

RNA Biology



ISSN: 1547-6286 (Print) 1555-8584 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/krnb20

A survey of sRNA families in α -proteobacteria

Coral del Val, Rocío Romero-Zaliz, Omar Torres-Quesada, Alexandra Peregrina, Nicolás Toro & Jose I Jiménez-Zurdo

To cite this article: Coral del Val, Rocío Romero-Zaliz, Omar Torres-Quesada, Alexandra Peregrina, Nicolás Toro & Jose I Jiménez-Zurdo (2012) A survey of sRNA families in αproteobacteria, RNA Biology, 9:2, 119-129, DOI: 10.4161/rna.18643

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.4161/rna.18643

6

Copyright © 2012 Landes Bioscience



Published online: 01 Feb 2012.

Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 387



View related articles

Citing articles: 5 View citing articles 🗹

A survey of sRNA families in α -proteobacteria

Coral del Val,^{1,2,*} Rocío Romero-Zaliz,² Omar Torres-Quesada,³ Alexandra Peregrina,³ Nicolás Toro³ and José I. Jiménez-Zurdo³ ¹CITIC-UGR, Centro de Investigación en Tecnologías de la Información y de las Comunicaciones de la Universidad de Granada; Granada, Spain; ²Department of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence; E.T.S.I. Informatics; Universidad de Granada; Granada, Spain; ³Cerupa de Ecología Canático de la Piracfora Estación Experimentel del Zaidía Conseine Superior de Investigación en CSIC: Cranada Spain;

³Grupo de Ecología Genética de la Rizosfera; Estación Experimental del Zaidín; Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas; CSIC; Granada, Spain

Keywords: *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, symbiotic nitrogen fixation, RNome, riboregulation, Hfq, Brucella, rhizobia, suhB, speF

Abbreviations: sRNA, bacterial small noncoding RNA; IGR, intergenic region; RACE, rapid amplification of cDNA ends; Smr, *S. meliloti* sRNA; TAP, tobacco acid pyrophosphatase; TSS, transcription start site; CM, covariance model; RBS, ribosome binding site; aSD, anti-Shine-Dalgarno; 5'-UTR, 5' untranslated region; GABA, γ -amino butyric acid

Submitted: 09/28/11

Revised: 11/03/11

Accepted: 11/03/11

http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/rna.18643

*Correspondence to: Coral del Val; Email: delval@decsai.ugr.es

Ve have performed a computational comparative analysis of six small non-coding RNA (sRNA) families in a-proteobacteria. Members of these families were first identified in the intergenic regions of the nitrogen-fixing endosymbiont S. meliloti by a combined bioinformatics screen followed by experimental verification. Consensus secondary structures inferred from covariance models for each sRNA family evidenced in some cases conserved motifs putatively relevant to the function of trans-encoded base-pairing sRNAs i.e., Hfq-binding signatures and exposed anti-Shine-Dalgarno sequences. Two particular family models, namely ar15 and ar35, shared own sub-structural modules with the Rfam model suhB (RF00519) and the uncharacterized sRNA family ar35b, respectively. A third sRNA family, termed ar45, has homology to the cis-acting regulatory element speF (RF00518). However, new experimental data further confirmed that the S. meliloti ar45 representative is an Hfq-binding sRNA processed from or expressed independently of speF, thus refining the Rfam speF model annotation. All the six families have members in phylogenetically related plant-interacting bacteria and animal pathogens of the order of the Rhizobiales, some occurring with high levels of paralogy in individual genomes. In silico and experimental evidences predict differential regulation of paralogous sRNAs in S. meliloti 1021. The distribution patterns of these sRNA families suggest major contributions of vertical inheritance and extensive ancestral duplication events to the evolution of sRNAs in plant-interacting bacteria.

Post-genomic research has rendered bacterial small non-coding RNAs (sRNAs) as major players in the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression underlying a wide range of important cellular processes, e.g., general responses to abiotic stimuli, cell division, quorum sensing or virulence.¹ However, very little is known about the role of riboregulation in the control of symbiotic and pathogenic plantmicrobe interactions.

The *a*-subdivision of the proteobacteria includes Gram-negative microorganisms with diverse life styles, frequently involving long-term mutualistic or pathogenic interactions with higher eukaryotes.² Sinorhizobium meliloti is an environmentally and agronomically relevant α proteobacterium belonging to the order of the Rhizobiales. It is well recognized as a genetically tractable model microorganism for the investigation and exploitation of the nitrogen-fixing endosymbiosis with legume plants. The outcome of these interactions is the formation in the cognate legume (i.e., Medicago species for S. meliloti) of the so-called root nodules which finally host invading bacteria in their differentiated nitrogen-fixing competent form of bacteroids.3 The S. meliloti genome has a multipartite architecture consisting of a single chromosome (3.65 Mbp) and two large plasmids termed pSymA (1.35 Mbp) and pSymB (1.68 Mbp). Megaplasmid pSymA harbors the clusters of genes specifying symbiotic functions, among others, but is dispensable for bacterial free-living growth whereas pSymB exhibits chromosomelike features e.g., it accommodates the essential tRNA-Arg encoding gene.⁴ This composite arrangement is common to the

genomes of many bacterial species of the order of the Rhizobiales in which second chromosomes have been proposed to evolve from an early-acquired ancestral plasmid.⁵ Similarly to S. meliloti, many α-proteobacteria interacting with plants usually host a variable number of accessory extrachromosomal replicons besides the ancestral set of primary chromosome and megaplasmid. These non-essential plasmids most likely have a mosaic origin and contribute to the adaptive flexibility demanded by the transition of bacteria from a free-living to an intracellular state. At the regulatory level, these adaptations require the coordinated expression of complex gene networks in which sRNAs are also expected to participate. Several recent computational comparative genomics and deep-sequencing approaches have identified more than thousand noncoding RNA elements in the S. meliloti genome.⁶⁻⁹ Nearly two hundred of these molecules have been cataloged as putative trans-encoded sRNAs.9 This is an abundant class of bacterial riboregulators, which mostly target mRNAs via discontinuous nucleotide stretches of sequence complementarity to control the translation and/or stability of the message, many of them in an Hfq-dependent manner.^{1,10}

Rhizobial RNomics has been pioneered by a genome-wide comparative genomics screen conducted in our laboratory, which, in combination with the experimental verification of predictions, identified eight sRNA genes in the intergenic regions (IGRs) of the reference strain S. meliloti 1021.6 Northern hybridization experiments and RACE mapping revealed that these sRNAs are differentially expressed from independent transcription units in free-living and endosymbiotic bacteria, thus supporting their putative role as riboregulators in S. meliloti. In this work we have combined new experimental data with an extensive in silico structural comparative analysis to further characterize these sRNAs and assess their conservation across α-proteobacteria.

