangle$  the submonoid of  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  generated by X, that is,

$$\langle X \rangle = \{\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is well-known (see Lemma 2.1 of [16]) that  $\langle X \rangle$  is a numerical semigroup if and only if gcd(X) = 1.

If *S* is a numerical semigroup and  $S = \langle X \rangle$ , then we say that *X* is a *system of generators of S*. Moreover, if  $S \neq \langle Y \rangle$  for any subset  $Y \subsetneq X$ , then we say that *X* is a *minimal system of generators* of *S*. In Theorem 2.7 of [16], it is shown that each numerical semigroup admits a unique minimal system of generators and that such a system is finite. We denote by msg(*S*) the minimal system of generators of *S*. The cardinality of msg(*S*), denoted by e(S), is the *embedding dimension* of *S*.

The (extended) Frobenius problem for a numerical semigroup *S* consists of finding formulas that allow us to compute F(S) and g(S) in terms of msg(S). As in the case of the Frobenius problem for sequences, such formulas are well-known for e(S) = 2, but it is not possible to find polynomial formulas when  $e(S) \ge 3$ , except for particular families of numerical semigroups.

Now, let us define a useful tool to describe a numerical semigroup *S*. If  $n \in S \setminus \{0\}$ , then the *Apéry set of n in S* (named in honour of [1]) is  $Ap(S, n) = \{s \in S \mid s - n \notin S\}$ .

The following result is Lemma 2.4 of [16].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let S be a numerical semigroup and  $n \in S \setminus \{0\}$ . Then the cardinality of Ap(S, n) is n. Moreover,

 $Ap(S, n) = \{w(0) = 0, w(1), \dots, w(n-1)\},\$ 

where w(i) is the least element of S congruent with i modulo n.

The knowledge of Ap(*S*, *n*) allows us to solve the problem of membership of an integer to the numerical semigroup *S*. In fact, if  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $x \in S$  if and only if  $x \ge w(x \mod n)$ . Moreover, we have the following result from [3] (first formula) and [17] (second one).

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let S be a numerical semigroup and let*  $n \in S \setminus \{0\}$ *. Then* 

1.  $F(S) = \max(Ap(S, n)) - n,$ 2.  $g(S) = \frac{1}{n} (\sum_{w \in Ap(S, n)} w) - \frac{n-1}{2}.$ 

From this proposition, we have the solution to the Frobenius problem for S if we know an explicit description of Ap(S, n).

**Remark 2.3.** In [10,11], the author uses Apéry sets to compute the Frobenius number and the Sylvester number (that is, the genus) of numerical semigroups generated by arithmetic progressions and by arithmetic progressions with initial gaps. In particular, our corresponding results in Corollary 3.13 can be deduced from [11] (see Remarks 3.16 and 3.17).

**Remark 2.4.** We can consider different generalizations of the Frobenius number. For example, from [12] (and other references therein), it is possible to define the *p*-Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup  $S = \langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_e \rangle$  as the greatest integer that can be represented at most *p* ways by a linear combination with non-negative integer coefficients of  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_e$ . Thus, the 0-Frobenius number is the classical Frobenius number. Since in [12] the author uses Apéry sets to obtain his results, a possible future work would be to compute the *p*-Frobenius number for *k*-tuplets.

Let *S* be a numerical semigroup. Following the notation introduced in [15], we say that an integer *x* is a *pseudo-Frobenius* number of *S* if  $x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus S$  and  $x + s \in S$  for all  $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ . We denote by PF(*S*) the set of all the pseudo-Frobenius numbers of *S*. The cardinality of PF(*S*) is a notable invariant of *S* (see [2]), the so-called *type* of *S*, denoted by t(S).

Let *S* be a numerical semigroup. We define over  $\mathbb{Z}$  the following binary relation:  $a \leq_S b$  if  $b - a \in S$ . As stated in [16], it is clear that  $\leq_S$  is a non-strict partial order relation (that is, reflexive, transitive, and anti-symmetric).

The following result is Proposition 7 of [7] (see also Proposition 2.20 of [16]) and characterizes the pseudo-Frobenius numbers in terms of the maximal elements of Ap(S, n) with respect to the relation  $\leq_S$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let S* be a numerical semigroup and  $n \in S \setminus \{0\}$ . Then

 $PF(S) = \{w - n \mid w \in Maximals_{\leq S}(Ap(S, n))\}.$ 

#### 3. Triplets in the form of prime triplets

To have a well-posed Frobenius problem, we can consider six possibilities of triplets satisfying the condition of the admissible constellation (see [14]). However, since reasonings and calculations are similar, we will study in detail the two cases concerning prime triplets.

