

**PHD DISSERTATION / TESIS DOCTORAL**

**AUTHOR:**

**CLÉMENT LOPEZ-CANFIN**



**UNIVERSIDAD  
DE GRANADA**

---

**DRYLAND SOIL-ATMOSPHERE CO<sub>2</sub>  
EXCHANGE ASSOCIATED TO  
MICROCLIMATE AND GEOCHEMISTRY  
OVER A BIOCRUSTS SUCCESSION**

---

**DIRECTORS:**

**ROBERTO A. LÁZARO SUAU**

**ENRIQUE PÉREZ SÁNCHEZ-CAÑETE**

**FEBRUARY 2022**

**UNIVERSITY OF GRANADA**

**PHD PROGRAM IN EARTH SCIENCE**



---

PHD DISSERTATION / TESIS DOCTORAL

AUTHOR

CLÉMENT LOPEZ-CANFIN

**DRYLAND SOIL-ATMOSPHERE CO<sub>2</sub>  
EXCHANGE ASSOCIATED TO  
MICROCLIMATE AND GEOCHEMISTRY  
OVER A BIOCRUSTS SUCCESSION**

PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN CIENCIAS DE LA TIERRA

UNIVERSIDAD  
DE  
GRANADA



CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE  
INVESTIGACIONES  
CIENTIFICAS



**Grupo Física  
de la Atmósfera**



Editor: Universidad de Granada. Tesis Doctorales  
Autor: Clément López Canfin  
ISBN: 978-84-1117-269-1  
URI: <http://hdl.handle.net/10481/73974>



---

TESIS DOCTORAL

**DRYLAND SOIL-ATMOSPHERE CO<sub>2</sub>  
EXCHANGE ASSOCIATED TO  
MICROCLIMATE AND GEOCHEMISTRY  
OVER A BIOCRUSTS SUCCESSION**

Trabajo de investigación presentado por **Clément Lopez-Canfin**  
para aspirar al grado de Doctor por la Universidad de Granada

Esta Tesis Doctoral ha sido dirigida y supervisada por:

**Dr. Roberto A. Lázaro Suau y Dr. Enrique Pérez Sánchez-Cañete**

Febrero 2022



La Tesis doctoral que se expone en la siguiente memoria, titulada: “Dryland soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange associated to microclimate and geochemistry over a biocrusts succession” ha sido realizada por Clément Lopez-Canfin para aspirar al grado de Doctor por la Universidad de Granada. Se ha realizado conjuntamente en el Departamento de Desertificación y Geoecología de la Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas (CSIC, Almería) y el Departamento de Física Aplicada de la Universidad de Granada. La realización de esta Tesis ha sido financiada por el proyecto “Dinámica de Biocostras” (DINCOS) del Plan Estatal de Investigación Científica, Técnica y de Innovación 2016-2020 (CGL2016-78075-P), y por el proyecto “Intercambios de Carbono y Agua en Ecosistemas Singulares y Representativos de Andalucía” (ICAERSA) de la Junta de Andalucía (P18-RT-3629), incluyendo fondos europeos de desarrollo regional (FEDER).



**Junta de Andalucía**



**UNIÓN EUROPEA**  
Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional



**Andalusia**  
moving forward with Europe





---

## ABSTRACT

This thesis arose in response to several gaps in the current understanding and modelling of the carbon cycle: (1) in spite of its importance on ecosystem and global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_c$ ) was still not well constrained, and its feedback with climate change and  $F_c$  was still uncertain; (2) biological soil crusts (biocrusts) were believed to play a considerable role in the global carbon budget due to their photosynthetic activity but little was known about the spatio-temporal variability of  $F_c$  under those communities of microorganisms that cover drylands soils worldwide; (3) a nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake has been increasingly reported in those areas but the involved biogeochemical mechanisms remained unclear; (4) recent evidence suggested that liquid water input *via* water vapor adsorption (WVA) by soil had been overlooked in water-limited ecosystems, though it might represent an important process to take into account in climate-carbon cycle feedback models. This thesis aimed to contribute to improve the available knowledge required to address those issues.

To this end, a semi-permanent experiment was designed in the Tabernas Desert (Southeastern Spain). A network of environmental sensors was installed to monitor continuously the microclimate over an ecological succession of biocrusts, including CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor measurements in the topsoil and atmosphere. Those measurements were coupled to a geochemical characterization of the soil and soil water.

To assess the role of geochemistry and in particular soil carbonates in  $F_c$  dynamics, it was necessary to obtain accurate measurements of parameters used as inputs in geochemical models. Therefore, Chapter 1 presents a methodological advance to determine accurately the carbonate chemistry in the soil solid and aqueous phase: a new low-cost device was developed to quantify the calcium carbonate content and reactive surface area in solid samples as well as the dissolved inorganic carbon content in water samples.

Chapter 2 presents two years of continuous measurements of the topsoil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_c$ ) and pedoclimatic variables, including soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) and soil temperature ( $T_s$ ). Those data were used to develop statistical spatio-temporal models of the  $\chi_c$  dynamics over the biocrusts succession. We found that soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were more sensitive to  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$

in late successional stages, and that a future enhancement of soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is a likely outcome of global warming at this site. Nevertheless, we also found that calcite played a role in mitigating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions through the uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> by soil at night. Our measurements suggested that CO<sub>2</sub> consumption processes were progressively masked by the increase in biological CO<sub>2</sub> production during succession. That is probably why those processes could mainly be detected in early successional stages and more generally in drylands, as they sustain a low biological activity.

In Chapter 3, water vapor measurements were added to the dataset of Chapter 2 and analyzed in association with CO<sub>2</sub> measurements. Our main findings were (1) the occurrence of WVA fluxes during hot and dry periods, and new insights on their underlying mechanisms; (2) a coupling between water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, well predicted by our models; and (3) cumulative soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake increasing with specific surface area in early succession stages, thus mitigating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. During summer drought, as WVA was the main water source, it probably maintained ecosystem processes such as microbial activity and mineral reactions. Therefore, at this stage of the thesis, we suggested that WVA could drive the detected nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake.

In Chapter 4, we further explored the underlying mechanisms involved in this uptake. To this end, measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor were combined to analyses of the composition of the soil solution after simulated rain events and subsequent geochemical and statistical modelling. We found strong evidence for the occurrence of a geochemical mechanism of coupled gypsum dissolution-carbonate precipitation due to a common-ion effect, and proposed a pathway for its implication in the nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. The main factor limiting the process in this dryland was water availability, but our observations supported that nocturnal water vapor adsorption by soil might lift this limitation under drought conditions. We also discussed the role of soil dissolved organic carbon on calcite precipitation, and a possible connection with the nitrogen cycle and biomineralizing microorganisms among biocrusts. We suggest that this natural geochemical process has the potential to constitute an active long-term carbon sink because the Ca involved in CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation came from an exogenic source.

In summary, this thesis contributed to improve the understanding and modelling of the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in semiarid biocrusted soils,

---

by identifying the environmental variables and potential biogeochemical processes controlling those fluxes. It especially emphasizes the role of overlooked natural processes able to mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. A general discussion is provided at the end of this thesis, which connects the contents of the different chapters together with the current state of knowledge.

## RESUMEN

Esta tesis tomó forma como respuesta a ciertas lagunas que el ciclo del Carbono presenta actualmente en cuanto a la comprensión y la modelización de los procesos: (1) a pesar de su importancia en el ecosistema y en las emisiones globales de CO<sub>2</sub>, el flujo de CO<sub>2</sub> suelo-atmósfera ( $F_c$ ) aún no estaba bien acotado, y la retroalimentación entre el cambio climático y  $F_c$  era aún incierta; (2) se creía que las costras biológicas del suelo (biocostras) desempeñaban un papel considerable en el balance global de carbono debido a su actividad fotosintética, pero se sabía poco sobre la variabilidad espacio-temporal de  $F_c$  en esas comunidades de microorganismos que cubren el suelo en una amplia parte de las tierras secas en todo el mundo; (3) aunque han ido apareciendo evidencias de absorción nocturna de CO<sub>2</sub> en el suelo en esas áreas, los mecanismos biogeoquímicos involucrados seguían sin estar claros; (4) la entrada de agua líquida en el sistema por la adsorción de vapor de agua (WVA) por el suelo no se había tenido suficientemente en cuenta en estos ecosistemas limitados por el agua, aunque podría ser un proceso importante a considerar en los modelos de retroalimentación del ciclo del carbono y el clima. Esta tesis tuvo como objetivo contribuir a mejorar el conocimiento actual sobre estos problemas.

Para ello se diseñó un experimento semipermanente en el Desierto de Tabernas (sureste de España). Se instaló una red de sensores ambientales para registro en continuo del microclima y medición de CO<sub>2</sub> y vapor de agua en la capa superior del suelo y la atmósfera, en una serie de puntos representativos de la sucesión ecológica en las biocostras. Esas mediciones se acoplaron a una caracterización geoquímica del suelo y del agua del suelo.

Para evaluar el papel de la geoquímica y, en particular, de los carbonatos del suelo en la dinámica de  $F_c$ , fue necesario obtener mediciones precisas de los parámetros utilizados como entradas en los modelos geoquímicos. Es por ello que el Capítulo 1 presenta un avance metodológico para determinar con precisión la química del carbonato en las fases sólida y acuosa del suelo: Se desarrolló un nuevo dispositivo, de bajo coste, para cuantificar el contenido de carbonato de calcio y el área superficial reactiva en muestras sólidas, así como el carbono inorgánico disuelto contenido en muestras de agua.

El Capítulo 2 presenta dos años de mediciones continuas de la fracción molar de CO<sub>2</sub> de la capa superior del suelo ( $\chi_c$ ), junto con variables pedoclimáticas, incluido el contenido de agua del suelo ( $\theta_w$ ) y la temperatura del suelo ( $T_s$ ). Esos datos se usaron para desarrollar modelos estadísticos espacio-temporales de la dinámica de  $\chi_c$  a lo largo de la sucesión en las

---

biocostras. Descubrimos que las emisiones de CO<sub>2</sub> del suelo eran más sensibles a  $\theta_w$  y  $T_s$  en las últimas etapas de la sucesión, y que un aumento futuro de las emisiones de CO<sub>2</sub> del suelo es un resultado probable del calentamiento global en este sitio. Sin embargo, también encontramos que la calcita desempeñó un papel en la mitigación de las emisiones de CO<sub>2</sub>, a través de la absorción de CO<sub>2</sub> por parte del suelo durante la noche. Nuestras mediciones sugirieron que los procesos de consumo de CO<sub>2</sub> fueron progresivamente más enmascarados por el aumento en la producción biológica de CO<sub>2</sub> a lo largo de la sucesión. Probablemente por eso estos procesos se detectarían principalmente en etapas sucesionales tempranas, y particularmente en tierras secas, ya que mantienen una baja actividad biológica.

En el Capítulo 3, mediciones de flujos de vapor de agua se agregaron al conjunto de datos del Capítulo 2 y se analizaron en asociación con las mediciones de CO<sub>2</sub>. Nuestros principales hallazgos fueron (1) la aparición de flujos WVA durante períodos cálidos y secos, y nuevos conocimientos sobre sus mecanismos subyacentes; (2) la existencia de un acoplamiento entre los flujos de vapor de agua y CO<sub>2</sub>, acertadamente predicho por nuestros modelos; y (3) que la absorción acumulativa de CO<sub>2</sub> del suelo aumenta con el área de superficie específica en las primeras etapas de sucesión, mitigando así las emisiones de CO<sub>2</sub>. Durante la sequía del verano, dado que WVA era la principal fuente de agua, probablemente mantuvo procesos del ecosistema, como la actividad microbiana y las reacciones minerales. Es por esto que, en esta etapa de la tesis, sugerimos que WVA podría impulsar la captación nocturna de CO<sub>2</sub> detectada.

En el Capítulo 4, exploramos más a fondo los mecanismos subyacentes implicados en esta captación. Con este fin, las mediciones de CO<sub>2</sub> y vapor de agua se combinaron con análisis de la composición de la solución del suelo después de eventos de lluvia simulados y la posterior modelización geoquímica y estadística. Encontramos fuerte evidencia de la existencia de un mecanismo geoquímico de precipitación de carbonatos acoplada con disolución de yeso debido a un efecto de ion común, y hemos propuesto una vía para su implicación en la absorción nocturna de CO<sub>2</sub> por el suelo. El factor principal que limitó el proceso en esta tierra seca fue la disponibilidad de agua, pero nuestras observaciones respaldaron la hipótesis de que la adsorción nocturna de vapor de agua por parte del suelo podría eliminar esta limitación en condiciones de sequía. También discutimos el papel del carbono orgánico disuelto en el suelo en la precipitación de calcita y una posible conexión con el ciclo del nitrógeno y los microorganismos bio-mineralizadores de las biocostras. Sugerimos que este proceso geoquímico natural tiene el potencial

de constituir un sumidero de carbono activo a largo plazo porque el Ca involucrado en la precipitación de CaCO<sub>3</sub> proviene de una fuente exógena.

En resumen, esta tesis ha contribuido a mejorar la comprensión y el modelado del intercambio de CO<sub>2</sub> suelo-atmósfera en suelos semiáridos con biocostra, al identificar las variables ambientales y los posibles procesos biogeoquímicos que controlan esos flujos. Enfatiza especialmente el papel de los procesos naturales pasados por alto capaces de mitigar las emisiones de CO<sub>2</sub>. Se incluye una discusión general al final de esta tesis, que conecta los contenidos de los diferentes capítulos junto con el estado actual del conocimiento.

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Deseo especialmente agradecer a mis directores de tesis, Roberto Lázaro y Enrique P. Sánchez-Cañete. Sin ellos, la realización de este trabajo no hubiera sido posible. Agradezco a los dos sin distinción por haber confiado en mí y darme carta blanca del inicio hasta el final. A Roberto, al cual le estoy profundamente agradecido por haber estado disponible en todo momento para responder a mis interrogaciones, por su capacidad de escucha, su gran humanidad y su mentalidad abierta. Nunca olvidaré nuestras conversaciones apasionantes, siempre constructivas y llenas de sabiduría de su parte. Sintiendo una gran admiración frente a su filosofía de vida, moral de acero y resistencia al trabajo de campo. A Enrique, al cual le tengo profundo respeto e inmenso agradecimiento por apostar por mí, primero para mi tesina de Máster, luego como técnico y finalmente como estudiante de doctorado. Mostró una eficiencia incomparable para aclarar mis dudas y guiarme durante estos años. Admiro especialmente su gran pragmatismo frente a las situaciones más complejas. De Enrique aprendí que, si hay un problema, hay una solución, sino no hay problema. Le estoy fuertemente agradecido por su gran paciencia conmigo, su capacidad de análisis y sus consejos siempre sensatos. Sinceramente, no hubiera podido soñar mejores directores de tesis. A la vez su pasión por la ciencia y sus cualidades humanas me procuraron una inagotable fuente de motivación para avanzar sobre un camino esparcido de obstáculos. Mucho más que directores de tesis, ahora forman parte de las personas que han marcado profundamente mi vida.

También quiero agradecer a Andrew S. Kowalski por su atento seguimiento como tutor durante todo el desarrollo de mi tesis, por sus recomendaciones tan relevantes, y su contribución al mejoramiento de la redacción de los artículos.

Agradezco a la UGR y su programa de doctorado en Ciencias de la Tierra por su calidad académica y numerosas oportunidades para los estudiantes (conferencias, cursos, becas, etc.).

Me gustaría también agradecer a Francisco Domingo por haberme recibido en la EEZA con los brazos abiertos, y por su mirada benévola sobre mis avances durante estos años.



Agradezco también a Encarnación Ruiz Agudo por haberme abierto las puertas de su laboratorio para realizar análisis de superficie específica de la calcita, y por su colaboración en el último capítulo de la tesis. También agradezco a Aurelia Ibañez Velasco por haber supervisado estos análisis.

Gracias a Albert Solé-Benet por haber compartido con entusiasmo su brillante conocimiento y por haberme prodigado consejos y datos valiosos en relación con la pedología del sitio experimental. Le deseo una excelente jubilación, bien merecida.

Gracias a Cecilio Oyonarte por haberme permitido realizar los análisis de carbono orgánico en su laboratorio, por haberme guiado a lo largo del proceso y por haberme prestado su IRGA de campo.

Gracias también a Penélope Serrano-Ortiz por haberme prestado su IRGA de campo, permitiendo calibrar un modelo de difusión del CO<sub>2</sub>.

Gracias a Mónica Ladrón de Guevara por haberme acogido y capacitado sobre el uso de otro IRGA de campo y a Fernando Maestre por haberme recibido en su laboratorio durante esta capacitación.

Gracias también a los técnicos de la EEZA Angel Belmonte, Domingo Álvarez, Pilar Fuentetaja, Olga Corona Forero y Montserrat Guerrero por echarme una mano con distintas actividades de laboratorio.

Gracias también a Consuelo Rubio y Marietta Daskalaki por su participación en el trabajo de campo y la caracterización de algunas propiedades del suelo.

Gracias a Enrique Cortés-Sánchez por su ayuda en resolver problemas relacionados con la electrónica de las instalaciones y la instrumentación.

Gracias al personal administrativo de la EEZA, estas personas que actúan en la sombra pero que hacen posible la investigación, en particular Almudena Delgado, Andrés Castro y Mercedes Salvador por su dedicación día a día.

Gracias también a mis compañeros de trabajo de la EEZA y de la UGR por su amabilidad a diario, especialmente Christian, Cristina, Gustavo, Iñaki, Jordi, Jorge, Juan, Lourdes y Miguel (EEZA), así como Emilio, Enrique, Félix, Juan Jo, Marcela, Patricio y Sergio (UGR).

Finalmente, quiero agradecer fraternalmente a un amigo especial, Daniel, y a dos miembros de mi familia particularmente importantes, mi primo Cyrille y mi hermano Théo, por su presencia a pesar de la distancia, y por su apoyo infalible, incluso cuando más lo necesitaba.

---

Je dédie cette thèse à mon père et à celui qui a repris son flambeau, mon grand-père, qui me répétait souvent cette phrase, tirée d'une chanson de Jean Gabin et inspirée de Socrate :

« *Maintenant je sais, je sais qu'on ne sait jamais* »

À mon père, je dédie le poème suivant, en espérant qu'il eut été fier de moi, même s'il me reste encore beaucoup à apprendre :

*Si tu peux voir détruit l'ouvrage de ta vie  
Et sans dire un seul mot te mettre à rebâtir,  
Ou perdre en un seul coup le gain de cent parties  
Sans un geste et sans un soupir ;*

*Si tu peux être amant sans être fou d'amour,  
Si tu peux être fort sans cesser d'être tendre,  
Et, te sentant haï, sans haïr à ton tour,  
Pourtant lutter et te défendre ;*

*Si tu peux supporter d'entendre tes paroles  
Travesties par des gueux pour exciter des sots,  
Et d'entendre mentir sur toi leurs bouches folles  
Sans mentir toi-même d'un mot ;*

*Si tu peux rester digne en étant populaire,  
Si tu peux rester peuple en conseillant les rois,  
Et si tu peux aimer tous tes amis en frère,  
Sans qu'aucun d'eux soit tout pour toi ;*

*Si tu sais méditer, observer et connaître,  
Sans jamais devenir sceptique ou destructeur,  
Rêver, mais sans laisser ton rêve être ton maître,  
Penser sans n'être qu'un penseur ;*

*Si tu peux être dur sans jamais être en rage,  
Si tu peux être brave et jamais imprudent,  
Si tu sais être bon, si tu sais être sage,  
Sans être moral ni pédant ;*

*Si tu peux rencontrer Triomphe après Défaite  
Et recevoir ces deux menteurs d'un même front,  
Si tu peux conserver ton courage et ta tête  
Quand tous les autres les perdront,*

*Alors les Rois, les Dieux, la Chance et la Victoire  
Seront à tout jamais tes esclaves soumis,  
Et, ce qui vaut mieux que les Rois et la Gloire  
Tu seras un homme, mon fils.*

“*Tu seras un Homme mon fils*», Rudyard Kipling (translated by André Maurois)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Climate-carbon cycle feedbacks .....	1
1.2. The role of dryland biocrusts in the carbon cycle .....	2
1.3. Potential abiotic processes of CO <sub>2</sub> consumption in drylands .....	3
1.4. Water vapor adsorption: an overlooked water input in drylands ...	5
1.5. Objectives of the thesis and general assumption .....	7
<b>2. MATERIAL AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1. Experimental Site .....	15
2.1.1. Location and climate .....	15
2.1.2. Geology and Soil .....	16
2.1.3. Biocrusts succession and vegetation .....	16
2.2. Fundamentals.....	17
2.2.1. Operating principle of CO <sub>2</sub> sensors .....	17
2.2.2. Soil water extractors .....	19
2.2.3. Carbonate reactivity.....	20
2.3. Applied methodology .....	22
2.3.1. Measuring CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes with portable soil chambers.....	22
2.3.2. The gradient method.....	23
2.3.3. Continuous environmental measurements.....	25
2.3.4. Preparation, installation, and operation of soil water samplers.....	28
2.3.5. Improvement of the determination of carbonate chemistry in the soil solid and aqueous phase.....	29
2.4. Data analysis .....	30
2.4.1. Gap Filling .....	30
2.4.2. Statistical modelling .....	31
2.4.3. Geochemical modelling .....	33
2.5. Summary of applied methodology and data analysis by chapter ..	35
<b>3. RESULTS .....</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1. CHAPTER 1 .....	45

---

Development of a new low-cost device to measure calcium carbonate content, reactive surface area in solid samples and dissolved inorganic carbon content in water samples.....	45
3.1.1. Introduction .....	47
3.1.2. Results .....	52
3.1.3. Discussion .....	56
3.1.4. Conclusion.....	58
3.2. CHAPTER 2 .....	63
Disparate responses of soil-atmosphere CO <sub>2</sub> exchange to biophysical and geochemical factors over a biocrust ecological succession in the Tabernas Desert .....	63
3.2.1. Introduction .....	65
3.2.2. Material and methods .....	69
3.2.3. Results .....	73
3.2.4. Discussion .....	85
3.2.5. Conclusions .....	94
3.3. CHAPTER 3 .....	107
Water vapor adsorption by dry soils: a potential link between the water and carbon cycles .....	107
3.3.1. Introduction .....	109
3.3.2. Material and methods .....	112
3.3.3. Results .....	115
3.3.4. Discussion .....	125
3.3.5. Conclusions .....	136
3.4. CHAPTER 4 .....	149
Nocturnal soil CO <sub>2</sub> uptake driven by coupled gypsum dissolution and calcite precipitation in the Tabernas Desert: an active and potential long-term carbon sink.....	149
3.4.1. Introduction .....	151
3.4.2. Material and Methods.....	154
3.4.3. Results .....	158
3.4.4. Discussion .....	166
3.4.5. Conclusions .....	171

<b>4. GENERAL DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>181</b>
4.1. Variation of CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes and other environmental variables over ecological succession .....	181
4.2. Water vapor adsorption by dry soils .....	184
4.3. The role of carbonates in nocturnal CO <sub>2</sub> uptake by soil .....	186
4.4. Climate-carbon cycle feedbacks .....	190
<b>5. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS .....</b>	<b>209</b>

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Climate-carbon cycle feedbacks

The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of atmosphere has increased from ca. 278 ppm during pre-industrial times to ca. 410 ppm at the present time and has been growing recently at a rate of ca. 2.5 ppm year<sup>-1</sup>, mainly because of anthropogenic emissions due to fossil fuel combustion and land use change [Lan *et al.*, 2021]. It is now unequivocal that those anthropogenic emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> have substantially magnified the Earth's greenhouse effect triggering a change in climate through global warming, and the resulting forcing continues to rise. As a result, widespread, abrupt and irreversible changes in the atmosphere, ocean, cryosphere and biosphere have already occurred and many of the observed changes are unprecedented over decades to millennia. As temperature rises, more water evaporates and therefore continued global warming intensifies the global water cycle, including its variability, global monsoon precipitation and the severity of wet and dry events [IPCC, 2013, 2021]. This ongoing climate change is also responsible for biodiversity loss and alteration of ecosystem functioning and services [Reid *et al.*, 2005].

Soils and biocenosis continuously exchange carbon with the atmosphere. In terrestrial ecosystems, the soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_c$ ) is globally the second largest contributor to CO<sub>2</sub> exchange with atmosphere [Raich & Schlesinger, 1992] and the first contributor to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in many ecosystems [Davidson & Janssens, 2006]. This flux is mostly the resultant of CO<sub>2</sub> production in soil and its transport towards atmosphere, mainly by biological respiration and diffusion, respectively [Šimůnek & Suarez, 1993]. In spite of its magnitude,  $F_c$  is the least constrained component of the terrestrial carbon cycle [Bond-Lamberty & Thomson, 2010] and its estimates are largely uncertain [Bahn *et al.*, 2010]. In particular, even if a future global trend of positive feedback between  $F_c$  and climate change is likely [Hashimoto *et al.*, 2015], no consensus has been achieved yet to confirm this future feedback. These uncertainties are partly attributable to the considerable variation in  $F_c$  related to the many controlling factors that interact over different temporal and spatial scales [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2018; Vargas *et al.*, 2011]. It is thus a major challenge to

better characterize ecosystems carbon cycling in order to improve modeling and forecasts of climate and ecosystems responses.

## **1.2. The role of dryland biocrusts in the carbon cycle**

In natural soils, carbon tends to be sequestered in organic matter over time due to the autotrophic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by photosynthesis and its subsequent carbon transfer to soil that exceeds the release of CO<sub>2</sub> by heterotrophic respiration during decomposition. In dryland ecosystems where vegetation is sparse and short, carbon inputs to the soil through photosynthesis are mainly ensured by microscopic (cyanobacteria, algae, fungi and bacteria) and macroscopic (lichens and mosses) poikilohydric organisms that occur on or within the top few centimeters of the soil, namely biological soil crusts (hereafter biocrusts) [Weber *et al.*, 2016]. Under optimal conditions, biocrusts photosynthetic rates are similar to those of vascular leaves; therefore, when biocrusts are wet, their carbon fixation is equivalent to that of a layer of vascular leaves lying on soil surface [Pointing & Belnap, 2012]. As drylands cover ca. 45% of the Earth's land surface area [Lal, 2019] and those communities can represent up to 70% of the living cover in those areas [Belnap, 1990], biocrusts are believed to play a considerable role in the global carbon budget [Belnap, 2012]: the global net carbon uptake of biocrusts has been estimated to range between 0.34 and 3.9 Gt C yr<sup>-1</sup> worldwide [Elbert *et al.*, 2012; Porada *et al.*, 2013], with the upper estimate corresponding to around 7% of net primary production by terrestrial vegetation. As a result, over several years biocrusts can increase the total amount of carbon in soil by up to 300% [Rogers & Burns, 1994], stimulating the respiration of the underlying community of heterotrophs, which is often carbon-limited [Belnap & Lange, 2003; Beymer & Klopatek, 1991].

Nevertheless, little is known about the spatio-temporal variability of  $F_c$  under biocrusts as most research has measured the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of biocrusts in controlled conditions after separating them from the underlying soil [Wilske *et al.*, 2008]. This component is crucial to assess the potential of ecosystems dominated by biocrusts as carbon sinks because the carbon balance of water-limited ecosystems is often close to neutrality and therefore can easily switch between sink and source in response to even small variations in  $F_c$ . It is

generally assumed that biocrusts develop worldwide following an ecological succession [Lan *et al.*, 2015; Lázaro *et al.*, 2008], gradually from bare soil (or physical crust) to *Cyanobacteria* to lichens to mosses. However, few studies have measured  $F_c$  *in-situ* in soils covered by biocrusts [e.g. Bowling *et al.*, 2011; Wilske *et al.*, 2008] and so far, those measurements have not been performed over the whole ecological succession, nor continuously over several years, and with spatial representativeness. Continuous measurements are essential to capture the temporal variability of  $F_c$  which is known to exhibit ephemeral soil CO<sub>2</sub> pulses after precipitation in drylands [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2018; Vargas *et al.*, 2018]. That is especially important in soils covered by biocrusts as those organisms can stay dormant during long periods of time and respond very quickly (in few minutes) to rewetting [Karnieli *et al.*, 2001]. Spatial representativeness of measurements is also critical as dryland ecosystems present “hot-spots” of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions [Leon *et al.*, 2014] and the distribution of biocrust types is particularly heterogeneous in space. Furthermore, as ecological succession can last up to millennia, measuring and sampling succession stages allows space-for-time substitution, i.e. to infer long-term temporal dynamics from spatial data [Pickett, 1989]. Besides, the ecological succession of biocrusts could represent a convenient *in-situ* model to study the interaction between biotic and abiotic factors on  $F_c$  as the biotic influence on soil is expected to increase gradually from physical crusts to lichens-dominated late succession stages.

### **1.3. Potential abiotic processes of CO<sub>2</sub> consumption in drylands**

In ecosystems where biological activity is limited, the prevalence of CO<sub>2</sub> consumption rates over CO<sub>2</sub> production rates can sometimes result in negative values of  $F_c$  (influx or CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the soil). Such “anomalies” in  $F_c$  have generally been reported in drylands during nighttime [Ball *et al.*, 2009; Fa *et al.*, 2016; Hamerlynck *et al.*, 2013; Li *et al.*, 2015], revealing the non-negligible role of soil CO<sub>2</sub> consumption processes on the carbon balance of these ecosystems. It has been recently reported that a considerable fraction of soil CO<sub>2</sub> is not emitted directly towards atmosphere, but rather reacts into the soil where it is consumed by various biogeochemical processes [Sánchez-Cañete *et al.*, 2018]. The biotic processes able to consume CO<sub>2</sub> in the soil gaseous



phase are: (1) photosynthesis by biological soil crusts [Máguas *et al.*, 2013]; (2) root uptake by plants [Stemmet *et al.*, 1962] and (3) chemoautotrophy. For example, chemolithoautotrophic bacteria fix CO<sub>2</sub> into organic matter via the oxidation of reduced inorganic compounds such as nitrogen, sulfur and iron [Gobat *et al.*, 2004]. The abiotic mechanisms that can consume gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> in soil are (1) CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in the aqueous phase [Ma *et al.*, 2013, 2014] and (2) reaction with some minerals, such as carbonates [Hamerlynck *et al.*, 2013; Roland *et al.*, 2013]; (3) adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> on soil particles [Davidson *et al.*, 2013]. Other processes such as bioweathering and/or biomineralization of carbonates [Cuezva *et al.*, 2012; Liu *et al.*, 2018] are at the frontier between the biotic and the abiotic. There is no consensus so far about which biogeochemical processes are involved in the negative anomalies of  $F_c$ , and they are not necessarily unique nor identical from one site to another.

Reactions with soil minerals involving CO<sub>2</sub>, such as carbonates, deserve special attention since it is estimated that soils contain between 940 Gt and 1558 GtC inorganic carbon (mainly as carbonates), of which 80 to 97% (916-1237 GtC) is located in drylands [Bernoux & Chevallier, 2014; Lal, 2019]. The upper estimate of the inorganic carbon pool in drylands represents an amount of carbon 20% greater than in permafrost soils, 138% greater than in vegetation, and 49% greater than that in the atmosphere [Plaza *et al.*, 2018]. In some site-specific conditions, the inorganic carbon pool can be up to 10-17 times the organic pool [Lal, 2019]. In addition, the residence time of mineral carbon is much greater than organic matter: 10<sup>2</sup>-10<sup>6</sup> years and 0.1-10<sup>3</sup> years, respectively [Bernoux & Chevallier, 2014; Cailleau *et al.*, 2004] and carbonates have the capacity to stabilize organic matter [Rowley *et al.*, 2018]. Therefore, mineral carbon represents a much more interesting pool for carbon storage, but due to its magnitude it should be monitored more closely in the context of climate change as its alteration could potentially release more carbon to the atmosphere.

Among carbonates, calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) is dominant in soils and particularly reactive, with a dissolution rate approximately 100 times greater than dolomite and a dissolution time generally on the order of 0.5 to 3 days [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996]. Diel patterns of precipitation/dissolution of CaCO<sub>3</sub> affecting the net ecosystem carbon exchange have already been described [Roland *et al.*, 2013], and hence are compatible with the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake

increasingly reported in drylands. The reactions of precipitation and dissolution of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  produce and consume  $\text{CO}_2$  respectively but their effect on the carbon balance depends on the considered time- and spatial scale [Serrano-Ortiz *et al.*, 2010], as well as the calcium source [Monger *et al.*, 2015]. That is due to dissolution and subsequent reprecipitation of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  that can have overriding effects on the carbon balance over time in soils developed from preexisting carbonate bedrock, and transient storage of  $\text{CO}_2$  as dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). Soils can store an order of magnitude greater  $\text{CO}_2$  as DIC in the aqueous phase than in the gas phase [Angert *et al.*, 2015]. Global stocks of DIC in groundwater have been estimated to 1404 GtC, thus surpassing the soil organic carbon pool (1530 GtC) when added to carbonate [Monger *et al.*, 2015]. However, estimates of DIC pools in drylands could not be found in the scientific literature, probably due to the scarcity of soil water in those ecosystems and its large spatio-temporal variability. The lack of water in drylands has also challenged the assumption according to which calcite dissolution consuming  $\text{CO}_2$  could explain the nocturnal  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake by soil [Schlesinger *et al.*, 2009].

#### **1.4. Water vapor adsorption: an overlooked water input in drylands**

In drylands, due to the infrequency and variability of precipitation, non-rainfall water inputs (NRWIs) (i.e. fog deposition, dew formation and water vapor adsorption by soil) can be the sole water supply for plants and biocrusts during large periods of time. There is also increasing evidence that multiple ecosystem functions including biogeochemical dynamics and organism survival (e.g. microorganisms and animals) critically depend on NRWIs; however, to better predict the ecosystem responses to environmental changes, especially in drylands, a better understanding and quantification of the NRWI contributions are essential [Wang *et al.*, 2017]. The later authors have identified several critical gaps in the knowledge of NRWIs, including: (1) the mechanisms of NRWIs (especially dew and water vapor adsorption) effects on various ecosystem functions, (2) the quantitative contributions of NRWIs components to ecosystem functions, and (3) the separation of different NRWIs contributions.

Among NRWIs, most research efforts on quantifying those inputs and assessing their role on ecosystem functions have focused on fog deposition and dew formation, whereas water vapor adsorption (WVA) by soil has received very little attention. WVA is the movement of water vapor from atmosphere to soil, forming liquid water on the surface of soil particles. It occurs when the water vapor pressure of the soil is lower than the water vapor pressure of the atmosphere. Due to absence of standardized methods to measure NRWIs and the difficulty to differentiate between dew formation and WVA, the role of dew has probably been overestimated to the detriment of WVA [Agam & Berliner, 2006]. Moreover, as warmer air has the capacity to hold more water vapor, and soil drying is predicted with high confidence in some regions of the globe [Collins *et al.*, 2013], WVA by soil might be enhanced by climate change.

In addition, WVA could represent an overlooked but important process to take into account in climate-carbon cycle feedbacks model. The process has been shown to enhance CO<sub>2</sub> release from microbial litter decomposition [Dirks *et al.*, 2010; Newell *et al.*, 1985]. More recently, WVA was found to increase soil CO<sub>2</sub> production thus revealing that dryland microorganisms were able to use this water input to sustain their metabolic activity [McHugh *et al.*, 2015]. WVA could also affect the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange by enhancing CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in the adsorbed water and mineral reactions. Dew, which is a surficial process, can stimulate weathering processes like the hydration of salts and other mineral components [Verheye, 2009]. Therefore, a similar effect can be expected regarding WVA into soil. Furthermore, WVA has been commonly reported to occur at night when the soil-atmosphere water vapor pressure gradient inverts because of increasing atmosphere relative humidity and previous soil drying [Agam & Berliner, 2006; Kool *et al.*, 2021; Kosmas *et al.*, 1998]. Hence, WVA could potentially drive the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake increasingly reported in drylands by providing water to sustain either biotic or abiotic CO<sub>2</sub> consumption processes.

### 1.5. Objectives of the thesis and general assumption

The main objective of this thesis is to improve the understanding and modelling of the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in semiarid biocrusted soils, by identifying the environmental variables and biogeochemical processes controlling it.

In the different chapters of this thesis, we will try to achieve the main objective by meeting the specific objectives identified for each chapter:

- To develop a low-cost device to determine accurately the carbonate chemistry in the soil solid and aqueous phase (Chapter 1).
- To identify the main factors controlling the *in-situ* spatio-temporal dynamics in soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, with a particular focus on assessing the effect of biocrust succession and developing a predictive model (Chapter 2).
- To measure soil water vapor adsorption continuously, at low-cost and with little time investment, and to evaluate its role on the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, in particular as a potential explanatory process of nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Chapter 3).
- To evaluate the role of the precipitation-dissolution dynamics of CaCO<sub>3</sub> in the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange (Chapter 4).

In all the chapters of this thesis, we assume that the following biocrust types are representative of the stages of the ecological succession in this ecosystem [Lázaro *et al.*, 2008]: (1) physical depositional crust (PD); (2) incipient cyanobacterial (IC); (3) mature cyanobacterial (MC); (4) lichen community dominated by *Squammarina lentigera* (Web.) Poelt and *Diploschistes diacapsis* (Ach.) Lumbsch (SD); and (5) lichen community characterized by *Lepraria isidiata* (Llimona) Llimona & Crespo (LI).