Results

Generation of Smr sRNA family models. The starting point of this study was the set of eight non-coding transcripts identified

previously in our laboratory on the basis of structure conservation and experimental verification. These sRNAs were initially termed Smr7C, Smr9C, Smr14C, Smr15C, Smr16C Smr22C, Smr35B and Smr45C for S. meliloti RNA, where the suffix indicates their respective positions in the output table of candidates along with the genomic location of each locus on pSymA (A), pSymB (B) or chromosome (C) (Table 1). TAP-based 5'-RACE experiments mapped the transcription start sites (TSS) of each sRNA to defined positions in the S. meliloti genome. Their 3'-ends were assumed to map to the last residue of the consecutive stretches of Us of Rho-independent terminators predicted for most of the transcripts, except for Smr22C and Smr45C which 3'-ends have been inferred from published experimental data^{6,9} (Table 1). Recent RNA-Seq based characterization of the small RNA fraction (50-350 nt) of the closely related strain S. meliloti 2011 mapped the full-length Smr transcripts in the S. meliloti 1021 genome to essentially the same positions reported earlier.9

The nucleotide sequences of the fulllength Smr transcripts were first used to query the Rfam database v. 10.0 (www. sanger.ac.uk/Software/Rfam).¹¹ This search revealed full homology of Smr22C to the well characterized 6S RNA family and therefore, this sRNA was not further considered in this study. Of the remaining seven RNAs, Smr15C/16C and Smr45C exhibited partial structural homology to the suhB (RF00519) and speF (RF00518) RNA families respectively, whereas the remaining query transcripts did not match any Rfam entry. These seven sRNA sequences, likely representing previously unknown bacterial sRNA families, were next BLASTed with default parameters against all available bacterial genomes (1,615 sequences as of April 20, 2011; www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The genomic regions exhibiting significant degree of homology to the query sequences (78-89% similarity) were collected to generate initial alignments for each RNA that were manually curated to construct an Infernal Model (covariance model; CM) for each sRNA. As expected from their primary nucleotide sequence similarity, this analysis merged the tandemlyencoded Smr15C and Smr16C transcripts into the same RNA family and they were renamed accordingly as Smr15C1 and Smr15C2, respectively. The six RNA families resulting from this study have homologies limited to species of the order of the Rhizobiales within the α -subgroup of proteobacteria. Consistent with the naming scheme of the query sRNAs, their family models have been referred to as α rn for α -proteobacteria RNA, where the suffix identifies the family according to the query sequence. Stockholm formatted alignments for each family is provided at en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Small_non_coding_ RNAs in the endosymbiotic diazotroph %CE%B1-proteobacterium Sinorhizobium meliloti.

Structural features of the αr sRNA families. The inferred consensus secondary structures for each αr family model are shown in Figure 1.¹² All six RNA families presented the typical sRNA arrangement in sub-structural domains with three to

Table 1. Query S. meliloti sRNA sequences

Name	Alternative names ^a	5'-end ^{b,c}	3′-end ^ь	Length (nt)
Smr7C	Sra03/Sm13/SmelC023	201,679	201,828	150
Smr9C	Sra32/Sm10/SmelC289	1398,425	1398,277	149
Smr14C	Sm7/SmelC397	1,667,613	1,667,491	123
Smr15C	Sra41/Sm3/SmelC411	1,698,731	1,698,617	115
Smr16C	Sra41/Sm3'/SmelC412	1,698,937	1,698,817	121
Smr35B	SmB6/SmelC053	577,730	577,868	139
Smr45C	SmelC706	3,105,445	3,105,298 ^d	148
Smr22C	Sra56/Sm1/SmelC667/6S	2,972,251	2,972,091°	161

^aAlternative reported names for the Smr transcripts;⁷⁻⁹ ^bCoordinates according to the *S. meliloti* 1021 genome database at http://iant.toulouse.inra.fr/bacteria/annotation/cgi/rhime.cgi or www.rhizogate.de; ^cRACE-based mapping_ENREF_32;⁶ ^dDeep-sequencing data⁹



Figure 1. For figure legend, see page 122.

Figure 1 (See previous page). Consensus secondary structures of the α r sRNA families. Color code for base pairs is that of the Vienna RNA web suite.¹² Putative Hfq-binding sites (Hfq) i.e., free 3'-hydroxyl end of an oligo-U stretch or internal single-stranded A/U-rich regions (Hfq) are marked with an arrowhead and a bracket, respectively. Ultraconserved anti-Shine-Dalgarno sequences are indicated as (aSD), and identified sub-structural modules, suhB (RF00519) and α r35b, are marked with brackets.

five main hairpin loops generally interrupted by internal stem-loops and/or single stranded sequence stretches. These structures are supported by a variable degree of nucleotide covariance that was particularly high in the three stem-loops of the $\alpha r7$, $\alpha r14$ and $\alpha r15$ family members and the 5' domains of $\alpha r9$ and $\alpha r35$ families. In most cases, the 3' domain consists of a GC rich hairpin followed by tails of uridine residues, thus matching the main structural feature of the Rhoindependent terminators of transcription. The exception was $\alpha r45$ which last hairpin is supported by a strong conservation of the primary nucleotide sequences but does not resemble a bona fide Rho-independent terminator.

A remarkable and complex structural situation was found in the α r15 and α r35 families. Members of the α r15 family showed partial homology to the Rfam model RF00519 known as suhB. In all cases this structural homology to the full-length suhB transcripts was restricted to the second hairpin and the Rho-independent terminator. SuhB-like genes have been computationally predicted to occur in multiple copies in a wide range of α -proteobacterial genomes and some meta-genomes.¹³

Similarly, $\alpha r35$ sRNAs have three welldefined hairpin loops. The second and third structural motifs are maintained by extensive primary nucleotide sequence conservation and define a sequence stretch with wider occurrence in the genomes of the Rhizobiales (40 sequences) outside the full-length $\alpha r35$ sRNAs (not shown). Therefore, suhB and this newly identified $\alpha r35$ sub-structural domain ($\alpha r35b$) likely represent widely distributed variants of the $\alpha r15$ and $\alpha r35$ sRNA families with a highly variable or even missing 5' stem loops characteristic of the later transcripts.