Let us recall that a prime triplet is of the form (p, p+2, p+6) or of the form (p, p+4, p+6). We improve this fact in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** We have that:

1. If (p, p+2, p+6) is a prime triplet, then p = 6k + 5, with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

2. If (p, p + 4, p + 6) is a prime triplet, then p = 6k + 7, with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** It is clear that if  $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  is not divisible by two or three, then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that p = 6k + 5 or p = 6k + 7.

1. If p = 6k + 7, then p + 2 = 6k + 9 is multiple of three and can not be a prime number. Consequently, if (p, p + 2, p + 6) is a prime triplet, then p = 6k + 5.

2. The reasoning is similar to the above case.  $\hfill\square$ 

As a consequence of the previous proposition, a prime triplet is of one of the following two forms.

1. (6k + 5, 6k + 7, 6k + 11), with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

2. (6k + 7, 6k + 11, 6k + 13), with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since gcd(6k + 5, 6k + 7, 6k + 11) = gcd(6k + 7, 6k + 11, 6k + 13) = 1, we can define two families of numerical semigroups ( $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ).

- $S \in \mathcal{T}_1$  if  $S = \langle 6k + 5, 6k + 7, 6k + 11 \rangle$ , with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $S \in T_2$  if S = (6k + 7, 6k + 11, 6k + 13), with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is easy to check that if  $S \in T_1 \cup T_2$ , then e(S) = 3. Moreover, from [7], we deduce the following result.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let *S* be a numerical semigroup such that e(S) = 3. Then  $t(S) \in \{1, 2\}$ . In addition, if  $S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ , where  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  are pairwise relatively prime numbers, then t(S) = 2.

An immediate consequence of the above comments and results is the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $S \in T_1 \cup T_2$ , then e(S) = 3 and t(S) = 2.

#### 3.1. First case (family $T_1$ )

In this subsection, we are interested in studying the numerical semigroups of the form  $S = \langle 6k + 5, 6k + 7, 6k + 11 \rangle$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Straightforward computations lead to the following result.

**Lemma 3.4.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *, then we have the equalities* 

1. 3(6k + 7) = 2(6k + 5) + 1(6k + 11);

- 2. (2k+2)(6k+11) = (2k+3)(6k+5) + 1(6k+7);
- 3. 2(6k+7) + (2k+1)(6k+11) = (2k+5)(6k+5).

Let us now see the key to this subsection.

**Theorem 3.5.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and* S = (6k + 5, 6k + 7, 6k + 11)*, then* 

 $Ap(S, 6k + 5) = \{a(6k + 7) + b(6k + 11) \mid (a, b) \in C\},\$ 

where  $C = (\{0, 1, 2\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2k + 1\}) \setminus \{(2, 2k + 1)\}.$ 

**Proof.** From Lemma 3.4, we easily deduce that Ap(S, 6k + 5) is a subset of  $\{a(6k + 7) + b(6k + 11) | (a, b) \in C\}$ . Now then, since the cardinality of *C* is less than or equal to 3(2k + 2) - 1 = 6k + 5, and taking into consideration Proposition 2.1, we get that  $Ap(S, 6k + 5) = \{a(6k + 7) + b(6k + 11) | (a, b) \in C\}$ .  $\Box$ 

Let us observe that we can rewrite several elements of Ap(S, 6k + 5) as follows.

- (6k+7) + b(6k+11) = (b+1)(6k+11) 4, for all  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k+1\}$ .
- 2(6k+7) + b(6k+11) = (b+2)(6k+11) 8, for all  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k\}$ .

Thus, we can easily describe the Apéry set by arranging its elements in increasing order.

**Corollary 3.6.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and* S = (6k + 5, 6k + 7, 6k + 11)*, then* 

 $Ap(5, 6k + 5) = \{0; (6k + 11) - 4, 6k + 11; 2(6k + 11) - 8, 2(6k + 11) - 4, 2(6k + 11); ...; (2k + 1)(6k + 11) - 8, (2k + 1)(6k + 11) - 4, (2k + 1)(6k + 11); (2k + 2)(6k + 11) - 8, (2k + 2)(6k + 11) - 4\}.$ 

In this way, we can identify a pattern. In fact, in the previous corollary, we have used ";" to separate suitable groups of numbers.