## References

- Agam, N., & Berliner, P. R. (2006). Dew formation and water vapor adsorption in semi-arid environments—a review. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 65(4), 572–590.
- Angert, A., Yakir, D., Rodeghiero, M., Preisler, Y., Davidson, E., & Weiner, T. (2015). Using O<sub>2</sub> to study the relationships between soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and soil respiration. *Biogeosciences*, 12, 2089–2099. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-2089-2015>
- Bahn, M., Reichstein, M., Davidson, E. A., Grünzweig, J., Jung, M., Carbone, M. S., Epron, D., Misson, L., Nouvellon, Y., & Rouspard, O. (2010). Soil respiration at mean annual temperature predicts annual total across vegetation types and biomes. *Biogeosciences*, 7(7), 2147.
- Ball, B. A., Virginia, R. A., Barrett, J. E., Parsons, A. N., & Wall, D. H. (2009). Interactions between physical and biotic factors influence CO<sub>2</sub> flux in Antarctic dry valley soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41(7), 1510–1517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.04.011>
- Belnap, J. (1990). Microbiotic crusts: their role in past and present ecosystems. *Park Science*, 10(3), 3–4.
- Belnap, J. (2012). Unexpected uptake. *Nature Geoscience* 2012 5:7, 5(7), 443–444. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1514>
- Belnap, J., & Lange, O. L. (2003). *Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function, and Management*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- Bernoux, M., & Chevallier, T. (2014). Carbon in dryland soils. Multiple essential functions. *Les Dossiers Thématiques Du CSFD*, 10.
- Beymer, R. J., & Klopatek, J. M. (1991). Potential contribution of carbon by microphytic crusts in pinyon-juniper woodlands. *Arid Land Research and Management*, 5(3), 187–198. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15324989109381279>
- Bond-Lamberty, B., & Thomson, A. (2010). A global database of soil respiration data. *Biogeosciences*, 7(6), 1915–1926.
- Bowling, D. R., Grote, E. E., & Belnap, J. (2011). Rain pulse response of soil CO<sub>2</sub> exchange by biological soil crusts and grasslands of the semiarid Colorado Plateau, United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116(G3). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JG001643>

- Cailleau, G., Braissant, O., & Verrecchia, E. P. (2004). Biomineralization in plants as a long-term carbon sink. *Naturwissenschaften* 2004 91:4, 91(4), 191–194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00114-004-0512-1>
- Collins, M., Knutti, R., Arblaster, J., Dufresne, J.-L., Fichet, T., Friedlingstein, P., Gao, X., Gutowski, W. J., Johns, T., & Krinner, G. (2013). Long-term climate change: projections, commitments and irreversibility. In *Climate Change 2013-The Physical Science Basis: Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 1029–1136). Cambridge University Press.
- Cuezva, S., Fernandez-Cortes, A., Porca, E., Pašić, L., Jurado, V., Hernandez-Marine, M., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Hermosin, B., Cañaveras, J. C., & Sanchez-Moral, S. (2012). The biogeochemical role of Actinobacteria in Altamira cave, Spain. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 81(1), 281–290.
- Davidson, E. A., & Janssens, I. A. (2006). Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature*, 440(7081), 165–173.
- Davidson, G. R., Phillips-Housley, A., & Stevens, M. T. (2013). Soil-zone adsorption of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> as a terrestrial carbon sink. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 106, 44–50.
- Dirks, I., NAVON, Y., KANAS, D., DUMBUR, R., & GRÜNZWEIG, J. M. (2010). Atmospheric water vapor as driver of litter decomposition in Mediterranean shrubland and grassland during rainless seasons. *Global Change Biology*, 16(10), 2799–2812. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2486.2010.02172.X>
- Elbert, W., Weber, B., Burrows, S., Steinkamp, J., Büdel, B., Andreae, M. O., & Pöschl, U. (2012). Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(7), 459–462. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1486>
- Fa, K.-Y., Zhang, Y.-Q., Wu, B., Qin, S.-G., Liu, Z., & She, W.-W. (2016). Patterns and possible mechanisms of soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in sandy soil. *Science of the Total Environment*, 544, 587–594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.163>
- Gobat, J. M., Aragno, M., & Matthey, W. (2004). *The Living Soil – Fundamentals of Soil Science and Soil Biology*. Enfield: Science Publishers.

- Hamerlynck, E. P., Scott, R. L., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., & Barron-Gafford, G. A. (2013). Nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and its relationship to subsurface soil and ecosystem carbon fluxes in a Chihuahuan Desert shrubland. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *118*(4), 1593–1603. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002495>
- Hashimoto, S., Carvalhais, N., Ito, A., Migliavacca, M., Nishina, K., & Reichstein, M. (2015). Global spatiotemporal distribution of soil respiration modeled using a global database. *Biogeosciences*, *12*, 4121–4132. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4121-2015>
- IPCC. (2013). Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. In T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, & P. M. Midgley (Eds.), *Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC. (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, P. A., S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R. Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, & B. Zhou (eds.)). Cambridge University Press. In Press.
- Karnieli, A., Kokaly, R. F., West, N. E., & Clark, R. N. (2001). *Remote Sensing of Biological Soil Crusts*. 431–455. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8\\_31](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8_31)
- Kool, D., Agra, E., Drabkin, A., Duncan, A., Fendinat, P. P., Leduc, S., Lupovitch, G., Nambwandja, A. N., Ndilenga, N. S., & Thi, T. N. (2021). The overlooked non-rainfall water input sibling of fog and dew: Daily water vapor adsorption on a! Nara hummock in the Namib Sand Sea. *Journal of Hydrology*, *598*, 126420.
- Kosmas, C., Danalatos, N. G., Poesen, J., & Van Wesemael, B. (1998). The effect of water vapour adsorption on soil moisture content under Mediterranean climatic conditions. *Agricultural Water Management*, *36*(2), 157–168.
- Lal, R. (2019). Carbon Cycling in Global Drylands. *Current Climate Change Reports 2019 5:3*, *5*(3), 221–232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40641-019->

00132-Z

- Lan, S., Wu, L., Zhang, D., & Hu, C. (2015). Analysis of environmental factors determining development and succession in biological soil crusts. *Science of The Total Environment*, 538, 492–499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2015.08.066>
- Lan, X., Tans, P., Hall, B. D., Dutton, G., Mühle, J., Elkins, J. W., & Vimont, I. (2021). Long-lived greenhouse gases. In J. Blunden & T. Boyer (Eds.), *State of the Climate in 2020* (Vol. 102, Issue 8, pp. S1–S475). American Meteorological Society. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2021BAMSStateoftheClimate.1>
- Lázaro, R., Cantón, Y., Solé-Benet, A., Bevan, J., Alexander, R., Sancho, L. G., & Puigdefábregas, J. (2008). The influence of competition between lichen colonization and erosion on the evolution of soil surfaces in the Tabernas badlands (SE Spain) and its landscape effects. *Geomorphology*, 102(2), 252–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.05.005>
- Leon, E., Vargas, R., Bullock, S., Lopez, E., Panosso, A. R., & La Scala, N. (2014). Hot spots, hot moments, and spatio-temporal controls on soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a water-limited ecosystem. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 77, 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.029>
- Li, Y., Wang, Y., Houghton, R. A., & Tang, L. (2015). Hidden carbon sink beneath desert. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(14), 5880–5887.
- Liu, Z., Zhang, Y., Fa, K., Zhao, H., Qin, S., Yan, R., & Wu, B. (2018). Desert soil bacteria deposit atmospheric carbon dioxide in carbonate precipitates. *Catena*, 170, 64–72.
- Loeppert, R. H., & Suarez, D. L. (1996). Carbonate and gypsum. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3 Chemical Methods*, 5, 437–474. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c15>
- Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., Serrano-Ortiz, P., López-Ballesteros, A., Domingo, F., Kowalski, A. S., & Oyonarte, C. (2018). From microhabitat to ecosystem: identifying the biophysical factors controlling soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics in a karst shrubland. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 69(6), 1018–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12710>
- Ma, J., Liu, R., Tang, L.-S., Lan, Z.-D., & Li, Y. (2014). A downward CO<sub>2</sub> flux seems to have nowhere to go. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 11(7), 10419–



10450.

- Ma, J., Wang, Z.-Y., Stevenson, B. A., Zheng, X.-J., & Li, Y. (2013). An inorganic CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion and dissolution process explains negative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in saline/alkaline soils. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02025>
- Máguas, C., Pinho, P., Branquinho, C., Hartard, B., & Lakatos, M. (2013). Carbon-Water-Nitrogen relationships between lichens and the atmosphere: Tools to understand metabolism and ecosystem change. *MycKeys*, 6, 95.
- McHugh, T. A., Morrissey, E. M., Reed, S. C., Hungate, B. A., & Schwartz, E. (2015). Water from air: an overlooked source of moisture in arid and semiarid regions. *Scientific Reports*, 5(1), 1–6.
- Monger, H. C., Kraimer, R. A., Khresat, S., Cole, D. R., Wang, X., & Wang, J. (2015). Sequestration of inorganic carbon in soil and groundwater. *Geology*, 43(5), 375–378. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G36449.1>
- Newell, S. Y., Fallon, R. D., Cal Rodriguez, R. M., & Groene, L. C. (1985). Influence of rain, tidal wetting and relative humidity on release of carbon dioxide by standing-dead salt-marsh plants. *Oecologia* 1985 68:1, 68(1), 73–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00379477>
- Pickett, S. T. A. (1989). Space-for-Time Substitution as an Alternative to Long-Term Studies. *Long-Term Studies in Ecology*, 110–135. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-7358-6\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-7358-6_5)
- Plaza, C., Zaccone, C., Sawicka, K., Méndez, A. M., Tarquis, A., Gascó, G., Heuvelink, G. B. M., Schuur, E. A. G., & Maestre, F. T. (2018). Soil resources and element stocks in drylands to face global issues. *Scientific Reports* 2018 8:1, 8(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32229-0>
- Pointing, S. B., & Belnap, J. (2012). Microbial colonization and controls in dryland systems. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 2012 10:8, 10(8), 551–562. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2831>
- Porada, P., Weber, B., Elbert, W., Pöschl, U., & Kleidon, A. (2013). Estimating global carbon uptake by lichens and bryophytes with a process-based model. *Biogeosciences*, 10(11), 6989–7033. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-6989-2013>
- Raich, J. W., & Schlesinger, W. H. (1992). The global carbon dioxide flux in soil

- respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate. *Tellus B*, 44(2), 81–99.
- Reid, W. V., Mooney, H. A., Cropper, A., Capistrano, D., Carpenter, S. R., Chopra, K., Dasgupta, P., Dietz, T., Duraiappah, A. K., & Hassan, R. (2005). Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Ecosystems and human well-being: synthesis. *World Resources Institute, Washington, DC*.
- Rogers, S. L., & Burns, R. G. (1994). Changes in aggregate stability, nutrient status, indigenous microbial populations, and seedling emergence, following inoculation of soil with *Nostoc muscorum*. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 18(3), 209–215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00647668>
- Roland, M., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Kowalski, A. S., Godd ris, Y., S nchez-Ca nete, E. P., Ciais, P., Domingo, F., Cuezva, S., Sanchez-Moral, S., & Longdoz, B. (2013). Atmospheric turbulence triggers pronounced diel pattern in karst carbonate geochemistry. *Biogeosciences*, 10(7), 5009–5017. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-5009-2013>
- Rowley, M. C., Grand, S., & Verrecchia,  . P. (2018). Calcium-mediated stabilisation of soil organic carbon. *Biogeochemistry*, 137(1), 27–49.
- S nchez-Ca nete, E. P., Barron-Gafford, G. A., & Chorover, J. (2018). A considerable fraction of soil-respired CO<sub>2</sub> is not emitted directly to the atmosphere. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 13518. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29803-x>
- Schlesinger, W. H., Belnap, J., & Marion, G. (2009). On carbon sequestration in desert ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, 15(6), 1488–1490.
- Serrano-Ortiz, P., Roland, M., Sanchez-Moral, S., Janssens, I. A., Domingo, F., Godd ris, Y., & Kowalski, A. S. (2010). Hidden, abiotic CO<sub>2</sub> flows and gaseous reservoirs in the terrestrial carbon cycle: review and perspectives. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 150(3), 321–329.
- Šim nek, J., & Suarez, D. L. (1993). Modeling of carbon dioxide transport and production in soil: 1. Model development. *Water Resources Research*, 29(2), 487–497.
- Stemmet, M. C., De Bruyn, J. A., & Zeeman, P. B. (1962). The uptake of carbon dioxide by plant roots. *Plant and Soil*, 17(3), 357–364.
- Vargas, R., Carbone, M. S., Reichstein, M., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2011). Frontiers and challenges in soil respiration research: from measurements to


- model-data integration. *Biogeochemistry*, 102(1–3), 1–13.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-010-9462-1>
- Vargas, R., Sánchez-Cañete P, E., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Curiel Yuste, J., Domingo, F., López-Ballesteros, A., & Oyonarte, C. (2018). Hot-moments of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a water-limited grassland. *Soil Systems*, 2(3), 47.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems2030047>
- Verheye, W. (2009). *Land Use, Land Cover and Soil Sciences - Volume V: Dry Lands and Desertification*. 394.
- Wang, L., Kaseke, K. F., & Seely, M. K. (2017). Effects of non-rainfall water inputs on ecosystem functions. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 4(1), e1179. <https://doi.org/10.1002/WAT2.1179>
- Weber, B., Büdel, B., & Belnap, J. (2016). Biological soil crusts as an organizing principle in drylands. In *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*. Springer International Publishing AG.  
[https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_1](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_1)
- Wilske, B., Burgheimer, J., Karnieli, A., Zaady, E., Andreae, M. O., Yakir, D., & Kesselmeier, J. (2008). The CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of biological soil crusts in a semiarid grass-shrubland at the northern transition zone of the Negev desert, Israel. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 5(3), 1969–2001.  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-1411-2008>

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2.1. Experimental Site

#### 2.1.1. Location and climate

This research was conducted in the experimental site of El Cautivo, located in the Tabernas Desert in south-east Spain, some 25 km north of the city of Almería (Figure 1). The area belongs to the driest part of Europe. The climate is classified as semi-arid thermo-Mediterranean with a mean annual temperature of 17.9 °C and an average annual precipitation of ca. 230 mm with great inter- and intra-annual variation (coefficient of variation (CV) of 36%) and intra-annual variation (CV of up to 207%) based on a 30-year rainfall record from 1967 to 1997 [Lázaro *et al.*, 2001, 2004]. Summers are hot and dry while winters are mild, with most precipitation falling as intense storm rainfall, particularly in September and October. The average diurnal air temperature variation is 14 °C, varying between 12.8 °C in winter and 15.6 °C in summer. Further information about the study site can be found in Calvo-Cases *et al.* [2014].



**Figure 1** Location of the experimental site of El Cautivo (Almería, Spain).


### 2.1.2. Geology and Soil

The area is a part of the most extensive badlands in southeastern Spain. The Tabernas Basin is mainly filled with marine sediments deposited during the Miocene. The bedrock horizon starts at a depth ranging from ca. 0.5 to 1.5 m and consists of gypsum-calcareous mudstones and calcareous sandstones. It is composed dominantly by silt-size (> 60%) siliceous and calcareous particles; the coarse sand fraction is almost absent, the fine sand fraction ranges from 20 to 35%, and clay ranges from 5% to 10%. Bulk mineralogical composition is quartz, muscovite, paragonite, calcite (up to ca. 30%), gypsum (up to ca. 30% in some strata), and minor amounts of smectite (< 5% of the clay fraction) [Cantón *et al.*, 2001]; the weathering of mudrock is presumably caused by the combined effects of wetting-drying and gypsum solubilisation-recrystallisation [Solé-Benet *et al.*, 1997]. Soils in the area are mainly Epileptic and Endoleptic Leptosols, Calcaric Regosols and Eutric Gypsisols [FAO, 1998], and the soil texture is classified as silty-loam. The piezometric level in the area oscillates between 22 and 26 m depth (data from 1985 to 2020 of the Spanish Geological Survey, IGME).

### 2.1.3. Biocrusts succession and vegetation

Bare soil, eroded or originated from sediment deposition, occupies a third of the territory. Another third is covered by short vascular vegetation with biocrusts in the interspaces and the rest is covered mainly by biocrusts [Lázaro *et al.*, 2000]. Different biocrust types can be distinguished and associated with stages of ecological succession [Lázaro *et al.*, 2008]: (1) physical depositional crust (PD); (2) incipient cyanobacterial (IC); (3) mature cyanobacterial (MC); (4) lichen community dominated by *Squamarina lentigera* (Web.) Poelt and *Diploschistes diacapsis* (Ach.) Lumbsch (SD); and (5) lichen community characterized by *Lararia isidiata* (Llimona) Llimona & Crespo (LI). In the SD stage, patches of mature *Cyanobacteria* (MC2) are also present. In the LI stage, lichens coexist mainly with vascular plants *Macrochloa tenacissima* (L.) Kunth, but other species such as *Salsola genistoides* Juss. ex Poir. and *Lygeum spartum* L. are also commonly found. The ecological succession is summarized in figure 2. A microsite representative of each stage

of the succession was chosen to conduct this research. The maximum distance between microsites was ca. 500 m.



**Figure 2** Schematic representation of the ecological succession in the Tabernas Desert. The upper photo is from the SD microsite.

## 2.2. Fundamentals

### 2.2.1. Operating principle of CO<sub>2</sub> sensors

The main results of this thesis were obtained from CO<sub>2</sub> measurements. As it is part of the greenhouse gases family, CO<sub>2</sub> absorbs infrared radiation in a characteristic wavelength and reemits it in all directions. This specific property of greenhouse gases is used by CO<sub>2</sub> sensors. The principle of operation of the CO<sub>2</sub> sensors here used is presented in figure 3. The gas penetrates the measurement chamber by diffusion through a porous

membrane. Inside this chamber, infrared radiation is emitted by a lamp and reflected by a mirror towards an infrared detector that measures the light intensity at a wavelength determined by a Fabry–Pérot interferometer (FPI) and a band pass filter. The FPI is tuned electrically so that its measurement wavelength is changed between the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption band and a reference band (i.e. where no absorption occurs, in order to get a reference signal). When the passband of the FPI coincides with the absorption wavelength of CO<sub>2</sub>, the detector detects a decrease in the light transmission. The ratio of these two signals, one at the absorption wavelength and the other at the reference wavelength, gives the fraction of light absorption. This absorption is calculated internally by the sensor using the Beer-Lambert law. Then, the sensor uses the absorption value to calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> number density and finally outputs the CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction from the ideal gas law.




Figure 3. Operating principle of a GMP252 CO<sub>2</sub> sensor (Vaisala, Vantaa, Finland). (1) Mirror, (2) light absorbed by CO<sub>2</sub>, (3) Hermetic window, (4) Fabry–Pérot interferometer, (5) Light source, (6) Hermetic window, (7) Infrared detector. The figure is taken from the sensor user guide.

In order to improve accuracy, CO<sub>2</sub> measurements can be compensated for temperature, pressure, relative humidity (RH) and O<sub>2</sub> concentration. In the chapter one of this thesis, the CO<sub>2</sub> sensor that was used (MH-Z16, Zhengzhou Winseng Electronics Technology Co., Zhengzhou, China) internally applied compensation for real-time temperature. The CO<sub>2</sub> measurement was additionally corrected for real-time pressure and dilution due to water vapor [Hupp, 2011] in post-processing as:

$$\chi_c (\text{corrected}) = \chi_c (\text{measured}) \frac{P_0}{P} (1 - \chi_h)^{-1} \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi_c$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction (ppm),  $P$  is the ambient pressure (kPa),  $P_0$  is the reference pressure (101.325 kPa) and  $\chi_h$  is the water vapor molar fraction (mol) calculated as:

$$\chi_h = \frac{P_h}{P} \quad (2)$$

where  $P_h$  is the partial pressure of water vapor (kPa), obtained as:

$$P_h = P_s RH \quad (3)$$

where  $RH$  is the relative humidity (%) and  $P_s$  is the saturation vapor pressure calculated according to Buck [1981]:

$$P_s = 0.61365 e^{\frac{17.502 T}{240.97 + T}} \quad (4)$$

where  $T$  is the air temperature (°C).

In the chapter two of this thesis, the CO<sub>2</sub> sensor that was used (GMP252, Vaisala, Vantaa, Finland) internally applied compensation for real-time temperature, a fixed value of relative humidity and a fixed value of O<sub>2</sub> concentration. According to the manufacturer, in practice, when CO<sub>2</sub> is measured at the ppm level, RH and O<sub>2</sub> compensations have a very small effect on the accuracy of the measurements.

### 2.2.2. Soil water extractors

A large variety of soil water extractors exist to sample the vadose zone, with different operating principles and materials; their choice depends on criteria such as the required spatial representativeness, temporal resolution, installation effort, maintenance, and cost expense [Weihermüller *et al.*, 2007]. Porous ceramic extractors are the most universally used tools to extract soil water; they offer the advantages of being relatively easy to install compared to other sampling devices, causing only limited disturbance of the soil profile, being cost-effective and benefiting from a wide knowledge due to their extensive use [Curley *et al.*, 2011]. Therefore, in this study, porous ceramic extractors (1910PL06; Soilmoisture Equipment Corp., CA, USA) were




used. The selected extractors have the additional advantage to comply with the ASTM D4696-92 (2000) standard and have a “zero dead volume”, meaning that there is limited space for collecting water samples inside the sampler itself. That is an important feature as a reduced air volume in the sampling chamber limits pH errors that could otherwise compromise the determination of specific mineralogical controls on soil solution composition [Suarez, 1986, 1987]. Another important parameter to consider was the air entry value (AEV) of the porous material. The AEV is the pressure at which air will break through a wetted pore channel. In order to avoid air to bubble inside the extractor, the AEV should be greater than the vacuum pressure applied to extractor. The AEV is related to the pore size of the material [Fredlund *et al.*, 2012] as:

$$AEV = \frac{2T_s}{R_s} \quad (5)$$

where  $T_s$  is the surface tension of the contractile skin or the air-water interface (e.g.  $T_s = 73.75$  mN/m at 20 °C),  $R_s$  is the radius of the maximum pore size (m). The soil water samplers were chosen to have an AEV of 100 kPa as this value was greater than the vacuum pressure that would be applied to the soil, i.e. 50 kPa. The use of higher vacuum pressure in order to speed up the water flow is not recommendable as it could favor clogging of the sampler pores.

### 2.2.3. Carbonate reactivity

In soils, carbonates are most commonly present as calcium carbonate in the form of calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>). To a lesser extent, dolomite (CaMg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) can also be found but is less reactive, with a dissolution rate approximately 100-fold lower. In soils, calcite undergo dissolution and precipitation processes that can be summarized according to the following reversible reaction:




The dissolution of calcite (from left to right) or the direct dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> in water produces dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) species (H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>) in proportions that depend on pH. The effect of pH on the proportion of dissolved carbonate species is illustrated in Figure 4. The reaction (6) reaches a dynamic equilibrium (or steady state) when precipitation and dissolution rates are equal and therefore, compensate.

According to the Le Châtelier principle, in response to a change in conditions (concentration, temperature, volume, or pressure), the position of the equilibrium will move in such a way as to counteract the change. For example, if  $\text{CO}_2$  is added to the system, the reaction will move to the right (i.e. towards  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution) so that  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration decreases again.

In practice, in soils, equilibrium is rarely met. Disequilibrium between the solid carbonates and liquid phase can almost always be expected for soil-water systems, especially when equilibrium is approached from supersaturation <sup>1</sup>, due to the presence of dissolved organics which inhibit precipitation [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996]. In addition, biological effects (e.g. respiration) or chemical reactions (e.g. proton producing or consuming reactions) also may cause disequilibrium between the liquid and gas phases [Suarez, 1995].

The rates of precipitation and dissolution of calcite and more generally of minerals increase with the mineral surface area. Therefore, this parameter is critical to model mineral dynamics [e.g. Krättele *et al.*, 2021; Noiriél *et al.*, 2012; Suarez and Šimůnek, 1997]. In soils, a portion of carbonate surface is coated with organic matter or oxides and thus is not reactive [Lebron & Suarez, 1996; Lebron & Suarez, 1998; Suarez & Wood, 1984]. Therefore, the reactive surface area is a more realistic parameter affecting the precipitation-dissolution dynamics of calcite in soils.



**Figure 4** Proportion of dissolved inorganic carbon species as a function of pH. The simulation was performed with the PHREEQC software

<sup>1</sup> Soil solution is saturated with respect to calcite if calcite has dissolved until it is unable to dissolve anymore. Soil solution is supersaturated with respect to calcite when it contains more (undissolved) calcite than the saturated solution (i.e. precipitation is favored over dissolution). Soil solution is undersaturated when calcite dissolves completely (i.e. dissolution is favored over precipitation).

## 2.3. Applied methodology

### 2.3.1. Measuring CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes with portable soil chambers

Soil chambers are the most widely used technique to measure soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes ( $F_c$ ). Several different types of chambers exist [Pumpanen *et al.*, 2004]. In this research, a non-steady-state through-flow chamber (EGM-4, PP-system, Amesbury, MA, USA), also known as closed dynamic chamber, was used to measure  $F_c$ . To this end, a PVC collar of 0.0069 m<sup>2</sup> surface area was inserted into the soil. We took the precaution of inserting gently the collar in order to limit soil and crust disturbance and measurements were started one month later to allow for the recovery of soil surface. During measurements, the portable chamber was placed over the soil collar and the flux was calculated by measuring the rate of linear change in CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction with an infrared CO<sub>2</sub> sensor. The water vapor molar fraction, ambient pressure and air temperature inside the chamber were measured at the same time by the instrument. All variables were measured every 3 s over 120 s. The  $F_c$  was measured regularly (during 14 days over two years) and measurements were irregularly spaced in time, trying to cover the natural range of  $\theta_w$  variability. During post-processing, the measured CO<sub>2</sub> fractions were corrected for temperature, pressure and dilution due to water vapor as:

$$\chi_c(\text{corrected}) = \chi_c(\text{measured}) \frac{T}{T_0} \frac{P_0}{P} (1 - \chi_h)^{-1} \quad (7)$$

where  $T$  is the air temperature inside the chamber (K) and  $T_0$  is the reference temperature (273.15 K),  $P$  is the measured pressure and  $P_0$  is the reference pressure (101.325 kPa).

Then, the soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux was calculated based on the ideal gas law, according to Pérez-Priego *et al.* [2015]:

$$F_c = \frac{\partial \chi_c (P - e)V}{\partial t RT} \quad (8)$$

where  $F_c$  is the soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ),  $\frac{\partial \chi_{\text{CO}_2}}{\partial t}$  is the slope of the change in the corrected CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction over time ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ ), the term  $(P - e)$  refers to the partial pressure of dry air,  $P$  is the ambient pressure (Pa),  $e$  is the partial pressure of water vapor (Pa),  $V$  is the total volume of the chamber and

collar,  $R$  is the ideal gas constant ( $8.314 \text{ m}^3 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ ), and  $T$  is the air temperature.

The  $F_c$  measured with portable soil chambers were used in the Chapter 2, Chapter 3, and Chapter 4 of this thesis.

### 2.3.2. The gradient method

The soil  $\text{CO}_2$  flux can be calculated as a diffusive flux from the gradient in  $\text{CO}_2$  molar fraction between soil and atmosphere, namely by the gradient method. This method has gained popularity in the last few years due to the recent development of solid-state sensors allowing to measure greenhouse gases fluxes in the soil-atmosphere continuum [Maier & Schack-Kirchner, 2014; Sánchez-Cañete & Kowalski, 2014]. It presents several advantages over manual soil chamber measurements or manual gas sampling: (1) it allows continuous measurements at high frequency; (2) it limits or avoids disturbance of the  $\text{CO}_2$  molar fraction gradient between soil and atmosphere, changes in the chamber headspace and microclimate; (3) it provides further understanding on belowground  $\text{CO}_2$  production or consumption; (4) it requires low effort of maintenance after installation as measurements are automated; (5)  $\text{CO}_2$  sensors have a low-cost compared to chamber systems, allowing to combine temporal resolution with spatial representativeness. The gradient method has usually been employed to estimate  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  diffusive fluxes [Maier & Schack-Kirchner, 2014; Sánchez-Cañete & Kowalski, 2014]. However, soil-atmosphere diffusive fluxes of water vapor ( $F_h$ ) have seldom been estimated and most studies were limited to laboratory experiments [e.g. Jabro and Jabro, 2008; Rao and Rekapalli, 2020; Reyزابal and Bazán, 1992]. Only one study estimating  $F_h$  *in-situ* was found in the literature [Bittelli *et al.*, 2008].

The gradient method is based on the main assumption that molecular diffusion is the dominant gas transport process between soil and atmosphere, a condition that is generally considered to be fulfilled both for  $\text{CO}_2$  [Šimůnek & Suarez, 1993] and water vapor [Liu *et al.*, 2020]. Therefore, the soil-atmosphere  $\text{CO}_2$  and water vapor fluxes can be estimated from the first Fick's law of molecular diffusion:

$$F = -\rho_a k_s \frac{dx}{dz} \quad (9)$$

where  $F$  is the soil-atmosphere flux ( $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  and  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for  $F_h$  and  $F_c$ , respectively),  $\rho_a$  is the average molar density of air ( $\text{mol m}^{-3}$ ),  $d\chi$  is the gradient in water vapor or CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\text{mmol mol}^{-1}$  and  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ ) between atmosphere and soil,  $dz$  is the vertical gradient between atmosphere and soil (m), and  $k_s$  is the diffusion coefficient or empirical soil transfer coefficient ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ ).

As  $k_s$  is a major source of uncertainty in the diffusive flux calculation, it is recommended to apply a site-specific calibration to improve the accuracy of the gradient method [Sánchez-Cañete *et al.*, 2017]. Therefore, the  $k_s$  of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes was calibrated from 225 chamber measurements by rearranging eq. 9:

$$k_s = -\frac{F_{\text{soil}} dz}{\rho_a d\chi} \quad (10)$$

where  $F_{\text{soil}}$  values were obtained from the soil chamber measurements.

Since  $k_s$  is generally considered to depend on the diffusion coefficient of CO<sub>2</sub> in free air and the air-filled pore space (i.e. the difference between total porosity and soil water content), then  $k_s$  was modeled as a function of these variables. The following model provided the best fit to the data and therefore was retained for the CO<sub>2</sub> flux estimation:

$$k_s = D_a a e^{b\theta_a} \quad (11)$$

where  $\theta_a$  is the air-filled pore space,  $a$  and  $b$  are empirical coefficients obtained by non-linear least squares regression, and  $D_a$  is the diffusion coefficient of CO<sub>2</sub> in free air calculated according to Jones [1992]:

$$D_a = D_{a,0} \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{1.75} \left(\frac{P_0}{P}\right) \quad (12)$$

where  $D_{a,0}$   $1.47 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $T$  is the measured soil temperature,  $P$  the measured atmospheric pressure (Pa),  $T_0$  is 293.15 K and  $P_0$  is 101 325 Pa.

For the estimation of water vapor fluxes ( $F_h$ ), since no standardized procedures exist yet to calibrate  $k_s$ , the coefficient was calculated according to the only *in-situ* study found in the litterature [Bittelli *et al.*, 2008]:

$$k_s = D_a \beta \theta_a^m \quad (13)$$

where  $D_a$  is the diffusion coefficient of water vapor in free air calculated according to Jones [1992] using  $D_{a,0}$  of water vapor ( $2.4 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  according

to Campbell and Norman [1998]), and  $\beta$  and  $m$  are constants that account for the shape of soil particles. Values of 0.9 and 2.3 were used for the later parameters, respectively, as according to Bittelli et al. [2015], those values are good approximations for undisturbed samples.

For comparative purpose of the resulting  $F_h$ ,  $k_s$  was also calculated according to Xu et al. [1992] as:

$$k_s = D_a \frac{\theta_a^{2.58}}{\varphi^2} \quad (14)$$

where  $\varphi$  is the soil porosity.

### 2.3.3. Continuous environmental measurements

Continuous measurements of soil and above-surface variables were conducted during two years (from December 2017 to December 2019). At 5 cm depth, the CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_c$ ) of soil was measured mainly by GMP252 probes (accuracy of  $\pm 2\%$  of reading in the range 0-10000 ppm) and some measurements were completed with GMM222 transmitter modules (accuracy of  $\pm (1.5\%$  of the range +  $2\%$  of reading in the range 0-10000 ppm)) (Vaisala, Vantaa, Finland).

The soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) was measured by EC-5 and 5TM sensors (Meter Group, Pullman, WA, USA). The  $\theta_w$  sensors were calibrated according to the general equation for mineral soils provided by the manufacturer. This equation should apply for all mineral soils up to an electrical conductivity (EC) of 8 dS m<sup>-1</sup> in saturation extract according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Preliminary tests showed that most soils at our study site had an EC < 1 dS m<sup>-1</sup> in 1:5 soil to water ratios. Based on those values, it was reasonable to expect that EC in saturation extracts would not exceed the recommended threshold [Kargas et al., 2020]. The 5TM sensors also measured the soil temperature ( $T_s$ );  $T_s$  measurements were also replicated with thermistors (108, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA; hereafter CSI).

All such measurements were performed within each stage of biocrusts succession, in triplicates. Since mature cyanobacterial patches (MC2) were also present within the SD site and lichens in the LI site covered the interstices between vascular plants, for comparison purpose, measurements were also performed in MC2 (in duplicate) and under plants (*Macrochloa tenacissima*

(L.) Kunth, *Salsola genistoides* Juss. ex Poir. and *Lygeum spartum* L.). Since the biotic and abiotic contributions to soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics are respectively expected to decrease and increase with depth, a  $\chi_c$  sensor was also installed at 45 cm in depth (close to bedrock) in the MC site, in order to provide information about those contributions.

Within each site, air temperature ( $T_a$ ) and atmosphere relative humidity at 30 cm aboveground were measured by a S-THB-M00x Smart Sensor (Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA, USA; hereafter Onset); the surface temperature ( $T_{surf}$ ) was measured by a S-TMB-M0xx Smart Sensor (Onset) and the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) by a S-LIA-M003 Smart Sensor (Onset); precipitation was measured by a Rain-O-Matic-Pro tipping-bucket rain gauge of 0.25 mm resolution (Pronamic, Ringkoebing, Denmark) at 1.5 meters above the ground surface.

At 2 cm aboveground, the  $\chi_c$  of atmosphere (same sensors as soil  $\chi_c$ ) was monitored in the PD and SD microsites, and atmospheric pressure was monitored in the LI microsite. The relative humidity in soil at 5 cm depth and in atmosphere in contact with soil ( $RH_s$  and  $RH_a$ , respectively) were measured by iButton® DS1923 logger (accuracy of  $\pm 5\%$  of reading in the range 0-100%) (Maxim Integrated, San Jose, CA, USA); those measurements were started a bit later (in February, 2018). The rain gauge was connected to an on-off Hobo Event data logger (Onset) and all other variables were measured every 30 seconds and stored as 20-minute averages by data-loggers CR1000 (CSI) and H21 (Onset).


A summary of the continuous environmental measurements and associated instruments is provided in Table 1, and the experimental design containing the most important measurements over biocrusts succession is summarized in Fig. 5. The database generated from those measurements was used in Chapter 2, Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of this thesis.

**Table 1** Continuous environmental measurements and associated instruments

Measurement	Height (m)	Variable	Instrument(s)	Manufacturer
Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> molar fraction	0.02	$\chi_c$	GMP252	Vaisala
Soil CO <sub>2</sub> molar fraction	-0.05	$\chi_c$	GMP252 GMM222	
Soil water content	-0.05	$\theta_w$	EC-5 5TM	Meter Group
Soil temperature	-0.05	$T_s$	5TM Thermistors 108	
Soil relative humidity	-0.05	$RH_s$	iButton® DS1923 logger	Maxim Integrated
Atmospheric relative humidity	0	$RH_a$	iButton® DS1923 logger	
Atmospheric temperature	0.3	$RH_a$	S-THB-M00x Smart Sensor	Onset Computer Corporation
Surface temperature	0.3	$T_a$	S-THB-M00x Smart Sensor	
Photosynthetically active radiation	0	$T_{surf}$	S-TMB-M0xx Smart Sensor	
Precipitation	1.5	PAR	Rain-O-Matic-Pro tipping-bucket rain gauge	Pronamic

All variables were measured every 30 seconds and stored as 20-minute averages by data-loggers CR1000 (Campbell Scientific) and H21 (Onset), except the rain gauge which was connected to an on-off Hobo Event data logger (Onset).





**Figure 5** Summary of the experimental design. In the LI site, since lichens covered the interstices between vascular plants, for comparison purpose, measurements of the soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction, soil water content and soil temperature were also performed under plants.


### 2.3.4. Preparation, installation, and operation of soil water samplers

Since the production process of ceramic samplers leaves over contaminants that can be released in the soil solution, they have to be cleaned prior to installation by flushing them with dilute acid and repeatedly rinsed with deionized water [Curley *et al.*, 2011]. In this study, 0.01M HCl (pH ~ 2) was used for flushing and then distilled water for rinsing until the pH of water flowing through the sampler stabilized.

The samplers were inserted vertically in the soil at 5 cm depth after previous wetting of soil surface and using a soil auger provided by the manufacturer, to ensure a good contact between the soil and the extractor. In order to study specifically the abiotic component of soil CO<sub>2</sub>, extractors were installed in two microsites both covered by cyanobacterial biocrust but

differing in their  $\text{CaCO}_3$  content (MC and MC2). Among each microsite, samplers were installed in triplicates.