The αr sRNA families mostly include putative trans-encoded transcripts, which are expected to influence translation of target mRNAs through short base-pairing interactions that usually occlude the

ribosome-binding site (RBS). Interestingly, the loop anti-Shine-Dalgarno sequence "CUCCUCCC" was found to be conserved in all the three hairpin loops of the ar14 family members as well as in the 5' hairpin loop of α r15 sRNAs. Nonetheless, paired nucleotide stretches could also bind mRNA sequences if they are released and exposed to the target with the aid of proteins. The RNA chaperone Hfq has been shown to fulfill this function in most of the sRNAmRNA target interactions documented to date. Internal single-stranded A/U-rich regions as well as a free 3'-hydroxyl end of an oligo-U stretch (e.g., of Rhoindependent terminators) have been proposed as preferential sRNA interaction sites for Hfq.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Both Hfq-binding signatures coexist in the ar9 and ar15 sRNAs, whereas exposed 3'-end poly-U tails of different lengths are also evident in ar45 transcripts. However, the terminal uridines of the Rho-independent terminators predicted for $\alpha r7$, $\alpha r14$ and ar35 family members are mostly basepaired to upstream sequences and hence could not be easily available for Hfq binding. In good correlation with these observations, the S. meliloti Smr9C (ar9), Smr15C1, Smr15C2 (both αr15) and Smr45C (ar45) sRNAs have been detected in the sub-population of transcripts coinmunoprecipitated with a chromosomallyencoded epitope-tagged Hfq protein in lysates of free-living bacteria.11

Smr45C and speF are likely expressed as independent RNA elements in *S. meliloti.* The α r45 RNA family partially matched the Rfam model speF (FR00518), a family of *cis*-acting RNA elements likely involved in the regulation of polyamine biosynthesis that have been identified in several α -proteobacterial species.¹³ Consistent with its proposed role, speF RNAs are mostly leader sequences of orthologs of ornithine decarboxylase-encoding genes.¹³ The *S. meliloti* speF structural homolog has been predicted to map between positions 3,105,448 and 3,105,137 in the chromosome of the reference strain 1021, upstream the SMc02983 gene which encodes a putative ornithine/arginine decarboxylase (Fig. 2) (rfam.sanger.ac.uk/ genome/266834#tabview=tab1).13 Therefore, the 148 nt-long sequence of Smr45C, deduced from experimental mapping,6,9 would entirely match the 5' region of speF (Fig. 2A). To solve this apparent inconsistency in the annotation of S. meliloti speF, the transcriptional output of this genomic region was further investigated. A closer inspection of the SMc02983/SMc02984 IGR identified two nucleotide sequence stretches that met the consensus CTTGAC-N₁₇-CTATAT of σ^{70} -dependent promoters in S. meliloti and other *a*-proteobacteria.¹⁸ One of these transcription signatures (P1) had been previously identified as the putative promoter of Smr45C and is located immediately upstream the TSS determined for this sRNA, whereas the second one (P2) overlaps the 3' region of the Smr45C coding sequence (Fig. 2A). Transcription initiation from the P2 promoter is predicted to occur at the T residue at 3,105,289 nt position in the S. meliloti genome (Fig. 2A). Confirming previously reported data, a probe complementary to the 3' region of Smr45C detected a unique RNA species of the expected size accumulating differentially in free-living microorganisms but not expressed in endosymbiotic bacteria (Fig. 2B). In contrast, a 25-mer oligonucleotide probe targeting a sequence 16 nt downstream the Smr45C 3'-end hybridized to a major RNA molecule visible at top of the gel with an expression profile very similar to that of Smr45C (Fig. 2B). The RNA species detected by this oligonucleotide most likely corresponds to the SMc02983 mRNA with a speF leader starting downstream the position previously predicted in silico. This RNA molecule could be originated either by processing of a larger undetectable and hence unstable RNA species transcribed from P1 or, most likely, by transcription



Figure 2. Transcription of the speF and Smr45C RNAs. (A) Nucleotide sequence (both DNA strands) of the *SMc02983-SMc02984* IGR expressing the speF and Smr45C RNA elements. Numbering indicates coordinates in the *S. meliloti* 1021 genome. The -35 and -10 hexamers of the predicted σ^{70} -dependent promoters (P1 and P2) are boxed. Black arrowheads indicate the predicted start and end of the speF RNA as annotated in the Rfam database. Nucleotide positions of 5' and 3' ends previously determined for the Smr45C sRNA are boxed and a double arrowhead in red indicates its TSS. A double arrowhead in green indicates the predicted TSS for speF from the P2 promoter. The proposed speF and Smr45C coding sequences are in green and red letters, respectively. (B) Northern analysis of speF and Smr45C RNAs. Sequences of the 25-mer oligonucleotides used to probe the membranes are underlined in (A). RNA samples were: TY, log TY cultures; TY(S), stationary phase TY cultures; MM, log minimal medium cultures; MM(L), luteolin-induced log MM cultures; N, mature alfalfa nodules. Molecular weight markers are shown to the right of the panels. 5S RNA was also probed as RNA loading control.

from the newly identified promoter P2, independently of Smr45C in the biological conditions tested. In agreement with this observation, a *S. meliloti* map of TSS generated by RNA-Seq of total RNA revealed transcripts with 5'-ends at 3,105,292 and 3,105,166 nt positions in this region of the *S. meliloti* chromosome (A. Becker and J.P. Schlüter, personal communication). Altogether, these new experimental evidences further support classification of Smr45C as a Hfq-binding sRNA, likely unrelated to the speF RNA element.