As mentioned in Section 2, knowledge of the Apéry set allows us to obtain information about the numerical semigroup. Thus, in the current case, we have the following result. (Let us observe that, from Corollary 3.7, we recover Proposition 3.3.)

**Corollary 3.7.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and* S = (6k + 5, 6k + 7, 6k + 11)*, then* 

- 1.  $PF(S) = \{12k^2 + 28k + 9, 12k^2 + 28k + 13\};$ 2.  $F(S) = 12k^2 + 28k + 13;$ 3.  $g(S) = 6k^2 + 16k + 8.$
- **Proof.** 1. By Theorem 3.5, we have that  $\text{Maximals}_{\leq s}(\text{Ap}(S, 6k+5)) = \{(6k+7) + (2k+1)(6k+11), 2(6k+7) + 2k(6k+11)\}$ . Thereby, from Proposition 2.5, we can assert that  $\text{PF}(S) = \{(6k+7) + (2k+1)(6k+11) - (6k+5), 2(6k+7) + 2k(6k+11) - (6k+5)\} = \{12k^2 + 28k + 13, 12k^2 + 28k + 9\}$ .
  - 2. It is clear that F(S) = max(PF(S)) and, therefore,  $F(S) = 12k^2 + 28k + 13$ .
- 3. From Theorem 3.5, we have that

$$P(S, 6k+5) = \{0, 6k+11, \dots, (1+2k)(6k+11), 6k+7, (6k+7)+(6k+11), \dots, (6k+7)+(1+2k)(6k+11), \dots, (6k+7)+(1+2k)(6k+1), \dots, (6k+7)+(1+2k)(6k+1), \dots, (6k+7)+(1+2k)(1+2k)(1+2k)(1+2k)(1+2k)(1+2k)(1+2k)(1+2$$

Now, by Proposition 2.2, we get that

$$g(S) = \frac{1}{6k+5} \left( 6k+11+\dots+(1+2k)(6k+11)+6k+7+(6k+7)+(6k+11)+ 6k+7 + 6k+7 + 6k+7 + 6k+7 + 6k+11) + 6k+11 + 6k+7 + 6k+7 + 6k+7 + 6k+11 + 6k+7 + 6k$$

$$\dots + (6k+7) + (1+2k)(6k+11) + 2(6k+7) + 2(6k+7) + (6k+11) + \dots + 2(6k+7) + 2k(6k+11) - \frac{(6k+5)-1}{2} = 6k^2 + 16k + 8. \quad \Box$$

**Remark 3.8.** From Corollary 3.7, we deduce that if p = 6k + 5 with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $F(p, p+2, p+6) = \frac{p^2 + 4p - 6}{3}$ .

We finish with an illustrative example.

**Example 3.9.** If k = 1, then  $S = \langle 11, 13, 17 \rangle$ , PF(S) = {49, 53}, F(S) = 53, and g(S) = 30 (by Corollary 3.7). Moreover, from Corollary 3.6, we know that Ap(S, 11) = {0, 13, 17, 26, 30, 34, 43, 47, 51, 60, 64}.

# 3.2. Second case (family $T_2$ )

Now we study numerical semigroups of the form S = (6k + 7, 6k + 11, 6k + 13), where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The results are similar to those of Subsection 3.1. Therefore, we omit the proofs.

**Lemma 3.10.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *, then we have the equalities* 

1. 3(6k + 11) = 1(6k + 7) + 2(6k + 13);2. (2k + 3)(6k + 13) = (2k + 4)(6k + 7) + 1(6k + 11);3. 2(6k + 11) + (2k + 1)(6k + 13) = (2k + 5)(6k + 7).

**Theorem 3.11.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and* S = (6k + 7, 6k + 11, 6k + 13)*, then* 

$$Ap(S, 6k + 7) = \{a(6k + 11) + b(6k + 13) \mid (a, b) \in C\},\$$

where  $C = (\{0, 1, 2\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2k + 2\}) \setminus \{(2, 2k + 1), (2, 2k + 2)\}.$ 

Let us observe that

- (6k+11) + b(6k+13) = (b+1)(6k+13) 2, for all  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k+2\}$ ;
- 2(6k+11) + b(6k+13) = (b+2)(6k+11) 4, for all  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k\}$ .