The design of the water sampling system is presented in figure 6. The extraction procedure of Suarez [1987, 1986] was used to minimize pH errors; to this end, in addition to the small volume of the extractor, thin PVC tubing (0.8 mm inner diameter) was used to further minimize the volume of the system. Besides, applying a vacuum of 50 kPa to the samplers, the sampling vials were flushed with at least three times their volume of soil water before collection of the water samples.







**Figure 6** Water extraction system. (1) “Zero dead volume” soil water extractor with porous ceramic tip; (2) tubing (0.8 mm inner diameter); (3) sampling vial (10 mL); (4) reservoir (100 mL); (5) output to vacuum (-50 kPa).

### 2.3.5. Improvement of the determination of carbonate chemistry in the soil solid and aqueous phase

In natural soil systems, the dissolution time of calcite is generally on the order of 0.5 to 3 days [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996]. According to eq. 6, it is enhanced by  $\text{CO}_2$  due its acidifying effect on soil solution. In the laboratory, the dissolution time can be greatly reduced to force the complete dissolution of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  within minutes by the addition of an acid. This reaction can be summarized as [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996]:



Compared to the natural calcite dissolution (eq. 6), during this enhanced reaction, calcite dissolution produces gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> instead of DIC species. That is due to the equations of CO<sub>2</sub> equilibrium in water:



In an acidic medium, the presence of protons shifts the equilibria towards CO<sub>2</sub> degassing. Therefore, the gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> released from carbonate dissolution can be used to quantify the amount of calcite. Other methods exist to quantify the calcite content in soils but methods involving determination of CO<sub>2</sub> have usually been preferred, since in the absence of decomposition of organic matter, the measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> production provides an absolute measure of carbonate [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996]. However, current available methods measure only indirectly CO<sub>2</sub> production (e.g. with manometric, volumetric or gravimetric systems). At the beginning of this thesis, there was so far no procedure that measured directly the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction during the reaction of either solid carbonates or aqueous carbonate species with acid. That was therefore the purpose of Chapter 1 of this thesis.

## 2.4. Data analysis

### 2.4.1. Gap Filling

Gaps in *in-situ* environmental time series are unavoidable due to several factors including power or instrument failure, maintenance or quality check. However, continuous data are often necessary to calculate cumulative fluxes over defined periods or in order to use particular statistical techniques. The gap-filling of the dataset is therefore required prior to the application of these procedures. The choice of an appropriate gap-filling technique is important to limit uncertainty in fluxes estimation or bias in statistical inference.

Several techniques exist to fill gaps in datasets. Traditional statistical techniques, such as mean/mode and regression, have been applied for this purpose during several decades while machine learning techniques have been emerging and became increasingly popular in the last 10 years [Lin & Tsai, 2020]. Machine learning is a data-driven approach in which algorithms use statistics to find patterns in large amounts of data and can improve automatically through experience. Among machine learning methods, imputation of missing values based on random forests was tested prior to this thesis. To this end, the package “missForest” of the R software was used to impute missing values in a similar dataset from a nearby ecosystem that also contained continuous measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes replicated in space. This algorithm was chosen because it was able to deal with mixed-type data and as a non-parametric method, it was particularly effective to deal with complex interactions and non-linear data structures [Stekhoven & Bühlmann, 2012]. The good performance of the algorithm was verified by generating artificial gaps in the dataset; as it was observed that “missForest” performed better than other imputation methods, the algorithm was used for gap-filling [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2018]. Further information about random forests can be found in Breiman [2001].

More recently, other studies have confirmed that imputation of missing values based on random forests could equal or outperform other machine learning procedures [Emmanuel *et al.*, 2021; Platias & Petasis, 2020], including for the imputation of time series of greenhouse gases fluxes [Huang & Hsieh, 2020; Irvin *et al.*, 2021; Kang *et al.*, 2019; Kim *et al.*, 2020; Mahabbati *et al.*, 2021; Yao *et al.*, 2021]. Moreover, it has been reported that the performance of “missForest” was enhanced when data presented highly correlated variables [Platias & Petasis, 2020], a typical feature in micrometeorological datasets due to spatial correlation. Therefore, this algorithm was used again for gap-filling in Chapter 2 to 4..

#### **2.4.2. Statistical modelling**

All analyses were performed with R software [R Core Team, 2019]. The statistical protocol of Zuur and Ieno [2016] was followed as closely as possible to model the data. This protocol include the following steps: (1) Formulate


appropriate questions; (2) Visualize the experimental design; (3) Conduct data exploration, following as closely as possible the procedure of Zuur et al. (2010); (4) Identify the dependency structure in the data, e.g. spatial and/or temporal correlation; (5) Present the statistical model; (6) Fit the model; (7) Validate the model, i.e. check the validity of underlying assumptions and the absence of non-linear patterns in residuals; (8) Interpret the numerical output of the model; (9) Create a visualization of the model; (10) Simulate or perform cross-validation from the model.

There is an ongoing debate on whether research should rather use statistics based on a traditional frequentist approach or based on a Bayesian approach, both approaches having advantages and limitations [*Hackenberger, 2019*]. In brief, the frequentist method makes statistical inferences using only data from the current experiment. By contrast, the Bayesian approach uses previous knowledge of similar experiments encoded into a “prior”, and this prior is combined with current experiment data to make a conclusion on the test. One of the main objections against frequentist hypothesis testing is the use of  $p$  values, because the  $p$  value is partly determined by data that have never been observed. Bayesian methods use no null and alternative hypotheses, but in their case the main objection is that a prior is subjective and that there is no single, prescribed and well-defined method for choosing a prior [*Hackenberger, 2019*].

In this thesis, most models were developed in a frequentist framework, using either generalized least squares (GLS) models, linear mixed effects (LME) models, non-linear least squares (NLS) models or non-linear mixed effects (NLME) models during model construction. Those models are thoroughly described by Pinheiro and Bates [2000]. For linear model construction using the frequentist approach, the protocol of Zuur et al. [2009] was followed as closely as possible whereas non-linear models were developed following the instructions of Pinheiro and Bates [2000]. In Chapter 2 of this thesis, linear models were also developed in a Bayesian framework with the R “INLA” package [*Rue et al., 2009; A. F. Zuur et al., 2017*] as it has the great advantage of allowing to model spatio-temporal dependencies that were inherent to the experimental design.

### 2.4.3. Geochemical modelling

Various software programs are available to model the carbonate chemistry in soils [Suarez, 1995]. Their choice depends on the system to model (“open” or “closed”), the objectives and the available variables. In this thesis, it was chosen to use the PHREEQC software as it is free and allows both systems to be modelled for specific purposes [Parkhurst & Appelo, 2011]. The “open system” corresponds to an infinite CO<sub>2</sub> reservoir that equilibrates with the dissolving solution (or sustained supply of CO<sub>2</sub>). The “closed system” corresponds to the case where a given initial amount of CO<sub>2</sub> equilibrates with the solution and no further supply is provided [Romero-Mujalli *et al.*, 2019]. The closed system can be used for some laboratory experiments or for descriptions of the chemistry of groundwater systems [Suarez, 1995]. For example, it can be useful to simulate the enhancing effect of a solution pH on CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution. To illustrate that, solutions of varying pH were simulated to get in contact with a gas phase containing 400 ppm of CO<sub>2</sub> in a closed system (Figure 7).



**Figure 7** Effect of solution pH on CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in a closed system with a gas phase containing 400 ppm of CO<sub>2</sub>, at 25°C.  $\chi_c$ , gas phase CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction. DIC, dissolved inorganic carbon. Simulations were performed with the PHREEQC software.

The results of the simulation indicate that CO<sub>2</sub> uptake increases with the pH of the solution, following a sigmoid relationship that confirms the statement according to which soils with pH > 6.5 can retain substantial amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> in the solution phase [Sparling & West, 1990]. That is because

at those values of pH, most of the dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> converts to HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> (equilibria of eq. 18 and 19 are pushed to the right). Therefore, the depletion of CO<sub>2</sub> allows more CO<sub>2</sub> to dissolve (eq. 16 and 17). However, in spite of some advantages, the “closed system” is generally unrealistic for soil systems. Since soils undergo a continuous flux of CO<sub>2</sub>, the open system model, is a more realistic model for Earth surface conditions and thus is more commonly used.

In order to model the saturation status of soil solution with respect to a mineral, the saturation index (SI) is an informative tool. A positive SI indicates that the solution is supersaturated with respect to the considered mineral, and therefore that it may precipitate. A negative indicates that the solution is undersaturated with respect to the considered mineral, and therefore that it may dissolve. A SI equal to zero indicates that the solution is saturated and therefore in equilibrium with the mineral of interest. The SI is calculated by comparing the chemical activities of the dissolved ions of the mineral (ion activity product, IAP) with their solubility product ( $K_{sp}$ ), as:

$$SI = \log_{10} \left( \frac{IAP}{K_{sp}} \right) \quad (20)$$

For example, the SI of calcite is equal to:

$$SI = \log_{10} \left( \frac{a_{Ca^{2+}} a_{HCO_3^-}}{K_{sp}} \right) \quad (21)$$

where  $a_i$  denotes the ion activity of the aqueous species  $i$ , calculated as:

$$a_i = C_i \gamma_i \quad (22)$$

where  $C_i$  is the molar concentration and  $\gamma_i$  is the activity coefficient of the aqueous species, calculated as:

$$\ln \gamma_i = -Az_i^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{I}}{1+\sqrt{I}} - 0.3I \right) \quad (23)$$

where  $z_i$  is the ionic charge of aqueous species  $i$ ,  $A$  is a constant dependent only on temperature, and  $I$  is the ionic strength calculated as:

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n C_i z_i^2 \quad (24)$$

where  $n$  is the number of species in the solution, and  $C_i$  is the molar concentration of  $i^{\text{th}}$  present in the solution.

### 2.5. Summary of applied methodology and data analysis by chapter

The applied methodology and data analysis in each chapter to fulfill the objectives of the thesis can be summarized as:

- Chapter 1: (i) development of a new low-cost device to determine accurately the carbonate chemistry in the soil solid and aqueous phase, based on direct measurements of the CO<sub>2</sub> evolved from an acidic reaction, and (ii) fit of linear regression models on the generated data, in a frequentist framework.
- Chapter 2: (i) implementation of the gradient method with a network of environmental sensors including CO<sub>2</sub> sensors in soil and atmosphere to estimate the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux over the biocrusts ecological succession, (ii) gap-filling, and (iii) fit of linear regression models on the generated data, both in a frequentist and Bayesian framework (in order to take into account spatio-temporal dependency in the data).
- Chapter 3: (i) implementation of the gradient method with a network of environmental sensors including relative humidity sensors in soil and atmosphere to estimate the soil-atmosphere water vapor flux over the biocrusts ecological succession; (ii) gap-filling, and (iii) fit of linear and non-linear regression models on the generated data, in a frequentist framework.
- Chapter 4: (i) operation of soil water extractors to analyze and model the chemistry of the soil CaCO<sub>3</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system in microsites dominated by *Cyanobacteria*; (ii) gap-filling, and (iii) fit of linear regression models on the generated data, in a frequentist framework.



## References

- ASTM D4696-92, 2000. Standard Guide for Pore-Liquid Sampling from the Vadose Zone. West Conshohocken, PA.
- Bittelli, M., Campbell, G. S., & Tomei, F. (2015). *Soil physics with Python: transport in the soil-plant-atmosphere system*. OUP Oxford.
- Bittelli, M., Ventura, F., Campbell, G. S., Snyder, R. L., Gallegati, F., & Pisa, P. R. (2008). Coupling of heat, water vapor, and liquid water fluxes to compute evaporation in bare soils. *Journal of Hydrology*, 362(3–4), 191–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2008.08.014>
- Breiman, L. (2001). Random Forests. *Machine Learning 2001 45:1*, 45(1), 5–32. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324>
- Buck, A. L. (1981). New equations for computing vapor pressure and enhancement factor. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 20(12), 1527–1532.
- Calvo-Cases, A., Harvey, A. M., Alexander, R. W., Cantón, Y., Lázaro, R., Solé-Benet, A., & Puigdefábregas, J. (2014). Badlands in the Tabernas basin, Betic chain. In *Landscapes and Landforms of Spain* (pp. 197–211). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8628-7\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8628-7_17)
- Campbell, G. S., & Norman, J. M. (1998). An Introduction to Environmental Biophysics. *An Introduction to Environmental Biophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1626-1>
- Cantón, Y., Solé-Benet, A., Queralt, I., Pini, R. (2001). Weathering of a gypsum-calcareous mudstone under semi-arid environment at Tabernas, SE Spain: laboratory and field-based experimental approaches. *Catena*, 44, 111–132. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0341-8162\(00\)00153-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0341-8162(00)00153-3)
- Curley, E. M., O’Flynn, M. G., & McDonnell, K. P. (2011). The use of porous ceramic cups for sampling soil pore water from the unsaturated zone. *International Journal of Soil Science*, 6(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3923/IJSS.2011.1.11>
- Emmanuel, T., Maupong, T., Mpoeleng, D., Semong, T., Mphago, B., & Tabona, O. (2021). A survey on missing data in machine learning. *Journal of Big Data 2021 8:1*, 8(1), 1–37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S40537-021-00516-9>
- FAO. (1998). *World reference base for soil resources* (Vol. 3). Food & Agriculture Org.

- Fredlund, D. G., Rahardjo, H., & Fredlund, M. D. (2012). Unsaturated Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice. *Unsaturated Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118280492>
- Hackenberger, B. K. (2019). Bayes or not Bayes, is this the question? *Croatian Medical Journal*, *60*(1), 50. <https://doi.org/10.3325/CMJ.2019.60.50>
- Huang, I. H., & Hsieh, C. I. (2020). Gap-Filling of Surface Fluxes Using Machine Learning Algorithms in Various Ecosystems. *Water* *2020*, Vol. 12, Page 3415, *12*(12), 3415. <https://doi.org/10.3390/W12123415>
- Hupp, J. (2011). The importance of water vapor measurements and corrections. *LI-COR Biosciences Inc. Application Note*, *129*, 8.
- Irvin, J., Zhou, S., McNicol, G., Lu, F., Liu, V., Fluet-Chouinard, E., Ouyang, Z., Knox, S. H., Lucas-Moffat, A., Trotta, C., Papale, D., Vitale, D., Mammarella, I., Alekseychik, P., Aurela, M., Avati, A., Baldocchi, D., Bansal, S., Bohrer, G., ... Jackson, R. B. (2021). Gap-filling eddy covariance methane fluxes: Comparison of machine learning model predictions and uncertainties at FLUXNET-CH<sub>4</sub> wetlands. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *308–309*, 108528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2021.108528>
- Jabro, J. D., & Jabro, J. D. (2008). Water Vapor Diffusion Through Soil as Affected by Temperature and Aggregate Size. *Transport in Porous Media* *2008* *77:3*, *77*(3), 417–428. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11242-008-9267-Z>
- Jones, H. G. (1992). *Plants and microclimate: a quantitative approach to environmental plant physiology*. Cambridge university press.
- Kang, M., Ichii, K., Kim, J., Indrawati, Y. M., Park, J., Moon, M., Lim, J. H., & Chun, J. H. (2019). New Gap-Filling Strategies for Long-Period Flux Data Gaps Using a Data-Driven Approach. *Atmosphere* *2019*, Vol. 10, Page 568, *10*(10), 568. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ATMOS10100568>
- Kargas, G., Londra, P., & Sgoubopoulou, A. (2020). Comparison of Soil EC Values from Methods Based on 1:1 and 1:5 Soil to Water Ratios and ECe from Saturated Paste Extract Based Method. *Water* *2020*, Vol. 12, Page 1010, *12*(4), 1010. <https://doi.org/10.3390/W12041010>
- Kim, Y., Johnson, M. S., Knox, S. H., Black, T. A., Dalmagro, H. J., Kang, M., Kim, J., & Baldocchi, D. (2020). Gap-filling approaches for eddy covariance methane fluxes: A comparison of three machine learning algorithms and

- a traditional method with principal component analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 26(3), 1499–1518. <https://doi.org/10.1111/GCB.14845>
- Kräutle, S., Hodai, J., & Knabner, P. (2021). Robust simulation of mineral precipitation–dissolution problems with variable mineral surface area. *Journal of Engineering Mathematics*, 129(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10665-021-10132-4>
- Lázaro, R., Alexander, R. W., & Puigdefabregas, J. (2000). *Cover distribution patterns of lichens, annuals and shrubs in the Tabernas Desert, Almería, Spain* (pp. 19–39). Wiley, Chichester.
- Lázaro, R., Cantón, Y., Solé-Benet, A., Bevan, J., Alexander, R., Sancho, L. G., & Puigdefábregas, J. (2008). The influence of competition between lichen colonization and erosion on the evolution of soil surfaces in the Tabernas badlands (SE Spain) and its landscape effects. *Geomorphology*, 102(2), 252–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.05.005>
- Lázaro, R., Rodrigo, F. S., Gutiérrez, L., Domingo, F., & Puigdefábregas, J. (2001). Analysis of a 30-year rainfall record (1967–1997) in semi–arid SE Spain for implications on vegetation. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 48(3), 373–395. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jare.2000.0755>
- Lázaro, R., Rodríguez-Tamayo, M. L., Ordiales, R., & Puigdefábregas, J. R. (2004). El clima. *Subdesiertos de Almería: Naturaleza de Cine*, 62–79.
- Lebron, I., & Suarez, D. L. (1996). Calcite nucleation and precipitation kinetics as affected by dissolved organic matter at 25°C and pH > 7.5. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 60(15), 2765–2776. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(96\)00137-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(96)00137-8)
- Lebron, I., & Suarez, D. L. (1998). Kinetics and mechanisms of precipitation of calcite as affected by PCO<sub>2</sub> and organic ligands at 25 C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 62(3), 405–416.
- Lin, W. C., & Tsai, C. F. (2020). Missing value imputation: a review and analysis of the literature (2006–2017). *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 53(2), 1487–1509. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10462-019-09709-4>
- Liu, F. fei, Mao, X. song, Zhang, J. xun, Wu, Q., Li, Y. ying, & Xu, C. (2020). Isothermal diffusion of water vapor in unsaturated soils based on Fick's second law. *Journal of Central South University* 2020 27:7, 27(7), 2017–2031. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11771-020-4427-6>
- Loeppert, R. H., & Suarez, D. L. (1996). Carbonate and gypsum. *Methods of Soil*

- Analysis: Part 3 Chemical Methods*, 5, 437–474. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c15>
- Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., Serrano-Ortiz, P., López-Ballesteros, A., Domingo, F., Kowalski, A. S., & Oyonarte, C. (2018). From microhabitat to ecosystem: identifying the biophysical factors controlling soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics in a karst shrubland. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 69(6), 1018–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12710>
- Mahabbati, A., Beringer, J., Leopold, M., McHugh, I., Cleverly, J., Isaac, P., & Izady, A. (2021). A comparison of gap-filling algorithms for eddy covariance fluxes and their drivers. *Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems*, 10(1), 123–140. <https://doi.org/10.5194/GI-10-123-2021>
- Maier, M., & Schack-Kirchner, H. (2014). Using the gradient method to determine soil gas flux: A review. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 192, 78–95.
- Noiriel, C., Steefel, C. I., Yang, L., & Ajo-Franklin, J. (2012). Upscaling calcium carbonate precipitation rates from pore to continuum scale. *Chemical Geology*, 318, 60–74.
- Parkhurst, D., & Appelo, C. A. J. (2011). PHREEQC (Version 3)—A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. *Water Resources Div., Denver, CO*.
- Pérez-Priego, O., López-Ballesteros, A., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Kutzbach, L., Domingo, F., Eugster, W., & Kowalski, A. S. (2015). Analysing uncertainties in the calculation of fluxes using whole-plant chambers: random and systematic errors. *Plant and Soil*, 393(1–2), 229–244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11104-015-2481-X>
- Pinheiro, J. C., & Bates, D. M. (2000). *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-Plus*. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Platias, C., & Petasis, G. (2020). A Comparison of Machine Learning Methods for Data Imputation. *11th Hellenic Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 10(2020). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411408>
- Pumpanen, J., Kolari, P., Ilvesniemi, H., Minkinen, K., Vesala, T., Niinistö, S., Lohila, A., Larmola, T., Morero, M., Pihlatie, M., Janssens, I., Yuste, J. C., Grünzweig, J. M., Reth, S., Subke, J. A., Savage, K., Kutsch, W., Østreg, S., & Vesala, T. (2019). The CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in the boreal forest of Finland. *Global Change Biology*, 25(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14588>

- G., Ziegler, W., ... Hari, P. (2004). Comparison of different chamber techniques for measuring soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 123(3–4), 159–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2003.12.001>
- R Core Team (2019). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.r-project.org/>
- Rao, S. M., & Rekapalli, M. (2020). Identifying the dominant mode of moisture transport during drying of unsaturated soils. *Scientific Reports* 2020 10:1, 10(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61302-w>
- Reyzabal, M. L., & Bazán, J. C. (1992). A method for measurement of water vapor diffusion in dry soils. *Geoderma*, 53(1–2), 105–110. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061\(92\)90024-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061(92)90024-2)
- Romero-Mujalli, G., Hartmann, J., Börker, J., Gaillardet, J., & Calmels, D. (2019). Ecosystem controlled soil-rock pCO<sub>2</sub> and carbonate weathering-Constraints by temperature and soil water content. *Chemical Geology*, 527, 118634.
- Rue, H., Martino, S., & Chopin, N. (2009). Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series b (Statistical Methodology)*, 71(2), 319–392. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2008.00700.x>
- Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., & Kowalski, A. S. (2014). Comment on “Using the gradient method to determine soil gas flux: A review” by M. Maier and H. Schack-Kirchner. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 197, 254–255.
- Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., Scott, R. L., van Haren, J., & Barron-Gafford, G. A. (2017). Improving the accuracy of the gradient method for determining soil carbon dioxide efflux. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 122(1), 50–64.
- Šimůnek, J., & Suarez, D. L. (1993). Modeling of carbon dioxide transport and production in soil: 1. Model development. *Water Resources Research*, 29(2), 487–497.
- Solé-Benet, A., Calvo, A., Cerda, A., Laizaro, R., Pini, R., & Barbero, J. (1997). Influences of micro-relief patterns and plant cover on runoff related processes in badlands from Tabernas (SE Spain). *Catena*, 31(1–2), 23–38.
- Sparling, G. P., & West, A. W. (1990). A comparison of gas chromatography

- and differential respirometer methods to measure soil respiration and to estimate the soil microbial biomass. *Pedobiologia*, 34(2), 103–112.
- Stekhoven, D. J., & Bühlmann, P. (2012). MissForest—non-parametric missing value imputation for mixed-type data. *Bioinformatics*, 28(1), 112–118. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr597>
- Suarez, D. L. (1986). A soil water extractor that minimizes CO<sub>2</sub> degassing and pH errors. *Water Resources Research*, 22(6), 876–880.
- Suarez, D. L. (1987). Prediction of pH Errors in Soil-water Extractors Due to Degassing 1. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 51(1), 64–67.
- Suarez, D. L. (1995). Carbonate chemistry in computer programs and application to soil chemistry. *SSSA SPECIAL PUBLICATION*, 42, 53.
- Suarez, D. L., & Šimůnek, J. (1997). UNSATCHEM: Unsaturated water and solute transport model with equilibrium and kinetic chemistry. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 61(6), 1633–1646.
- Suarez, D. L., & Wood, J. D. (1984). Simultaneous Determination of Calcite Surface Area and Content in Soils 1. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 48(6), 1232–1235.
- Weihermüller, L., Siemens, J., Deurer, M., Knoblauch, S., Rupp, H., Göttlein, A., & Pütz, T. (2007). In Situ Soil Water Extraction: A Review. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 36(6), 1735–1748. <https://doi.org/10.2134/JEQ2007.0218>
- Yao, J., Gao, Z., Huang, J., Liu, H., & Wang, G. (2021). Technical note: Uncertainties in eddy covariance CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in a semiarid sagebrush ecosystem caused by gap-filling approaches. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 21(20), 15589–15603. <https://doi.org/10.5194/ACP-21-15589-2021>
- Zuur, A. F., & Ieno, E. N. (2016). A protocol for conducting and presenting results of regression-type analyses. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7(6), 636–645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12577>
- Zuur, A. F., Ieno, E. N., & Elphick, C. S. (2010). A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 1(1), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.2041-210X.2009.00001.X>
- Zuur, A. F., Ieno, E. N., & Saveliev, A. A. (2017). Spatial, temporal and spatial-temporal ecological data analysis with R-INLA. *Highland Statistics Ltd*, 1.

Zuur, A., Ieno, E. N., Walker, N., Saveliev, A. A., & Smith, G. M. (2009). *Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R*. Springer Science & Business Media.

### 3. RESULTS





### 3.1. CHAPTER 1

## **Development of a new low-cost device to measure calcium carbonate content, reactive surface area in solid samples and dissolved inorganic carbon content in water samples**

Clément Lopez-Canfin, Roberto Lázaro, Enrique P. Sánchez-Cañete

Published in *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*

Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., & Lázaro, R. (2021). Development of a new low-cost device to measure calcium carbonate content, reactive surface area in solid samples and dissolved inorganic carbon content in water samples. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13579>

Device registered the 27/05/2021 in the Spanish Office of Patents and Trademarks as Utility Model (n° ES1262569).

**Abstract**

1. Estimates of soil carbonate dynamics are still very scarce, despite their importance in the global carbon budget. Geochemical models used to estimate carbonate precipitation-dissolution rates require important inputs including carbonate content and calcite reactive surface area in soil as well as dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) content in soil solution. However, most methods currently available to accurately measure these parameters can be time consuming and/or often require expensive laboratory equipment.
2. To tackle this problem, we aimed to develop a sensitive device to measure these variables at low cost and with little time investment. By taking advantage of the recent development of low-cost CO<sub>2</sub> sensors and microcontrollers, a low-cost and easy-to-mount analyzer was developed based on direct measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> evolved during an acidic reaction.
3. The new instrument proved to be sensitive, accurate, precise and able to quickly perform the analyses. It was therefore used in a pilot experiment on the inorganic component of CO<sub>2</sub> flows from crusted semi-arid soils, and to evaluate the variation in DIC content through a spring-cave-downstream river water continuum.
4. The device could facilitate these analyses for scientists from different fields since it can potentially analyze any solid or aqueous sample.

### 3.1.1. Introduction

The current rise in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature as well as the modification of precipitation patterns could affect the precipitation-dissolution dynamics of soil carbonates, potentially altering both the inorganic and recalcitrant organic carbon (bounded to carbonates) storage capacity of soils and modifying the global carbon balance. It is thus urgent to assess the sensitivity of soil carbonates to climate change by monitoring their precipitation-dissolution dynamics.

Geochemical models used to estimate carbonate precipitation-dissolution rates require important inputs including the carbonate content and reactive surface area (RSA) in soil as well as the dissolved inorganic carbon content (DIC) in soil solution [Suarez, 1995; Suarez & Šimůnek, 1997]. However, most methods currently available to accurately measure these parameters can be time consuming and/or often require expensive laboratory equipment [Del Campillo *et al.*, 1992; Kindler *et al.*, 2011; Kristl *et al.*, 2016; Rounds & Wilde, 2012].

By contrast, the pressure calcimeter has proven to be an accurate, simple and rather inexpensive instrument for measuring carbonate content and calcite RSA in soils [Suarez & Wood, 1984]. It measures with a manometer/pressure transducer the CO<sub>2</sub> evolved from the reaction of soil carbonates with an acid. Nevertheless, the cost of digital manometers/pressure transducers and dataloggers can still be substantial. In addition, although methods involving direct determination of CO<sub>2</sub> have been recommended for their accuracy [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996], there is so far no procedure that measures directly the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$ ) during the reaction of either solid carbonates or aqueous carbonate species with acid.

The objective of this study was to develop a sensitive device based on the direct measurement of  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$ , to measure CaCO<sub>3</sub> equivalent (CCE) content, calcite RSA in soil and DIC content in water at low cost and low time investment. We examined the behavior of the new instrument in a pilot experiment on the inorganic component of CO<sub>2</sub> flows from crusted semi-arid


soils, and to evaluate the variation in DIC content through a spring-cave-downstream river water continuum.

We assumed that the manometric procedure could be improved by the recent development of low-cost CO<sub>2</sub> sensors and microcontrollers [e.g. *Greenspan et al.*, 2016; *Harmon et al.*, 2015; *Heger et al.*, 2020], and adapted in order to also measure DIC. The new device was used to test the following research hypotheses: (1) the calcite specific surface area (SSA, calcite RSA per weight of calcite) should increase over the ecological succession of biocrusts due to a gradual increase in small-size secondary carbonates during soil development; (2) the colonization of the soil by lichens and plants should decrease the CCE due to their ability to enhance mineral weathering; (3) in a spring-cave-downstream river continuum, the DIC content should increase progressively due to the enhanced dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub>-rich caves, combined with the accumulation of aqueous carbonate species during CaCO<sub>3</sub> weathering.


#### **3.1.1.1. Device design and set-up**

The device design and working principle is presented in Fig. 1. Three wide-mouth jars with flat bottoms are used as reaction, desiccation and measurement vessels; jars have volumes of 0.72 L, 0.25L and 1L, respectively. For measuring DIC, a smaller reaction vessel (0.125 L) is used instead. In the cap of every jar, two holes are drilled to attach hose connectors. Another hole is drilled in the cap of the reaction vessel to attach a two-way acid-resistant stopcock. In the cap of the measurement vessel, two additional holes are drilled, to attach a nondispersive infrared CO<sub>2</sub> sensor (MH-Z16, Zhengzhou Winseng Electronics Technology Co., Zhengzhou, China) that outputs  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  in the range 0-50,000 ppm (version Gravity UART, DFRobot, Shanghai, China), and a sensor that measures temperature, relative humidity and pressure (BME280, Bosch, Gerlingen-Schillerhöhe, Germany). In the desiccation vessel, a thin layer of desiccant, in our case Drierite (W. A. Hammond DRIERITE, OH, USA) is added in order to limit relative humidity increase during the reaction. The vessels are connected with tubing. A diaphragm pump (SP 200 EC-LC, Schwarzer Precision, Essen, Germany) ensures airflow in a closed loop. A

liquid-crystal display (LCD) shows sensor information in real time. System control and datalogging on an SD card are ensured by a microcontroller (Arduino Uno Rev3, Arduino, Ivrea, Italy) coupled to a datalogger shield (Adafruit Industries, NY, USA). Measurements are recorded every 5 seconds. The connection diagram is presented in Fig. 2. A good sealing of the system is essential. The total cost of the device is ca. US\$ 200.



**Figure 1** Device design



**Figure 2** Connection diagram. 1, liquid-crystal display screen; 2, nondispersive infrared CO<sub>2</sub> sensor; 3, microcontroller (coupled to a datalogger shield on it, not represented)

here); 4, power supply (9-volt direct current); 5, relay module; 6, diaphragm pump; 7, breadboard power supply module (5-volt direct current); 8, sensor of temperature, relative humidity, and pressure. Black and red wires are for negative and positive current, respectively.

Calibrations and analyses should be performed at the same temperature in a temperature-controlled room and preferably by placing the reaction vessel in a water bath. While  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  measurements are internally corrected for temperature by the sensor, they are manually corrected in post-processing for pressure and water vapor.

### 3.1.1.2. Calibrations

To calibrate the analysis of CCE, amounts from 0.01 to 0.40g of pure calcite are added to the reaction vessel. An amount of 0.001g is also added to test the sensitivity of the device. A large stirring bar is also introduced. After closing the vessels, the device is turned on. Once  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  is steady, 40 mL of 3M hydrochloric acid (HCl) with 3% (by weight) ferrous chloride (FeCl<sub>2</sub>) is injected inside the reaction vessel with a syringe through the stopcock and the valve is rapidly closed. The solution is stirred at 300 rpm. The reaction is complete when  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  increase stops (after ca. 10-20 minutes). The difference between the final and initial  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  ( $\Delta\text{CO}_2$ ) is plotted against CaCO<sub>3</sub> amount.

To calibrate the analysis of calcite RSA, it is first necessary to obtain pure calcite standards of known SSA. In this study, Iceland spar calcite was broken and wet-sieved through screens of 63  $\mu\text{m}$  and 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . The SSA of the collected fraction was measured by the N<sub>2</sub>-BET method (0.1 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> CaCO<sub>3</sub>). Different calcite amounts (from 0.001 to 0.1g) from this standard were successively added to the reaction vessel to construct a calibration curve covering the RSA range 0 – 0.01 m<sup>2</sup>. A large stirring bar is inserted into the reaction vessel (good mixing between the sample and the reagents is crucial). Distilled water (100 mL) is added to the sample. After closing the vessels, the device is turned on and 5 mL of 1M C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub> is injected through the stopcock with a micropipette and the valve is closed. The solution is stirred at 300 rpm. Once  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  is steady, 5 mL of 2M C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is injected into the reaction vessel. The RSA is proportional to the maximum dissolution rate of the reaction, which is achieved in few minutes. A general rule of thumb is to wait ca. 5-10 minutes or to check the CO<sub>2</sub> increase on the LCD screen before stopping the reaction.

After that, the  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  curve is smoothed using a moving average with a one-minute window, the maximum slope of  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  ( $\delta\text{CO}_2$ ) is calculated and plotted against RSA. More details about solutions preparation for CCE and SA determinations are available in the original manometric method described in [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996].

To calibrate the DIC analysis, samples of commercial still water are selected according to their bicarbonate content, ensuring to cover a range of values as wide as possible. Sample dilution and evaporation can be performed to complete the range. In this study, mineral water samples containing 108, 243, 284, and 409 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of DIC as HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> were used. A 5 mL water sample is introduced gently into the reaction vessel with a stirring bar and the vessel is closed. The device is turned on. Once  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  stabilizes, 15 mL of 0.15M HCl are injected through the stopcock with a micropipette. The valve is rapidly closed and the solution stirred at 300 rpm. The reaction ends when  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  stops increasing. The  $\Delta\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  is calculated and plotted against the DIC content.

### 3.1.1.3. Sampling and analysis of soil and water samples

The device was tested with soil samples from the experimental site of *El Cautivo*, an area of badlands located in the Tabernas Desert (Almería, Spain) (more information about the study site is available in Section 2.1).

Soil samples were collected in triplicates from the 0-5 cm layer, randomly spaced within each successional stage as well as in mature cyanobacteria patches within the SD site (referred as MC2, hereafter) and under plants. Soils were dried at 105 °C and sieved to collect the < 1 mm fraction without crushing the aggregates.

Water samples of different origins (tap water, soil water, sea water, spring water, cave water, river water and water from a “rambla”, i.e. the dry bed of a temporary or transitory stream) were collected. The soil water was extracted from an intact soil core with a porous ceramic extractor (1910PL06, Soilmoisture Equipment Corp., CA, USA). Water samples were passed through a 0.22 µm filter, placed in a cooler and analyzed within few hours. The same analytical procedures as for calibrations were used to analyze soil and water samples.

Measured DIC contents were compared to values estimated by the PHREEQC software [Parkhurst & Appelo, 2011], using pH and alkalinity as



inputs. The pH was measured by a laboratory pH-meter (pH 50+ DHS, XS Instruments, Carpi MO, Italy) and alkalinity was determined by titration with 0.1M HCl, using the inflection point method and calculator of the United States Geological Survey (<https://or.water.usgs.gov/alk/>). As an additional validation procedure, the measured DIC contents in 11 soil water samples were compared to those measured according to the standard *ASTM D7573-09* [2009] using a carbon analyzer TOC-Vcsh coupled to an automatic sampler ASI-V (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).


#### **3.1.1.4. Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed with R software v. 3.6.3 [*R Core Team*, 2019] and the significance level was set to 5%. The repeatability of the analyses was assessed by calculating the coefficient of variation (CV) of the replicated sample. Linear models were used to fit calibration data as well as to explore relationships between CCE, calcite RSA and calcite SSA and to test if these variables differed significantly over the ecological succession. Pairwise comparisons of means were performed after ANOVA models and models assumptions of independence, homoscedasticity, and normality were checked.

#### **3.1.2. Results**

The calibration models of CCE, calcite RSA and DIC analyses are presented in Figs 3A, 3B and 3C, respectively ( $R^2 \geq 0.99$ ). Over 5 repetitions of the same sample, the measured CCE was on average  $0.18 \text{ g g}^{-1}$  soil, with a CV of 0.6 %. The measured calcite RSA was on average  $0.0162 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  soil, with a CV of 3.2 %. The measured DIC was on average  $342 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , with a CV of 0.9 %. The CCE as well as RSA and SSA are presented and plotted along the ecological succession (Table 1, Fig. 4). The CCE is significantly higher in the IC, MC2, SD and LI sites, compared to the PD site ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 4A). It tends to decrease in the late successional stages, after lichen colonization, but not significantly. Since there is a significant positive relationship between RSA and CCE ( $P < 0.001$ ) (Fig. 5), they show similar trends over the ecological succession (Fig. 4B). A gradual trend of increase is observed over ecological succession for SSA, before dropping in plants, but differences are not significant. The measured

DIC in water samples is compared to estimated DIC from pH and alkalinity using the PHREEQC software (Table 2) and results are plotted in Fig. 6 ( $R^2 > 0.99$ ). The DIC contents (as  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ) measured with the device in 11 soil water samples (ranging from 14.8 to 119.4  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) differ on average by  $|9.5| \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  from those measured with the commercial carbon analyzer ( $R^2 = 0.91$ ).