Distribution of the αr sRNA families in the Rhizobiales. The occurrence of the

 αr sRNA families in sequenced bacterial species of the Rhizobiales was further assessed using the Infernal models (CMs) generated in this work. The results of this comparative analysis are summarized in Figure 3. With the only exception of Smr35B (α r35), which is encoded in the chromosome-like replicon pSymB, all our



Phyllobacteriaceae, Bartonellaceae, Xanthobacteriaceae and Beijerinckaceae, as follows; *Sm*, *S. meliloti* 1021; *Smed*, *S. medicae* WSM419; *Sf*, *S. fredii* NGR234; *At*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58; *Ar*, *A. radiobacter* K84; *Av*, *A. vitis* S4; AH13, *A.* sp H13–3; *Re*CIAT, *Rhizobium etli* CIAT652; *Re*CFN, *R. etli* CFN42; *Rlv*, *R. leguminosarum* bv. viceae 3841; *Rl*t1325, *R. leguminosarum* bv. trifolii WSM1325; *Rl*t2304, *R. leguminosarum* bv. trifolii WSM2304; *Ba*19941, *Brucella abortus* bv. One 9–941; *Ba*S19, *B. abortus* S19; *Bs*23445, *B. suis* ATCC23445; *Bs*1330, *B. suis* 1330; *Bmi*, *B. microti* CCM4915; *Bo*, *B. ovis* ATCC25840; *Bc*, *B. canis* ATCC 23365; *Bma*, *B. melitensis* bv. abortus 2308; *Bm*16M, *B. melitensis* bv. 1 16M; *Bm*23457, *B. melitensis* ATCC23457; *Oa*, *Ochrobactrum anthropi* ATCC49188; *Ml*, *Mesorhizobium loti* MAFF303099; *Mc*, *M. ciceri* bv. biserrulae WSM1271; *MBNC*, *M. sp* BNC1; *Bah*, *Bartonella henselae* Houston-1; *Bac*, *B. clarridgeiae* 73; *Bat*, *B. tribocorum* CIP105476; *Baq*, *B. quintana* Toulouse; *Bab*, *B. bacilliformis* KC583; *Bag*, *B. grahamii* as4aup; *Ac*, *Azorhizobium caulinodans* ORS571; *Sn*, *Starkeya novella* DSM506; *Xa*, *Xanthobacter autotrophicus* Py2; *Ms*, *Methylocella silvestris* BL2, *Bi*, *Beijerinckia indica* subsp indica ATCC9039. Grey bars indicate distribution of each sRNA family in these bacterial species. If more than one, the number of chromosomal and extrachromosomal copies of each sRNA gene is also indicated.

query sRNA genes are chromosomally located in S. meliloti 1021. Overall, structure-based clustering of the homologs identified with each of the CMs essentially correlates with the phylogeny of the order (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Small_ non_coding_RNAs_in_the_endosymbiotic_ diazotroph_%CE%B1-proteobacterium_ Sinorhizobium_meliloti). The dominant distribution pattern is represented by ar7, ar9 and ar14 CMs that identified members in the three taxonomic families of the order that include the bacterial species most closely related to S. meliloti i.e., Rhizobiaceae, Brucellaceae and Phyllobacteriaceae. The $\alpha r15$ family was also found to be widely distributed in the Rhizobiales but lacks chromosomally-encoded relatives in Mesorhizobium species (family Phyllobacteriaceae). The widest distribution corresponded to ar45 which occurrence

extended to species of other three taxonomic families with larger phylogenetic distances to S. meliloti i.e., Bartonellaceae, Xanthobacteriaceae and Beijerinckaceae. $\alpha r7$, $\alpha r9$ and $\alpha r45$ members are all encoded by single-copy genes with welldefined promoter regions on the main bacterial chromosomes. Further, with a very few exceptions, complete microsynteny, i.e., conservation of upstream and downstream genes, was observed for representatives of all these three sRNA families in genomes of bacterial species from the same taxonomic family whereas only one of the two flanking genes appears variable across the Rhizobiales (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%CE%B1r7_RNA; en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%CE%B1r9_RNA and en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%CE%B1r45_ RNA). Thus, the current distribution pattern of the ar7, ar9 and ar45 sRNA

families in bacteria is likely the result of the vertical inheritance of their respective sRNA genes located in the ancestral chromosome of the Rhizobiales.

In contrast, sRNA genes of the $\alpha r15$ and α r14 families exist in highly variable copy numbers in the individual genomes; many of them located on extrachromosomal replicons i.e., large accessory plasmids in Rhizobiaceae/Phyllobacteriaceae representatives and the second chromosome in Brucella species. ar15 members occur in two chromosomal copies in 19 genomes of bacteria belonging to the Rhizobiaceae and Brucellaceae families. These two genes are clustered in the same IGR in genomes from Rhizobiaceae whereas in Brucella species map to distant positions on chromosome I. The second chromosomal ar15 loci were missed by our search in the genomes of B. melitensis by. abortus 2308,

B. melitensis bv.1 16M and Ochrobactrum anthropi ATCC49188. With the exceptions of A. tumefaciens C58 and R. leguminosarum bv. trifolii 2304, at least a third $\alpha r15$ gene is located in extrachromosomal replicons of the host genomes. The ar14 RNA family showed an even more complex distribution pattern in the Rhizobiales. Two tandem copies of the S. meliloti Smr14C2 (formerly Smr14C) and Smr14C3 homologous genes were also identified in Sinorhizobium and Mesorhizobium species whereas in O. anthropi ATCC49188, Agrobacterium and Brucella species the second chromosomal gene predicted by the ar14 CM does not occur in such a syntenic context. A variable number of additional α r14 copies (up to six more in the genome of R. leguminosarum bv. trifolii WSM1325) were identified in the main chromosome and accessory plasmids of most of the bacterial species belonging to the Rhizobiaceae and Phyllobacteriaceae families. The ar15 and ar14 family members are mostly encoded in IGRs with a few exceptions of genes predicted within or antisense to annotated ORFs. However, these ORFs are frequently small, putatively coding for hypothetical proteins and/or absent from syntenic positions in bacterial genomes, thus representing probable mis-annotations as protein coding regions (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ %CE%B1r14_RNA#Genomic_Context; en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%CE%B1r15_RNA #Genomic_Context). In general, tandemlyarranged ar15 and ar14 genes occur in complete or partial microsynteny with the flanking genes in genomes of Rhizobiaceae and Phyllobacteriaceae as do their homologs on the main chromosome of O. anthropi ATCC49188 and Brucella species. However, microsynteny is much more fragmented or even absent for many of the remaining chromosomal and plasmidic copies of the ar14 and ar15 loci. Altogether, these observations suggest that $\alpha r14$ and $\alpha r15$ constitute families of paralogous sRNA gene copies in the Rhizobiales probably emanated from duplication events of their respective ancestral chromosomal genes over evolutionary time scales. Nonetheless, horizontal transfer events could certainly contribute to the current distribution patterns of some ar14

and α r15 gene copies, particularly of those occurring without signs of microsynteny in the accessory plasmids of plant-interacting bacteria. Noteworthy, some of the α r15 loci were flanked by insertion sequences or transposase-encoding genes, among other genetic elements involved in mobility events and genomic rearrangements (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%CE%B1r15_RNA #Genomic_Context).