Thus, we describe the Apéry set by arranging its elements in increasing order.

**Corollary 3.12.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and* S = (6k + 7, 6k + 11, 6k + 13)*, then* 

$$Ap(S, 6k + 7) = \{0; (6k + 13) - 2, 6k + 13; 2(6k + 13) - 4, 2(6k + 13) - 2, 2(6k + 13); \dots; n = 1, 2(6k + 13), 2($$

$$(2k+2)(6k+13) - 4, (2k+2)(6k+13) - 2, (2k+2)(6k+13); (2k+3)(6k+13) - 2$$

**Corollary 3.13.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and* S = (6k + 7, 6k + 11, 6k + 13)*, then* 

1.  $PF(S) = \{12k^2 + 32k + 15, 12k^2 + 38k + 30\};$ 2.  $F(S) = 12k^2 + 38k + 30;$ 3.  $g(S) = 6k^2 + 20k + 16.$ 

**Remark 3.14.** From Corollary 3.13, we have that if p = 6k + 7 with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $F(p, p + 4, p + 6) = \frac{p^2 + 5p + 6}{3}$ .

Let us see an example.

**Example 3.15.** If k = 0, then  $S = \langle 7, 11, 13 \rangle$ , PF(S) = {15, 30}, F(S) = 30, and g(S) = 16 (by Corollary 3.13). Moreover, from Corollary 3.12, we get that Ap(S, 7) = {0, 11, 13, 22, 24, 26, 37}.

**Remark 3.16.** The values of F(p, p + 4, p + 6) and g(p, p + 4, p + 6), for p = 6k + 7 with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , can be obtained from Theorems 2 and 3 of [11], respectively. Indeed, it is enough to consider a = p, K = 1, k = 3, d = 2, and r = 2 in those theorems.

**Remark 3.17.** Let us recall that the *Sylvester sum* of a numerical semigroup  $S = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_e \rangle$  is the value

$$\mathsf{s}(S) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S} x.$$

In [11], the author computes the Sylvester sum of numerical semigroups generated by arithmetic progressions with initial gaps. To get the result, he again uses the Apéry set  $Ap(A, a_1) = \{w_0 = 0, w_1, \dots, w_{a_1-1}\}$  by the formula

$$s(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_e) = s(S) = \frac{1}{2a_1} \sum_{i=1}^{a_1-1} w_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{a_1-1} w_i + \frac{a_1^2 - 1}{12}.$$
(1)

As a consequence, by Theorem 1 of [11], we have that if p = 6k + 7 with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $s(p, p+4, p+6) = \frac{1}{108}(2p^4 + 24p^3 + 24p^3)$  $93p^2 + 202p + 435$ ). Of course, we could directly apply (1) to the Apéry sets of the family  $T_2$  and obtain the same result. Even more, we could consider (1) for all the families we analyze in this work, but we prefer to leave it to the reader as a computation exercise.

#### 4. Quadruplets in the form of prime quadruplets

As for triplets, there are several cases of quadruplets fulfilling the condition of the admissible constellation. Since the reasonings and computations are similar on all of them (see [14]), we will only study those directly associated with prime quadruplets, not forgetting that the results are, in fact, valid for any quadruplets satisfying the corresponding admissible constellation condition.

It is well-known that a prime quadruplet is of the form (p, p+2, p+6, p+8). In the following result, we improve this expression.

**Proposition 4.1.** We have that if (p, p+2, p+6, p+8) is a prime quadruplet, then either p = 4k + 5 or p = 4k + 7 for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** It is clear that if  $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that p = 4k + i, with  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . But, since p, p + 2, p + 36, p + 8 are prime numbers, then  $i \neq 0$  and  $i \neq 2$ . In addition, let us note that (1, 3, 7, 9) and (3, 5, 9, 11) are not prime quadruplets.

In contrast to the case of triplets, a unique form defines the prime quadruplets. However, we need two different expressions to solve the Frobenius problem.

- (4k+5, 4k+7, 4k+11, 4k+13), with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (4k + 7, 4k + 9, 4k + 13, 4k + 15), with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus, since gcd(4k+5, 4k+7) = gcd(4k+7, 4k+9) = 1 for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have two families of numerical semigroups ( $\mathcal{Q}_1$ and  $Q_2$ ).