**Figure 3** Calibration models (blue lines) for the determination of (A)  $\text{CaCO}_3$  equivalent content; (B) reactive surface area (RSA) and (C) dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) content. The grey area delimits the 95% confidence interval.


**Table 1** Mean values  $\pm$  standard deviation of the soil parameters measured with the developed device.

Succession stage	CCE ( $\text{g g}^{-1}$ )	RSA ( $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ soil)	SSA ( $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1} \text{CaCO}_3$ )
-			
PD	$0.193 \pm 0.012$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.088 \pm 0.005$
IC	$0.297 \pm 0.020$	$0.025 \pm 0.004$	$0.085 \pm 0.008$
MC	$0.247 \pm 0.012$	$0.021 \pm 0.003$	$0.087 \pm 0.010$
MC2	$0.306 \pm 0.028$	$0.028 \pm 0.003$	$0.092 \pm 0.003$
SD	$0.282 \pm 0.044$	$0.025 \pm 0.003$	$0.090 \pm 0.005$
LI	$0.267 \pm 0.023$	$0.026 \pm 0.004$	$0.098 \pm 0.005$
Plants	$0.219 \pm 0.026$	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$0.085 \pm 0.005$

CCE,  $\text{CaCO}_3$  equivalent content; RSA, reactive surface area; SSA, specific surface area.




**Figure 4** Evolution of the CaCO<sub>3</sub> (A) equivalent (CCE) content, (B) reactive surface area (RSA) and (C) specific surface area (SSA) over ecological succession. PD, physical depositional crust; IC, incipient cyanobacterial crust; MC, mature cyanobacterial crust; SD, lichen community characterized by *S. lentigera* and *D. diacapsis*; LI, lichen community characterized by *L. isidiata*; MC2, mature cyanobacterial patches within SD site. Boxes that do not share the same letters indicate significant differences of the means.



**Figure 5** Linear model (blue line) of reactive surface area (RSA) as a function of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  equivalent content (CCE) content. The grey area delimits the 95% confidence interval.

**Table 2** Comparison of DIC measured with the developed device and DIC estimated from alkalinity and pH.

Sample	Alkalinity ( $\text{meq L}^{-1}$ )	pH	Measured DIC ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ )	Estimated DIC ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ )
Tap water	0.67	8.09	36	41
Spring water	1.13	7.67	65	71
Soil water	2.27	8.07	140	140
Cave water	2.28	7.42	145	147
Sea water	2.43	8.06	151	149
River water	3.17	7.67	210	200
Rambla water	5.04	8.02	342	310



**Figure 6** Linear model (blue line) of measured dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) content as a function of estimated DIC content. The grey area delimits the 95% confidence interval.

### 3.1.3. Discussion

#### 3.1.3.1. Device features

The novel system has six interesting features: (1) it determines CCE, calcite RSA and DIC with excellent accuracy, as reflected by the  $R^2$  of the calibration models ( $\geq 0.99$ ) (Fig. 3), the small difference between measured and calculated DIC values (Table 2) and the small difference between DIC contents measured with the device and those measured with the commercial carbon analyzer ( $19.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  on average,  $R^2 = 0.91$ ). (2) The system is very sensitive, and detected amounts as low as  $0.001 \text{ g}$  of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ ,  $1.10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$  of RSA and  $36 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  of DIC. For other demanding applications, the device sensitivity can be improved by reducing the system volume. (3) The system is precise, as reflected by the CVs of repeatability tests (0.7%, 3.2% and 0.9 % for CCE, RSA and DIC, respectively). (4) Analyses are fast, ranging from few minutes for RSA to less than half an hour for CCE and DIC (5). The device is very affordable, with a total cost of ca. US\$ 200. (6) It is simple to mount and to program because it is built on Arduino, an open-source hardware and software.

#### 3.1.3.2. Protocol considerations

The protocol used in this study for CCE and RSA determination was adapted from [Suarez & Wood, 1984]. Like those authors, we recommend the use of a 1-mm or 0.5-mm sieve and not to crush aggregates since this procedure would increase sample RSA. If aggregates need to be included in the analysis, they should be dispersed previously. For all analyses, a new calibration should be performed for any change in the system volume. Since the RSA analysis measures a reaction rate, the calibration of this analysis is also sensitive to changes in pump rate, stirring bar size and stirring rate, and so the user should recalibrate the device for any change in those settings.

#### 3.1.3.3. Pilot experiment

The SSA tended to increase over the ecological succession, before dropping in plants (Table 1, Fig. 4C). This is compatible with our assumption of progressive formation of small-size pedogenic carbonates during pedogenesis. This type

of carbonate is formed by dissolution of preexisting carbonates and re-precipitation, and is common near the soil surface in dry and hot climates due to the supersaturation of the soil solution with respect to calcite [Zamanian *et al.*, 2016]. However, the formation of small-size  $\text{CaCO}_3$  could also be due to biomineralization by cyanobacteria from atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  [Benzerara *et al.*, 2014] and/or by oxalotrophs through the oxalate-carbonate pathway [Verrecchia *et al.*, 2006]. Oxalate is abundantly produced by lichens and has been identified as the most frequent biomolecule in lichens species at this experimental site [Miralles *et al.*, 2017]. In this study, we also assumed an enhancement of carbonate dissolution by the rhizosphere. The biocrust community characterized by the lichen species *Lepraria isidiata* covers the interstices between the sampled plants. In spite of this proximity, the CCE and SSA were substantially lower in plants than in this lichen species. Although this observation is congruent with our assumption, a potential biomineralization of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  from oxalate produced by *Lepraria isidiata* also could explain this difference. We also assumed that the colonization of soil by lichens should decrease the CCE since these organisms are able to enhance bedrock weathering up to 100 times [Schwartzman & Volk, 1989] but no conclusive patterns were detected here, probably because other important factors control mineral weathering. In general, the trends for CCE and SSA observed in this study should be completed with further microscopic observations and biogeochemical analyses after separating the crust and subcrust soil layers in order to disentangle the underlying mechanisms involved in  $\text{CaCO}_3$  precipitation and dissolution. We also found a significant positive relationship between RSA and CCE content (Fig. 5).

Regarding water samples, as expected the DIC content increased following the spring-cave-downstream river continuum. This increase in DIC concentration is attributable to (1) the enhanced  $\text{CO}_2$  dissolution in cave water, favored by high  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations (frequently caves exhibit values exceeding ten times the atmospheric concentration [Sánchez-Cañete *et al.*, 2013]) and lower temperatures, according to Henry's law; (2) the enhanced weathering of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  by more acidic  $\text{CO}_2$ -rich subterranean water, releasing additional carbonate species into water; and (3) the progressive removal of

pure water by evaporation, especially because samples were collected during summer.

#### **3.1.4. Conclusion**

A new device was developed to determine the CCE content and calcite RSA in soil as well as DIC in water. The device, based on the direct measurement of the evolution of  $\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$  during an acidic reaction, proved to be accurate, sensitive, precise and not time-consuming. Its low cost (ca. US\$ 200) makes it particularly attractive where research suffers most from resource limitations. Moreover, as it is built on open-source hardware and software, it is simple to mount and program, thus holding the potential to make such measurements widespread and allowing future system improvements to be easily shared within the scientific community. The device has the potential to analyze any solid or aqueous sample by adjusting calibration ranges.

Analyses performed in our pilot experiment seem to support the assumption of a gradual increase in small-size secondary carbonates over biocrust succession but the exact nature and origin of these minerals still have to be characterized. The gradual DIC increase in the spring-cave-downstream river continuum highlights the enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in water from cool CO<sub>2</sub>-rich caves and the progressive weathering of CaCO<sub>3</sub> by this more acidic, CO<sub>2</sub>-rich subterranean water. The measured parameters can be used as inputs in geochemical models to estimate the precipitation-dissolution rates of carbonates.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This research was part of the DINCOS project (Biocrust Dynamics, key CGL2016-78075-P) funded by the Spanish State Plan for Scientific and Technical Research and Innovation 2013–2016, which funds the first author. CLC thanks the PhD program in Earth Sciences (University of Granada) in which he is enrolled, Encarnación Ruiz Agudo and Aurelia Ibañez Velasco (University of Granada) for supervising and providing facilities for the N<sub>2</sub>-BET analysis, as well as Francisco Contreras for the field expedition in Sorbas caves. The data from the El Cautivo experimental field site were obtained thanks to the courtesy of the Viciano brothers, the landowners.

### **Authors' contributions**

CLC conceived the idea and developed the device; RLS and EPSC supervised and funded its development; CLC, RLS and EPSC collected the samples; CLC analyzed the samples and the data; CLC led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

### **Peer review**

The peer review history for this article is available at <https://publons.com/publon/10.1111/2041-210X.13579>.

### **Data availability statement**

The data used in this research are publicly available from the fig-share repository <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13713448.v1>. The Arduino source code used in this study is publicly available from the GitHub and Zenodo repositories <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4520391> [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2021].



## References

- ASTM D7573-09, A. (2009). *Standard Test Method for Total Carbon and Organic Carbon in Water by High Temperature Catalytic Combustion and Infrared Detection*. ASTM International. <https://doi.org/10.1520/D7573-09>
- Benzerara, K., Skouri-Panet, F., Li, J., Férard, C., Gugger, M., Laurent, T., Couradeau, E., Ragon, M., Cosmidis, J., & Menguy, N. (2014). Intracellular Ca-carbonate biomineralization is widespread in cyanobacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *111*(30), 10933–10938.
- Del Campillo, M. C., Torrent, J., & Loeppert, R. H. (1992). The reactivity of carbonates in selected soils of southern Spain. *Geoderma*, *52*(1–2), 149–160.
- Greenspan, S. E., Morris, W., Warburton, R., Edwards, L., Duffy, R., Pike, D. A., Schwarzkopf, L., & Alford, R. A. (2016). Low-cost fluctuating-temperature chamber for experimental ecology. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *7*(12), 1567–1574.
- Harmon, T. C., Dierick, D., Trahan, N., Allen, M. F., Rundel, P. W., Oberbauer, S. F., Schwendenmann, L., & Zelikova, T. J. (2015). Low-cost soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and point concentration sensing systems for terrestrial ecology applications. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *6*(11), 1358–1362.
- Heger, A., Kleinschmidt, V., Gröngröft, A., Kutzbach, L., & Eschenbach, A. (2020). Application of a low-cost NDIR sensor module for continuous measurements of in situ soil CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*.
- Kindler, R., Siemens, J. A. N., Kaiser, K., Walmsley, D. C., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Cellier, P., Eugster, W., Gleixner, G., & Grünwald, T. (2011). Dissolved carbon leaching from soil is a crucial component of the net ecosystem carbon balance. *Global Change Biology*, *17*(2), 1167–1185.
- Kristl, M., Muršec, M., Šuštar, V., & Kristl, J. (2016). Application of thermogravimetric analysis for the evaluation of organic and inorganic carbon contents in agricultural soils. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, *123*(3), 2139–2147.

- Loeppert, R. H., & Suarez, D. L. (1996). Carbonate and gypsum. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3 Chemical Methods*, 5, 437–474. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c15>
- Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., & Lázaro, R. (2021). *Low-cost CaCO<sub>3</sub>-RSA-DIC device: source code (Version v1.0.0)*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4520391>
- Miralles, I., Jorge-Villar, S. E., van Wesemael, B., & Lázaro, R. (2017). Raman spectroscopy detection of biomolecules in biocrusts from differing environmental conditions. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 171, 40–51.
- Parkhurst, D., & Appelo, C. A. J. (2011). PHREEQC (Version 3)—A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. *Water Resources Div., Denver, CO*.
- R Core Team. (2019). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.r-project.org/>
- Rounds, S. A., & Wilde, F. D. (2012). *Chapter A6. Section 6.6. Alkalinity and acid neutralizing capacity*.
- Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Domingo, F., & Kowalski, A. S. (2013). Cave ventilation is influenced by variations in the CO<sub>2</sub>-dependent virtual temperature. *International Journal of Speleology*, 42(1), 1.
- Schwartzman, D. W., & Volk, T. (1989). Biotic enhancement of weathering and the habitability of Earth. *Nature*, 340(6233), 457.
- Suarez, D. L. (1995). Carbonate chemistry in computer programs and application to soil chemistry. *SSSA SPECIAL PUBLICATION*, 42, 53.
- Suarez, D. L., & Šimůnek, J. (1997). UNSATCHEM: Unsaturated water and solute transport model with equilibrium and kinetic chemistry. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 61(6), 1633–1646.
- Suarez, D. L., & Wood, J. D. (1984). Simultaneous Determination of Calcite Surface Area and Content in Soils 1. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 48(6), 1232–1235.
- Verrecchia, E. P., Braissant, O., & Cailleau, G. (2006). The oxalate-carbonate pathway in soil carbon storage: the role of fungi and oxalotrophic bacteria. *Fungi in Biogeochemical Cycles*, 289–310.

Zamanian, K., Pustovoytov, K., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2016). Pedogenic carbonates: Forms and formation processes. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 157, 1–17.

## 3.2. CHAPTER 2


### Disparate responses of soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange to biophysical and geochemical factors over a biocrust ecological succession in the Tabernas Desert

Clément Lopez-Canfin, Roberto Lázaro, Enrique P. Sánchez-Cañete

Submitted for publication to *Geoderma*. January 2022.

Lopez-Canfin, C.; Lázaro R.; Sánchez-Cañete, E.P (under review). Disparate responses of soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange to biophysical and geochemical factors over a biocrust ecological succession in the Tabernas Desert.

#### Graphical abstract



**Abstract**

In drylands, potential abiotic processes of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake are still debated while estimates of the biotic contribution of photosynthesizing biocrusts to the net carbon uptake remain uncertain. This uncertainty is partly attributable to a common neglect of the underlying soil and spatio-temporal variability of soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. Moreover, it is still unknown how those fluxes evolve during the ecological succession of biocrusts, and which factors control them.

Therefore, we aimed to (1) identify those factors and use them for predictions, and (2) explain the variation of annual CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes over the succession. We conducted 2 years of continuous measurements of the topsoil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_s$ ) and microclimatic variables. Statistical spatio-temporal models were developed of  $\chi_s$  dynamics and annual fluxes.

The succession stage, soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ), and temperature ( $T_s$ ) and the interactions of these variables explained and efficiently predicted the  $\chi_s$  dynamics. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were more sensitive to  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  in late successional stages, apparently because of organic carbon accumulation and higher porosity. The calcite content also played a role in explaining the uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> at night. The annual CO<sub>2</sub> influx represented up to 115% of the CO<sub>2</sub> efflux, generating a net annual carbon uptake in some locations.

Our measurements suggest that CO<sub>2</sub> consumption processes were progressively masked by the increase in biological CO<sub>2</sub> production during succession. That is probably why those processes could mainly be detected in early successional stages and more generally in drylands, as they sustain a low biological activity. However, such processes could be ubiquitous in ecosystems if difficult to detect.

Here, we propose that a geochemical process of calcite dissolution might be involved. Nevertheless, the possibility of a (geo)biological origin through dark CO<sub>2</sub> fixation should not be discarded. It is crucial to elucidate whether a biotic and/or abiotic process is acting and to better characterize its sensitivity to interacting environmental drivers, because they have disparate feedback to climate change. Abiotic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake must also be isolated to avoid overestimating biocrust photosynthesis (or underestimating respiration) when interpreting net surface CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and determining the roles of biocrusts in the global carbon budget.

Our observations and modelling results suggest that a future enhancement of soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is a likely outcome of global warming at this site. However, it is urgent to invest more research efforts in understanding, quantifying and assessing the climatic sensitivity of natural ecosystem processes able to mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, such as those involved in the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the extensive drylands soils.

### 3.2.1. Introduction

It is now unequivocal that anthropogenic emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> have substantially magnified the Earth's greenhouse effect and the resulting forcing continues to rise [IPCC, 2013]. This process is triggering a change in climate through global warming that is expected to exacerbate the current disruption of water and carbon cycles as well as biodiversity loss and alteration of ecosystem services [Reid *et al.*, 2005]. It is thus a major challenge to better characterize the carbon cycle in order to improve modeling and forecasts of climate and ecosystems responses. It is also critical to find innovative solutions to mitigate climate change [Esteban *et al.*, 2008; Grubb, 2004]. Terrestrial ecosystems are good candidates to achieve this purpose because of their well-known effect as carbon sinks, offsetting at least one-fourth of fossil fuel emissions [Le Quéré *et al.*, 2018]. However, models of ecosystem-climate carbon feedbacks still suffer from a lack of predictability under such unprecedented environmental conditions [Huntzinger *et al.*, 2017; Luo *et al.*, 2015].

Previously suggested critical gaps (especially regarding the understanding of soil and microbial processes) include nutrient and other controls that couple photosynthetic carbon input to respiratory carbon outputs, and climate feedbacks including the carbon balance [Chapin III *et al.*, 2009]. For example, although it is clear that climatic variations are the major factors controlling the net land carbon flux, it is still debated whether the main regulator is temperature or moisture variability, and it is becoming increasingly evident that their interaction is fundamental but still largely neglected in most studies [Piao *et al.*, 2020; Quan *et al.*, 2019]. In particular, even if a positive feedback between the soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux ( $F_s$ ) and temperature is likely [Hashimoto *et al.*, 2015], global models are often simplified for drylands

with respect to their hydric status and underrepresent those areas. Therefore, future predictions require confirmation of their modelled processes in water-limited ecosystems where the effect of soil temperature ( $T_s$ ) is essentially constrained by soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ), and antecedent moisture conditions play a key role in triggering ephemeral CO<sub>2</sub> pulses that can be difficult to capture by observations and models [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2018; Vargas *et al.*, 2018]. In addition, the issue of the unknown “residual terrestrial carbon sink” (previously termed the “missing carbon sink”) raised in order to explain the unbalanced global carbon budget, has remained unsolved for decades [Houghton *et al.*, 2018].

There is growing evidence that dryland soils could act as substantial but so far disregarded carbon sinks at the global scale. Such soils represent ca. 40 % of the Earth’s land surface, and thus even small CO<sub>2</sub> uptake at a local scale could have large-scale repercussions. Dryland soils can take up atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> through either biotic or abiotic processes. On the one hand, abiotic processes that have been suggested to explain the recent observational convergence of atypical CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by different soils, are still a matter of debate [e.g. Ball *et al.*, 2009; Hamerlynck *et al.*, 2013; Ma *et al.*, 2013]. One possible explanation could arise from the dissolution of soil calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), a geochemical reaction consuming CO<sub>2</sub>. This hypothesis deserves special attention since it is estimated that soils contain ca. 940 Gt inorganic carbon (mainly as carbonates), of which 97% is located in drylands, exceeding the amount of carbon in the atmosphere (ca. 780 GtC) [Bernoux & Chevallier, 2014]. On the other hand, the main known and largely studied biotic process able to take up atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is photosynthesis. In drylands, where plants are typically scarce, microbial communities of biological soil crusts also ensure this crucial ecosystem process.

Biological soil crusts (biocrusts) consist of microscopic (cyanobacteria, algae, fungi and bacteria) and macroscopic (lichens, mosses and microarthropods) poikilohydric organisms that occur on or within the top few centimeters of the soil [Weber *et al.*, 2016]. They are key ecosystem engineers as they sustain many important ecological functions including an ability to fix CO<sub>2</sub>. Other functions consist of improvement of water infiltration and thus runoff reduction, limitation of soil water evaporation, soil stabilization against erosion, plant recruitment and atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> fixation. These communities

are very abundant in drylands where they colonize bare soil interspaces between plants, covering up to 70% of the soil surface. Due to the widespread of drylands, these organisms are believed to play a considerable role in the global carbon budget: the global net carbon uptake of biocrusts has been estimated to range between 0.34 and 3.9 Gt C yr<sup>-1</sup> worldwide [Elbert *et al.*, 2012; Porada *et al.*, 2013], with the upper estimate corresponding to around 7% of net primary production by terrestrial vegetation.

Those estimates remain largely uncertain presumably because (1) many studies have measured the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of biocrusts in controlled conditions after separating them from the underlying soil [Wilske *et al.*, 2008], thus neglecting the soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux which is a crucial component of the net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange; (2) both biocrust photosynthesis and the soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux have an ephemeral pulse response to rain [Bowling *et al.*, 2011] that makes it difficult to obtain representative measurements of soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes; (3) the spatial distribution of biocrust types is highly heterogeneous and hence, has the potential to modulate locally these fluxes. *In situ* long-term continuous measurements of soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange conducted with representative spatial coverage have the potential to overcome these issues; however, such measurements are still lacking in biocrusted ecosystems to confidently assess their carbon sequestration potential [Weber *et al.*, 2016]. In addition, we currently lack sufficient spatiotemporal data on biocrust function, cover, and community structure to confidently assess their ecological roles across the extensive dryland biome [Ferrenberg *et al.*, 2017].

Evaluating how biocrusts colonization of bare soil affects the spatio-temporal variability of  $F_s$  is of particular importance because dryland ecosystems where they develop are characterised by “hot-spots” (i.e. patches) and “hot-moments” (i.e. bursts) of CO<sub>2</sub> emission that can substantially affect their net carbon balance [Leon *et al.*, 2014; Vargas *et al.*, 2018]. Many biophysical and geochemical factors control  $F_s$  and interact over different temporal and spatial scales [Vargas *et al.*, 2011]. In the absence of plants, important drivers of  $F_s$  (through the regulation of soil CO<sub>2</sub> production, consumption and transport processes) include soil porosity [Sánchez-Cañete *et al.*, 2017], microbial community composition [Liu *et al.*, 2018], substrate



availability [Gershenson *et al.*, 2009], soil mineralogy, especially calcite [Gallagher & Breecker, 2020; Roland *et al.*, 2013], soil pH [Ma *et al.*, 2013], and pedoclimate [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2018]. As a matter of fact, biocrust colonization can affect all these factors, thus having potential repercussions for local  $F_s$  dynamics.

It remains unknown, both how soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchanges evolve during the ecological succession of biocrusts, and which are the factors that control it. Observations suggest that biocrusts gradually develop according an ecological succession [Lázaro *et al.*, 2008]. It has been suggested that a successional community framework has strong potential to provide predictive and scaling power regarding biocrust relationships with function, but this type of assessment has not been consistently performed across variable biocrust or ecosystem types [Ferrenberg *et al.*, 2017]. However, since successional stages are associated with typical microclimates and soil develops in parallel with succession over time, it can be challenging to disentangle the respective roles of biology, microclimate and pedogenesis on the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange. Nevertheless, the use of appropriate statistical modelling techniques, besides helping to validate mechanistic models [Reichstein *et al.*, 2003], has the potential to provide insight regarding those effects and offer useful predictions.

In this research, our objective was to identify the factors controlling the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchanges (daily dynamics and inter-annual balance), with a particular focus on assessing the effect of biocrust succession and taking into account spatio-temporal variability to obtain robust predictions. We hypothesized that (1) biocrust succession should gradually increase substrate availability for microorganisms in the underlying soil (through carbon and nitrogen fixation), thus enhancing CO<sub>2</sub> production by microbial respiration in this soil layer; (2) this gradual mitigation of a substrate limitation for microbial activity during succession should increase the sensitivity of respiration to pedoclimatic variables, mainly  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$ ; (3) soil porosity should increase with biocrust succession thus enhancing CO<sub>2</sub> transport by diffusion towards the atmosphere; (4) both enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> production and diffusion should result in increasing soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes with succession; and (5) due to the abundance of soil carbonates in the studied ecosystem, they could affect the

soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange as CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation-dissolution reactions respectively produce-consume CO<sub>2</sub>.

### 3.2.2. Material and methods

#### 3.2.2.1. Experimental Site

This study was conducted in the experimental site of El Cautivo, an area of badlands located in the Tabernas Desert (Almería, Spain) (more information about the study site is provided in Section 2.1).

#### 3.2.2.2. Environmental measurements and soil analyses

Continuous measurements of soil and above-surface variables were conducted during two years (see Section 2.3.3). The soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux ( $F_s$ ) was also measured regularly with portable chambers (see Section 2.3.1).

In the topsoil (0-5cm), physical and chemical properties (porosity, ( $\phi$ ), soil organic carbon content (SOC), total nitrogen (N) content, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), calcium carbonate equivalent content (CCE) and calcite specific surface area (SSA)) were measured within each site described above. The SOC content was measured by a modified wet oxidation method [Mingorance *et al.*, 2007] and determination with a spectrophotometer (Spectronic Helios Alpha 9423 UVA 1002E, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The total N content was determined by the Kjeldahl method, using an automatic distillation unit (UDK 129, Velp Scientifica, Usmate Velate, MB, Italy). The pH and EC were measured using a soil:water ratio of 1:2.5 and 1:5 respectively, with an electrode 50 12 T (Hach Company, Loveland, CO, USA) connected to a pH-meter Sension+ pH3 (Hach Company) and an electrode 5292 (Hach Company) connected to a conductimeter Basic 30 (Crison Instruments, Barcelona, Spain) respectively. The CCE and SSA were quantified by measuring the CO<sub>2</sub> released during an acidic reaction [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2021].

#### 3.2.2.3. Data processing

To reduce the computing time of the subsequent gap-filling process, hourly averages were calculated on data. For the variable of main interest in

this study, i.e.  $\chi_s$ , the average of gaps within each successional stage was 1.72%, ranging from 0.42% (in IC, MC, LI and plants) to 6.4% (in SD). A gap-filling procedure was used to impute missing values (see Section 2.4.1).

The  $\chi_s$  and  $\chi_a$  were corrected for real-time changes in atmospheric pressure and temperature (see Section 2.2.1) and  $F_s$  were calculated based on the gradient method (see. Section 2.3.2).

### 3.2.2.4. Statistical modelling

All analyses were performed with R software v.3.6.3 [*R Core Team*, 2019]. The 10-step statistical protocol of Zuur and Ieno [2016] was used to analyze the data:

**(1) step 1:** formulate appropriate questions. Our model construction aimed to explore specifically assumptions (1), (2) and (5) of this research, that can be summarized with the statistical questions: Does pedoclimate ( $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$ ), SOC and CCE affect cumulative annual  $F_s$ ? Does pedoclimate affect differently the daily dynamics of  $\chi_s$  in each stage of biocrusts succession?

**(2) step 2:** visualize the experimental design (see Section 2.3.3 Fig. 5);

**(3) step 3:** conduct data exploration. Based on this exploration, we identified non-normality, homoscedasticity, spatio-temporal dependency, and collinearity in the data structure. To solve non-normality and homoscedasticity issues, the daily  $\chi_s$  was log-transformed, and the residual variance was modeled in models of cumulative  $F_s$ . We also ensured that the variance inflation factor (VIF) was below 1.5 to avoid collinearity issues.

**(4) step 4:** identify the dependency structure in the data. Violation of independence was expected due to spatial and/or temporal dependence inherent to the experimental design.

**(5, 6) step 5 and 6:** present and fit the model. Initial models were built in a Bayesian framework using integrated nested Laplace approximations (INLA) with the *inla* R package which allows modelling of spatio-temporal dependencies [*Rue et al.*, 2009; *Zuur et al.*, 2017]. Those models included variables and interactions to test our research hypotheses. They were compared with more complex models: (i) a mixed model treating the measurement location as a random intercept; and (ii) a spatial intercept model in which the spatial dependence relies on GPS coordinates of measurements

locations. The latter model was based on a dense covariance matrix Matérn model, a Gaussian field with a Matérn correlation function, which assumes that observations that are closer in space are more similar. The best model was always retained as the one that minimized the deviance information criterion (DIC, a Bayesian measure analogous to the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)). If the random intercept model was better, it was fitted in a frequentist framework with the *nlme* package [Pinheiro & Bates, 2000] using AIC to compare models when possible.

To build a model of  $\chi_s$  dynamics over time and over the succession, daily averages were computed from the data. The dataset was split into a training set (first year) for calibration and a testing set (second year) for prediction. The initial model contained the variables  $\theta_w$ ,  $T_s$ , succession stage, and up to three-way interactions in order to allow the effect of  $\theta_w$ ,  $T_s$  and their interaction to vary for each successional stage. The serial correlation was modeled with a first-order autoregressive (AR1) process. We also tried to add a random slope of either  $\theta_w$  or  $T_s$ . Then, we tried to remove fixed terms one by one, starting from the higher order interaction.

The following spatio-temporal model was retained to explain the log-transformed  $\chi_s$  at location  $i$  and time  $t$  ( $Y_{it}$ ):

$$Y_{it} = X_{it}\beta + Z_{it}v + w_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

$$w_{it} = \phi w_{it-1} + u_{it} \quad (2)$$

Briefly, the equations define a hierarchical model characterized by a Gaussian field  $Y_{it}$  built from a design matrix of the fixed explanatory variables  $X_{it}$  (with all the original terms having been conserved), a vector  $\beta$  of regression coefficients associated with the fixed effects, a design matrix  $Z_{it}$  of the random explanatory variable (random slope of  $\theta_w$ ), a vector  $v$  of regression parameters associated with the random effect, the residual  $\varepsilon$  and a first order autoregressive dynamic model for the latent process  $w$ , with an autoregressive parameter  $\phi$  ( $|\phi| < 1$ ) and spatially correlated innovations  $u_{it}$ . More details about this kind of spatio-temporal model can be found in [Cameletti et al., 2013].

Explanatory models of the following annual cumulative  $F_s$  were also fitted: the efflux, the influx and the total flux. They refer to, respectively: the positive flux

(CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from soil to atmosphere), the negative flux (CO<sub>2</sub> uptake from atmosphere to soil) and the sum of both fluxes (positive and negative), calculated from hourly data for both years. Since this subset had 42 observations and a general rule of thumb in statistics is to have a minimum of 10 observations per model term, four terms were included in the model. After centering and scaling variables to zero mean and unit variance, we tested for the effect of annual average  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  including their interaction, as well as the effect of calcium carbonate equivalent content (CCE). We also tried to substitute  $T_s$  by SOC since those variables were collinear. Residual variance was modelled as proportional to  $\theta_w$  in the influx model and proportional to fitted values in the efflux model. Non-significant/important fixed terms were removed based on the  $t$ -statistic.

Mixed models retained to model cumulative annual fluxes have the general form:

$$Y = X\beta + zu + \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

Where  $Y$  is the response variable (either the annual efflux, influx or total  $F_s$ ),  $X\beta$  is the model's fixed part with  $X$  being the design matrix of the fixed explanatory variables and  $\beta$  a vector of regression coefficients associated with the fixed effects,  $Zu$  is the model's random part with  $Z$  being the design matrix of the random explanatory variables and  $u$  a vector of regression parameters associated with the random effects, and  $\varepsilon$  is the residual.

**(7) step 7:** validate the model. In every model, the validity of underlying assumptions and the absence of non-linear patterns in residuals was inspected visually;

**(8, 9) step 8 and 9:** interpret and present the numerical output and create a visualization of the model (see Results Section);

**(10) step 10:** simulate or perform cross-validation from the model. The predictive accuracy of the model of  $\chi_s$  dynamics was tested by cross-validation over the second year. We also performed predictions from simulated  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  data. Simulated values for  $\theta_w$  ranged from the minimum to the maximum observed  $\theta_w$  over the two years and predictions were performed at three levels of  $T_s$  corresponding to (1) low (mean – standard deviation); (2) medium (mean); (3) high (mean + standard deviation), and vice-versa for predictions from simulated  $T_s$  at low, medium and high  $\theta_w$ .


### 3.2.3. Results

#### 3.2.3.1. Spatio-temporal trends

Daily time series of soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fractions ( $\chi_s$ ) and fluxes ( $F_s$ ) were highly variable in time and space (Fig. 1A-B, Table 1). Their variations mainly followed those in soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) (Fig. 1C). Differences in  $\chi_s$  and  $F_s$  between stages of succession were mainly marked in non-limiting soil moisture conditions (i.e. after rainfalls), especially when antecedent  $\theta_w$  was low (Fig. 1C) and soil temperature ( $T_s$ ) was high (Fig. 1D), typically after extended summer drought. In those moments, the rewetting of hot dry soil produced CO<sub>2</sub> pulses (short periods with high CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from soil to atmosphere). Two of these pulses were recorded in this study and occurred in concomitance with two extreme precipitation events (36 mm at an average intensity of 30 mm hr<sup>-1</sup> and 86 mm at an average intensity of 12 mm hr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). In both cases, during those precipitation events, the magnitude of the CO<sub>2</sub> pulses tended to increase over the succession:  $\chi_s$  rose from 13% in the PD site to up to 487% in plants, compared to its initial value before rain (Table 1). In addition, the CO<sub>2</sub> bursts were always greater after the largest rain event, regardless of the stage of succession.

#### 3.2.3.2. Short-term spatio-temporal dynamics


During most of the year, the diel variation of  $\chi_s$ , reflected by its diel standard deviation, was greater in the early stages of succession than in late stages (i.e. before and after lichen dominance of biocrusts) (Fig. 2A). That seems mainly due to a greater diel variation of  $T_s$  in early stages than in late stages of succession (Fig. 2B). The main exceptions to this pattern occurred during the first CO<sub>2</sub> pulse after the summer drought.



**Fig. 1** Daily time series of (A) estimated soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_s$ ), (B) soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_s$ ), (C) soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) and precipitation (black); (D) soil temperature ( $T_s$ ). Shaded areas delimit moments of CO<sub>2</sub> pulses analyzed in Table 1. PD, physical depositional crust; IC, incipient cyanobacterial crust; MC, mature cyanobacterial crust; SD (line), lichen community characterized by *S. lentigera* and *D. diacapsis*; LI, lichen community characterized by *L. isidiata*; MC2, mature cyanobacterial patches within SD site.

Inverted patterns of  $\chi_s$  dynamics were sometimes observed, with the soil having a lower CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction than the atmosphere, usually at night. This potentially induced negative  $F_s$ , i.e. atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil, and was particularly detectable in early-successional stages, especially in *Cyanobacteria* sites (Fig. 3). Overnight,  $\chi_s$  progressively decreased following

closely the  $T_s$  pattern until reaching a minimum that coincided with the  $T_s$  minimum at early morning (data not shown). Those negative  $F_s$  were confirmed by nocturnal chamber measurements that were performed on 2019-03-15, during  $T_s$  minima. Among 15 locations distributed over the biocrust succession, we measured with the chambers negative  $F_s$  at 11 locations. All stages of biocrust succession exhibited influxes except the last one (*L. isidiata* mixed with plants) and the influx of greater magnitude occurred in the first stage of succession (physical depositional crust). Measured influxes were on average  $-0.12 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , ranging from  $-0.07$  to  $-0.23 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Even at 45 cm depth, the  $\chi_s$  dropped below atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  values, but only during periods of  $T_s$  minima in winter (data not shown).




**Fig. 2** Diel standard deviations across time of (A) soil  $\text{CO}_2$  molar fraction ( $\chi_s$ ); (B) soil temperature ( $T_s$ ), for early and late successional stages (solid and dashed lines, respectively). Plants were not included in late successional stages for this representation to focus on crusts, but similar patterns were observed.



**Table 1** Spatio-temporal variation of soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction

Successional stage	Spatial variation			Temporal variation pulse 1			Temporal variation pulse 2		
	Mean ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	SD ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	CV (%)	Mean initial value ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	Increase ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	Increase (%)	Mean initial value ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	Increase ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )	Increase (%)
PD	504	59	12	499	66	13	484	184	38
IC	467	39	8	436	72	16	441	213	48
MC	424	19	4	412	79	19	409	175	43
MC2 (Ads)	427	45	11	406	106	26	409	230	56
SD	468	30	6	393	176	45	403	381	95
LI	542	73	13	455	429	94	443	1000	226
Plants	551	197	36	444	1735	391	435	2120	487
Inter-crust	483	103	21	-	-	-	-	-	-

PD, physical depositional crust; IC, incipient cyanobacterial crust; MC, mature cyanobacterial crust; SD (line), lichen community characterized by *S. lentigera* and *D. diacapsis*; LI, lichen community characterized by *L. isidiata*; MC2, mature cyanobacterial patches within SD site. SD (column), standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation. The two CO<sub>2</sub> pulses started on 2018-09-15 and 2019-09-12.




**Fig. 3** Hourly time series of CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_c$ ) in soil and atmosphere (black and blue lines, respectively). Soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction is the mean of replicated time series within all *Cyanobacteria* sites.

### 3.2.3.3. Carbon balances over ecological succession

The evolution over two years of the total cumulative, negative and positive  $F_s$  is represented for each successional stage in Fig. 4. The effect of precipitation events greater than 15 mm on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was barely notable in early successional stages but tended to accentuate in late stages, as reflected by the broken-stick aspect of the curves with increasingly sharp slopes after most such events.

On average, the magnitude of the cumulative negative  $F_s$  tended to increase in the early stages of succession (-20, -50 and -65 gC m<sup>-2</sup> in PD, IC and MC, respectively) and decrease in later stages (-55, -15, -4 and -7 gC m<sup>-2</sup> in MC2, SD, LI and plants, respectively). Negative  $F_s$  in lichens and plants sites occurred essentially during summer drought whereas in early succession stages, they occurred all over the year. The cumulative positive  $F_s$  tended to decrease in the early stages of the succession (190, 164 and 101 gC m<sup>-2</sup> in PD, IC and MC sites, respectively) before increasing in later successional stages (101, 154, 291 and 307 gC m<sup>-2</sup> in MC2, SD, LI and plants sites, respectively). The resultant cumulative total  $F_s$  followed the same trend as the positive  $F_s$  of initial decrease (170, 114 and 36 gC m<sup>-2</sup> in PD, IC and MC sites, respectively) and later increase (46, 139, 288 and 300 gC m<sup>-2</sup> in MC2, SD, LI and plants sites, respectively).