Finally, the $\alpha r35$ family exhibits a more restricted and dispersed representation, not only at the species but also at the strain levels. Only seven candidates were identified by the ar35 Infernal models in addition to the S. meliloti Smr35B sRNA. Three of these predicted Smr35B homologs are encoded on the chromosomes of A. tumefaciens C58, O. anthropi ATCC49188, and R. leguminosarum bv. viceae 3841, whereas the remaining four ar35 genes are extrachromosomal and were identified on the R. etli CFN42 plasmid p42f, R. leguminosarum bv. viceae 3841 plasmid pRL11 and R. leguminosarum bv. trifolii 1325 plasmids pRl132502 and pRl132504. Again, the majority of the ar35 genes appeared to be independent transcription units with recognizable promoters with the exceptions of the chromosomal and plasmidic loci of R. leguminosarum bv. viceae 3841 and R. etli CFN42, respectively, which putatively overlap to annotated ORFs of unpredicted function. S. meliloti 1021 and O. anthropi ATCC49188 ar35 genes occur in complete microsynteny with the flanking genes whereas the genomic regions of the other six $\alpha r35$ representatives revealed partial or no conservation at all (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%CE%B1r35_RNA #Genomic_Context).

αr14
and αr15
representatives are dif-ferentially regulated in*S. meliloti*. Theαr14 and αr15 CMs also identified severalrelated genes in the*S. meliloti*1021genome. A third copy of the Smr15C*locus*was found in the megaplasmidpSymA (Smr15A) and up to 5 additionalcopies of the query Smr14C2-encodinggene, were also identified; two of themchromosomally located (Smr14C1 andSmr14C3), two in pSymA (Smr14A1and Smr14A2) and the remaining onein pSymB (Smr14B) (Fig. 4). Similarlyto the situation of Smr15C1/Smr15C2, genes arranged in tandem in the same *S. meliloti* 1021 IGR encode Smr14C2 and Smr14C3. All the newly predicted Smr14- and Smr15-like sRNAs in the *S. meliloti* genome are encoded in IGRs, with the exception of Smr14B, which is encoded antisense to the *SMb20591* gene (Fig. 4).

Oligonucleotides specific to all the Smr14 and Smr15 loci were used to probe S. meliloti RNA obtained from log and stationary phase cultures in TY broth (Fig. 4). These experiments confirmed the growth-dependent expression of Smr14C2, Smr15C1 and Smr15C2 transcripts with preferential accumulation of Smr15C1 upon entry of bacteria into stationary phase (Fig. 4). Despite their sequence and structural similarity Smr15C1 and Smr15C2 displayed opposite expression profiles. Strikingly, this set of Northern hybridizations did not reveal sings of expression of any of the other five Smr14 genes whereas the Smr15A transcript was barely detected on gels (Fig. 4). Multiple nucleotide sequence alignments of the promoter regions of all the genes encoding ar15 and ar14 members in species of the Rhizobiales identified diverse conserved motifs that could contribute to the differential expression of these genes in specific biological conditions (en. wikipedia.org/wiki/%CE%B1r14_RNA# Promoter Analysis; en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ %CE%B1r15_RNA#Promoter_Analysis). Supporting this prediction, RNA-Seq of the S. meliloti sRNAs expressed in a number of stress conditions has rendered variable number of reads for the S. meliloti ar14- and ar15-like transcripts, possibly correlating with a diversity of accumulation profiles.9

Discussion

The repertoire of non-coding RNAs expressed by the legume endosymbiont *S. meliloti* is one of the best characterized among those of its α -proteobacterial counterparts.⁶⁻⁹ However, current information about the function of these transcripts in bacteria is certainly scarce. The first set of sRNAs identified in the reference strain *S. meliloti* 1021 included eight transcripts with genomic boundaries experimentally determined by independent approaches.^{6.9}



Figure 4. Northern analysis of the Smr14 and Smr15 sRNAs in *S. meliloti*. Maps of the genomic regions (not drawn to scale) of all the genes predicted by the αr14 and αr15 CMs in *S. meliloti* 1021 are shown to the left of the panels. Numbers denote coordinates of the genes in the genome. Name of the oligonucleotide probes used to hybridize each membrane are indicated to the right and their corresponding nucleotide sequences are listed in **Table 2**. RNA samples were obtained from logarithmic (log) and stationary phase (st) *S. meliloti* 1021 cultures in TY broth. 5S RNA was also probed as RNA loading control.

Here, we have performed a comprehensive computational comparative analysis of these eight sRNA sequences to identify conserved structural motifs putatively relevant to their function as well as to assess their conservation patterns in bacterial genomes. CMs derived from alignments of the Smr sRNA homologs first identified Smr22C as the S. meliloti ortholog of the ubiquitous 6S sRNA. This RNA constitutes an example of a well-characterized trans-acting proteinbinding sRNA.¹⁹ The remaining seven transcripts represent structural and functional novel prokaryotic sRNAs and were collected into six different Infernal models.