- S ∈ Q<sub>1</sub> if S = (4k + 5, 4k + 7, 4k + 11, 4k + 13), with k ∈ N.
  S ∈ Q<sub>2</sub> if S = (4k + 7, 4k + 9, 4k + 13, 4k + 15), with k ∈ N.

Let us observe that (5, 7, 11, 13) and (7, 9, 13, 15) are numerical semigroups with embedding dimension equal to four. Moreover, since 4k + 13 < 2(4k + 5) and 4k + 15 < 2(4k + 7) for all  $k \ge 1$ , we can assert that e(S) = 4 for every  $S \in Q_1 \cup Q_2$ .

**Remark 4.2.** We have  $S = \langle 1, 3, 7, 9 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$  and  $S = \langle 3, 5, 9, 11 \rangle = \langle 3, 5, 11 \rangle$ . Thus, e(S) < 4 in both cases. This is another reason for eliminating (1, 3, 7, 9) and (3, 5, 9, 11) as possibilities in Proposition 4.1.

**Remark 4.3.** It is possible to improve Proposition 4.1 a little more. Thus, if we assume that the elements on the quadruplet are not divisible by two or three, then we have that either p = 12k + 5 or p = 12k + 11 for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Even more, if we consider that the elements are not divisible by two, three or five, then all prime quadruplets (except (5, 7, 11, 13)) are of the form p = 30k + 11 for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In any case, we obtain essentially the same conclusions for the families  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ associated with p = 12k + 5 and p = 12k + 11.

4.1. First expression (family  $Q_1$ )

Let *S* be a numerical semigroup of the form S = (4k+5, 4k+7, 4k+11, 4k+13), where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Again we omit the proofs of the results because they are similar to those of Subsection 3.1.

**Remark 4.4.** The numerical semigroup S = (5, 7, 11, 13) behaves somewhat differently from the rest of the cases. In fact,  $Ap(S, 5) = \{0, 7, 11, 13, 14\}$  and

PF(S) = {6, 8, 9};
 F(S) = 9;
 g(S) = 7;

4. t(S) = 3.

It is clear that except the value of g(S), Corollary 4.8 does not give these results.

**Lemma 4.5.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ *, then we have the equalities* 

1. 3(4k + 7) = 2(4k + 5) + 1(4k + 11);2. 3(4k + 11) = 1(4k + 7) + 2(4k + 13);3. (k + 2)(4k + 13) = (k + 3)(4k + 5) + 1(4k + 11);4. 1(4k + 7) + 1(4k + 11) = 1(4k + 5) + 1(4k + 13);5. 1(4k + 7) + (k + 1)(4k + 13) = (k + 4)(4k + 5);6. 2(4k + 7) + 1(4k + 13) = 1(4k + 5) + 2(4k + 11);7. 1(4k + 11) + (k + 1)(4k + 13) = (k + 2)(4k + 5) + 2(4k + 7);8. 2(4k + 11) + k(4k + 13) = (k + 3)(4k + 5) + 1(4k + 7).

**Theorem 4.6.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  *and* S = (4k + 5, 4k + 7, 4k + 11, 4k + 13)*, then* 

$$Ap(S, 4k+5) = \{a(4k+7) + b(4k+11) + c(4k+13) \mid (a, b, c) \in C\},\$$

where  $C = C_a \cup C_b \cup C_c$ , with  $C_a = (\{1\} \times \{0\} \times \{0, 1, ..., k\}) \cup \{(2, 0, 0)\}, C_b = (\{0\} \times \{1, 2\} \times \{0, 1, ..., k\}) \setminus \{(0, 2, k)\}$ , and  $C_c = \{0\} \times \{0\} \times \{0, 1, ..., k+1\}$ .

Let us observe that

- (4k+7) + c(4k+13) = (c+1)(4k+13) 6, for all  $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ ;
- (4k+11) + c(4k+13) = (c+1)(4k+13) 2, for all  $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ ;
- 2(4k+11) + c(4k+13) = (c+2)(4k+15) 4, for all  $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ .

Therefore, we can give the Apéry set by arranging its elements in increasing order.