**Fig. 4** Cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes over two years for each succession stage. The shaded area delimits  $\pm$  the spatial standard deviation of the total CO<sub>2</sub> flux (i.e. the resultant of positive and negative fluxes). Dashed lines mark precipitation events greater than 15 mm. PD, physical depositional crust; IC, incipient cyanobacterial crust; MC, mature cyanobacterial crust; SD (line), lichen community characterized by *S. lentigera* and *D. diacapsis*; LI, lichen community characterized by *L. isidiata*; MC2, mature cyanobacterial patches within SD site.

The mean annual  $\theta_w$  also decreased in early successional stages (0.096, 0.087, 0.040 m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> in PD, IC and MC sites, respectively) and increased in later stages (0.053, 0.071, 0.082, 0.097 m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> in MC2, SD, LI and Plants sites, respectively). The mean annual  $T_s$  was overall higher in early stages (21.3, 21.3 and 21.2 °C in PD, IC and MC sites) than in later stages (18.6, 18.7, 18.2 and 18.6 °C in MC2, SD, LI and plants sites).

The ratio of cumulative negative  $F_s$  to positive  $F_s$  increased in the early stages of succession (0.11, 0.30, 0.64 in PD, IC and MC sites, respectively) and decreased in later stages (0.54, 0.10, 0.01 and 0.02 in MC2, SD, LI and Plants sites, respectively). During the second year, the cumulative negative  $F_s$  reached locally greater values than the positive  $F_s$  (by 108% and 115% in two locations situated in the MC and MC2 sites, respectively), generating a net annual carbon uptake by the soil (-3 and -7 gC m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively).

#### 3.2.3.4. Soil properties over ecological succession

Some soil properties exhibited clear trends of increase over ecological succession (Table 2): (1) the soil porosity (0.47, 0.48, 0.51, 0.55, 0.53, 0.54, 0.58 m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> in the PD, IC, MC, MC2, SD, LI and plants sites, respectively); (2) the soil organic carbon (SOC) content (5.4, 4.9, 7.5, 8.1, 9.0, 12.4 and 16.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in the PD, IC, MC, MC2, SD, LI and plants sites, respectively), and (3) the total soil nitrogen (N) content (0.7, 0.7, 0.8, 1.2, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.4 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in the PD, IC, MC, MC2, SD, LI and plants sites, respectively). The correlation between pairs of these variables was high (Pearson's coefficient of correlation  $r > 0.84$ ).

**Table 2** Soil properties

Succession	Local SOC		Local CCE		Local SSA		SOC		N		pH		EC		$\phi$	
	Mean (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	SD (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean (g g <sup>-1</sup> )	SD (g g <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	SD (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	SD (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	SD (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean -	SD -	Mean (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	SD (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean -	SD -
PD	5.6	0.3	0.19	0.01	0.088	0.005	5.4	1.8	0.7	0.1	7.91	0.06	2.2	0.1	0.47	0.05
IC	7.6	0.6	0.30	0.02	0.085	0.008	4.9	2.3	0.7	0.1	8.05	0.41	1.3	1.0	0.48	0.04
MC	6.4	0.1	0.25	0.01	0.087	0.010	7.5	3.5	0.8	0.2	8.37	0.44	0.4	0.2	0.51	0.02
MC2	11.2	0.2	0.31	0.03	0.092	0.003	8.1	1.4	1.2	0.3	8.53	0.26	0.3	0.1	0.55	0.04
SD	8.2	2.7	0.28	0.04	0.090	0.005	9.0	1.9	1.3	0.4	8.05	0.45	0.7	0.6	0.53	0.05
LI	9.7	1.8	0.27	0.02	0.098	0.005	12.4	4.7	1.5	0.3	8.09	0.33	0.6	0.2	0.54	0.05
Plants	12.6	3.7	0.22	0.03	0.085	0.005	16.2	4.9	1.4	0.4	8.22	0.23	0.6	0.62	0.58	0.05


PD, physical depositional crust; IC, incipient cyanobacterial crust; MC, mature cyanobacterial crust; SD, lichen community characterized by *S. lentigera* and *D. diacapsis*; LI, lichen community characterized by *L. isidiata*; MC2, mature cyanobacterial patches within SD site. SOC, soil organic carbon content; CCE, calcium carbonate equivalent content; SSA, specific surface area of calcite; N, nitrogen content; EC, electrical conductivity;  $\phi$ , porosity. Local variables were measured in the proximity of soil sensors. Otherwise, variables were measured at random locations within succession stages.

### 3.2.3.5. Modeling the dynamics of soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fractions


The cross-validation of the model of  $\chi_s$  dynamics using predictions over the second year of data is presented in Fig. 5. The root mean square errors (RMSEs) of the predictions were in average ( $\pm$  standard deviation)  $64 \pm 39$ ,  $79 \pm 1$ ,  $27 \pm 6$ ,  $44 \pm 22$ ,  $53 \pm 11$ ,  $92 \pm 16$  and  $111 \pm 73$  ppm for PD, IC, MC, MC2, SD, LI and plants, respectively. A model summary with the  $\beta$  coefficients of regression is provided in Table S1). The three-way interaction between  $\theta_w$ ,  $T_s$  and crust type was of particular importance, indicating that the interaction between  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  differed between crust types. This relationship could be well visualized by plotting the predicted response of the model from the simulated values of  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  (Fig. 6). Globally, the effect of  $\theta_w$  was positive and tended to be magnified by  $T_s$ , as reflected by the increase in the steepness of the slopes from low  $T_s$  to high  $T_s$ . However, the effect of  $\theta_w$  was greater and more sensitive to  $T_s$  in late successional stages (particularly for LI and plants, and more markedly for *M. tenacissima*).

### 3.2.3.6. Modeling annual carbon balances


The summary of annual models fixed effects is presented in Table 3 and models fits in Fig. 7. The variables  $\theta_w$  (annual average), SOC and their interaction explained 64% of the variation in the annual efflux, the rest being explained by the random part. The interaction between  $\theta_w$  and SOC can be visualized in Fig. 8: the positive effect of SOC was magnified by  $\theta_w$  and vice versa. The variables  $T_s$  (annual average),  $\theta_w$  and CCE explained 60% of the variation in the annual influx, and the variables SOC and  $\theta_w$  explained 53% of the variation in the annual total flux.



**Fig. 5** Prediction of soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_s$ ) across time for each succession stage. To simplify representation, data and predictions from spatial replicates were averaged within each succession stage. The blue line is the mean prediction. The grey band is the 95% credible interval. Black points represent the observed data. PD, physical depositional crust; IC, incipient cyanobacterial crust; MC, mature cyanobacterial crust; SD, lichen community characterized by *S. lentigera* and *D. diacapsis*; LI, lichen community characterized by *L. isidiata*; MC2, mature cyanobacterial patches within SD site.



**Fig. 6** Predictions of the model of soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_s$ , log-transformed) from simulated values of  $\theta_w$  at low soil temperature (blue), medium soil temperature (green) and high soil temperature (red). Lines are the mean predicted values and bands are the 95% credible intervals. Black points are the observed data. Simulated values of  $\theta_w$  ranged from the minimum to the maximum values observed during the study period and temperature levels correspond to the observed mean – standard deviation, mean and mean + standard deviation, respectively for the low, medium and high levels. Among plants, only *M. tenacissima* is represented to optimize space. PD, physical depositional crust; IC, incipient cyanobacterial crust; MC, mature cyanobacterial crust; SD, lichen community characterized by *S. lentigera* and *D. diacapsis*; LI, lichen community characterized by *L. isidiata*; MC2, mature cyanobacterial patches within SD site.




**Fig. 7** Fits of models of cumulative annual (A) CO<sub>2</sub> flux, (B) CO<sub>2</sub> influx, (C) CO<sub>2</sub> efflux. The fit is the red line and the 1:1 line is the blue line



**Table 3** Summary of annual models

Response variable	Explanatory variable	$\beta$	SE	<i>t</i> -statistic	<i>p</i> -value
Positive $F_s$	(Intercept)	94.9	6.6	14.4	<0.001
	$\theta_w$	26.8	5.1	5.2	<0.001
	SOC	23.6	7.4	3.2	<0.01
	$\theta_w$ :SOC	14.8	5.6	2.6	<0.05
Negative $F_s$	(Intercept)	-14.2	1.9	-7.5	<0.001
	$T_s$	-8.5	1.8	-4.8	<0.001
	$\theta_w$	5.3	1.5	3.6	<0.01
	CCE	-5.0	2.0	-2.5	<0.05
Total $F_s$	(Intercept)	84.1	9.7	8.7	<0.001
	SOC	35.2	9.8	3.6	<0.01
	$\theta_w$	31.5	6.4	4.9	<0.001

$\beta$ , vector of regression coefficients; SE, standard error.  $F_s$ , soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux;  $\theta_w$ , mean annual soil water content; SOC, soil organic carbon content;  $T_s$ , mean annual soil temperature; CCE, calcium carbonate equivalent content.



**Fig. 8** Conditional plots for the interaction between soil organic carbon (SOC) and soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) in the model of cumulative annual CO<sub>2</sub> efflux. (A) Effect of SOC at three  $\theta_w$  levels; (B) Effect of  $\theta_w$  at three SOC levels. Predictors are standardized to zero-mean and unit-variance. Lines are estimated marginal means and bands are the 95% confidence intervals.

### 3.2.4. Discussion

In this study we modelled and characterized the spatio-temporal variation of the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in the Tabernas Desert, for different soil crust types related with biocrust succession. Our models of the daily dynamics of the soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_s$ ) and of the cumulative annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_s$ ) predicted and fitted well the data (Figs. 5-8). They revealed that those variables depended on biophysical and geochemical factors that acted in opposition (Table S1, Table 3). The factors affecting  $\chi_s$  and  $F_s$  identified in this study were: the soil biota (biocrust succession), the soil organic matter (SOM; mainly the soil organic carbon content, SOC), the pedoclimate (soil water content,  $\theta_w$  and soil temperature,  $T_s$ ) and the pedostructure (soil porosity,  $\phi$ ). A geochemical factor, the calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE) content was also identified here as a potential factor explaining the occurrence of nocturnal net soil CO<sub>2</sub> influxes (i.e. soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake) in this ecosystem. In order to highlight possible relationships between abiotic and biotic factors, we discuss hereafter the effect of the identified quantitative variables and interactions, emphasizing the role of biocrust succession. We also discuss the relevance of those results in the context of climate change.

#### 3.2.4.1. The enhancing effect of soil organic matter

The SOC content had a positive effect on the cumulative annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and total soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux (Table 3). The release of CO<sub>2</sub> through microbial respiration is the result of SOM decomposition by microorganisms. During this process, SOM is broken down by heterotrophs and a fraction enters the SOC pool, stored either as microbial biomass or as recalcitrant carbon compounds. Therefore, a positive correlation between the SOC content and the soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux was anticipated. Since the measured positive  $F_s$  was generally the main component of the total resultant  $F_s$  (Fig. 4), this positive correlation also partly explained the variation in the annual total  $F_s$ .

In drylands, where SOC content is generally low due to the scarcity of plants, biocrusts contribute substantially to the SOC pool within plant interspaces [Belnap, 2003a]. Here we found that the SOC content tended to increase over the succession (Table 2). That seems to partly explain why the

cumulative annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux tended to increase in late successional stages (Fig. 4), as significant amounts of SOC come from better-developed biocrusts [Weber *et al.*, 2016]. Biocrusts may have contributed in different ways to SOC accumulation: (1) through carbon fixation by photosynthesis; (2) through biocrusts turnover via mortality and decomposition; (3) through wind- and water-borne trapping of allochthonous organic matter due to increased surface roughness and sticky polysaccharide sheaths [Belnap, 2003b; Belnap *et al.*, 2003]; (4) through secretion of photosynthates to the underlying soil, stimulating microbial activity *via* a “priming effect”, a process well described in plants [Guenet *et al.*, 2018] that explains together with roots respiration, the disproportionally high CO<sub>2</sub> emissions under plants at our site. In *Cyanobacteria*, these secretions can represent up to 50 % of total fixed carbon [Belnap & Lange, 2003]. A small fraction of those carbohydrates is leached downwards whereas a substantial part can be assimilated by the underlying microbial community [Beymer & Klopatek, 1991], benefiting heterotrophic microbes which are often carbon-limited [Belnap *et al.*, 2003]. For example, Burow *et al.* [2013] reconstructed a metabolic pathway occurring in cyanobacterial mats, in which photosynthates from *Cyanobacteria* are fermented to organic acids and subsequently used under anoxic conditions at night by *Chloroflexi*, a facultative autotroph. In drylands, microbes are strongly carbon-limited and thus particularly responsive to fresh carbon inputs [Bastida *et al.*, 2019]. Such processes are able to translocate organic carbon from biocrusts to the underlying soil microbial biomass, stimulating its development. For example, Maier *et al.* [2018] reported an increase in abundance and diversity of the heterotrophic community associated with biocrust succession.

The total N content also increased over the biocrust succession. Other studies also reported a simultaneous increase in SOC and N contents associated with biocrust development, either at this experimental site [Chamizo *et al.*, 2012] or in other drylands [Maier *et al.*, 2018; Moreira-Grez *et al.*, 2019]. Since some degree of collinearity was observed in our study between SOC and N contents, the N content could also be involved in explaining the occurrence of greater positive  $F_s$  in late successional stages, through (1) organic N accumulation in SOM over the succession and potentially more N mineralization during SOM decomposition; or (2) biological

fixation of atmospheric  $N_2$ . Diazotrophy is a ubiquitous process in biocrusts that likely constitutes a dominant source of N in drylands [Evans & Ehleringer, 1993]. Diazotrophy is performed by nitrogenase enzymes, whose activity has been shown to be enhanced in late successional stages [Housman *et al.*, 2006], and as a matter of fact, adequate N supply increases the SOM decomposition rate [Luo & Zhou, 2006].

### 3.2.4.2. The enhancing effect of pedoclimate

All selected models highlighted  $\theta_w$  as an important predictor of the daily dynamics of  $\chi_s$  (and by extension  $F_s$ ) and of the cumulative annual  $F_s$ . The daily dynamics of  $\chi_s$  and  $F_s$  was mainly affected by the variations in  $\theta_w$  following precipitation events (Fig. 1A-C) and annual positive and total  $F_s$  followed the same trend as mean annual  $\theta_w$  over the succession. That is because  $\theta_w$  is the main factor limiting soil respiration in drylands. However, we found extensive evidence that the magnitude of the response of  $\chi_s$  to  $\theta_w$  was dependent on:

**(1) The precipitation timing**, which was related to  $T_s$  and antecedent soil moisture conditions. For example, for a similar precipitation amount (ca. 35 mm on day 2018-09-15 and 30 mm on day 2019-12-03), the increase in  $\chi_s$  was moderate on the later day whereas it was disproportionately high on the former day, producing in the latter case a large  $CO_2$  pulse also known as a “hot-moment” [Leon *et al.*, 2014]. The precipitation event on day 2019-12-03 occurred in winter during a period of  $T_s$  minima and in a previously wetted soil while the precipitation event on day 2018-09-15 occurred at the end of summer during a period of  $T_s$  maxima and a previously dried soil. Such  $CO_2$  pulses after drought are characteristic of water-limited ecosystems; in Mediterranean climates, they occur after summer drought, at the onset of the rainy season [Vargas *et al.*, 2018]. During those moments, the lifting of water limitation allows biological reactions to be catalyzed by  $T_s$  and the rewetting of dry soil enhances microbial  $CO_2$  release via the Birch effect [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2018]. The effect of the interaction between  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  on  $\chi_s$  was well described by our model. According to our model representation (Fig. 6), the response of  $\chi_s$  was always magnified when increasing simultaneously  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$ , regardless of the successional stage.

**(2) Biocrusts succession**, which was potentially related to the SOC and N content. Continuous measurements over 2 years captured two sporadic “hot-moments” of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions occurring at the end of summer, during which differences in  $\chi_s$  between successional stages were revealed. In both cases, the magnitude of the CO<sub>2</sub> pulses tended to increase over the succession, revealing increased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in late succession stages, namely “hot-spots” [Leon *et al.*, 2014]. For example, during the first CO<sub>2</sub> pulse,  $\chi_s$  increased on average by 66, 72, 79, 106, 176, 429 and 1735 ppm for PD, IC, MC, MC2, SD, LI and plants, respectively, compared to initial values before rain (Table 1). This gradual increase is likely related to the observed increase in SOC and N content over the succession because some of the previously mentioned processes potentially involved in their accumulation can be enhanced in optimal  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  conditions: (i) the autotrophic metabolism and subsequent “priming effect” beneath the crust or in plants rhizosphere [Blagodatskaya & Kuzyakov, 2011]; and (ii) decomposition/mineralization of organic matter by heterotrophs [Quemada & Cabrera, 1997]. In particular, biocrust photosynthesis and release of carbohydrates occurs within minutes to a few days after rewetting [Belnap *et al.*, 2003]. This short-time response to rain is compelling to explain the ephemeral differences in  $\chi_s$  increments measured in this study. In addition, the presence of a significant interaction between  $\theta_w$  and SOC in the annual model of positive  $F_s$  confirms the existence of a tight relationship between those variables. The effect of the biocrust succession on the sensitivity of  $\chi_s$  to  $\theta_w$  (and indirectly to  $T_s$ ) was also detectable in our model representation (Fig. 6) but to a lesser extent, as the increase in sensitivity was only clearly marked in late successional stages. This enhanced sensitivity of  $\chi_s$  to  $\theta_w$  in late successional stages was confirmed by Fig. 4, as the effect of substantial precipitation events (> 15 mm) on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was barely notable in early successional stages but tended to accentuate in later stages. During the modelling process, it was observed that removing the biocrust term from the model considerably degraded the fit and predictions, thus confirming that a successional community framework has strong potential to provide predictive and scaling power regarding biocrust relationships with function [Ferrenberg *et al.*, 2017].

**(3) The precipitation amount.** Even though the two detected “hot-moments” occurred at the same time of the year (in September) at similar  $T_s$

values, they differed in terms of precipitation amount (ca. 35 mm versus 85 mm). The heavier precipitation event induced a greater  $\chi_s$  increment in all successional stages, probably because  $\theta_w$  rose to a higher level (Fig. 1C). However, the response of soil respiration to the lighter event could also have been mitigated by the occurrence of a small precipitation event few days before. During this initial event,  $\chi_s$  reached higher values under *L. isidiata* than under surrounding plants, but this trend was reversed after the following rainfall (Fig. 1B-C), in agreement with other studies stating that plant metabolism is delayed and requires heavier or repeated rainfalls for the water to reach deeper roots [Sponseller, 2007].

#### 3.2.4.3. The enhancing effect of pedostructure

We found that soil porosity ( $\phi$ ) gradually increased over biocrusts succession and that this variable was highly correlated to the SOC content. In agreement with this result, organic matter is known to contribute to the formation of soil aggregates. For example, Rogers and Burns, [1994] reported a positive correlation between soil aggregate stability and soil carbohydrates induced by the inoculation of soil with biocrusts. A well aggregated, granular structure increases the proportion of larger pores, thus increasing the infiltration rate of water [Warren, 2001]. Other studies also have reported an increase in  $\phi$  during biocrust development and succession [Lan et al., 2012; Miralles-Mellado et al., 2011]. In particular, Lan et al. [2012] observed a simultaneous increase in crust photosynthetic biomass, exopolysaccharides and fine soil particle content, and thus attributed the changes in  $\phi$  over the succession to those parameters.

Apart from providing organic carbon inputs to the soil (in the form of porous organic bodies, presumably extracellular polymeric substances), biocrusts are also able to delineate additional pores on surface with their penetrating filaments [Malam Issa et al., 1999]. The increase in  $\phi$  that we measured over succession provided better soil aeration, which can favor oxidative decomposition of SOM [Luo & Zhou, 2006]. Therefore, more porosity has the potential to enhance biological CO<sub>2</sub> production. It also has the potential to enhance the transport of CO<sub>2</sub> towards atmosphere by diffusion as

$\phi$  is a key parameter of CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion models in soils [Sánchez-Cañete *et al.*, 2017].

Consequently, as initially assumed, both enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> production and diffusion can partly explain together the greater CO<sub>2</sub> emissions as well as greater annual positive and total  $F_s$  in late successional stages. Further research should investigate through continuous measurements if those greater emissions could be offset by a parallel increase in photosynthesis in late successional stages, as already suggested by *ex-situ* experiments [e.g. Grote *et al.*, 2010]. That would explain why SOC accumulates in late succession stages in spite of greater CO<sub>2</sub> losses.

#### 3.2.4.4. The mitigating effect of geo(bio)chemistry

Atypical patterns of  $\chi_s$  reaching values below atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> were measured at night, particularly in early stages of biocrust succession and most importantly in *Cyanobacteria* sites (Fig. 3). In theory, based on the First Fick's law of molecular diffusion, the inversion of the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> gradient due to low nocturnal  $\chi_s$  values should generate negative  $F_s$  (uptake of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> by the soil). Negative  $F_s$  have been increasingly reported recently from drylands soils, which are now regarded as potential carbon sinks [e.g. Ball *et al.*, 2009; Hamerlynck *et al.*, 2013; Ma *et al.*, 2013]. The occurrence of such negative  $F_s$  was confirmed by chamber measurements at our site, where they exhibited values (on average  $-0.12 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , ranging from  $-0.07$  to  $-0.23 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) similar to those reported by Fa *et al.* [2016] ( $-0.11$  and  $-0.20 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ), Ball *et al.* [2009] (ca.  $0.1$ - $0.15 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and Parsons *et al.* [2004] ( $-0.1 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ). The nocturnal influxes that we measured were relatively small in magnitude but as they occurred almost continuously throughout the study, they were able to offset annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at some locations, generating an annual net carbon uptake, independently of photosynthesis. Sporadic influxes of greater magnitude were estimated from continuous  $\chi_s$  measurements (up to  $-0.75 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) but still have to be confirmed by direct  $F_s$  measurements with chambers.

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> influxes have generally been attributed to different abiotic processes which are still debated and probably not unique. In this ecosystem, in light of our observations, we assume that a geochemical process of CO<sub>2</sub>

dissolution in soil water followed by  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution might be involved. Soils can store an order of magnitude greater  $\text{CO}_2$  as dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in the aqueous-filled relative to gas-filled pore space [Angert *et al.*, 2015]. In particular, soils with  $\text{pH} > 6.5$  (like at this site, Table 2) can retain substantial amounts of  $\text{CO}_2$  in the solution phase [Sparling & West, 1990] and a soil solution charged with  $\text{CO}_2$  can enhance carbonates dissolution, thus consuming  $\text{CO}_2$ . Dissolution reaction time for soil calcite in solution is fast, generally on the order of 0.5 to 3 days [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996] and diel patterns have already been described e.g. [Roland *et al.*, 2013].

Here we present some evidence indicating that such a geochemical origin is likely:

(1) Our model of the annual cumulative negative  $F_s$  identified the CCE as a significant explanatory variable. The negative effect of CCE is in accordance with the following reaction of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution removing  $\text{CO}_2$  from the soil:



(2) At night,  $\chi_s$  covaried with  $T_s$  closely following its pattern of decrease, and both minima coincided. This observation is in agreement with the well-known enhancement of gas dissolution in water (Henry's law) and carbonate solubility at lower temperature.

(3) Our model of the annual cumulative negative  $F_s$  showed that fluxes were more negative where the mean annual  $T_s$  was higher (i.e. negative correlation, Table 3). This is congruent with our observations of greater negative  $F_s$  in early successional stages that receive more solar radiation and therefore have a greater mean annual  $T_s$ . Early successional stages underwent a larger diel amplitude of  $T_s$  (Fig. 2B). Larger diel thermal variations are likely to trigger diel cycles of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution and precipitation of greater magnitude that respectively consume and produce  $\text{CO}_2$  (according to the reverse reaction as eq. 4);

(4) Even at 45 cm in depth,  $\chi_s$  became lower than atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  values, but only during periods of  $T_s$  minima in winter, i.e. exactly when  $\text{CaCO}_3$  solubility was expected to be maximal due to its enhancement by cold and moisture. Altogether, those observations suggest that negative  $F_s$  might be driven by an abiotic process of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution in this ecosystem. Further



research should focus on the geochemical characterization and modeling of carbonate reactions from soil water samples in order to validate this assumption.

In addition, recent studies pointed out the existence of dark CO<sub>2</sub> fixation mechanisms by chemotrophs [Akinyede *et al.*, 2020; Spohn *et al.*, 2020; Yang *et al.*, 2017], even in deep soil horizons [Šantrůčková *et al.*, 2018] and thus a potential biotic origin should not be discounted. Biological and geochemical processes could also be tightly linked, as we measured maximal negative  $F_s$  below *Cyanobacteria*. Those organisms have been reported to be able to decrease the local extracellular ion activity product of CaCO<sub>3</sub> by taking up Ca<sup>2+</sup>, promoting the dissolution of the mineral, the weathering capacity of *Cyanobacteria* being optimal in the presence of a short daily dark phase [García-Pichel *et al.*, 2010].

The negative  $F_s$  of lower magnitude in late successional stages and their observation only in summer (when soil respiration was dramatically reduced) suggest that CO<sub>2</sub> consumption processes were masked by biological CO<sub>2</sub> production there. That is probably why those processes could mainly be detected in early successional stages and more generally in drylands, as they sustain only low biological activity. However, such processes could be ubiquitous in ecosystems but difficult to detect from  $F_s$  measurements alone [Sánchez-Cañete *et al.*, 2018].

#### 3.2.4.5. Implications for climate-carbon cycle feedbacks

According to our predictive model,  $\theta_w$  was the main driver of the  $\chi_s$  dynamics and overall, its effect tended to be enhanced by  $T_s$  (Fig. 6). This result provides relevant information to the ongoing debate on whether the main regulator of the net land carbon flux is temperature or moisture variability, supporting recent studies stating that it is becoming increasingly evident that their interaction is fundamental but still largely neglected in most [Piao *et al.*, 2020; Quan *et al.*, 2019]. This is particularly true in drylands where the effect of  $T_s$  is strongly constrained by  $\theta_w$  and antecedent moisture conditions play a key role in triggering ephemeral soil CO<sub>2</sub> pulses. This result also confirms that even if a positive feedback between the  $F_s$  and temperature is likely [Hashimoto *et al.*, 2015], global models still underrepresent drylands and are

often simplified in those areas with respect to their hydric status; therefore, future predictions require confirmation of their modelled processes in water-limited ecosystems.

One of the main difficulties in establishing predictions of the future feedback between  $F_s$  and climate change in drylands comes from the fact that climate change can have disparate effects: (1) from the one hand, soil drying is expected to reduce  $\theta_w$  by 25% in a substantial portion of drylands worldwide [Maestre *et al.*, 2012]. This soil drying has the potential to reduce basal respiration over long periods of drought; (2) from the other hand, the rewetting of soils that have previously experienced long droughts can trigger short-lived but very large  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions, which according to the results at our site, should be enhanced by the future rise in temperature (Fig. 6). Therefore, one question arises: which of these disparate effects will dominate the carbon balance of water-limited ecosystems?

In the ecosystem studied here, it was observed that basal  $F_s$  was close to zero most of the year, except after rain pulses (Fig. 1A-C). As a result, a reduction of basal  $F_s$  in response to increasing drought should be limited in comparison with the enhanced pulse response to precipitation in response to the combined effect of rising temperature and increasing drought that stimulates the Birch effect. Consequently, a future enhancement of soil  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions is a more likely outcome of global warming at this site. However, the future response of  $F_s$  might differ in other drylands such as in those from subhumid climates as they are expected to sustain a greater basal respiration. In addition, since climate change is predicted to have a harmful effect on biocrusts cover and their carbon assimilation capacity [Ferrenberg *et al.*, 2015; Maestre *et al.*, 2013, 2015], biocrusted drylands like the one studied here which often have a carbon balance close to neutrality, could dangerously switch from carbon sinks to carbon sources. That could potentially generate a vicious cycle of positive feedback with climate change.

In order to predict with higher confidence the future trajectory of ecosystem carbon-climate feedbacks in drylands, it is urgent to invest more research efforts in understanding, quantifying and assessing the climatic sensitivity of natural ecosystem processes that mitigate  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions, such as those involved in the  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake by drylands soils. As those ecosystems

represent ca. 40% of the terrestrial surface, even small but continuous CO<sub>2</sub> uptake could significantly impact the global carbon budget and contribute to explain the “residual terrestrial carbon sink”.

### 3.2.5. Conclusions

The biocrust succession stage, soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) and temperature ( $T_s$ ) explained and predicted efficiently the soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_s$ ) daily dynamics. Among those factors, the effect of  $\theta_w$  was preponderant and dependent on  $T_s$  and biocrust succession stage, as revealed by the important triple interaction in the developed model. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions tended to be more sensitive to  $\theta_w$  (and indirectly  $T_s$ ) in late successional stages, most likely as a result of progressive soil organic carbon (SOC) accumulation mediated by biocrusts, resulting in greater substrate availability for microbial respiration, and higher porosity enhancing CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion. The calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE) content also played a role in explaining the occurrence of negative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (i.e. atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the soil) at nighttime. The cumulative annual CO<sub>2</sub> influx represented up to 115% of the cumulative annual CO<sub>2</sub> efflux, generating a net annual carbon uptake by soil in some locations. Here, we suggest that a geochemical process of CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in soil water followed by CaCO<sub>3</sub> dissolution might be involved. However, a potential biological or geobiological origin should not be disregarded.

It is crucial to elucidate whether a biotic and/or an abiotic process is involved as (i) the global atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> increase, warming and disruption of precipitation patterns can potentially affect in complex interacting, opposing and scale-dependent ways the carbonate dissolution rates; (ii) abiotic processes consuming CO<sub>2</sub> in soil would need to be separated from biocrust photosynthesis and respiration when measuring net surface CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (especially at sunrise or sunset), to not overestimate and underestimate respectively the biotic contribution of those communities of microorganisms to the global carbon budget, and (iii) the sensitivity of carbon assimilation and respiration by soil chemotrophs to environmental factors such as  $p\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  is largely unknown.

Our modelling results suggest that a future enhancement of soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is a more likely outcome of global warming at this site. However, it is urgent to invest more research efforts in understanding, quantifying and assessing the climatic sensitivity of natural ecosystem processes able to mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, such as those involved in the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the extensive drylands soils.

### **Acknowledgements**

This work was funded by the research project CGL2016-78075-P, Biocrust Dynamics (DINCOS), of the Spanish National Program of Scientific and Technical Research, and by the ICAERSA research project (P18-RT-3629) of the Andalusian Regional Government including European Union ERDF funds. We are grateful to Andrew S. Kowalski for the proofreading and insightful suggestions that considerably improved the manuscript, to Consuelo Rubio, for her help with the fieldwork, to Angel Belmonte, Montserrat Guerrero, Domingo Alvarez and Cecilio Oyonarte for helping us with the laboratory work; and we are grateful to the Viciano brothers, landowners of the El Cautivo experimental site, without whose kind consent to set up semi-permanent installations on their land, this research would not have been possible.

**Supporting information**

**Table S1** Summary of fixed effects of the model of soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction dynamics

Model fixed effect	Factor level	Mean $\beta$	SD	Lower	Upper
(Intercept)	(PD)	6.032	0.056	5.921	6.142
Succession stage	IC	-0.398	0.088	-0.570	-0.225
	MC	-0.126	0.085	-0.294	0.042
	MC2	-0.121	0.079	-0.276	0.034
	SD	-0.072	0.075	-0.219	0.075
	LI	-0.078	0.083	-0.242	0.085
	<i>Salsola</i> sp.	0.038	0.112	-0.181	0.257
	<i>Lygeum</i> sp.	0.090	0.109	-0.124	0.303
	<i>Macrochloa</i> sp.	0.140	0.105	-0.067	0.346
$\theta_w$	(PD)	-0.688	0.779	-2.217	0.839
$\theta_w \times$ Succession stage	IC	0.494	1.108	-1.682	2.668
	MC	-0.542	1.138	-2.776	1.691
	MC2	0.010	1.241	-2.427	2.445
	SD	0.923	1.086	-1.209	3.053
	LI	1.534	1.125	-0.675	3.741
	<i>Salsola</i> sp.	0.424	1.566	-2.651	3.496
	<i>Lygeum</i> sp.	1.946	1.344	-0.692	4.581
	<i>Macrochloa</i> sp.	-1.461	1.573	-4.549	1.624
$T_s$	(PD)	0.002	0.001	-0.001	0.005
$T_s \times$ Succession stage	IC	0.015	0.002	0.011	0.020
	MC	0.003	0.002	-0.001	0.007
	MC2	0.004	0.002	0.000	0.008
	SD	0.001	0.002	-0.002	0.005
	LI	0.001	0.002	-0.003	0.006
	<i>Salsola</i> sp.	-0.017	0.004	-0.025	-0.010
	<i>Lygeum</i> sp.	-0.015	0.004	-0.023	-0.006
	<i>Macrochloa</i> sp.	-0.016	0.003	-0.022	-0.009
$\theta_w \times T_s$	(PD)	0.145	0.011	0.124	0.167
$\theta_w \times T_s \times$ Succession stage	IC	-0.038	0.017	-0.072	-0.004
	MC	-0.015	0.023	-0.061	0.030
	MC2	-0.108	0.022	-0.151	-0.065
	SD	-0.057	0.015	-0.087	-0.028

---

LI	0.021	0.021	-0.020	0.062
<i>Salsola</i> sp.	0.083	0.029	0.027	0.139
<i>Lygeum</i> sp.	0.032	0.036	-0.040	0.103
<i>Macrochloa</i> sp.	0.303	0.028	0.248	0.357

---

$\theta_w$ , soil water content;  $T_s$ , soil temperature;  $\beta$ , vector of regression coefficients; SD (column), standard deviation of  $\beta$ . PD, physical depositional crust; IC, incipient cyanobacterial crust; MC, mature cyanobacterial crust; SD (line), lichen community characterized by *S. lentigera* and *D. diacapsis*; LI, lichen community characterized by *L. isidiata*; MC2, mature cyanobacterial patches within SD site. Prior to modelling, the response variable was transformed with a natural logarithm. The model takes PD as baseline to generate  $\beta$ . Therefore, the intercept is the absolute estimated mean for this factor level. For strictly quantitative terms,  $\beta$  is also an absolute estimate corresponding to this baseline. By contrast, for terms involving factors,  $\beta$  describes relative variations from the baseline  $\beta$  of the considered factor. "Lower" and "upper" columns correspond to the 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles, respectively.

## References

- Akinyede, R., Taubert, M., Schruppf, M., Trumbore, S., & Küsel, K. (2020). Rates of dark CO<sub>2</sub> fixation are driven by microbial biomass in a temperate forest soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *150*, 107950. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107950>
- Angert, A., Yakir, D., Rodeghiero, M., Preisler, Y., Davidson, E., & Weiner, T. (2015). Using O<sub>2</sub> to study the relationships between soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and soil respiration. *Biogeosciences*, *12*, 2089–2099. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-2089-2015>
- Ball, B. A., Virginia, R. A., Barrett, J. E., Parsons, A. N., & Wall, D. H. (2009). Interactions between physical and biotic factors influence CO<sub>2</sub> flux in Antarctic dry valley soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *41*(7), 1510–1517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.04.011>
- Bastida, F., García, C., Fierer, N., Eldridge, D. J., Bowker, M. A., Abades, S., Alfaro, F. D., Berhe, A. A., Cutler, N. A., & Gallardo, A. (2019). Global ecological predictors of the soil priming effect. *Nature Communications*, *10*(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11472-7>
- Belnap, J. (2003a). Microbes and microfauna associated with biological soil crusts. In *Biological soil crusts: structure, function, and management* (pp. 167–174). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8_14)
- Belnap, J. (2003b). The world at your feet: desert biological soil crusts. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *1*(4), 181–189. [https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295\(2003\)001\[0181:TWAYFD\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2003)001[0181:TWAYFD]2.0.CO;2)
- Belnap, J., & Lange, O. L. (2003). *Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function, and Management*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- Belnap, J., Prasse, R., & Harper, K. T. (2003). Influence of biological soil crusts on soil environments and vascular plants. In *Biological soil crusts: structure, function, and management* (pp. 281–300). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8\\_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8_21)
- Bernoux, M., & Chevallier, T. (2014). Carbon in dryland soils. Multiple essential functions. *Les Dossiers Thématiques Du CSFD*, *10*.
- Beymer, R. J., & Klopatek, J. M. (1991). Potential contribution of carbon by microphytic crusts in pinyon-juniper woodlands. *Arid Land Research and Management*, *5*(3), 187–198.