These CMs were used to accurately identify new members of each family in available sequenced bacterial genomes. This search revealed conservation of the Smr sRNAs in bacterial species belonging to the order of the Rhizobiales within the α -subgroup of proteobacteria and, hence these RNA families were accordingly termed αr . Such a distribution pattern, limited to phylogenetically related bacterial species, is a general feature of the Hfq-dependent base-pairing riboregulators.1 Indeed, the consensus secondary structures deduced from each family model evidenced Hfgbinding and exposed aSD signatures in ar15 and ar14 transcripts as recognizable

functional motifs involved in the sRNAtarget mRNA interaction. In this regard, it is also noteworthy that previously reported pull-down experiments as well as stability assays on a *S. meliloti hfq* mutant background independently confirmed the Smr-Hfq interactions predicted by our CMs.^{17,20}

Two particular CMs representing the α r15 and α r45 families rendered partial hits to the Rfam models corresponding to the suhB and speF non-coding RNA elements, respectively. The secondary structure of the α r15 sRNAs is predicted to consist of three hairpin motifs, in good agreement with the mapping of the

A. tumefaciens Smr15C1 homolog (AbcR1) by enzymatic probing.²¹ Furthermore, the aSD-containing 5' hairpin loop of A. tumefaciens AbcR1 has been shown to be the functional domain of this transcript for targeting the 5'-UTR of the mRNA encoding the GABA-binding protein.21 Confirming these experimental results preliminary predictions of Smr15C1/ C2-mRNA interactions in S. meliloti using diverse bioinformatics tools anticipate a major involvement of the 5' hairpin in target recognition (O. Torres-Quesada and J.I. Jiménez-Zurdo, unpublished results). This 5' stem loop is a variable or missing domain in suhB-like transcripts. Our comparative analysis revealed a similar situation for the $\alpha r35$ sRNA family and its variant \$\alpha\$r35b. The dispersed occurrence of the ar35 loci in the Rhizobiales points also to the primary hairpin of these molecules as a functional domain, which probably has co-evolved with its target protein or mRNA in these genomes. Some 5' located sRNA domains have been shown to be critical elements for specific pairing-based mRNA target recognition that can act autonomously when fused to unrelated sRNA molecules.²² Therefore, the structural modules shared by ar15/suhB and ar35/ar35b could be regarded as a kind of α proteobacteria-specific "structural Legos" which could accommodate autonomous 5' domains to create functionally diverse sRNAs.23

We have also shown that the S. meliloti Smr45C sRNA and its downstream mRNA containing the cis-regulatory element speF are detected as different RNA species on Northern membranes under several biological conditions. Nonetheless, our comparative analysis also revealed that Smr45C always occurs in a syntenic context with a downstream ornithine decarboxylase-encoding gene in the Rhizobiales (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%CE %B1r45_RNA). Therefore, it cannot be ruled out that under not yet tested specific biological conditions, probably relevant to polyamine biosynthesis, speF and Smr45C can be transcribed as a single cis-acting RNA element likely controlling translation of the ornithine decarboxylase enzyme. Possible processing of sRNAs from riboswitches was first described in *E. coli.*²⁴ Furthermore, a dual function of a sRNA as *trans*- and *cis*-acting riboregulator has been recently reported for a lysine riboswitch which lies in the 5'-UTR of the lysine transporter gene in *Listeria monocytogenes*.²⁵

Chromosomal location and conservation of at least one of the flanking proteincoding genes are also dominant features of the intergenic base pairing sRNA loci.¹ The ar7, ar9 and ar45 CMs represent new examples of bacterial sRNAs encoded by conserved unique chromosomal genes that occur in extensive microsynteny across phylogenetically related species. However, single-copy genes hardly represent 58% of the total gene content of the S. meliloti genome.⁴ The genomes of plant-interacting bacteria usually evidence high levels of paralogy suggesting that their expansion through gene duplications has been little constrained during the evolution, facilitating the acquisition of new adaptive functions for life in the soil and within plant cells.^{2,4} The $\alpha r14$ and $\alpha r15$ family members occur in multiple copies in the individual genomes. Multiple sRNA copies are not unusual in bacteria, although the physiological/ecological advantages of these reiterations have been only investigated in a subset of cases.1 Seemingly homologous sRNAs could act either redundantly, serving as backups in critical pathways, additively sensing different stimuli to integrate diverse environmental signals, independently, regulating different set of genes or hierarchically upon each other.26-28 In this work we have investigated the expression in free-living bacteria of the Smr14 and Smr15 genes copies identified by the respective covariance models in S. meliloti 1021. Northern experiments, promoter predictions and reported RNA-Seq data9 provide evidences for the differential regulation of these genes. In particular, the opposite expression patterns of Smr15C1 and Smr15C2 contrast with those of their A. tumefaciens homologs, which encoding genes are similarly arranged in tandem in the circular chromosome of this bacterium but showed identical expression profiles.²¹ Interestingly, Smr15C1 retained its accumulation pattern in a S. meliloti Δ Smr15C2 derivative and vice versa suggesting that these sRNAs act independently or additively

rather than hierarchically as riboregulators in *S. meliloti* (O. Torres-Quesada and J.I. Jiménez-Zurdo, unpublished). On the other hand, the undetectable expression of some transcripts in our assays, particularly of those grouped within the α r14 sRNA family anticipates that they could be only expressed under not tested specific biological conditions to fulfill different adaptive functions in this bacterium.

In summary, our findings provide a baseline for the forthcoming investigation of the functional plasticity and evolution of the small non-coding RNAs in *S. meliloti* and related plant-interacting bacteria.

Materials and Methods

Computational tools and methods. In a first step the smr gene sequences were BLASTed with default parameters against all currently available bacterial genomes (1,615 sequences at 20 April 2011; www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The regions exhibiting signidcant homologies to the query sequence (78-89% similarity) were used to generate automated infernal alignments²⁹ for each family. This initial alignment was hand-curated and manually inspected to deduce a consensus secondary structure for each family. The consensus structure was also independently predicted with the program locARNATE³⁰ in an automatic manner and differences reconciled giving priority to the structural conservation. Given the initial hand-curated structural alignment of close homologs Infernal was used to interrogate the same set of bacterial genomes, searching for new members of the models. The alignment process was repeated during three iterations. The candidates obtained with the Infernal models were selected as members of a given family if their Infernal E-value was e10⁻⁰³ or lower, or after manual inspection for those with higher Infernal E-values. The hierarchical cluster-tree for each family is derived by WPGMA clustering of the pairwise alignment distances and the optimal number of clusters was calculated from the tree using RNAclust (www.bioinf.uni-leipzig.de/ -kristin/Software/RNAclust/). A Stockholm format text file of each family alignment is provided in the links to the family wiki