**Corollary 4.7.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  *and* S = (4k + 5, 4k + 7, 4k + 11, 4k + 13)*, then* 

 $Ap(S, 4k + 5) = \{0; (4k + 13) - 6, (4k + 13) - 2, 4k + 13; 2(4k + 7);$   $2(4k + 13) - 6, 2(4k + 13) - 4, 2(4k + 13) - 2, 2(4k + 13); \dots;$  $(k + 1)(4k + 13) - 6, (k + 1)(4k + 13) - 4, (k + 1)(4k + 13) - 2, (k + 1)(4k + 13)\}.$ 

**Corollary 4.8.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  *and*  $S = \langle 4k + 5, 4k + 7, 4k + 11, 4k + 13 \rangle$ *, then* 

1.  $PF(S) = \{4k + 9, 4k^2 + 13k + 2, 4k^2 + 13k + 4, 4k^2 + 13k + 6, 4k^2 + 13k + 8\};$ 2.  $F(S) = 4k^2 + 13k + 8;$ 3.  $g(S) = 2k^2 + 8k + 7;$ 4. t(S) = 5.

**Remark 4.9.** By Corollary 4.8, if p = 4k + 5 for some  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $F(p, p + 2, p + 6, p + 8) = \frac{p^2 + 3p - 8}{4}$ .

We finish with an illustrative example.

**Example 4.10.** For k = 24 we have  $S = \langle 101, 103, 107, 109 \rangle$  and, by Corollary 4.8, PF(S) = {105, 2618, 2620, 2622, 2624}, F(S) = 2624, and g(S) = 1351. Moreover, by Corollary 4.7, Ap(S, 11) = {0, 103, 107, 109, 206, 212, 214, 216, 218, ..., 2719, 2721, 2723, 2725}.

4.2. Second expression (family  $Q_2$ )

Let *S* be a numerical semigroup of the form  $S = \langle 4k + 7, 4k + 9, 4k + 13, 4k + 15 \rangle$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 4.11.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *, then we have the equalities* 

1. 3(4k+9) = 2(4k+7) + 1(4k+13);2. 3(4k+13) = 1(4k+9) + 2(4k+15);3. (k+2)(4k+15) = (k+3)(4k+7) + 1(4k+9);4. 1(4k+9) + 1(4k+13) = 1(4k+7) + 1(4k+15);

5. 2(4k+9) + 1(4k+15) = 1(4k+7) + 2(40k+13);

6. 1(4k + 13) + (k + 1)(4k + 15) = (k + 4)(4k + 7).

**Theorem 4.12.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and*  $S = \langle 4k + 7, 4k + 9, 4k + 13, 4k + 15 \rangle$ , *then* 

 $Ap(S, 4k + 7) = \{a(4k + 9) + b(4k + 13) + c(4k + 15) \mid (a, b, c) \in C\},\$ 

where  $C = C_a \cup C_b \cup C_c$ , with  $C_a = (\{1\} \times \{0\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}) \cup \{(2, 0, 0)\}, C_b = \{0\} \times \{1, 2\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , and  $C_c = \{0\} \times \{0\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$ .

Let us observe that

- (4k+9) + c(4k+15) = (c+1)(4k+15) 6, for all  $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$ ;
- (4k+13) + c(4k+15) = (c+1)(4k+15) 2, for all  $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ ;
- 2(4k+13) + c(4k+15) = (c+2)(4k+15) 4, for all  $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ .

Consequently, we can arrange the elements of the Apéry set in increasing order.

**Corollary 4.13.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and*  $S = \langle 4k + 7, 4k + 9, 4k + 13, 4k + 15 \rangle$ *, then* 

 $\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Ap}(S, 4k+7) = \{0; (4k+15) - 6, (4k+15) - 2, 4k+15; 2(4k+9); \\ &2(4k+15) - 6, 2(4k+15) - 4, 2(4k+15) - 2, 2(4k+15); \dots; \\ &(k+1)(4k+15) - 6, (k+1)(4k+15) - 4, (k+1)(4k+15) - 2, (k+1)(4k+15); \\ &(k+2)(4k+15) - 6, (k+2)(4k+15) - 4\}. \end{aligned}$ 

**Remark 4.14.** If  $S = \langle 7, 9, 13, 15 \rangle$ , then Ap(S, 7) = {0, 9, 13, 15, 18, 24, 26}. Therefore, we can apply Corollary 4.13 if we only consider the five first values and the two last.