- <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.1080/15324989109381279>
- Blagodatskaya, E., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2011). Priming effects in relation to soil conditions—mechanisms. *Encyclopedia of Agrophysics*. Springer, Dordrecht, 657–667. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3585-1\\_128](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3585-1_128)
- Bowling, D. R., Grote, E. E., & Belnap, J. (2011). Rain pulse response of soil CO<sub>2</sub> exchange by biological soil crusts and grasslands of the semiarid Colorado Plateau, United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116(G3). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JG001643>
- Burow, L. C., Woebken, D., Marshall, I. P. G., Lindquist, E. A., Bebout, B. M., Prufert-Bebout, L., Hoehler, T. M., Tringe, S. G., Pett-Ridge, J., & Weber, P. K. (2013). Anoxic carbon flux in photosynthetic microbial mats as revealed by metatranscriptomics. *The ISME Journal*, 7(4), 817–829. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.150>
- Cameletti, M., Lindgren, F., Simpson, D., & Rue, H. (2013). Spatio-temporal modeling of particulate matter concentration through the SPDE approach. *AStA Advances in Statistical Analysis*, 97(2), 109–131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10182-012-0196-3>
- Chamizo, S., Cantón, Y., Miralles, I., & Domingo, F. (2012). Biological soil crust development affects physicochemical characteristics of soil surface in semiarid ecosystems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 49, 96–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2012.02.017>
- Chapin III, S. F., McFarland, J., David McGuire, A., Euskirchen, E. S., Ruess, R. W., & Kielland, K. (2009). The changing global carbon cycle: linking plant–soil carbon dynamics to global consequences. *Journal of Ecology*, 97(5), 840–850. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01529.x>
- Elbert, W., Weber, B., Burrows, S., Steinkamp, J., Büdel, B., Andreae, M. O., & Pöschl, U. (2012). Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(7), 459–462. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1486>
- Esteban, M., Webersik, C., Leary, D., & Thompson-Pomeroy, D. (2008). *Innovation in Responding to Climate Change: Nanotechnology, Ocean Energy and Forestry*, United Nations University Institute of Advanced Studies.
- Evans, R. D., & Ehleringer, J. R. (1993). A Break in the Nitrogen Cycle in



- Aridlands? Evidence from  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  of Soils. *Oecologia*, *94*(3), 314–317.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/4220356>
- Fa, K.-Y., Zhang, Y.-Q., Wu, B., Qin, S.-G., Liu, Z., & She, W.-W. (2016). Patterns and possible mechanisms of soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in sandy soil. *Science of the Total Environment*, *544*, 587–594.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.163>
- Ferrenberg, S., Reed, S. C., Belnap, J., & Schlesinger, W. H. (2015). Climate change and physical disturbance cause similar community shifts in biological soil crusts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *112*(39), 12116–12121.  
<https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1509150112/-/DCSUPPLEMENTAL>
- Ferrenberg, S., Tucker, C. L., & Reed, S. C. (2017). Biological soil crusts: diminutive communities of potential global importance. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *15*(3), 160–167.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1469>
- Gallagher, T. M., & Breecker, D. O. (2020). The Obscuring Effects of Calcite Dissolution and Formation on Quantifying Soil Respiration. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *34*(12), e2020GB006584.  
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006584>
- García-Pichel, F., Ramírez-Reinat, E., & Gao, Q. (2010). Microbial excavation of solid carbonates powered by P-type ATPase-mediated transcellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> transport. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(50), 21749–21754. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011884108>
- Gershenson, A., Bader, N. E., & Cheng, W. (2009). Effects of substrate availability on the temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition. *Global Change Biology*, *15*(1), 176–183.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01827.x>
- Grote, E. E., Belnap, J., Housman, D. C., & Sparks, J. P. (2010). Carbon exchange in biological soil crust communities under differential temperatures and soil water contents: implications for global change. *Global Change Biology*, *16*(10), 2763–2774. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02201.x>
- Grubb, M. (2004). Technology innovation and climate change policy: an overview of issues and options. *Keio Economic Studies*, *41*(2), 103–132.
- Guenet, B., Camino-Serrano, M., Ciais, P., Tifafi, M., Maignan, F., Soong, J. L.,

- & Janssens, I. A. (2018). Impact of priming on global soil carbon stocks. *Global Change Biology*, 24(5), 1873–1883. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14069>
- Hamerlynck, E. P., Scott, R. L., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., & Barron-Gafford, G. A. (2013). Nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and its relationship to subsurface soil and ecosystem carbon fluxes in a Chihuahuan Desert shrubland. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 118(4), 1593–1603. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002495>
- Hashimoto, S., Carvalhais, N., Ito, A., Migliavacca, M., Nishina, K., & Reichstein, M. (2015). Global spatiotemporal distribution of soil respiration modeled using a global database. *Biogeosciences*, 12, 4121–4132. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4121-2015>
- Houghton, R. A., Baccini, A., & Walker, W. S. (2018). Where is the residual terrestrial carbon sink? *Global Change Biology*, 24(8), 3277–3279. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14313>
- Housman, D. C., Powers, H. H., Collins, A. D., & Belnap, J. (2006). Carbon and nitrogen fixation differ between successional stages of biological soil crusts in the Colorado Plateau and Chihuahuan Desert. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 66(4), 620–634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2005.11.014>
- Huntzinger, D. N., Michalak, A. M., Schwalm, C., Ciais, P., King, A. W., Fang, Y., Schaefer, K., Wei, Y., Cook, R. B., & Fisher, J. B. (2017). Uncertainty in the response of terrestrial carbon sink to environmental drivers undermines carbon-climate feedback predictions. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03818-2>
- IPCC. (2013). Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. In T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, & P. M. Midgley (Eds.), *Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lan, S., Wu, L., Zhang, D., & Hu, C. (2012). Successional stages of biological soil crusts and their microstructure variability in Shapotou region (China). *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 65(1), 77–88. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1066-0>

- Lázaro, R., Cantón, Y., Solé-Benet, A., Bevan, J., Alexander, R., Sancho, L. G., & Puigdefábregas, J. (2008). The influence of competition between lichen colonization and erosion on the evolution of soil surfaces in the Tabernas badlands (SE Spain) and its landscape effects. *Geomorphology*, *102*(2), 252–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.05.005>
- Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R. M., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Hauck, J., Pongratz, J., Pickers, P. A., Korsbakken, J. I., Peters, G. P., & Canadell, J. G. (2018). Global carbon budget 2018. *Earth System Science Data*, *10*(4), 2141–2194. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-2141-2018>
- Leon, E., Vargas, R., Bullock, S., Lopez, E., Panosso, A. R., & La Scala, N. (2014). Hot spots, hot moments, and spatio-temporal controls on soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a water-limited ecosystem. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *77*, 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.029>
- Liu, Y.-R., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Wang, J.-T., Hu, H.-W., Yang, Z., & He, J.-Z. (2018). New insights into the role of microbial community composition in driving soil respiration rates. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *118*, 35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.12.003>
- Loeppert, R. H., & Suarez, D. L. (1996). Carbonate and gypsum. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3 Chemical Methods*, *5*, 437–474. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c15>
- Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., & Lazaro, R. (2021). Development of a new low-cost device to measure calcium carbonate content, reactive surface area in solid samples and dissolved inorganic carbon content in water samples. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13579>
- Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., Serrano-Ortiz, P., López-Ballesteros, A., Domingo, F., Kowalski, A. S., & Oyonarte, C. (2018). From microhabitat to ecosystem: identifying the biophysical factors controlling soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics in a karst shrubland. *European Journal of Soil Science*, *69*(6), 1018–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12710>
- Luo, Y., Keenan, T. F., & Smith, M. (2015). Predictability of the terrestrial carbon cycle. *Global Change Biology*, *21*(5), 1737–1751. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12766>
- Luo, Y., & Zhou, X. (2006). *Soil respiration and the environment*. Academic Press.

- Ma, J., Wang, Z.-Y., Stevenson, B. A., Zheng, X.-J., & Li, Y. (2013). An inorganic CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion and dissolution process explains negative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in saline/alkaline soils. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02025>
- Maestre, F. T., Escolar, C., Bardgett, R. D., Dungait, J. A. J., Gozalo, B., & Ochoa, V. (2015). Warming reduces the cover and diversity of biocrust-forming mosses and lichens, and increases the physiological stress of soil microbial communities in a semi-arid *Pinus halepensis* plantation. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6(AUG). <https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2015.00865/ABSTRACT>
- Maestre, F. T., Escolar, C., de Guevara, M. L., Quero, J. L., Lázaro, R., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Ochoa, V., Berdugo, M., Gozalo, B., & Gallardo, A. (2013). Changes in biocrust cover drive carbon cycle responses to climate change in drylands. *Global Change Biology*, 19(12), 3835–3847. <https://doi.org/10.1111/GCB.12306>
- Maestre, F. T., Salguero-Gó Mez, R., Quero, J. L., Rey, U., Carlos, J., & Tulipán, C. / . (2012). It is getting hotter in here: determining and projecting the impacts of global environmental change on drylands. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1606), 3062–3075. <https://doi.org/10.1098/RSTB.2011.0323>
- Maier, S., Tamm, A., Wu, D., Caesar, J., Grube, M., & Weber, B. (2018). Photoautotrophic organisms control microbial abundance, diversity, and physiology in different types of biological soil crusts. *The ISME Journal*, 12(4), 1032–1046. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0062-8>
- Malam-Issa, O., Trichet, J., Défarge, C., Couté, A., & Valentin, C. (1999). Morphology and microstructure of microbiotic soil crusts on a tiger bush sequence (Niger, Sahel). *Catena*, 37(1–2), 175–196.
- Mingorance, M. D., Barahona, E., & Fernández-Gálvez, J. (2007). Guidelines for improving organic carbon recovery by the wet oxidation method. *Chemosphere*, 68(3), 409–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.01.021>
- Miralles-Mellado, I., Cantón, Y., & Solé-Benet, A. (2011). Two-dimensional porosity of crusted silty soils: Indicators of soil quality in semiarid rangelands? *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 75(4), 1330–1342.

- <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2010.0283>
- Moreira-Grez, B., Tam, K., Cross, A. T., Yong, J. W. H., Kumaresan, D., Nevill, P., Farrell, M., & Whiteley, A. S. (2019). The bacterial microbiome associated with arid biocrusts and the biogeochemical influence of biocrusts upon the underlying soil. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*, 2143. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02143>
- Parsons, A. N., Barrett, J. E., Wall, D. H., & Virginia, R. A. (2004). Soil carbon dioxide flux in Antarctic dry valley ecosystems. *Ecosystems*, *7*(3), 286–295. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-003-0132-1>
- Piao, S., Wang, X., Wang, K., Li, X., Bastos, A., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., & Sitch, S. (2020). Interannual variation of terrestrial carbon cycle: Issues and perspectives. *Global Change Biology*, *26*(1), 300–318. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14884>
- Pinheiro, J. C., & Bates, D. M. (2000). *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-Plus*. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Porada, P., Weber, B., Elbert, W., Pöschl, U., & Kleidon, A. (2013). Estimating global carbon uptake by lichens and bryophytes with a process-based model. *Biogeosciences*, *10*(11), 6989–7033. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-6989-2013>
- Quan, Q., Tian, D., Luo, Y., Zhang, F., Crowther, T. W., Zhu, K., Chen, H. Y. H., Zhou, Q., & Niu, S. (2019). Water scaling of ecosystem carbon cycle feedback to climate warming. *Science Advances*, *5*(8), eaav1131. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav1131>
- Quemada, M., & Cabrera, M. L. (1997). Temperature and moisture effects on C and N mineralization from surface applied clover residue. *Plant and Soil*, *189*(1), 127–137. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004281804058>
- R Core Team (2019). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.r-project.org/>
- Reichstein, M., Rey, A., Freibauer, A., Tenhunen, J., Valentini, R., Banza, J., Casals, P., Cheng, Y., Grünzweig, J. M., & Irvine, J. (2003). Modeling temporal and large-scale spatial variability of soil respiration from soil water availability, temperature and vegetation productivity indices. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *17*(4). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002035>
- Reid, W. V., Mooney, H. A., Cropper, A., Capistrano, D., Carpenter, S. R.,

- Chopra, K., Dasgupta, P., Dietz, T., Duraiappah, A. K., & Hassan, R. (2005). Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Ecosystems and human well-being: synthesis. *World Resources Institute, Washington, DC*.
- Rogers, S. L., & Burns, R. G. (1994). Changes in aggregate stability, nutrient status, indigenous microbial populations, and seedling emergence, following inoculation of soil with *Nostoc muscorum*. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *18*(3), 209–215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00647668>
- Roland, M., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Kowalski, A. S., Godd eris, Y., S anchez-Ca nete, E. P., Ciais, P., Domingo, F., Cuezva, S., Sanchez-Moral, S., & Longdoz, B. (2013). Atmospheric turbulence triggers pronounced diel pattern in karst carbonate geochemistry. *Biogeosciences*, *10*(7), 5009–5017. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-5009-2013>
- Rue, H., Martino, S., & Chopin, N. (2009). Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series b (Statistical Methodology)*, *71*(2), 319–392. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2008.00700.x>
- S anchez-Ca nete, E. P., Barron-Gafford, G. A., & Chorover, J. (2018). A considerable fraction of soil-respired CO<sub>2</sub> is not emitted directly to the atmosphere. *Scientific Reports*, *8*(1), 13518. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29803-x>
- S anchez-Ca nete, E. P., Scott, R. L., van Haren, J., & Barron-Gafford, G. A. (2017). Improving the accuracy of the gradient method for determining soil carbon dioxide efflux. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *122*(1), 50–64.
- Šantr uckova, H., Kotas, P., Barta, J., Urich, T., apek, P., Palmtag, J., Alves, R. J. E., Biasi, C., Diakova, K., & Gentsch, N. (2018). Significance of dark CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in arctic soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *119*, 11–21. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.12.021>
- Sparling, G. P., & West, A. W. (1990). A comparison of gas chromatography and differential respirometer methods to measure soil respiration and to estimate the soil microbial biomass. *Pedobiologia*, *34*(2), 103–112.
- Spohn, M., M uller, K., H oschen, C., Mueller, C. W., & Marhan, S. (2020). Dark microbial CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in temperate forest soils increases with CO<sub>2</sub>

- concentration. *Global Change Biology*, 26(3), 1926–1935. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14937>
- Sponseller, R. A. (2007). Precipitation pulses and soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux in a Sonoran Desert ecosystem. *Global Change Biology*, 13(2), 426–436. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01307.x>
- Vargas, R., Carbone, M. S., Reichstein, M., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2011). Frontiers and challenges in soil respiration research: from measurements to model-data integration. *Biogeochemistry*, 102(1–3), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-010-9462-1>
- Vargas, R., Sánchez-Cañete P, E., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Curiel Yuste, J., Domingo, F., López-Ballesteros, A., & Oyonarte, C. (2018). Hot-moments of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a water-limited grassland. *Soil Systems*, 2(3), 47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems2030047>
- Warren, S. D. (2001). Synopsis: influence of biological soil crusts on arid land hydrology and soil stability. In *Biological soil crusts: Structure, function, and management* (pp. 349–360). Springer. [https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8\\_26](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8_26)
- Weber, B., Büdel, B., & Belnap, J. (2016). Biological soil crusts as an organizing principle in drylands. In *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*. Springer International Publishing AG. [https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_1](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_1)
- Wilske, B., Burgheimer, J., Karnieli, A., Zaady, E., Andreae, M. O., Yakir, D., & Kesselmeier, J. (2008). The CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of biological soil crusts in a semiarid grass-shrubland at the northern transition zone of the Negev desert, Israel. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 5(3), 1969–2001. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-1411-2008>
- Yang, J., Kang, Y., Sakurai, K., & Ohnishi, K. (2017). Fixation of carbon dioxide by chemoautotrophic bacteria in grassland soil under dark conditions. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B—Soil & Plant Science*, 67(4), 362–371. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09064710.2017.1281433>
- Zuur, A. F., & Ieno, E. N. (2016). A protocol for conducting and presenting results of regression-type analyses. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7(6), 636–645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12577>
- Zuur, A. F., Ieno, E. N., & Saveliev, A. A. (2017). Spatial, temporal and spatial–temporal ecological data analysis with R-INLA. *Highland Statistics Ltd*, 1.


### 3.3. CHAPTER 3

## Water vapor adsorption by dry soils: a potential link between the water and carbon cycles

Accepted for publication in *Science of the Total Environment*. February 2022.

Lopez Canfin, C., Lázaro, R., & Sánchez-Cañete P, E. (2022). Water vapor adsorption by dry soils: a potential link between the water and carbon cycles. *Science of the Total Environment*.

### Graphical abstract





**Abstract**

Water vapor adsorption (WVA) by soil is a potential contributor to the water cycle in drylands. However, continuous *in-situ* estimates of WVA are still scarce and the understanding of its coupling with carbon cycle and ecosystem processes remains at an incipient stage.

Here we aimed to (1) identify periods of WVA and improve the understanding of the underlying processes involved in its temporal patterns by using the gradient method; (2) characterize a potential coupling between water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, and (3) explore the effect of soil properties and biocrusts ecological succession on fluxes. We assumed that the nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake increasingly reported in those environments could come from WVA enhancing geochemical reactions involving calcite.

We measured continuously during ca. 2 years the relative humidity and CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction in soil and atmosphere, in association with below- and aboveground variables, over the biocrusts ecological succession. We estimated water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes with the gradient method, and cumulative fluxes over the study. Then, we used statistical modelling to explore relationships between variables.

Our main findings are (1) WVA fluxes during hot and dry periods, and new insights on their underlying mechanisms; (2) a diel coupling between water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and between cumulative fluxes, well predicted by our models; and (3) cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> influxes increasing with specific surface area in early succession stages, thus mitigating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

During summer drought, as WVA was the main water source, it probably maintained ecosystem processes such as microbial activity and mineral reactions in this dryland. We suggest that WVA could drive the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in those moments and discuss biogeochemical mechanisms potentially involved. Additional research is needed to monitor soil water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and separate their biotic and abiotic components as those sinks could grow with climate change.

### 3.3.1. Introduction

Non-rainfall water inputs (NRWIs) are critical components of the water cycle in drylands [Agam & Berliner, 2006; Wang *et al.*, 2017]; in the absence of precipitation, three processes contribute to soil water inputs to the topsoil: (1) fog deposition, (2) dew formation, and (3) water vapor adsorption (WVA). Fog deposition occurs when water vapor pressure reaches saturation, forming water droplets in the air that can deposit on surfaces. Dew formation occurs on a surface when its temperature is lower than or equal to the dew-point temperature of air, at which time the atmospheric water vapor in contact with the surface cools down, reaches saturation and condenses on the surface. WVA occurs when the water vapor pressure of the atmosphere is greater than the water vapor pressure of the soil air, triggering its diffusion from atmosphere towards soil and its retention on the surface of soil particles in a liquid form (water films), through complex physico-chemical mechanisms still not fully constrained [Akin & Likos, 2017]. Hence, which NRWI is preponderant in an ecosystem depends on micrometeorological conditions.

In most drylands, atmospheric conditions required for fog formation are rarely met [McHugh *et al.*, 2015]. Dew formation was considered until recently as the main NRWI, sometimes exceeding precipitation in amount or frequency, or being the sole source of water for plants and biological soil crusts [Agam & Berliner, 2006]. Therefore, dew formation has been extensively studied; however, it has been regularly confounded with WVA and its contribution to the water cycle has probably been overestimated, as favorable thermal conditions for dew deposition are rather uncommon in drylands, particularly on bare soil in hot environments or seasons [Agam & Berliner, 2006]. In comparison, WVA by soil has received little attention despite the fact that it can occur on a more regular basis, even when soil surface temperature is greater than dew point temperature. It is now considered as a likely substantial contributor to the water cycle in drylands [McHugh *et al.*, 2015], which cover about 41% of the Earth's terrestrial surface. Moreover, since warmer air has the capacity to hold more water vapor, and soil drying is predicted with high confidence in some regions of the globe [Collins *et al.*, 2013], WVA by soil might be enhanced by climate change.

In spite of the potential global importance of WVA, *in situ* estimates of the process are still very scarce. Only few studies aimed to monitor WVA fluxes and they were almost always restricted to short time periods [Agam & Berliner, 2006; Kosmas *et al.*, 2001; Uclés *et al.*, 2015; Verhoef *et al.*, 2006], with few exceptions [Kohfahl *et al.*, 2021; Saaltink *et al.*, 2020]. That is partly due to a methodological gap as traditional approaches to estimate WVA fluxes include either complex numerical modelling using numerous parameters that require substantial effort to collect, or expensive lysimeters that can disturb the natural soil profile and pedoclimatic conditions. By contrast, the gradient method that has been increasingly used recently to determine soil-atmosphere greenhouse gases fluxes [Maier & Schack-Kirchner, 2014; Sánchez-Cañete *et al.*, 2017] could represent a suitable approach to obtain estimates of WVA as it is easy to deploy, generates only limited soil perturbation, and can provide long-term continuous measurements and further understanding of the belowground mechanisms involved in WVA.

The gradient method is based on the main assumption that molecular diffusion is the dominant gas transport process between soil and atmosphere, a condition that is generally considered to be fulfilled both for CO<sub>2</sub> [Šimůnek and Suarez, 1993] and water vapor [Liu *et al.*, 2020]. The only drawback of this method is that the estimated flux is highly dependent on the chosen coefficient of diffusion and therefore, it is recommended to apply a site-specific calibration to improve the accuracy the flux estimation. For CO<sub>2</sub>, this calibration can be performed with soil chamber measurements [Sánchez-Cañete *et al.*, 2017]. However, for water vapor, no standardized procedure exist yet as soil-atmosphere diffusive fluxes of water vapor have seldom been estimated and most studies were limited to laboratory experiments [e.g. Jabro & Jabro, 2008; Rao & Rekapalli, 2020; Reyزابal & Bazán, 1992]. Only one study estimating those fluxes *in-situ* was found in the literature [Bittelli *et al.*, 2008]. If a substantial contribution of WVA to the water cycle in drylands were confirmed by more observational studies including accurate continuous monitoring, the process could potentially impact other element cycles and ecosystem processes, as they are strongly constrained by water availability in those environments.

In particular, the understanding of the coupling between WVA, carbon cycle and ecosystem processes remains at an incipient stage; nevertheless,

WVA could enhance water-limited microbial activity and mineral reactions in drylands. For example, some lichens have the capacity to use water vapor [Lange *et al.*, 1992, 1994; Zheng *et al.*, 2018]. A previous study found that WVA increased soil CO<sub>2</sub> production revealing that dryland microorganisms were able to use this water input to sustain their metabolic activity [McHugh *et al.*, 2015]. Other studies reported that dew contributed to rock weathering and karst development in drylands [Agam & Berliner, 2006]. Therefore, WVA is also expected to be a weathering agent of soil minerals. In particular, WVA on calcite is energetically favorable [Rahaman *et al.*, 2008] and can lead to the formation of carbonate species and reorganization of the mineral surface [Rubasinghege & Grassian, 2013]. Since microbial and mineral (e.g. calcite) processes can produce/consume CO<sub>2</sub> via respiration/assimilation and precipitation/dissolution respectively, the enhancement of those processes by WVA is likely to affect the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in drylands.

Nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil has been increasingly reported in water-limited ecosystems [Fa *et al.*, 2016; Hamerlynck *et al.*, 2013; Ma *et al.*, 2013], including at our study site [Lopez Canfin *et al.*, n.d.], and its origin remains debated [Sagi *et al.*, 2021]; different abiotic forces have been suggested to drive the phenomenon but the potential effect of WVA on both biotic and abiotic components of the ecosystem has not been explored yet. On the one hand, drylands shelter a rich biodiversity of biological soil crusts (hereafter, biocrusts), key ecosystem engineers that sustain many important ecological functions including an ability to fix CO<sub>2</sub> by photosynthesis. In addition, there is growing evidence that among those communities, chemotrophs able to perform CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in the dark coexist with phototrophs [Bay *et al.*, 2021; Liu *et al.*, 2021]. On the other hand, the abiotic assumption of calcite dissolution consuming CO<sub>2</sub> to explain the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil has been challenged by the supposition that, since the geochemical reaction consumes water, such uptake should not occur in dry soils [Schlesinger *et al.*, 2009]. This is without considering WVA as a potential link between the water and carbon cycle in drylands. Biotic and abiotic processes could also be tightly coupled, as for example microorganisms such as *Cyanobacteria* or fungi can promote the dissolution of CaCO<sub>3</sub> [Garcia-Pichel *et al.*, 2010; Tian *et al.*, 2021].

Assessing the role of WVA in drylands requires improved characterization of its drivers *in-situ*. The amount of adsorbed water in the soil depends on the magnitude of the soil-atmosphere vapor gradient, and the total surface area of soil particles [Kool *et al.*, 2021]. Since clay particles have the largest surface area, the amount of adsorbed water generally increases with the soil clay content; the clay type also affects the amount of adsorbed water as surface area varies between clay types [Agam & Berliner, 2006]. Soil organic carbon content and salinity have been reported as well as factors controlling WVA [Amer, 2019; Arthur *et al.*, 2020]. However, to our knowledge, the soil content in gypsum, a common salt at Earth surface, has never been investigated as a potential driver of soil-atmosphere water vapor fluxes; gypsum is more soluble than calcite and has strong hydration/dehydration properties, with dehydration starting at ca. 40°C [Azimi *et al.*, 2007], a temperature range that can be easily reached in drylands topsoil.

In this research we aimed to (i) identify periods of WVA and improve the understanding of the underlying processes involved in its temporal patterns by using the gradient method; (ii) characterize a potential coupling between water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes; and (iii) explore the effect of soil properties and biocrusts ecological succession on fluxes. We assumed that (i) the gradient method could be used to measure the diffusion of water vapor from atmosphere to soil, considering those influxes as proxies of WVA; WVA should be substantial during the dry period due to enhanced soil drying during daytime, magnifying the soil-atmosphere water vapor pressure gradient; (ii) fluxes of water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> could be tightly coupled. In particular, WVA was expected to be the underlying process controlling nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake; and (iii) soil surface area, gypsum content and biocrusts ecological succession could significantly affect WVA.

### **3.3.2. Material and methods**

#### **3.3.2.1. Experimental Site**

This study was conducted in the experimental site of El Cautivo, an area of badlands located in the Tabernas Desert (Almería, Spain) (more information about the study site is provided in Section 2.1).

### 3.3.2.2. Environmental measurements and soil analyses

Continuous measurements of soil and above-surface variables were conducted during ca. two years (see Section 2.3.3). The soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux was also measured regularly with portable soil chambers (see Section 2.3.1).

In the topsoil (0-5cm), the porosity ( $\phi$ ), the soil total specific surface area ( $SSA_s$ ), gypsum content, calcium carbonate equivalent content (CCE), calcite reactive surface area (RSA), calcite specific surface area ( $SSA_c$ , calcite RSA per weight of calcite) and soil organic content (SOC) were measured within each microsite described above. The  $SSA_s$  was estimated from the particle size distribution measured by a laser particle size analyzer (Mastersizer 3000 Hydro EV, Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, United Kingdom), assuming that the particles were spherical and non-porous. The SOC content was measured by a modified wet oxidation method [Mingorance *et al.*, 2007] and determined with a spectrophotometer (Spectronic Helios Alpha 9423 UVA 1002E, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The gypsum content was determined by exploiting the gypsum-bassanite phase change [Lebron *et al.*, 2009]. The CCE and RSA were quantified by measuring the CO<sub>2</sub> released during an acidic reaction [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2021].

### 3.3.2.3. Data processing, water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes calculation

Hourly averages were calculated on the data. To fill data gaps and enable the modelling of time series as well as the determination of cumulative fluxes, a gap-filling procedure was applied (see Section 2.4.1).

The water vapor pressure in soil and atmosphere was calculated as:

$$P_h = \frac{RH}{100} P_s \quad (1)$$

where  $P_h$  is the water vapor pressure (kPa),  $RH$  is the relative humidity (%) measured with the iButton® DS1923 loggers and  $P_s$  is the saturation water vapor pressure calculated according to Buck [1981] using the air temperature measured with the S-THB-M00x Smart Sensor (since it was protected against direct radiation and radiative cooling) and the soil temperature measured with the iButton® DS1923 logger.

The water vapor molar fraction in soil and atmosphere was calculated as:

$$\chi_h = \frac{P_h}{P_a} \quad (2)$$

where  $\chi_h$  is the water vapor molar fraction (mol mol<sup>-1</sup>, or kPa based on Dalton's law) and  $P_a$  is the atmospheric pressure (kPa).

The measured  $\chi_c$  in soil and atmosphere were corrected for real-time changes in temperature atmospheric pressure (see Section 2.2.1) The soil-atmosphere fluxes of water vapor ( $F_h$ ) and CO<sub>2</sub> ( $F_c$ ) were calculated according to the gradient method (see Section 2.3.2)

The uncertainty in the estimation of  $F_h$  fluxes was calculated from the accuracy of the RH sensors used to calculate those fluxes. The following cumulative  $F_h$  and  $F_c$  were calculated from the data: effluxes, influxes and total fluxes. They refer to, respectively: positive fluxes (emissions, from soil to atmosphere), negative fluxes (uptake, from atmosphere to soil) and the sum of both fluxes (positive and negative).

#### 3.3.2.4. Statistical modelling

All analyses were performed with R software v.3.6.3 and the significance level was set to 5%. The statistical protocol of Zuur and Ieno [2016] was used to analyze the data. Our model construction aimed to answer the following two questions: 1) is there a coupling between  $F_h$  and  $F_c$ , and in particular, is  $F_h$  a good predictor of  $F_c$ ? and 2) Do soil variables (especially specific surface area and gypsum content) and biocrusts ecological succession significantly affect those fluxes?

We fitted models from both diel and cumulative fluxes over the study. For the former, the dataset was first split into a training set (first year) for calibration and a testing set (second year) for cross-validation. We selected only data from the summer season to exclude the effect of precipitation. Preliminary data exploration revealed a hysteretic relationship between  $F_h$  and  $F_c$  on a diel scale, presumably modellable with two sigmoid functions: one for the diel decrease in  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  from their maximum during daytime to their minimum at night, and *vice-versa* for the diel increase in those variables (Fig. S1B). Therefore, we additionally split the data into diel "decrease" and "increase" subsets, based on the average hour of minimum and maximum  $F_h$ , in order to model each part of the hysteresis independently. Since the "S-

shapes” exhibited substantial variation between crust types and replicates, non-linear mixed models were fitted [Pinheiro & Bates, 2000]. This approach allowed the parameters of the model to vary both with the fixed effect “crust type” and the random effect “replicate”. The following four-parameter logistic model was chosen to model  $F_c$  as a function of  $F_h$ :

$$F_c = \phi_1 + \frac{\phi_2 - \phi_1}{1 + \exp [(\phi_3 - F_h)/\phi_4]} \quad (3)$$

where  $\phi_1$  is the horizontal asymptote as  $F_h \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\phi_2$  the horizontal asymptote as  $F_h \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $\phi_3$  the  $F_h$  value at the inflection point of the sigmoid (the value of  $F_h$  for which the response variable  $F_c = \phi_1/2$ ), and  $\phi_4$  a scale parameter on the  $x$ -axis. Further information about this type of model, including a graphical visualization of the parameters, is available in Pinheiro and Bates [2000]. The serial correlation inherent to time series was modeled with a first-order autoregressive (AR1) process within each day.

Using a simple visual inspection of the time series, it was not clear whether the variation in  $F_h$  tended to lead or lag the variation in  $F_c$ . In general, negative  $F_h$  and  $F_c$  fluxes seemed to occur almost simultaneously but depending on the period and location, the variation in  $F_h$  could sometimes apparently lead or lag the variation in  $F_c$ . Therefore, a cross-correlation analysis was performed to determine whether  $F_c$  or  $F_h$  tended to lead or lag. In addition, relationships between pairs of variables were explored, and modelled either by linear or non-linear regression. All linear models' assumptions on residuals were checked with statistical tests: the Durbin-Watson's, Breusch-Pagan's, and Shapiro-Wilk's tests were used to assess independence, homoscedasticity, and normality, respectively. Non-linear models assumptions on residuals were checked visually.

### 3.3.3. Results


#### 3.3.3.1. Temporal dynamics

##### 3.3.3.1.1. General trends

In all stages of the biocrusts ecological succession, the soil relative humidity ( $RH_s$ ) decreased sharply during summer drought (see for example in the mature *Cyanobacteria* microsite, Fig. 1A). During this period characterized



by the absence of precipitation, soil water content minima (Fig. 1E) and temperature maxima (Fig. 1F),  $RH_s$  became regularly lower than atmosphere relative humidity ( $RH_a$ ). However, during this summer period, soil temperature ( $T_s$ ) and atmosphere temperature ( $T_a$ ) diverged substantially, with  $T_s$  exceeding  $T_a$  (Fig. 1F). Warmer air increases the saturation water vapor pressure, i.e. the capacity of air to store water vapor. Therefore, the molar fractions of water vapor ( $\chi_h$ ) were more relevant to understand the underlying processes involved in temporal patterns of water vapor fluxes ( $F_h$ ), also because they were directly used to calculate  $F_h$  based on the gradient method. During summer drought, atmosphere  $\chi_h$  regularly exceeded soil  $\chi_h$  (Fig. 1B). Such conditions were often favorable to the inversion of the water vapor pressure gradient, thus generating negative  $F_h$  (Fig. 1C). Note that during another dry period but this time marked by winter temperatures (Fig. 1E and 2F), negative  $F_h$  fluxes were almost inexistent (Fig. 1C). Negative  $F_h$  always occurred at values of  $RH_a > 40\%$  (see for example in the mature *Cyanobacteria* microsite, Fig. S2) but not exclusively, as positive  $F_h$  were also found in that interval. The conditions necessary for dew formation (i.e.  $T_{\text{surf}} < \text{dew point}$ ) and fog formation (i.e.  $RH_a = 100\%$ ) were uncommon in summer (see example in Fig. 2E, and Fig. 1A, respectively).



**Figure 1** Time series of (A) hourly relative humidity in soil and atmosphere (B) hourly water vapor molar fraction ( $\chi_h$ ) in soil and atmosphere. The yellow areas delimit moments during which atmosphere  $\chi_h$  exceeds soil  $\chi_h$ ; (C) hourly soil-atmosphere water vapor flux ( $F_h$ ); (D) hourly soil-atmosphere  $\text{CO}_2$  flux ( $F_c$ ); (E) daily soil water content ( $\theta_w$ , blue line) and precipitation (black bars); (F) daily temperature of soil and atmosphere. All time series come from the mature *Cyanobacteria* microsite. The shaded area highlights periods of consistent negative  $F_h$  during summer drought.

During a complete hydrological year from 01/09/2018 to 31/08/2019, the amount of water accumulated by precipitation was 174 mm while the amount of water accumulated through negative  $F_h$  ranged from  $0.37 \pm 0.02$  mm to  $1.58 \pm 0.08$  mm (i.e. from  $0.21 \pm 0.01\%$  to  $0.91 \pm 0.05\%$  of precipitation, respectively), depending on the microsite, using the model of Bittelli et al. [2015] to calculate the diffusion coefficient of water vapor between soil and atmosphere. By contrast, using the diffusion model of Xu et al. [1992], negative  $F_h$  accounted for between  $1.42 \pm 0.07$  and  $4.91 \pm 0.25$  mm, i.e.  $0.82 \pm 0.04\%$  to  $2.82 \pm 0.14\%$  of the annual precipitation over the same period. The amount of water accumulated through negative  $F_h$  only during summer of the same hydrological year ranged from  $0.17 \pm 0.01$  mm to  $1 \pm 0.05$  mm with the first model and from  $0.67 \pm 0.03$  mm to  $3.62 \pm 0.18$  mm with the second model. The amount of water accumulated through negative  $F_h$  only during summer represented between 47 and 75% of the annual negative  $F_h$  regardless of the diffusion model. By contrast with negative  $F_h$  that occurred predominantly during summer, negative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes ( $F_c$ ) occurred throughout the study period, with a slightly lower magnitude in summer (see for example in the mature *Cyanobacteria* microsite, Fig. 1D). The cumulative evaporation (positive  $F_h$ ) ranged from  $7.40 \pm 0.37$  mm to  $15.21 \pm 0.76$  mm (i.e. from  $4.25 \pm 0.21\%$  to  $8.74 \pm 0.44\%$  of precipitation) based on the diffusion model of Bittelli et al. [2015]. It ranged from  $23.02 \pm 1.15$  mm to  $53.72 \pm 2.69$  mm (i.e. from  $13.23 \pm 0.66\%$  to  $30.87 \pm 1.55\%$  of precipitation) based on the diffusion model of Xu et al. [1992].

The ratio of cumulative negative  $F_h$  to cumulative positive  $F_h$  over the whole study period tended to increase over biocrusts succession (3%, 3%, 9%, 7%, 11% and 15% for the PD, IC, MC, MC2, SD and LI microsites, respectively). The average soil-atmosphere gradient of water vapor molar fraction decreased over succession (14.5, 12.5, 11.7, 10.6, 9.4, and 7.3 mmol mol<sup>-1</sup> for the PD, IC, MC, MC2, SD and LI microsites, respectively).


### 3.3.3.1.2. Diel patterns in water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

A more detailed representation of the previously mentioned periods of summer and winter drought, during which negative  $F_h$  were respectively common and virtually absent, is provided in Fig. 2. During summer, negative  $F_h$  occurred due to a decrease in soil  $\chi_h$  during the afternoon (reaching minima at nighttime) and a concomitant increase in atmosphere  $\chi_h$  at nighttime inverting the soil-atmosphere water vapor pressure gradient (Fig. 2C). During winter, soil  $\chi_h$  was lower in average but followed the same diel pattern whereas atmosphere  $\chi_h$  was also lower in average but usually did not increase enough at nighttime to invert substantially the gradient (Fig. 2D). It is also worth noting that  $RH_s$  presented the opposite diel pattern to soil  $\chi_h$  (Fig. 2A and 2B) and that the soil  $\chi_h$  decrease during the afternoon and over night (Fig. 2C and 2D), occurred in synchrony with a decrease in temperature (Fig. 2E and 2F) and PAR (Fig. 2G and 2H). In addition, brief positive excursions in  $\chi_h$  were frequently observed at sunrise, particularly in summer (Fig. 2C).