Table 2. Oligonuclotide probes used in northern hybridizations

Nucleotide sequence	Target sequence ^a
5'-CTTCAGTAACCCCGGCTGTTGGAGA-3'	3,105,282-3,105,258
5'-CCGCACCGTCGTTGCTTCAAGATGT-3'	3,105,328–3,105,304
5'-AACCGACCGAATGCCGGGCGCCGTG-3'	206,954–206,930
5'-TGCTTGATCTGATTGGCAACCGGGA-3'	1,667,552–1,667,528
5'-ACCGGCGGGCGTCATAAAGGCGATT-3'	1,667,818–1,667,794
5'-AACCGATCGGCGTCTTGCGCCGTGG-3'	1,220,715–1,220,739
5'-GAGGAAAGGTCGCTCGCATATCGAA-3'	1,328,303–1,328,279
5'-GTGCGCCGGGCTTTCGATCCTGACC-3'	1,605,895–1,605,919
5'-GAGGAGAAAGCCGCTAGATGCACCA-3'	1,698,728–1698,704
5'-ACTGGGAGGAGAAGCCACCAAAGAT-3'	1,698,928–1698,904
5'-GGAGGAAAACTGCCATGCGCATCAA-3'	552,875–552,899
	Nucleotide sequence 5'-CTTCAGTAACCCCGGCTGTTGGAGA-3' 5'-CCGCACCGTCGTTGCTTCAAGATG-3' 5'-AACCGAACGAATGCCGGGCGCCGTG-3' 5'-AACCGACCGACGTCATTGGCAACCGGGA-3' 5'-ACCGGCGGGCGTCATAAAGGCGATT-3' 5'-AACCGATCGGCGTCATGCGCCGTGG-3' 5'-GAGGAAAGGTCGCTCGCATATCGAA-3' 5'-GAGGAAAAGCTGCCTCGCATATCGAA-3' 5'-GAGGAGAAAGCCGCTAGATGCACCA-3' 5'-ACTGGGAGAAAGCCGCTAGATGCACCA-3' 5'-ACTGGGAGAAAACCGCCATGACACAAAGAT-3'

^aCoordinates of the sequence stretches complementary to each probe in the *S. meliloti* 1021 genome according to iant.toulouse.inra.fr/bacteria/annotation/cgi/rhime.cgi.

pages at en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Small_ noncoding_RNAs_in_the_endosymbotic_ diazotroph_%CE%B1-proteobacterium_ Sinorhizobium_meliloti.

In order to study the microsinteny of each αr family, we located and extracted the flanking genes of their respective members. Non-annotated ORFs were further annotated using Blast2GO,^{31,32} and the high-throughput pipelines ProtSweep, and DomainSweep.³³ The obtained results were later manually inspected in order to annotate and predict a biological function for these ORFs. In the few cases

References

- Waters LS, Storz G. Regulatory RNAs in bacteria. Cell 2009; 136:615-28; PMID:19239884; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.043
- Batut J, Andersson SG, O'Callaghan D. The evolution of chronic infection strategies in the α-proteobacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 2004; 2:933-45; PMID:15550939; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1044
- Jones KM, Kobayashi H, Davies BW, Taga ME, Walker GC. How rhizobial symbionts invade plants: the *Sinorhizobium-Medicago* model. Nat Rev Microbiol 2007; 5:619-33; PMID:17632573; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/nrmicro1705
- Galibert F, Finan TM, Long SR, Puhler A, Abola P, Ampe F, et al. The composite genome of the legume symbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. Science 2001; 293: 668-72; PMID:11474104; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.1060966
- Slater SC, Goldman BS, Goodner B, Setubal JC, Farrand SK, Nester EW, et al. Genome sequences of three Agrobacterium biovars help elucidate the evolution of multichromosome genomes in bacteria. J Bacteriol 2009; 191:2501-11; PMID:19251847; http://dx.doi. org/10.1128/JB.01779-08
- del Val C, Rivas E, Torres-Quesada O, Toro N, Jiménez-Zurdo JI. Identification of differentially expressed small non-coding RNAs in the legume endosymbiont *Sinarhizabium meliloti* by comparative genomics. Mol Microbiol 2007; 66:1080-91; PMID: 17971/083; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007. 05978.x

where the predicted sRNAs overlapped ORFs, the same procedure as with the flanking genes was carried on. ORFs shorter than 30 aa, that neither showed similarity with any database entry, nor motif or signatures when searched against family and motif databases such as Interpro,³⁴ PFAM³⁵ or Smart³⁶ were considered as miss-annotations and thus not registered in the genomic context graph of the corresponding α r family.

Experimental methods. Growth of *S. meliloti* strain 1021 in TY and MM broths, RNA extraction from free-living

- Ulvé VM, Sevin EW, Cheron A, Barloy-Hubler F. Identification of chromosomal alpha-proteobacterial small RNAs by comparative genome analysis and detection in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* strain 1021. BMC Genomics 2007; 8:467; PMID:18093320; http://dx. doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-8-467
- Valverde C, Livny J, Schluter JP, Reinkensmeier J, Becker A, Parisi G. Prediction of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* sRNA genes and experimental detection in strain 2011. BMC Genomics 2008; 9:416; PMID:18793445; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-416
- Schlüter JP, Reinkensmeier J, Daschkey S, Evguenieva-Hackenberg E, Janssen S, Janicke S, et al. A genomewide survey of sRNAs in the symbiotic nitrogen-fixing alpha-proteobacterium *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. BMC Genomics 2010; 11:245; PMID:20398411; http://dx. doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-245
- Storz G, Opdyke JA, Zhang AX. Controlling mRNA stability and translation with small, noncoding RNAs. Curr Opin Microbiol 2004; 7:140-4; PMID:15063850; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2004.02.015
- Gardner PP, Daub J, Tate J, Moore BL, Osuch IH, Griffiths-Jones S, et al. Rfam: Wikipedia, clans and the "decimal" release. Nucleic Acids Res 2011; 39: D141-5; PMID:21062808; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkq1129
- Gruber AR, Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Neubock R, Hofacker IL. The Vienna RNA websuite. Nucleic Acids Res 2008; 36:W70-4; PMID:18424795; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn188

and endosymbiotic bacteria and Northern hybridizations were performed as previously described.⁶ Sequences of the 25-mer oligonuclotides used to probe Northern membranes are detailed in **Table 2**.