**Corollary 4.15.** *If*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and*  $S = \langle 4k + 7, 4k + 9, 4k + 13, 4k + 15 \rangle$ *, then* 

1.  $PF(S) = \{4k + 11, 4k^2 + 19k + 17, 4k^2 + 19k + 19\};$ 2.  $F(S) = 4k^2 + 19k + 19;$ 3.  $g(S) = 2k^2 + 10k + 12;$ 4. t(S) = 3.

**Remark 4.16.** By Corollary 4.15, if p = 4k + 7 for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $F(p, p + 2, p + 6, p + 8) = \frac{p^2 + 5p - 8}{4}$ .

We finish with an illustrative example.

**Example 4.17.** For k = 1 we have S = (11, 13, 17, 19), PF(S) = {15, 40, 42}, F(S) = 42, and g(S) = 24 (by Corollary 4.15). Moreover, from Corollary 4.13, we know that Ap(S, 11) = {0, 13, 17, 19, 26, 32, 34, 36, 38, 51, 53}.

# 5. *k*-tuplets in the form of prime *k*-tuplets

From the contents of Sections 3 and 4, it looks like the problem becomes more and more longueur as soon as we consider larger and larger *k*-tuplets. In any case, it is not difficult to see what happens when  $k \in \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$  and, in this way, to propose a conjecture (see [14]). Once again, there are several possibilities of *k*-tuplets satisfying the admissible constellation condition; moreover, for each *k*, the results are similar. Thus, we will only see those directly related to prime *k*-tuplets.

*Quintuplets.* There exist two families of quintuplets that include prime quintuplets. Namely, (p, p + 2, p + 6, p + 8, p + 12)and (p, p + 4, p + 6, p + 10, p + 12). Now, if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , these families are associated with the values 6k + 5 and 6k + 7, respectively. In addition, the Frobenius number is equal to

- $\frac{p^2+7p-12}{6}$  for (p, p+2, p+6, p+8, p+12) with  $p = 6k+5 \ge 11$ ,  $\frac{p^2+11p+12}{6}$  for (p, p+4, p+6, p+10, p+12) with  $p = 6k+7 \ge 7$ .

Furthermore, if we consider numerical semigroups generated by the above quintuplets, then the type is given by

- t(S) = 6 for  $S = \langle p, p+2, p+6, p+8, p+12 \rangle$  with p = 6k + 11 > 11,
- t(S) = 4 for  $S = \langle p, p + 4, p + 6, p + 10, p + 12 \rangle$  with  $p = 6k + 7 \ge 13$ .

Sextuplets. Although the expression (p, p + 4, p + 6, p + 10, p + 12, p + 16) is the unique possibility for the sextuplets, which include prime sextuplets, we have to consider four different families to study the Frobenius problem. Such families correspond to the values p = 8k + r with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in \{7, 9, 11, 13\}$ . In this case, the Frobenius number is equal to

- $\frac{p^2+9p+16}{8}$  for p = 8k + 7,  $\frac{p^2+15p+16}{8}$  for p = 8k + 9,  $\frac{p^2+13p+16}{8}$  for p = 8k + 11,  $\frac{p^2+11p+16}{8}$  for p = 8k + 13.

Moreover, for these families of numerical semigroups, the type is equal to 9 (of course, for p = 8k + 7 when k > 1), 5, 5, and 7, respectively. It is interesting to observe that, although the value of the type is the same in the second and third cases, the structures of their sets of pseudo-Frobenius numbers are essentially different (see [14]).

Septuplets. For septuplets, which are associated with prime septuplets, we have two families: (p, p + 2, p + 6, p + 8, p + 6, p + 8, p + 6, p + 8, p + 18, p + 18,12, p + 18, p + 20) and (p, p + 2, p + 8, p + 12, p + 14, p + 18, p + 20). Now, if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then p = 10k + 11 for the first case and p = 10k + 19 for the second one. Thus, the pair (Frobenius number, type) is equal to  $(\frac{p^2+9p-20}{10}, 13)$  (if  $p \ge 31$ ) and  $(\frac{p^2+11p-20}{10}, 11)$  (if  $p \ge 19$ ), respectively ([14]).

*Octuplets.* Finally, there are three families of octuplets associated with prime octuplets: (p, p + 2, p + 6, p + 12, p + 14, p + 120, p + 24, p + 26), (p, p + 2, p + 6, p + 8, p + 12, p + 18, p + 20, p + 26), and (p, p + 6, p + 8, p + 14, p + 18, p + 20, p + 26)24, p + 26). As discussed in [14], we have to study 39 cases (13 for each family) to obtain formulas for the Frobenius number. We refer the reader interested in such results to [14].