During summer,  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  covaried and used to be both negative at night (Fig. 3). The cross-correlation analysis revealed that overall, the variation in  $F_h$  slightly led the variation in  $F_c$ , i.e. by one hour (one hour being the maximum time resolution of the data). The moment when fluxes became negative used to coincide exactly with PAR reaching the zero value (Fig. 2G and Fig. 3), due to the inversion of the gradient of water vapor molar fractions at this moment (Fig. 2C).

There was a diel hysteresis between  $F_c$  and  $T_s$  as well as between  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  (Fig. S1A and S1B, Fig. 4A). The former was difficult to model whereas the latter could be modelled with two sigmoidal functions, one for the diel decrease from  $F_h$  maximum to  $F_h$  minimum and *vice-versa* for the diel increase (Fig. 4A, supporting information Table S1A). Note that in all succession stages, the diel “decrease” path of the observed hysteresis was on average longer than the diel “increase” path. The ratio of diel decrease time over diel increase time ranges from 1.6 in the PD and IC microsites to 3 in the LI site. After calibration of the model on the first summer of data, the model was cross-


validated with predictions on the second summer of data, which showed overall good performance (Fig. 4B and 4C).



**Figure 2** Variation of environmental variables over time during a drought period in summer (left column) and winter (right column); (A and B) relative humidity in soil and atmosphere; (C and D) molar fraction of water vapor ( $\chi_h$ ) in soil and atmosphere. The yellow area delimits moments during which atmosphere  $\chi_h$  exceeds soil  $\chi_h$ , leading to negative soil-atmosphere water fluxes, i.e. from atmosphere to soil; (E and F) temperature of soil (5 cm depth), atmosphere and soil surface, and dew point temperature; (G and H) photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). The yellowish shaded areas highlight daytime (i.e. positive PAR).




**Figure 3** (A) Covariation between the soil-atmosphere  $\text{CO}_2$  flux ( $F_c$ ) and the soil-atmosphere water vapor flux ( $F_h$ ) during a selected period in summer; (B) variation in photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) during the same period. The dark shaded area highlights negative fluxes. The yellowish areas highlight daytime (i.e. positive PAR). Data were from the *Lepraria isidiata* (LI) microsite.



**Figure 4** Non-linear modelling of the hysteretic relationship between the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_c$ ) and the soil-atmosphere water vapor flux ( $F_h$ ): (A) calibration of the model on the first summer of data. The blue lines and blue points correspond to the decrease from  $F_h$  maximum to minimum and *vice-versa* for the red line and red points. A summary of the model fixed effects is given in Table S2A; (B) Predicted  $F_c$  against observed  $F_c$  on the second summer of data for all microsites. The continuous green line is the model. The dashed blue line is the 1:1 line. (C) Example of predicted  $F_c$  against time during the second summer of data. The continuous green line is the model prediction. Black points correspond to the observed  $F_c$ . Stages of the biocrusts succession are labeled as: physical depositional crust (PD), incipient *Cyanobacteria* (IC), mature *Cyanobacteria* (MC), lichen community dominated by *Squamarina lentigera* and *Diploschistes diacapsis* (SD), lichen community characterized by *Lepraria isidiata* (LI), and *Cyanobacteria* patches within the SD microsite (MC2).

### 3.3.3.2. Relations between cumulative water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, soil properties and biocrusts succession


There was a significant negative linear relationship ( $p < 0.001$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 0.68$ ) between cumulative  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  estimated on the whole study period (Fig. 5). Mature *Cyanobacteria* microsites exhibited the least CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (very close to neutrality) and greatest water vapor emissions. By contrast, the *Lepraria isidiata* microsite exhibited the greatest CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and the least water vapor emissions. The other microsites exhibited intermediate values for these two variables. More details about the temporal patterns of cumulative total fluxes and cumulative negative fluxes for each stage of the succession can be found in Fig. S3. A particular covariation between cumulative negative  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  was found in the SD microsite with enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> influxes coinciding with water vapor influxes in summer (Fig. S3A).




**Figure 5** Fit of the linear model of the cumulative soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_c$ ) as a function of the cumulative soil-atmosphere water vapor flux ( $F_h$ ), over the whole study period. Stages of the biocrusts succession are labeled as: physical depositional crust (PD), incipient *Cyanobacteria* (IC), mature *Cyanobacteria* (MC), lichen community dominated by *Squammarina lentigera* and *Diploschistes diacapsis* (SD), lichen community characterized by *Lepraria isidiata* (LI), and *Cyanobacteria* patches within the SD microsite (MC2). Grey, yellow, red, orange, blue and green points correspond to the PD, IC, MC, MC2, SD and LI sites, respectively. Error bars represent the intra-microsite standard deviation for  $F_c$ . The shaded band represent the 95% confidence interval.



There was a significant positive linear relationship ( $p < 0.001$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 0.85$ ) between cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> influxes and total soil specific surface area (SSA<sub>s</sub>) among early successional stages (Fig. 6). In other words, the magnitude of the CO<sub>2</sub> influxes increased with SSA<sub>s</sub>. This proportional increase was observed gradually during the early stages of the succession (from the physical depositional crust to incipient *Cyanobacteria* to mature *Cyanobacteria*). The SSA<sub>s</sub> was strongly correlated with the soil clay fraction (Pearson's  $r = 0.92$ ).



**Figure 6** Fits of linear models of the absolute cumulative inward soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_c$ ) as a function of the total soil specific surface area. The blue and green lines are the model fits from the whole dataset and from averages by crust, respectively (corresponding to the  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  equations, respectively). Stages of the biocrusts succession are labeled as: physical depositional crust (PD), incipient *Cyanobacteria* (IC), mature *Cyanobacteria* (MC), and *Cyanobacteria* patches within a lichen site (MC2). Grey, yellow, red and orange points correspond to data from the PD, IC, MC and MC2 microsites, respectively. Error bars correspond to the intra-microsite standard deviation. Shaded bands represent the 95% confidence intervals. The high spatial variation in the MC2 microsite is attributable to soil heterogeneity and less replicates ( $n = 2$  for MC2 and  $n = 3$  for other microsites).



**Figure 7** Fits of (A) linear model of the soil clay fraction as a function of the reactive surface area of calcite (RSA). The shaded band represent the 95% confidence interval, (B) non-linear model of the calcium carbonate equivalent content (CCE) as a function of soil organic carbon content (SOC). Values of the parameters of the model are given in Table S1B.

There was a significant positive linear relationship ( $p < 0.001$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 0.53$ ) between the clay fraction and the reactive surface area of calcite (RSA) (Fig. 7A). The RSA was strongly correlated to the calcium carbonate equivalent content (CCE) (Pearson's  $r = 0.91$ ). There was a sigmoidal relationship (relative root mean square error ( $RRMSE$ ) = 1.4%) between the CCE and the soil organic carbon content (SOC) within early stages of the succession (Fig. 7B, supporting information Table S1B), that did not follow the order of the succession.

### 3.3.4. Discussion

In this research, we report for the first time using the gradient method (1) negative water vapor fluxes at night during hot and dry periods, attributable to water vapor adsorption (WVA) by soil; (2) a tight coupling between soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor fluxes ( $F_c$  and  $F_h$ , respectively), and (3) significant relationships between  $F_c$ , soil properties and biocrust ecological succession.

### 3.3.4.1. The gradient method as a novel approach to estimate water vapor adsorption by soil

Using the gradient method, negative  $F_h$  were consistently and predominantly detected at night during hot and dry periods (i.e. summer) (Fig. 1C). In congruence with this result, *in-situ* studies have reported that WVA occurs predominantly in those conditions [Agam & Berliner, 2006; Kool *et al.*, 2021; Kosmas *et al.*, 1998]. In addition, negative  $F_h$  always occurred at  $RH_a >$  ca. 40% (Fig. S2). In agreement with this result, according to theoretical equations, a water film starts to form on a solid surface at  $RH > 30-40\%$  [Kharitonova *et al.*, 2010]. Therefore, our observations match those of both *in-situ* and theoretical studies, thus confirming that our measured negative  $F_h$  are attributable to WVA. However, the use of  $RH$  alone can be misleading to disentangle the temporal patterns involved in WVA *in-situ* as it is based on the saturation water vapor pressure of air which varies with temperature. By contrast, our results, using the water vapor molar fraction ( $\chi_h$ ) in soil and atmosphere to estimate  $F_h$ , provided a more accurate understanding of the underlying processes involved in WVA, as  $\chi_h$  is independent of temperature, which can vary substantially between soil and atmosphere (Fig. 1F, Fig. 2E and 2F). As a result, soil  $\chi_h$  and  $RH$  exhibited opposite diel patterns, and thus the observation of  $RH$  alone could lead to misleading conclusions. It has been previously stated that WVA occurs when  $RH_s$  is lower than  $RH_a$  [Agam and Berliner, 2006] and can be attributed to soil drying during daytime and an increase in  $RH_a$  at nighttime [Kosmas *et al.*, 1998]. However, although WVA occurred during a phase of decreasing  $\chi_h$  during afternoon and overnight (Fig. 2C), it could not be due to soil drying since both temperature and PAR were decreasing during those moments. On the light of our results, the observed diel decrease in soil  $\chi_h$  can be attributed to lower temperature reducing the capacity of air to store water vapor.

Furthermore, during an unusually dry period in winter, the soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) decreased to values similar to those observed during summer (Fig. 1E) but soil  $\chi_h$  decreased to values even lower in average than during summer due to the same physical process, i.e. low temperature decreasing the saturation water vapor pressure of air (Fig. 1B and 1F). In spite of that, WVA were scarce and of small magnitude in winter because atmosphere  $\chi_h$

was also lower in average than in summer and did not increase enough at night to exceed soil  $\chi_h$  (Fig. 2D). Therefore, the decrease in soil  $\chi_h$  alone does not seem sufficient to trigger WVA. Two additional conditions seem to be critical for the process to occur: (1) a substantial average atmosphere  $\chi_h$  and (2) a sharp and substantial increase in atmosphere  $\chi_h$  at night. The first condition can be fulfilled in summer due to greater temperature triggering more oceanic evaporation and as previously explained, the increased capacity of warmer air to store water vapor. Most studies reporting WVA fluxes were conducted in coastal drylands [e.g. *Kohfahl et al.*, 2021; *Kool et al.*, 2021; *Kosmas et al.*, 2001], where atmosphere is usually wetter. Our study site was also close to the sea (ca. 20 km). Therefore, further research is needed to clarify if WVA can also occur in non-coastal drylands. The second condition is most likely met due to stable stratification of the atmosphere boundary layer at night accumulating water molecules in the vapor form close to the ground. Previous studies have stated that such nocturnal conditions might be fulfilled due to incoming sea breeze at night [*Kosmas et al.*, 2001; *Uclés et al.*, 2015]. However, since sea breeze is a daytime process, it seems rather unlikely at our site. By contrast, here, the movement of wetter air from the surrounding mountains could also have played a role in explaining the sharp increase in atmosphere  $\chi_h$  at night. We also suggest that the early morning peak in atmosphere  $\chi_h$ , coinciding with the rise in PAR (Fig. 2C and Fig. 2G), is due to rapid soil surface evaporation at sunrise. This movement of water vapor from soil surface to the overlying low part of the atmosphere only occurs briefly probably because sun radiation rapidly heats the soil surface (Fig. 2E), thus triggering atmospheric turbulences that moves water vapor to higher atmosphere layers.

To our knowledge, it is the first time that the gradient method has been used to estimate WVA. Other approaches traditionally used either complex numerical modelling with numerous parameters that require substantial effort to collect, or expensive lysimeters that can disturb the natural soil profile and pedoclimatic conditions [*Agam & Berliner*, 2006; *Kohfahl et al.*, 2021; *Kosmas et al.*, 2001; *Saaltink et al.*, 2020; *Uclés et al.*, 2015; *Verhoef et al.*, 2006]. By contrast, the gradient method traditionally used to determine soil-atmosphere greenhouse gas fluxes [*Maier & Schack-*

*Kirchner, 2014; Sánchez-Cañete et al., 2017*] is easy to deploy, generates only limited perturbation of the soil profile, and can provide long-term continuous low-cost measurements and further understanding of belowground mechanisms involved in WVA. It is therefore suitable to obtain estimates of WVA, based on the assumption that all the water vapor that diffuses from atmosphere to soil is adsorbed onto soil particles. The values estimated in this study ranging from  $0.21 \pm 0.01\%$  to  $0.91 \pm 0.05\%$  of annual precipitation should be considered as a proxy rather than absolute estimates of WVA because they are highly dependent on the selected model of water vapor diffusion. For example, using a different diffusion model [*Xu et al., 1992*], our WVA estimates ranged this time from  $0.82 \pm 0.04\%$  to  $2.82 \pm 0.14\%$  of the annual precipitation. This difference in the estimated WVA is thus the result of potential errors in the quantification of  $F_h$  attributable to the choice of the diffusion model. That is because in the gradient method, the estimated flux is highly dependent on the chosen coefficient of diffusion. Whereas the diffusion coefficient used to estimate  $F_c$  was calibrated empirically with a portable soil chamber according to *Sánchez-Cañete et al. [2017]*, no standardized procedure exists yet to calibrate this coefficient *in-situ* for  $F_h$  as, so far, most studies were limited to laboratory experiments [e.g. *Jabro and Jabro, 2008; Rao and Rekapalli, 2020; Reyabab and Bazán, 1992*]. In order to obtain reliable absolute estimates of WVA, we suggest to calibrate the diffusion model with another validated method, e.g. using lysimeter measurements. However, since the main objectives of this research were to identify moments of WVA as well as their drivers and potential interactions with  $F_c$ , the use of a general model to estimate the coefficient of diffusion of water vapor was reasonable; it was still useful to compare the magnitude of WVA between microsites, analyze temporal patterns and explore correlations with other variables. Although our estimated contribution of WVA to annual precipitation is apparently small, WVA was barely the only source of water in summer when microclimatic conditions necessary for other non-rainfall water inputs, i.e. fog or dew formation, were uncommon. Hence, this water input is likely important for maintaining ecosystem processes during those periods. Our estimated values of evaporation (positive  $F_h$ ) accounted for up to 30.9% of annual precipitation, indicating that the water balanced was not closed regardless of the diffusion model that was used. The remaining water could have (1) been

lost by runoff; (2) infiltrated deeper horizons and (3) been consumed by biocrusts and geochemical reactions.

### 3.3.4.2. Coupling between water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

#### 3.3.4.2.1. Coupling between fluxes on a diel scale


During summer,  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  used to be both negative at night (Fig. 3) and our cross-correlation analysis revealed that the variation in  $F_h$  tended to slightly lead the variation in  $F_c$  (by one hour). This is in agreement with our hypothesis that WVA could be the underlying process controlling nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in dry soils at this site, but due to the small lag corresponding to the maximum time resolution of the data, this result should be taken with caution and requires further confirmation with higher sampling frequency.

A diel hysteresis between  $F_c$  and  $T_s$  as well as between  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  was found (Fig. S1A and S1B, and Fig. 4A). The former has been extensively described in plants and generally attributed to a lag in the delivery of recent photosynthates to the soil [Dusza *et al.*, 2020; Zhang *et al.*, 2018], stimulating microbial activity, a process known as “priming effect” [Guenet *et al.*, 2018]; priming effect mediated by biocrusts has been less studied but does occur [Beymer & Klopatek, 1991]. However, this relationship between  $F_c$  and  $T_s$  was difficult to model in our study. By contrast, the hysteresis between  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  could be modelled with two sigmoidal functions, one for the diel decrease from maximum to minimum  $F_h$  and *vice-versa* for the diel increase (Fig. 4A, Table S1A), and the models performed well at predicting  $F_c$  from a new dataset (Fig. 4B and 4C). A lag due to a priming effect induced by biocrusts is possible to a certain extent as a fraction of their photosynthates is assimilated by the underlying microbial community, benefiting heterotrophic microbes which are often carbon-limited in drylands [Belnap *et al.*, 2003]. However, the duration of this lag is expected to be limited due to the absence of large phloem structures to transport those photosynthates such as in plants [Mencuccini & Hölttä, 2010]. In addition, biocrusts gross photosynthesis is almost inexistent during the dry season at our site [Miralles *et al.*, 2018] and the hysteresis was observed even in the absence of biocrusts, in the physical depositional crust. We therefore suggest that an abiotic mechanism

depending on  $F_h$  could be involved in the hysteresis between  $F_c$  and  $F_h$ . Adsorption-desorption of water vapor on solid particles is a good candidate process as it exhibits a well-known hysteresis which is considered to be crucial for accurate modelling of water vapor flow in drylands soils [Arthur *et al.*, 2020]. It has been reported from *ex-situ* experiments on soils that the adsorption time was always greater than the desorption time, with ratios of adsorption time to desorption time ranging from 1.3 to 2.9 [Akin & Likos, 2020]. Here we found that the diel “decrease” path of the observed hysteresis was always longer than the diel “increase” path, with ratios of the decrease time to increase time ranging from 1.6 to 3, thus matching closely the previous values; we therefore further assume that the observed paths of “decrease” and “increase” were likely due to underlying adsorption and desorption processes, respectively. Noteworthy, the hysteresis reported in Arthur *et al.* [2020] characterizes the relation between  $\theta_w$  and relative humidity, whereas our assumption that water vapor adsorption and desorption processes are involved is based on the relation between  $F_c$  and  $F_h$ . Our  $\theta_w$  measurements did not provide enough diel resolution to confirm the former relationship. However, we assume that a greater water content during the desorption phase than during the adsorption phase [Arthur *et al.*, 2020] should enhance  $F_c$  in this water-limited ecosystem, and therefore that it could generate the observed hysteresis exhibiting greater  $F_c$  during the hypothetical desorption phase at most microsites (Fig. 4A). In addition, during the hypothetical adsorption phase, fluxes became negative only at the end of the curve. We suggest that this might be due to (1) a threshold effect [Kharitonova *et al.*, 2010] and/or (2) different thermal conditions between laboratory and *in-situ* studies. Although similarities can be found comparing observations of laboratory and *in-situ* measurements, they are only comparable to a certain extent as laboratory results are usually based on isotherms whereas temperature is not constant in the field but seems to be the motor of WVA (Fig. 2E). Therefore, we suggest that incubations of dry soils placed on lysimeters at constant temperature and varying *RH*, or *in-situ* temperature and *RH* manipulation could help to validate our assumption.

The abiotic process of WVA could have affected  $F_c$ , contributing to a nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in different ways, enhancing other abiotic processes and/or biotic processes. Abiotic processes likely to be enhanced by WVA

include (1) dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> in water; (2) mineral reactions such as dissolution of the surface of CaCO<sub>3</sub> particles, consuming CO<sub>2</sub> through the following reversible geochemical reaction:



It has been shown that WVA on the surface of calcite is energetically favorable and that adsorbed water can affect particle reactivity by enhancing surface ion mobility, by providing a medium for reactions or by serving as a reactant in surface-catalyzed hydrolysis reactions [Rahaman *et al.*, 2008]. Moreover, much evidence has already supported such a geochemical origin for the nighttime CO<sub>2</sub> uptake at this site [Lopez Canfin *et al.*, n.d.]. Alternatively, due the co-occurrence of gypsum with calcite and the greater solubility of the former (exceeding calcite by two orders of magnitude), its potential interaction through a common ion effect deserves to be investigated as calcite precipitation from Ca<sup>2+</sup> released by gypsum could act as a carbon sink [Yu *et al.*, 2019]; that is because although calcite precipitation releases one mole of CO<sub>2</sub>, it still consumes two moles of CO<sub>2</sub> as bicarbonate (eq. 4); (3) co-adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub>. In the presence of equilibrium pressures of water vapor above 1% RH, co-adsorbed water enhances CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and influences the chemical nature of the predominant adsorbed product from bicarbonate, which is no longer formed, to carbonate [Rubasinghege & Grassian, 2013]. Note that if co-adsorption occurs on calcite, this process could potentially push eq. 4 to the right. In a desert soil with low inorganic carbon content (ca. 4%), it has been reported through an isotope labeling experiment that most of the labeled atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> was retained in the soil solid phase [Liu *et al.*, 2015]. The authors found that the CO<sub>2</sub> may be conserved in certain minerals but did not discuss adsorption as an explanatory process, though WVA can lead to the reorganization of mineral surfaces and the formation of “ice-like” structures (i.e. similar to solid water) [Rubasinghege & Grassian, 2013]. It has been estimated that CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on mineral and soil surfaces can account for 1-3% of the average annual North American terrestrial carbon sink and that the greatest increases are predicted in regions with lower soil CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (like at our study site), because soils and rock in these regions have a larger proportion of unused adsorption sites [Davidson *et al.*, 2013].



Biotic processes likely to be enhanced by WVA include (1) WVA could stimulate microbial activity of chemotrophs on the surface of soil particles. Other authors have found that WVA increased soil CO<sub>2</sub> production revealing that dryland microorganisms were able to use this water input to sustain their metabolic activity [McHugh *et al.*, 2015]. Since there is growing evidence that chemotrophs that are able to perform CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in the dark are present among biocrust communities in drylands [Bay *et al.*, 2021; Liu *et al.*, 2021], WVA could enhance the activity of these organisms that consume CO<sub>2</sub> at night. Moreover, as negative fluxes of water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> used to start exactly when PAR reached zero values (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3), the hypothesis of dark fixation by chemotrophs deserves further investigation. Note that we measured maximal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake below *Cyanobacteria* (Fig. S3A). Those microorganisms could also promote the dissolution of calcite as they are able to decrease the local extracellular ion activity product of CaCO<sub>3</sub> by taking up Ca<sup>2+</sup>, the weathering capacity of *Cyanobacteria* being optimal in the presence of a short daily dark phase [Garcia-Pichel *et al.*, 2010]; (2) WVA could favor the biomineralization of CaCO<sub>3</sub> from atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, either by stimulating the activity of biomineralizing microorganisms or indirectly through the formation of dissolved inorganic carbon species involved in the process. Biomineralization has been frequently identified in *Actinobacteria*, and *Proteobacteria* phyla [Cuezva *et al.*, 2012; Hervé *et al.*, 2016; Meier *et al.*, 2017] including in desert soils [Liu *et al.*, 2018] as well as in *Cyanobacteria* [Benzerara *et al.*, 2014]. Those phyla were abundant at our study site [Miralles *et al.*, 2020] and thus deserve further attention in future research.

#### **3.3.4.2.2. Coupling between cumulative fluxes**

A significant negative linear relationship between total cumulative  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  over the study was identified (Fig. 5), with minimum CO<sub>2</sub> emissions occurring in mature *Cyanobacteria* microsites, where water vapor emissions were maximum. Those microsites were also the driest, as they exhibited minimum average values of soil water content (not shown). They also sustained the greatest magnitude of soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Fig. S3A). Therefore, on the one hand, the low liquid water availability in *Cyanobacteria* microsites was related to greater water vapor losses from soil to atmosphere, thus probably

limiting microbial activity and CO<sub>2</sub> production. On the other hand, the greater CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in mature *Cyanobacteria* microsites contributed to mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions there.

We did not find any significant relationship between annual cumulative negative  $F_c$  and  $F_h$ , most likely because negative  $F_c$  occurred over the whole study period, whereas WVA occurred mainly in summer (Fig. 1C and 1D) and/or because of high intra-microsite variation in  $F_h$  that was not captured by our measurements. However, the significant relationship between total cumulative  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  deserves additional research integrating more years of data and more spatial coverage for  $F_h$  in order to cross-validate a model. A predictive model of annual  $F_c$  from annual  $F_h$  would present the enormous advantage of providing annual estimates of a variable that is relatively costly to measure (i.e.  $F_c$ ) from a variable that is very affordable to measure (i.e.  $F_h$ ).

#### 3.3.4.3. Effect of soil properties on water vapor adsorption

We found a strong positive linear relationship between cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> influxes and total soil specific surface area ( $SSA_s$ ) among early successional stages (Fig. 6). The controlled adsorption of gases on surfaces through the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) technique is widely used to measure the surface area of solid materials, as adsorption increases with surface area. Therefore, many porous materials of high surface area - clays, carbonates and volcanic residues - have been investigated in laboratory conditions for their adsorbent properties to capture CO<sub>2</sub> [Davidson *et al.*, 2013; Oudjenia *et al.*, 2017]. However, to our knowledge, this relationship between soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and surface area has never been evidenced *in-situ*. It could result from (1) direct adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> onto soil particles; (2) an indirect effect of WVA enhancing CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption or triggering the dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> in the adsorbed water; (3) enhanced chemotrophs/biomineralizers growth due to more available surface area and/or water.

We found no convincing relationship between cumulative negative  $F_h$  and  $SSA_s$  to confirm an indirect role of WVA. However, that was likely due to the lack of intra-microsite replicates of relative humidity measurements to

capture the spatial variability of  $F_h$ , and thus this assumption should not be discarded. The absence of consistent relationship between cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> influxes and  $SSA_s$  in late succession stages is most likely due to higher CO<sub>2</sub> production masking CO<sub>2</sub> consumption in those microsites. Other factors such as soil organic carbon (SOC) and salinity could also have affected adsorption [Amer, 2019; Arthur *et al.*, 2020; Ravikovitch *et al.*, 2005], but we did not find evidence of such relationships between adsorption and those parameters (including the content of a common salt, gypsum) at our site.

Furthermore, the strong relationship between  $SSA_s$  and clay fraction indicates that this soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was related to clay particles. Even if clays were not abundant at this site (ranging from 0.6 to 4.3% in the measured samples), they contained highly adsorbent smectite [Hatch *et al.*, 2012; Michels *et al.*, 2015]. Although smectite could have contributed to a certain extent to adsorption, its contribution was probably limited since it was only present in minor amounts (< 5 % of the clay fraction) [Solé-Benet *et al.*, 1997]. However, we found a significant relationship between the clay fraction and the reactive surface area of carbonates (RSA) (Fig. 7A), suggesting that there was a substantial amount of clay-size carbonate at this site. Clay-size carbonates are highly reactive [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996] and thus might have reacted with adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> and/or water according to eq. 4.

#### **3.3.4.4. Effect of biocrusts ecological succession on water vapor adsorption**

The ratio of cumulative negative to positive  $F_h$  and the average soil-atmosphere gradient of water vapor molar fraction respectively increased and decreased over biocrust ecological succession, suggesting that those variables might be used as indices of succession status. However, further research is needed to explore the potential biophysical mechanisms controlling those patterns.

In early successional stages, the  $SSA_s$  increased following the order of ecological succession (physical depositional crust – incipient *Cyanobacteria* – mature *Cyanobacteria*) and was associated with an increase in the magnitude of soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Fig. 6). In agreement with those results, on sandy soils, biocrusts are known to accumulate windblown silt and clay particles at the

surface, and clay particles in particular may be tightly bound to the sticky sheaths of some *Cyanobacteria* [Warren, 2003]; therefore, *Cyanobacteria* could indirectly favor WVA by accumulating fine particles. Moreover, at our experimental site, the dominance of *Cyanobacteria* in the driest microsites though those organisms require liquid water to develop is somewhat paradoxical. Since the greatest magnitude of summer WVA was observed in the mature cyanobacterial microsite (Fig. S3A), it is possible that *Cyanobacteria* take advantage of enhanced WVA in the driest microsites and use adsorbed water films to develop. Furthermore, as those organisms have the size of fine-silt particles, it is also possible that they favor WVA by providing additional adsorbent surface, surface that would be free for more adsorption once the water has been consumed by the microorganisms, thus generating a positive feedback loop between WVA and their development. Other authors have found that WVA capacity was increased by up to 157% in moss-dominated soils compared to bare soils [Li *et al.*, 2021], hence this assumption regarding *Cyanobacteria* deserves further research. The enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil coinciding with WVA in the SD lichen community during summer also requires further investigation to clarify the involved processes (Fig. S3A).

The logistic relationship found within early successional stages between the calcium carbonate equivalent content and SOC (Fig. 7B, supporting information Table S1B) is assumed to be due to the cementing effect of carbonates on aggregates [Rowley *et al.*, 2018]. Therefore, CaCO<sub>3</sub> might be crucial to stabilize organic matter in early successional stages. In late stages, other factors might contribute to organic carbon accumulation such as lichen and plant turnover and secretion of organic compounds. In agreement with those results, a previous study at this experimental site has found a trend of increase in small-size carbonates over succession [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2021]. Those particles could potentially affect  $F_h$  and  $F_c$  due to their highly adsorbent and reactive properties. Additional research is needed to determine if this accumulation of small-size carbonates has an abiotic origin (through mineral dissolution and precipitation processes) or is mediated by biocrusts (e.g. through interception of fine particles or biomineralization).

### 3.3.5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study identified for the first time WVA periods with the gradient method and provided further understanding on the underlying processes involved in the temporal patterns (diel and seasonal) of WVA. We also found that WVA was the main source of water in summer when microclimatic conditions necessary to other non-rainfall water inputs were uncommon. Our main finding is the existence of a tight coupling between  $F_c$  and  $F_h$ . So far,  $F_c$  has commonly been modelled as a function of  $T_s$ ,  $\theta_w$  and proxies of photosynthesis. Here, for the first time,  $F_c$  was modelled as a function of  $F_h$  during summer, providing robust predictions and thus this relation deserves to be tested in other ecosystems. We attribute the detected diel hysteresis between  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  to a potential water vapor adsorption/desorption process. The occurrence of both CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor uptake by soil at night suggests that both processes might be connected. We propose different biogeochemical mechanisms through which WVA could contribute or interact with this CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, including the potential co-adsorption of those gases on highly reactive clay-size calcite and the potential enhancement of the activity of specialized microorganisms.


More efforts are now needed to confirm the role of WVA on soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and disentangle the suggested involved processes, as well as to confirm its occurrence in other non-coastal drylands. In particular, the assumption according to which the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake could not arise from calcite dissolution due to the lack of water in drylands, should be revisited, as it did not consider WVA as a potential link between the water and carbon cycle in those environments. Additional research is needed to monitor soil water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> uptake as those sinks could grow with climate change in different ways: (1) warmer air has the capacity to hold more water vapor, and a soil drying is predicted in some regions of the globe, thus potentially enhancing WVA; (2) the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> increase is expected to enhance CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption by soils, especially in areas of low biological activity (typically, drylands) and where highly adsorbent phases are present; (3) little is known about the effect of future elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation by microorganisms.

In addition, the significance of the water input through WVA in hot and dry conditions leaves the door open for novel or growing research areas, for example to explore the role of WVA on ecosystem processes such as microbial and mineral reactions, on the growth of plants of agricultural interest in drylands, and as a potential source of liquid water in extra-terrestrial environments. Finding ways of optimizing WVA for agriculture in water-limited ecosystems is particularly relevant as they cover about 41% of Earth's terrestrial surface and support more than two billion people (about one third of the world's total population), 90% of whom are in developing countries.


#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was funded by the research project CGL2016-78075-P, Biocrust Dynamics (DINCOS), of the Spanish National Program of Scientific and Technical Research, and by the ICAERSA research project (P18-RT-3629) of the Andalusian Regional Government including European Union ERDF funds. We are grateful to Andrew S. Kowalski for the proofreading and insightful suggestions that considerably improved the manuscript, to Consuelo Rubio who performed the particle size analysis; and we are grateful to the Viciano brothers, landowners of the El Cautivo experimental site, without whose kind consent to set up semi-permanent installations on their land, this research would not have been possible.


Supporting information



**Figure S1** Diel hysteresis between the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_c$ ) and (A) soil temperature ( $T_s$ ), and (B) the soil-atmosphere water vapor flux ( $F_h$ ). The blue line represents the decrease from  $F_h$  maximum (during daytime) to minimum (during nighttime) and *vice-versa* for the red line. Stages of the biocrusts succession are labeled as: physical depositional crust (PD), incipient *Cyanobacteria* (IC), mature *Cyanobacteria* (MC), lichen community dominated by *Squamarina lentigera* and *Diploschistes diacapsis* (SD), lichen community characterized by *Lepraria isidiata* (LI), and *Cyanobacteria* patches within the SD microsite (MC2).



**Figure S2** Relationship between the soil-atmosphere water vapor flux and the relative humidity in atmosphere ( $RH_a$ ) within the mature *Cyanobacteria* site (MC2).



**Figure S3** Cumulative (A) inward and (B) total fluxes of water vapor ( $F_h$ ) and carbon ( $F_c$ ). The shaded areas delimit the spatial standard variation. Represented water vapor fluxes were estimated with the diffusion model of Bittelli et al. (2015) while carbon fluxes were calibrated empirically. Stages of the biocrusts succession are labeled as: physical depositional crust (PD), incipient *Cyanobacteria* (IC), mature *Cyanobacteria* (MC), lichen community dominated by *Squamarina lentigera* and *Diploschistes diacapsis* (SD), lichen community characterized by *Lepraria isidiata* (LI), and *Cyanobacteria* patches within the SD microsite (MC2).



**Table S1A** Summary of fixed effects of non-linear models of the diel hysteresis between CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor fluxes

Parameter	Crust	Diel decrease model			Diel increase model		
		Value	SE	p-value	Value	SE	p-value
$\varphi_1$	PD	0.892	0.056	<0.001	1.284	0.099	<0.001
	IC	0.749	0.078	0.07	0.817	0.125	<0.001
	MC	0.546	0.080	<0.001	0.611	0.126	<0.001
	MC2	0.395	0.087	<0.001	0.488	0.136	<0.001
	SD	0.451	0.131	<0.001	0.283	0.126	<0.001
	LI	1.182	0.125	<0.05	0.736	0.126	<0.001
$\varphi_2$	PD	-0.077	0.045	0.09	0.068	0.039	0.08
	IC	-0.216	0.063	<0.05	-0.189	0.054	<0.001
	MC	-0.195	0.063	0.06	-0.191	0.055	<0.001
	MC2	-0.240	0.070	<0.05	-0.248	0.062	<0.001
	SD	-0.079	0.062	0.98	-0.059	0.054	<0.05
	LI	0.054	0.063	<0.05	0.073	0.054	0.94
$\varphi_3$	PD	0.041	0.009	<0.001	0.084	0.007	<0.001
	IC	0.032	0.013	0.53	0.040	0.010	<0.001
	MC	0.047	0.014	0.68	0.032	0.010	<0.001
	MC2	0.051	0.015	0.51	0.030	0.011	<0.001
	SD	0.095	0.017	<0.01	0.049	0.010	<0.001
	LI	0.113	0.015	<0.001	0.045	0.010	<0.001
$\varphi_4$	PD	0.028	0.002	<0.001	0.032	0.002	<0.001
	IC	0.019	0.002	<0.001	0.021	0.003	<0.001
	MC	0.033	0.003	0.06	0.031	0.003	0.88
	MC2	0.034	0.003	<0.05	0.033	0.003	0.79
	SD	0.030	0.004	0.61	0.020	0.003	<0.001
	LI	0.043	0.004	<0.001	0.020	0.003	<0.001

**Table S1B** Summary of the non-linear relationship between CCE and SOC contents

Parameter	Value	SE	p-value
$\varphi_1$	0.318	0.005	<0.001
$\varphi_2$	0.183	0.005	<0.001
$\varphi_3$	0.646	0.004	<0.001
$\varphi_4$	0.028	0.005	<0.01

SE, standard error,  $\varphi_i$  are the fitted parameters of the four-parameter logistic model ( $y = \varphi_1 + (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) / (1 + \exp[(\varphi_3 - x)/\varphi_4])$ ), with  $\varphi_1$  the horizontal asymptote as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\varphi_2$  the horizontal asymptote as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $\varphi_3$  the  $x$  value at the inflection point of the sigmoid (the value of  $x$  for which the response variable  $y = \varphi_1/2$ ), and  $\varphi_4$  a scale parameter on the  $x$ -axis. SE is the standard error.

## References

- Agam, N., Berliner, P.R., 2006. Dew formation and water vapor adsorption in semi-arid environments—a review. *J. Arid Environ.* 65, 572–590.
- Akin, I.D., Likos, W.J., 2020. Relationship between water vapor sorption kinetics and clay surface properties. *J. Geotech. Geoenvironmental Eng.* 146, 6020015.
- Akin, I.D., Likos, W.J., 2017. Implications of surface hydration and capillary condensation for strength and stiffness of compacted clay. *J. Eng. Mech.* 143, 4017054.
- Amer, A.M., 2019. Soil moisture adsorption capacity and specific surface area in relation to water vapor pressure in arid and tropical soils. *Eurasian J. Soil Sci.* 8, 289–297. <https://doi.org/10.18393/EJSS.580889>
- Arthur, E., Tuller, M., Moldrup, P., de Jonge, L.W., 2020. Clay content and mineralogy, organic carbon and cation exchange capacity affect water vapour sorption hysteresis of soil. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 71, 204–214.
- Azimi, G., Papangelakis, V.G., Dutrizac, J.E., 2007. Modelling of calcium sulphate solubility in concentrated multi-component sulphate solutions. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 260, 300–315.
- Bay, S.K., Waite, D.W., Dong, X., Gillor, O., Chown, S.L., Hugenholtz, P., Greening, C., 2021. Chemosynthetic and photosynthetic bacteria contribute differentially to primary production across a steep desert aridity gradient. *ISME J.* 1–18.
- Belnap, J., Prasse, R., Harper, K.T., 2003. Influence of biological soil crusts on soil environments and vascular plants, in: *Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function, and Management*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 281–300. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8\\_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8_21)
- Benzerara, K., Skouri-Panet, F., Li, J., Féraud, C., Gugger, M., Laurent, T., Couradeau, E., Ragon, M., Cosmidis, J., Menguy, N., 2014. Intracellular Ca-carbonate biomineralization is widespread in cyanobacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111, 10933–10938.
- Beymer, R.J., Klopatek, J.M., 1991. Potential contribution of carbon by microphytic crusts in pinyon-juniper woodlands. *Arid L. Res. Manag.* 5, 187–198. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.1080/15324989109381279>
- Bittelli, M., Ventura, F., Campbell, G. S., Snyder, R. L., Gallegati, F., & Pisa, P. R.