Acknowledgments

C.d.V. and R.R.-Z were supported in part by: the visitor program at Janelia Farm Research Campus (HHMI), the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia е Innovación (project TIN-2009-13950), the Consejería de Innovación, Investigación y Ciencia de la Junta de Andalucía (project TIC-02788) and the GENIL program (PYR-2010-28). Work at Grupo de Ecología Genética de la Rizosfera was partially funded by ERDF-cofinanced CSD2009grants 00006 (Consolider-Ingenio Program) and AGL2009-07925 from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación to N.T. and J.I.J.-Z., respectively. O.T.-Q. and A.P. are recipients of PhD fellowships from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (FPI program) and CSIC (JAE Program), respectively. We thank E. Rivas for helpful discussions, computational support at Janelia Farm Research Campus and careful read of the manuscript. T. Jones for valuable initial discussions.

- Corbino KA, Barrick JE, Lim J, Welz R, Tucker BJ, Puskarz I, et al. Evidence for a second class of S-adenosylmethionine riboswitches and other regulatory RNA motifs in alpha-proteobacteria. Genome Biol 2005; 6:R70; PMID:16086852; http://dx.doi.org/10. 1186/gb-2005-6-8-r70
- Schumacher MA, Pearson RF, Moller T, Valentin-Hansen P, Brennan RG. Structures of the pleiotropic translational regulator Hfq and an Hfq-RNA complex: a bacterial Sm-like protein. EMBO J 2002; 21: 3546-56; PMID:12093755; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ emboj/cdf322
- Otaka H, Ishikawa H, Morita T, Aiba H. PolyU tail of rho-independent terminator of bacterial small RNAs is essential for Hfq action. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011; 108:13059-64; PMID:21788484; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1107050108
- Sauer E, Weichenrieder O. Structural basis for RNA 3'-end recognition by Hfq. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011; 108:13065-70; PMID:21737752; http://dx.doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1103420108
- Torres-Quesada O, Oruezabal RI, Peregrina A, Jofré E, Lloret J, Rivilla R, et al. The *Sinorhizobium meliloti* RNA chaperone Hfq influences central carbon metabolism and the symbiotic interaction with alfalfa. BMC Microbiol 2010; 10:71; PMID:20205931; http://dx. doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-10-71

- MacLellan SR, MacLean AM, Finan TM. Promoter prediction in the rhizobia. Microbiology 2006; 152: 1751-63; PMID:16735738; http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ mic.0.28743-0
- Wassarman KM. 6S RNA: a regulator of transcription. Mol Microbiol 2007; 65:1425-31; PMID:17714443; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05894.x
- Voss B, Holscher M, Baumgarth B, Kalbfleisch A, Kaya C, Hess WR, et al. Expression of small RNAs in Rhizobiales and protection of a small RNA and its degradation products by Hfq in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2009; 390:331-6; PMID:19800865; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc. 2009.09.125
- Wilms I, Voss B, Hess WR, Leichert LI, Narberhaus F. Small RNA-mediated control of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GABA binding protein. Mol Microbiol 2011; 80:492-506; PMID:21320185; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07589.x
- Papenfort K, Bouvier M, Mika F, Sharma CM, Vogel J. Evidence for an autonomous 5' target recognition domain in an Hfq-associated small RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010; 107:20435-40; PMID:21059903; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1009784107
- Hunziker A, Tuboly C, Horvath P, Krishna S, Semsey S. Genetic flexibility of regulatory networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010; 107:12998-3003; PMID:20615961; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0915003107
- 24. Vogel J, Bartels V, Tang TH, Churakov G, Slagter-Jäger JG, Hüttenhofer A, et al. RNomics in *Escherichia* coli detects new sRNA species and indicates parallel transcriptional output in bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res 2003; 31:6435-43; PMID:14602901; http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/nar/gkg867

- Loh E, Dussurget O, Gripenland J, Vaitkevicius K, Tiensuu T, Mandin P, et al. A trans-acting riboswitch controls expression of the virulence regulator PrfA in *Listeria monocytogenes*. Cell 2009; 139:770-9; PMID: 19914169; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.08.046
- Lenz DH, Mok KC, Lilley BN, Kulkarni RV, Wingreen NS, Bassler BL. The small RNA chaperone Hfq and multiple small RNAs control quorum sensing in *Vibrio harveyi* and *Vibrio cholerae*. Cell 2004; 118: 69-82; PMID:15242645; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. cell.2004.06.009
- Tu KC, Bassler BL. Multiple small RNAs act additively to integrate sensory information and control quorum sensing in *Vibrio harveyi*. Genes Dev 2007; 21: 221-33; PMID:17234887; http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/ gad.1502407
- Urban JH, Vogel J. Two seemingly homologous noncoding RNAs act hierarchically to activate glmS mRNA translation. PLoS Biol 2008; 6:e64; PMID:18351803; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060064
- Nawrocki EP, Kolbe DL, Eddy SR. Infernal 1.0: inference of RNA alignments. Bioinformatics 2009; 25:1335-7; PMID:19307242; http://dx.doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/btp157
- Will S, Reiche K, Hofacker IL, Stadler PF, Backofen R. Inferring Noncoding RNA Families and Classes by Means of Genome-Scale Structure-Based Clustering. PLOS Comput Biol 2007; 3:e65; PMID:17432929; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030065
- Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. Bioinformatics 2005; 21:3674-6; PMID:16081474; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610

Do not distribute.

- Vinayagam A, del Val C, Schubert F, Eils R, Glatting KH, Suhai S, et al. GOPET: a tool for automated predictions of Gene Ontology terms. BMC Bioinformatics 2006; 7:161; PMID:16549020; http://dx. doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-7-161
- 33. del Val C, Ernst P, Falkenhahn M, Fladerer C, Glatting KH, Suhai S, et al. ProtSweep, 2Dsweep and DomainSweep: protein analysis suite at DKFZ. Nucleic Acids Res 2007; 35:W444-50; PMID:17526514; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm364
- 34. Hunter S, Apweiler R, Attwood TK, Bairoch A, Bateman A, Binns D, et al. InterPro: the integrative protein signature database. Nucleic Acids Res 2009; 37: D211-5; PMID:18940856; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkn785
- Finn RD, Mistry J, Tate J, Coggill P, Heger A, Pollington JE, et al. The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 2010; 38:D211-22; PMID: 19920124; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp985
- Letunic I, Doerks T, Bork P. SMART 6: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res 2009; 37:D229-32; PMID:18978020; http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/nar/gkn808

www.landesbioscience.com