A conjecture and future works. Based on all the above comments, we propose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 5.1.** Let us consider a k-tuplet satisfying the condition of the admissible constellation and suppose that p and p + q are its first and last elements, respectively. Then we claim that:

- 1. The Frobenius number is given by a quadratic polynomial  $a_2p^2 + a_1p + a_0$ .
- 2. The leading coefficient is  $a_2 = \frac{2}{a}$ .
- 3. The constant term  $a_0$  is an integer.

Let us observe that we have got  $a_0 = \pm 2$  when  $1 \le k \le 7$ . However, the values  $a_0 = -4$ ,  $a_0 = -6$ , and  $a_0 = 10$  appear in octuplets (see [14]). Thus, without going into further details, we have preferred to state that  $a_0$  is an integer.

**Remark 5.2.** As we have commented several times above, not all the members of the k-tuples need to be prime numbers to get the given results here. Thus, new problems arise. For example, it would be interesting to know how the Frobenius numbers are given for other types of tuplets and whether there is any regularity among them. Among others, these will be the aims of future work.

# **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions, which have helped us to improve the manuscript.

Both authors are supported by the project MTM2017-84890-P (funded by Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional FEDER), by "Proyecto de Excelencia de la Junta de Andalucía (ProyExcel\_00868)", and by the Junta de Andalucía Grant Number FQM-343.

# Funding for open access charge: Universidad de Granada / CBUA.

#### References

- [1] R. Apéry, Sur les branches superlinéaires des courbes algébriques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 222 (1946) 1198-1200.
- [2] V. Barucci, D.E. Dobbs, M. Fontana, Maximality Properties in Numerical Semigroups and Applications to One-Dimensional Analytically Irreducible Local Domains, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 125, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, 598.
- [3] A. Brauer, J.E. Shockley, On a problem of Frobenius, J. Reine Angew. Math. 211 (1962) 215-220.
- [4] F. Curtis, On formulas for the Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup, Math. Scand. 67 (1990) 190-192.
- [5] P. Erdős, H. Riesel, On admissible constellations of consecutive primes, BIT Numer. Math. 28 (1988) 391–396.
- [6] T. Forbes, Prime clusters and Cunningham chains, Math. Comput. 68 (228) (1999) 1739–1747.
- [7] R. Fröberg, G. Gottlieb, R. Häggkvist, On numerical semigroups, Semigroup Forum 35 (1987) 63-83.
- [8] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, Some problems of 'partitio numerorum'; III: on the expression of a number as a sum of primes, Acta Math. 44 (1923) 1–70.
- [9] G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 5th edition, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1979.
   [10] T. Komatsu, Sylvester sums on the Frobenius set in arithmetic progression, in: F. Yilmaz, et al. (Eds.), Mathematical Methods for Engineering Applica-
- tions, ICMASE 2021, in: Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol. 384, Springer, Cham, 2022, pp. 1–23. [11] T. Komatsu, Sylvester sums on the Frobenius set in arithmetic progression with initial gaps, in: P. Debnath, et al. (Eds.), Advances in Number Theory
- and Applied Analysis, World Scientific, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1142/13314.
- [12] T. Komatsu, The Frobenius number for Fibonacci triplet associated with number of representations, arXiv:2206.05660v2 [math.CO].
- [13] J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín, The Diophantine Frobenius Problem, Oxford Lectures Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 30, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2005.
- [14] A.M. Robles-Pérez, J.C. Rosales, A Frobenius problem suggested by prime k-tuplets (extended version), arXiv:2112.05501v3 [math.NT].
- [15] J.C. Rosales, M.B. Branco, Numerical semigroups that can be expressed as an intersection of symmetric numerical semigroups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 171 (2002) 303–314.
- [16] J.C. Rosales, P.A. García-Sánchez, Numerical Semigroups, Developments in Mathematics, vol. 20, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [17] E.S. Selmer, On the linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius, J. Reine Angew. Math. 293/294 (1977) 1–17.
- [18] J.J. Sylvester, Problem 7382, Educ. Times J. Coll. Precept. New Ser. 36 (266) (1883) 177, Solution by W.J. Curran Sharp, Educ. Times J. Coll. Precept. New Ser. 36 (271) (1883) 315.