- (2008). Coupling of heat, water vapor, and liquid water fluxes to compute evaporation in bare soils. *Journal of Hydrology*, 362(3–4), 191–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2008.08.014>
- Bittelli, M., Campbell, G.S., Tomei, F., 2015. *Soil physics with Python: transport in the soil-plant-atmosphere system*. OUP Oxford.
- Buck, A.L., 1981. New equations for computing vapor pressure and enhancement factor. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* 20, 1527–1532.
- Collins, M., Knutti, R., Arblaster, J., Dufresne, J.-L., Fichet, T., Friedlingstein, P., Gao, X., Gutowski, W.J., Johns, T., Krinner, G., 2013. Long-term climate change: projections, commitments and irreversibility, in: *Climate Change 2013-The Physical Science Basis: Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, pp. 1029–1136.
- Cuezva, S., Fernandez-Cortes, A., Porca, E., Pašić, L., Jurado, V., Hernandez-Marine, M., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Hermosin, B., Cañaveras, J.C., Sanchez-Moral, S., 2012. The biogeochemical role of Actinobacteria in Altamira cave, Spain. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 81, 281–290.
- Davidson, G.R., Phillips-Housley, A., Stevens, M.T., 2013. Soil-zone adsorption of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> as a terrestrial carbon sink. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 106, 44–50.
- Dusza, Y., Sanchez-Cañete, E.P., Le Galliard, J.-F., Ferriere, R., Chollet, S., Massol, F., Hansart, A., Juarez, S., Dontsova, K., Van Haren, J., 2020. Biotic soil-plant interaction processes explain most of hysteretic soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux response to temperature in cross-factorial mesocosm experiment. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1–11.
- Fa, K.-Y., Zhang, Y.-Q., Wu, B., Qin, S.-G., Liu, Z., She, W.-W., 2016. Patterns and possible mechanisms of soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in sandy soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 544, 587–594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.163>
- Garcia-Pichel, F., Ramírez-Reinat, E., Gao, Q., 2010. Microbial excavation of solid carbonates powered by P-type ATPase-mediated transcellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> transport. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 21749–21754. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011884108>
- Guenet, B., Camino-Serrano, M., Ciais, P., Tifafi, M., Maignan, F., Soong, J.L., Janssens, I.A., 2018. Impact of priming on global soil carbon stocks. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, 1873–1883. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14069>

- Hamerlynck, E.P., Scott, R.L., Sánchez-Cañete, E.P., Barron-Gafford, G.A., 2013. Nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and its relationship to subsurface soil and ecosystem carbon fluxes in a Chihuahuan Desert shrubland. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* 118, 1593–1603. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002495>
- Hatch, C.D., Wiese, J.S., Crane, C.C., Harris, K.J., Kloss, H.G., Baltrusaitis, J., 2012. Water adsorption on clay minerals as a function of relative humidity: application of BET and Freundlich adsorption models. *Langmuir* 28, 1790–1803.
- Hervé, V., Junier, T., Bindschedler, S., Verrecchia, E., Junier, P., 2016. Diversity and ecology of oxalotrophic bacteria. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 32, 28.
- Jabro, J. D., & Jabro, J. D. (2008). Water Vapor Diffusion Through Soil as Affected by Temperature and Aggregate Size. *Transport in Porous Media* 2008 77:3, 77(3), 417–428. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11242-008-9267-Z>
- Kargas, G., Londra, P., Sgoubopoulou, A., 2020. Comparison of Soil EC Values from Methods Based on 1:1 and 1:5 Soil to Water Ratios and ECe from Saturated Paste Extract Based Method. *Water* 2020, Vol. 12, Page 1010 12, 1010. <https://doi.org/10.3390/W12041010>
- Kharitonova, G. V, Vityazev, V.G., Lapekina, S.I., 2010. A mathematical model for the adsorption of water vapor by soils. *Eurasian Soil Sci.* 43, 177–186.
- Kohfahl, C., Saaltink, M.W., Ruiz Bermudo, F., 2021. Vapor flow control in dune sediments under dry bare soil conditions. *Sci. Total Environ.* 786, 147404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.147404>
- Kool, D., Agra, E., Drabkin, A., Duncan, A., Fendinat, P.P., Leduc, S., Lupovitch, G., Nambwandja, A.N., Ndilenga, N.S., Thi, T.N., 2021. The overlooked non-rainfall water input sibling of fog and dew: Daily water vapor adsorption on a! Nara hummock in the Namib Sand Sea. *J. Hydrol.* 598, 126420.
- Kosmas, C., Danalatos, N.G., Poesen, J., Van Wesemael, B., 1998. The effect of water vapour adsorption on soil moisture content under Mediterranean climatic conditions. *Agric. water Manag.* 36, 157–168.
- Kosmas, C., Marathianou, M., Gerontidis, S., Detsis, V., Tsara, M., Poesen, J., 2001. Parameters affecting water vapor adsorption by the soil under

- semi-arid climatic conditions. *Agric. water Manag.* 48, 61–78.
- Lange, O.L., Kidron, G.J., Budel, B., Meyer, A., Kilian, E., Abieliovich, A., 1992. Taxonomic composition and photosynthetic characteristics of thebiological soil crusts' covering sand dunes in the western Negev Desert. *Funct. Ecol.* 519–527.
- Lange, O.L., Meyer, A., Zellner, H., Heber, U., 1994. Photosynthesis and Water Relations of Lichen Soil Crusts: Field Measurements in the Coastal Fog Zone of the Namib Desert. *Funct. Ecol.* 8, 253. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2389909>
- Lebron, I., Herrero, J., Robinson, D.A., 2009. Determination of gypsum content in dryland soils exploiting the gypsum–bassanite phase change. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 73, 403–411.
- Li, S., Xiao, B., Sun, F., Kidron, G.J., 2021. Moss-dominated biocrusts enhance water vapor sorption capacity of surface soil and increase non-rainfall water deposition in drylands. *Geoderma* 388, 114930.
- Liu, F. fei, Mao, X. song, Zhang, J. xun, Wu, Q., Li, Y. ying, & Xu, C. (2020). Isothermal diffusion of water vapor in unsaturated soils based on Fick's second law. *Journal of Central South University* 2020 27:7, 27(7), 2017–2031. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11771-020-4427-6>
- Liu, J., Fa, K., Zhang, Y., Wu, B., Qin, S., Jia, X., 2015. Abiotic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake from the atmosphere by semiarid desert soil and its partitioning into soil phases. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 5779–5785.
- Liu, Z., Sun, Y., Zhang, Y., Feng, W., Lai, Z., Qin, S., 2021. Soil Microbes Transform Inorganic Carbon into Organic Carbon by Dark Fixation Pathways in Desert Soil. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* e2020JG006047.
- Liu, Z., Zhang, Y., Fa, K., Zhao, H., Qin, S., Yan, R., Wu, B., 2018. Desert soil bacteria deposit atmospheric carbon dioxide in carbonate precipitates. *Catena* 170, 64–72.
- Loeppert, R.H., Suarez, D.L., 1996. Carbonate and gypsum. *Methods Soil Anal. Part 3 Chem. Methods* 5, 437–474. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c15>
- Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E.P., Lazaro, R., 2021. Development of a new low-cost device to measure calcium carbonate content, reactive surface area in solid samples and dissolved inorganic carbon content in

- water samples. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13579>
- Lopez Canfin, C., Lázaro, R., Sánchez-Cañete P, E., in review. Disparate responses of soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange to biophysical and geochemical factors over a biocrust ecological succession in the Tabernas Desert. *Biogeochemistry*.
- Ma, J., Wang, Z.-Y., Stevenson, B.A., Zheng, X.-J., Li, Y., 2013. An inorganic CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion and dissolution process explains negative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in saline/alkaline soils. *Sci. Rep.* 3, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02025>
- Maier, M., Schack-Kirchner, H., 2014. Using the gradient method to determine soil gas flux: A review. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 192, 78–95.
- McHugh, T.A., Morrissey, E.M., Reed, S.C., Hungate, B.A., Schwartz, E., 2015. Water from air: an overlooked source of moisture in arid and semiarid regions. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 1–6.
- Meier, A., Kastner, A., Harries, D., Wierzbicka-Wieczorek, M., Majzlan, J., Büchel, G., Kothe, E., 2017. Calcium carbonates: induced biomineralization with controlled macromorphology. *Biogeosciences* 14, 4867–4878.
- Mencuccini, M., Hölttä, T., 2010. The significance of phloem transport for the speed with which canopy photosynthesis and belowground respiration are linked. *New Phytol.* 185, 189–203.
- Michels, L., Fossum, J.O., Rozynek, Z., Hemmen, H., Rustenberg, K., Sobas, P.A., Kalantzopoulos, G.N., Knudsen, K.D., Janek, M., Plivelic, T.S., 2015. Intercalation and retention of carbon dioxide in a smectite clay promoted by interlayer cations. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 1–9.
- Mingorance, M.D., Barahona, E., Fernández-Gálvez, J., 2007. Guidelines for improving organic carbon recovery by the wet oxidation method. *Chemosphere* 68, 409–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.01.021>
- Miralles, I., Ladrón de Guevara, M., Chamizo, S., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Ortega, R., van Wesemael, B., Cantón, Y., 2018. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> exchange controlled by the interaction of biocrust successional stage and environmental variables in two semiarid ecosystems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*

- 124, 11–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2018.05.020>
- Miralles, I., Lázaro, R., Sánchez-Marañón, M., Soriano, M., Ortega, R., 2020. Biocrust cover and successional stages influence soil bacterial composition and diversity in semiarid ecosystems. *Sci. Total Environ.* 709, 134654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2019.134654>
- Oudjenia, F., Marouf, R., Schott, J., 2017. Mechanism sorption of carbon dioxide onto dam silt. *Cogent Chem.* 3, 1300974.
- Pinheiro, J.C., Bates, D.M., 2000. *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-Plus.* Springer-Verlag New York, New York, NY.
- Rahaman, A., Grassian, V.H., Margulis, C.J., 2008. Dynamics of water adsorption onto a calcite surface as a function of relative humidity. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 112, 2109–2115.
- Rao, S. M., & Rekapalli, M. (2020). Identifying the dominant mode of moisture transport during drying of unsaturated soils. *Scientific Reports* 2020 10:1, 10(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61302-w>
- Ravikovitch, P.I., Bogan, B.W., Neimark, A. V, 2005. Nitrogen and carbon dioxide adsorption by soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 4990–4995.
- Reyzabal, M. L., & Bazán, J. C. (1992). A method for measurement of water vapor diffusion in dry soils. *Geoderma*, 53(1–2), 105–110. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061\(92\)90024-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061(92)90024-2)
- Rowley, M.C., Grand, S., Verrecchia, É.P., 2018. Calcium-mediated stabilisation of soil organic carbon. *Biogeochemistry* 137, 27–49.
- Rubasinghege, G., Grassian, V.H., 2013. Role (s) of adsorbed water in the surface chemistry of environmental interfaces. *Chem. Commun.* 49, 3071–3094.
- Saaltink, M.W., Kohfahl, C., Molano Leno, L., 2020. Analysis of water vapor adsorption in soils by means of a lysimeter and numerical modeling. *Vadose Zo. J.* 19, e20012: 1-e20012: 18.
- Sagi, N., Zaguri, M., Hawlena, D., 2021. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> influx in drylands: A conceptual framework and empirical examination. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 156, 108209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2021.108209>
- Sánchez-Cañete, E.P., Scott, R.L., van Haren, J., Barron-Gafford, G.A., 2017. Improving the accuracy of the gradient method for determining soil carbon dioxide efflux. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* 122, 50–64.
- Schlesinger, W.H., Belnap, J., Marion, G., 2009. On carbon sequestration in

- desert ecosystems. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 15, 1488–1490.
- Šimůnek, J., & Suarez, D. L. (1993). Modeling of carbon dioxide transport and production in soil: 1. Model development. *Water Resources Research*, 29(2), 487–497.
- Solé-Benet, A., Calvo, A., Cerda, A., Laizaro, R., Pini, R., Barbero, J., 1997. Influences of micro-relief patterns and plant cover on runoff related processes in badlands from Tabernas (SE Spain). *Catena* 31, 23–38.
- Tian, D., Su, M., Zou, X., Zhang, L., Tang, L., Geng, Y., Qiu, J., Wang, S., Gao, H., Li, Z., 2021. Influences of phosphate addition on fungal weathering of carbonate in the red soil from karst region. *Sci. Total Environ.* 755, 142570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2020.142570>
- Uclés, O., Villagarcía, L., Cantón, Y., Lázaro, R., Domingo, F., 2015. Non-rainfall water inputs are controlled by aspect in a semiarid ecosystem. *J. Arid Environ.* 113, 43–50.
- Verhoef, A., Diaz-Espejo, A., Knight, J.R., Villagarcía, L., Fernandez, J.E., 2006. Adsorption of water vapor by bare soil in an olive grove in southern Spain. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 7, 1011–1027.
- Wang, L., Kaseke, K.F., Seely, M.K., 2017. Effects of non-rainfall water inputs on ecosystem functions. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Water* 4, e1179. <https://doi.org/10.1002/WAT2.1179>
- Warren, S.D., 2003. Synopsis: influence of biological soil crusts on arid land hydrology and soil stability, in: *Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function, and Management*. Springer, pp. 349–360.
- Xu, X., Nieber, J.L., Gupta, S.C., 1992. Compaction effect on the gas diffusion coefficient in soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 56, 1743–1750.
- Yu, L., Daniels, L.M., Mulders, J.J.P.A., Saldi, G.D., Harrison, A.L., Liu, L., Oelkers, E.H., 2019. An experimental study of gypsum dissolution coupled to CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation and its application to carbon storage. *Chem. Geol.* 525, 447–461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMGEO.2019.08.005>
- Zhang, Q., Phillips, R.P., Manzoni, S., Scott, R.L., Oishi, A.C., Finzi, A., Daly, E., Vargas, R., Novick, K.A., 2018. Changes in photosynthesis and soil moisture drive the seasonal soil respiration-temperature hysteresis relationship. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 259, 184–195.
- Zheng, J., Peng, C., Li, H., Li, S., Huang, S., Hu, Y., Zhang, J., Li, D., 2018. The role



of non-rainfall water on physiological activation in desert biological soil crusts. *J. Hydrol.* 556, 790–799. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2017.12.003>

Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N., 2016. A protocol for conducting and presenting results of regression-type analyses. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 7, 636–645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12577>

### 3.4. CHAPTER 4


## Nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake driven by coupled gypsum dissolution and calcite precipitation in the Tabernas Desert: an active and potential long-term carbon sink

Clément Lopez-Canfin, Roberto Lázaro, Enrique P. Sánchez-Cañete

In preparation to submit for publication to *Science of the Total Environment*

Lopez-Canfin, C.; Lázaro R.; Sánchez-Cañete, E.P (in prep.). Nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by coupled gypsum dissolution and calcite precipitation in the Tabernas Desert: an active and potential long-term carbon sink.

#### Graphical abstract



**Abstract**

Drylands soils have been increasingly reported to absorb CO<sub>2</sub> at nighttime. However, there is no consensus so far about which biogeochemical processes cause this uptake. Recent evidences in a semiarid ecosystem in Southern Spain suggested that geochemical reactions with calcite could be involved and favored by the adsorption of water vapor by dry soils.

In order to test this assumption in this ecosystem, we ran continuous measurements of the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor fluxes in association with soil temperature and soil water content. Those measurements were combined with analyses of the composition of the soil solution after simulated rain events and subsequent geochemical and statistical modelling.

We found strong evidence for the occurrence of a geochemical mechanism of coupled gypsum dissolution-carbonate precipitation, due to a common-ion effect. The soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake can be explained by decreasing temperature favoring the dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> in the soil water, forming HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> that can combine with the Ca inherited from gypsum dissolution to precipitate CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The continuous removal of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> by CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation might generate a positive feedback between the mineral precipitation and CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in soil water.

The main factor limiting the process in this dryland was water availability, but our observations support that nocturnal water vapor adsorption by soil might lift this limitation under drought conditions. In addition, dissolved organic carbon seemed to inhibit the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by calcite precipitation, and a possible connection with the nitrogen cycle and biomineralizing microorganisms deserves to be further investigated. We suggest that this natural geochemical process has the potential to constitute an active long-term carbon sink since the Ca involved in CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation came from an exogenic source.


### 3.4.1. Introduction

The soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_c$ ) is a critical component of the terrestrial carbon (C) cycle because of (1) its magnitude. The carbon of soils is estimated to be exchanged with the atmosphere at a rate of ca. 98 Gt C yr<sup>-1</sup> [Bond-Lamberty & Thomson, 2010a]. This is ca. 15 times higher than the annual rate of C emission through fossil fuel combustion [Denman et al., 2007] and makes  $F_c$  the second largest contributor to terrestrial CO<sub>2</sub> exchanges, similar in scale to uptake by terrestrial photosynthesis [Raich & Schlesinger, 1992; Schimel et al., 2001]; (2) its feedback with climate. Since soil temperature and moisture are the main biophysical factors controlling  $F_c$  [Lopez-Canfin et al., 2018], the current global warming and changes in precipitation patterns are likely to modify the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> released by soils, potentially affecting in return the greenhouse effect. However,  $F_c$  remains the least constrained component of the terrestrial carbon cycle [Bond-Lamberty & Thomson, 2010b] and is often interpreted as being under the influence of biological processes, thus neglecting the role of important abiotic drivers on its dynamics [Rey, 2015; Serrano-Ortiz et al., 2010].


This is because in most instances,  $F_c$  can be considered as the result of dominant processes of CO<sub>2</sub> production and transport: respiration and diffusion, respectively [Šimůnek & Suarez, 1993]. The biological production of CO<sub>2</sub> by respiration maintains a gradient of decreasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations from soil to atmosphere. As CO<sub>2</sub> mainly flows following the Fick's first law of molecular diffusion,  $F_c$  is usually positive (efflux or CO<sub>2</sub> emission towards atmosphere). Nevertheless, it has been recently reported that a considerable fraction of soil CO<sub>2</sub> is not emitted directly towards atmosphere, but rather reacts into the soil where it is consumed by various biogeochemical processes [Sánchez-Cañete et al., 2018]. In ecosystems where biological activity is limited, the prevalence of CO<sub>2</sub> consumption rates over CO<sub>2</sub> production rates can sometimes result in negative values of  $F_c$  (influx or CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the soil). Therefore, such "anomalies" in  $F_c$  have generally been reported in drylands [e.g. Fa et al., 2016; Hamerlynck et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015], revealing the non-negligible role of soil CO<sub>2</sub> consumption processes on the carbon balance of these ecosystems.

However, there is no consensus so far about which biogeochemical

processes are involved in these anomalies of  $F_c$ , and they are not necessarily unique nor identical from one site to another. Both biotic and abiotic mechanisms can explain such observations. Several biotic processes are able to consume CO<sub>2</sub> in the soil gaseous phase at night: (1) root uptake by plants [Stemmet *et al.*, 1962]; (2) chemoautotrophy: Chemoautotrophic microorganisms can fix CO<sub>2</sub> into organic matter via the oxidation of reduced organic or inorganic compounds [Bay *et al.*, 2021; Liu *et al.*, 2021]; (3) bioweathering and/or biomineralization [Cuezva *et al.*, 2011; Liu *et al.*, 2018]. The abiotic mechanisms that can consume gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> in soil are (1) CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on soil particles [Davidson *et al.*, 2013]; (2) CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in the aqueous phase [Ma *et al.*, 2013, 2014] producing dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) species according to the reaction:




Soils can store an order of magnitude greater CO<sub>2</sub> as DIC in the aqueous phase than in the gas phase [Angert *et al.*, 2015]. The amount of DIC depends mainly on the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature according to Henry's law, as well as pH; (3) solubilization of some minerals, such as carbonates [Liu *et al.*, 2011; Roland *et al.*, 2013], the solubility of carbonates being inversely related to temperature; (4) precipitation of secondary carbonates [Hasinger *et al.*, 2015]. Carbonate dissolution and precipitation processes occur according to the following reversible reaction:



Among abiotic processes, whether CaCO<sub>3</sub> dissolution or precipitation can be considered as a net carbon sink depends on both the considered time-scale and the origin of calcium [Monger *et al.*, 2015]. The CaCO<sub>3</sub> dissolution immediately consumes gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> (eq. 2). This CO<sub>2</sub> removal from the gaseous phase could therefore be detected by instruments measuring CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes on short-time scales (e.g. at nighttime). However the DIC formed can return subsequently to the atmosphere as CO<sub>2</sub>, e.g. when the soil dries out during daytime. The DIC should be exported to aquifers in order to capture carbon transiently, from hundreds up to thousands of years [Kessler & Harvey, 2001]. Over longer term, DIC is exported to the ocean and reprecipitate as CaCO<sub>3</sub>, thus compensating the initial CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. Therefore, only the

weathering of non-carbonated rocks such as silicates can be considered as a long-term CO<sub>2</sub> sink since it initially consumes two moles of CO<sub>2</sub> and thus still removes one mole of CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere even after reprecipitation as CaCO<sub>3</sub> according to the reactions:



Similarly, although the CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation apparently produces gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> (eq. 2) potentially detectable on a short-time scale, the net balance of the equation is a carbon uptake since two moles of carbon are consumed for each mole of carbon that is produced. Instruments measuring gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> would detect a net CO<sub>2</sub> consumption only if bicarbonate ions involved in carbonate precipitation are actively renewed by rapid CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in water (eq. 1). The precipitation of pedogenic carbonates represents an interesting long-term carbon sink since the residence time of mineral carbon is much greater than organic matter: 10<sup>2</sup>-10<sup>6</sup> years and 0.1-10<sup>3</sup> years, respectively [Bernoux & Chevallier, 2014; Cailleau et al., 2004]. Over the long term, in dryland soils inherited from carbonate bedrock, the alternance of CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation/dissolution due to drying/wetting cycles is expected to be carbon neutral, except (1) temporarily during large precipitation events able to export DIC by leaching to a transient aquifer reservoir; (2) in the presence of another source of calcium to increase the pool of precipitated CaCO<sub>3</sub> over time. Main sources of calcium exogenous to carbonates include the deposition of marine aerosols and atmospheric dust as well as the alteration of minerals [Derry & Chadwick, 2007] such as gypsum (CaSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O), a common salt at Earth's surface [Verheye & Boyadgiev, 1997]. Hence, in order to understand the mechanisms driving nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, it is crucial to consider the specific soil properties of the concerned sites.

In the experimental site of *El Cautivo* located in the Tabernas Desert (Almería, Spain), recent continuous measurements of pedoclimatic variables revealed a net uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> by soil at nighttime [Lopez Canfin et al., n.d.]. The exact biogeochemical mechanisms explaining this uptake are not completely understood but recent evidences suggest that the water vapor adsorption by dry soil at nighttime could provide an input of liquid water on the surface of highly reactive clay-size carbonates [Lopez Canfin et al., 2022]. The soil at this

experimental site inherits from a bedrock consisting of gypsum-calcareous mudstones and calcaric sandstones. In particular, the calcium carbonate equivalent content is high (*ca.* 15 to 30%) and soil pH ranges from *ca.* 7.5 to 9. From the one hand, soils with pH > 6.5, can retain substantial amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> in the solution phase [*Sparling & West, 1990*] and a soil solution charged with CO<sub>2</sub> has an enhanced capacity to dissolve minerals such as carbonates. In addition, the well-known increase in CO<sub>2</sub> and carbonates solubility with decreasing temperature (a condition typically occurring at night) together with local observations [*Lopez Canfin et al., n.d.*] supports the hypothesis of a potential CaCO<sub>3</sub> dissolution process driving the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil at this site. From the other hand, due the co-occurrence of gypsum with calcite and the greater solubility of the former (exceeding calcite by two orders of magnitude), its potential interaction through a common ion effect deserves to be investigated.

Therefore, in this study we aimed to explore (1) whether carbonate reactions of precipitation/dissolution could drive the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil and (2) evaluate the potential of this CO<sub>2</sub> uptake as a long-term net carbon sink. In particular, we assumed that (1) the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake would be enhanced at nighttime and in winter due to lower temperature favoring both CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in water according to Henry's law and carbonate dissolution; (2) the magnitude of the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake would increase in soils with greater CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents due to more mineral reactions; (3) the presence of gypsum could interfere with CaCO<sub>3</sub> reactions due to a common-ion effect.

### **3.4.2. Material and Methods**

#### **3.4.2.1. Experimental site**

This study was conducted in the experimental site of El Cautivo, an area of badlands located in the Tabernas Desert (Almería, Spain) (more information about the study site is provided in Section 2.1)

Since the magnitude of the nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was greater in the mature cyanobacterial succession stage [*Lopez Canfin et al., n.d.*], it was selected to conduct this study. In order to test the assumption according to which the magnitude of the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake would increase in soils with greater

CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents due to more mineral reactions, we chose two microsites (plots) belonging to the MC succession stage with different calcium carbonate equivalent contents (CCE). The first microsite (MC) had a CCE of  $0.25 \pm 0.01 \text{ g g}^{-1}$  and the second microsite (MC2) had a CCE of  $0.31 \pm 0.03 \text{ g g}^{-1}$  [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2021]. In the MC2 microsite, *Cyanobacteria* were present as patches within the lichen SD site which had overall a greater biomass of biocrusts.

### 3.4.2.2. Environmental measurements

Continuous measurements of soil and above-surface variables were conducted since December 2017 (see Section 2.3.3). The soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux was also measured regularly with portable soil chambers (see Section 2.3.1).

### 3.4.2.3. Data processing, water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes calculation

In order to determine cumulative fluxes over the study, a gap-filling procedure was applied to the data (see Section 2.4.1)

The soil and atmosphere  $\chi_c$  were corrected for real-time changes in temperature and atmospheric pressure (see Section 2.2.1).

The water vapor pressure in soil and atmosphere was calculated as:

$$P_h = \frac{RH}{100} P_s \quad (4)$$

where  $P_h$  is the water vapor pressure (kPa),  $RH$  is the relative humidity (%), and  $P_s$  is the saturation vapor pressure calculated according to Buck [1981].

The water vapor molar fraction in soil and atmosphere was calculated as:

$$\chi_h = \frac{P_h}{P_a} \quad (5)$$

where  $\chi_h$  is the water vapor molar fraction (mol mol<sup>-1</sup>) and  $P_a$  is the atmospheric pressure (kPa).


The soil-atmosphere fluxes of water vapor ( $F_h$ ) and CO<sub>2</sub> ( $F_c$ ) were calculated according to the gradient method (see Section 2.3.2).

The following cumulative  $F_h$  and  $F_c$  were calculated from the data: emissions, uptake and total resultant fluxes. They refer to, respectively: positive fluxes (from soil to atmosphere), negative fluxes (from atmosphere to soil) and the sum of both fluxes (positive and negative).



### 3.4.2.4. Rain simulations and soil solution sampling

The soil solution was sampled after simulating rainfall during the fall and winter season, both at nighttime and daytime. To this end, two 30 cm-diameter PVC tubes were inserted in the topsoil layer ensuring to include sensors measuring  $\chi_c$ ,  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  within the delimited perimeters. Three soil solution samplers (1910PL06, Soilmoisture Equipment Corp., CA, USA) were installed at 5-cm depth within each microsite, randomly inside the area of the PVC tubes. The “zero dead volume” of those samplers and the sampling procedure described hereafter ensured to minimize potential pH measurement errors due to CO<sub>2</sub> degassing [Suarez, 1986, 1987]. Before each extraction, soil solution samplers were irrigated with rainwater previously collected in the area. An amount of 40 mm of rainwater was applied within each of the PVC tubes, two times. The first 40 mm were applied half a day before the extraction and the next 40 mm were applied just before the extraction. This amount of rainwater was selected based on preliminary tests, as it ensured to collect enough water for the subsequent analyses. The extraction was started once all the rainwater infiltrated. To this end, a vacuum of 50 kPa was applied to two buried 10 mL sampling vials and a 100 mL reservoir container connected in line with each extractor. The experimental design of the soil solution sampling experiment is summarized in Figure 1.



**Figure 1** Experimental design of the soil water sampling experiment: (1) CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction sensor; (2) soil water content sensor; (3) soil temperature sensor; (4) soil solution sampler; (5) PVC tube delimiting the watering area; (6) 5 mL sampling vial; (7) 100 mL sampling container; (8) vacuum (-50 kPa).

Once 3 times the total volume of the sampling vials was flushed through each extraction line, water samples were ready for collection. The pH electrode (HI1330B, Hannah instruments, Woonsocket, RI, USA) coupled to a pH-meter (PCE-228, PCE Iberica S.L., Tobarra, Spain) was calibrated by manually compensating the pH measurements for  $T_s$ . To this end, the pH buffers (4.01 and 7.00) were cooled or heated to reach the instantaneous readings of  $T_s$ . Once the vacuum was released, the pH electrode was equilibrated into the large sampling container. This ensured a faster response of the pH electrode for the subsequent pH determination from the sampling vial. A fast response is necessary to obtain a pH measurement representative of belowground conditions because once exposed to the atmosphere, the sample pH could drift due to CO<sub>2</sub> release or uptake. After measuring the pH in a sampling vial, two subsamples of 5 mL were withdrawn from the vial with a 10 mL syringe, filtered (0.22 μm, 25 mm Nylon filters) and saved in 5mL cryotubes for alkalinity analysis. Then the second sampling vial was disconnected and sealed quickly with an aluminum cap and a pressure gun. Two subsamples of 5 mL were withdrawn from this vial, filtered as well and saved in 5mL cryotubes for DIC determination. Particular care was taken to ensure that no air chamber were left inside the cryotubes. From the reservoir container, a 20 mL sample was filtered and saved for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) analysis. Two 10 mL samples were also filtered and saved for major anions and cations determination. The sample for cations was acidified with some drops of concentrated nitric acid (65%). If water was remaining in the reservoir, it was filtered and saved to measure conductance. Samples were transported to the laboratory in a cooler.

#### 3.4.2.5. Geochemical analyses

The DIC and alkalinity were measured in the laboratory immediately after sampling, and the other samples were stored at 4°C until analysis. The DIC content was quantified by measuring the CO<sub>2</sub> released during an acidic reaction [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2021]. Alkalinity was determined by titration with 0.1M HCl, using the inflection point method and calculator of the United States Geological Survey (<https://or.water.usgs.gov/alk/>). The acidified samples were analyzed for Ca, Mg, Na, K and S by a 720 series ICP Optical

Emission Spectrometer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to APHA [2005]. Unacidified samples were used to determine Cl<sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> with a Bran+Luebbe AA3 autoanalyzer (SPXFlow, Charlotte, NC, USA), according to ISO [2000] and ISO [1996], respectively. The DOC was estimated by difference between total carbon and DIC, both measured with a carbon analyzer TOC-Vcsh coupled to an automatic sampler ASI-V (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), according to [ASTM, 1994]. The electrical conductivity (EC) of the soil solution was measured with a conductimeter Basic 30 (Crison Instruments, Barcelona, Spain). The gypsum content was determined by exploiting the gypsum-bassanite phase change [Lebron *et al.*, 2009]. The pH, alkalinity and major ionic species of the rainwater used for irrigation was analyzed using the same procedures as described previously.

#### 3.4.2.6. Geochemical modelling

Saturation indices of calcite ( $SI_{\text{calcite}}$ ) and gypsum ( $SI_{\text{gypsum}}$ ) were calculated with the PHREEQC software (see Section 2.4.3).

#### 3.4.2.7. Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed with R software v.4.1.0. A simple correlation analysis was performed to explore relationships between pairs of variables in the whole dataset and in subsets from each microsite. To this end, a correlation matrix with p-values was computed based on the non-parametric Kendall's correlation and a strict significance level was used ( $\alpha = 0.01$ ). Then, relevant relationships were plotted using the Siegel regression, an improved version of the Kendall–Theil Sen robust regression [Siegel, 1982].

### 3.4.3. Results

#### 3.4.3.1. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in natural conditions

In natural conditions, over two years, the cumulative negative  $F_c$  (i.e. CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil (averaged among spatial replicates) were -65 gC m<sup>-2</sup> and -55 gC m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively in the MC and MC2 microsites (Figure 2A). They represented 64% and 54% of the cumulative positive  $F_c$  (101 gC m<sup>-2</sup> in both microsites), i.e. CO<sub>2</sub> emission by soil, generating a resultant cumulative  $F_c$  close


to neutrality (36 and 46 gC m<sup>-2</sup> for the MC and MC2 microsites, respectively). Those cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are represented over time, together with the cumulative negative  $F_h$  (i.e. water vapor adsorption by soil) in Fig. 2A. During dry conditions in summer, the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake mainly occur at night during periods of water vapor adsorption by soil (see example in Fig. 2B). The soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was also often enhanced after rainfalls but not always, as the observed temporal patterns usually depended on antecedent  $\theta_w$ . To illustrate that, the effect of two consecutive rainfalls in spring on  $F_c$  is represented in Fig. 2C. The rewetting of dry soil mainly produced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions immediately after rain (during two days in this example), after what the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake started. As illustrated in this example, the consecutive rainfall on a previously wet soil immediately enhanced the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake.

#### 3.4.3.2. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake after rain simulation


All irrigations triggered or magnified the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Table 1). In general, the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was particularly enhanced after the second rainwater pulse. An example of the effect of irrigation on  $F_c$  is shown in Fig. 3. Overall, the decrease in  $\chi_c$  (from its basal value before the first irrigation to its minimum) was enhanced in winter compared to fall (Fig. 4A). The cumulative  $F_c$  during soil water extractions differed between sites and between day and night (Fig. 4B). More soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was observed when the soil water extraction was performed at nighttime and in the MC site. Note that in the MC2 site, the cumulative  $F_c$  during daytime was positive because of some CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the last moments of the soil solution sampling.

#### 3.4.3.3. Water composition and saturation indices


The composition of the soil water extracts is provided in Table 2. The composition of the rainwater used for irrigation is also provided in Supplementary Information (Table S1). Almost all the  $SI_{\text{gypsum}}$  were negative, indicating overall undersaturation of the soil water with respect to gypsum. The only few positive values were very close to zero, i.e. close to the equilibrium. By contrast, almost all  $SI_{\text{calcite}}$  were positive, indicating overall supersaturation of the soil water with respect to calcite. The pH of the soil solution tended to be higher in the MC2 site, especially at nighttime (Fig. 4C).



**Figure 2** Time series of (A) cumulative soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes ( $F_c$ ) and water vapor fluxes ( $F_h$ ) of adsorption by soil; (B) hourly  $F_c$  and  $F_h$  during a dry period in summer. Negative values of  $F_h$  are attributed to water vapor adsorption by soil; (3) hourly  $F_c$  and soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) after two consecutive rain pulses in spring. Measurements were conducted in two microsites dominated by mature *Cyanobacteria* (MC and MC2).



**Figure 3** Time series of the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes ( $F_c$ ) and soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) during simulated rain pulses at nighttime in winter. Measurements were conducted in two microsites dominated by mature *Cyanobacteria* (MC and MC2).



**Figure 4** Boxplots of (A) the decrease in soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\Delta\chi_c$ ) after simulated rain pulses (from basal value before the first irrigation to minimum) against season; (B) the cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes ( $F_{c1}$ ) during soil water extractions ( $F_{c1}$ ) against the interaction between site and day time; (C) the pH of soil solution against the interaction between site and day time. The black points are the means. Measurements were conducted in two microsites dominated by mature *Cyanobacteria* (MC and MC2).

**Table 1** Effect of simulated rain pulses on pedoclimate

Date	Day time	Site	Basal $\chi_c$	Final $\chi_c$	Cumulative CO <sub>2</sub> uptake	$T_s$ during extraction	$\theta_w$ during extraction
-	-	-	$\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$	$\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$	$\text{gC m}^2$	$^{\circ}\text{C}$	$\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$
09-10-20	day	MC	395	236	-0.26	22.3	0.23
14-10-20		MC2	423	275	-0.11	16.4	0.26
19-10-20	night	MC	472	260	-0.46	14.9	0.22
23-10-20		MC2	346	228	-0.45	13.6	0.27
26-01-21	day	MC	663	244	-0.11	17.1	0.22
29-01-21		MC2	608	340	-0.06	13.0	0.28
06-02-21	night	MC	521	155	-0.49	10.3	0.22
21-03-21		MC2	688	244	-0.13	8.2	0.29

$\chi_c$ , CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction;  $T_s$ , soil temperature;  $\theta_w$ , soil water content. Basal  $\chi_c$  refers to  $\chi_c$  before the rain simulation. Final  $\chi_c$  refers to  $\chi_c$  minimum after the rain simulation. The cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> uptake refers to the uptake since the occurrence of the first negative CO<sub>2</sub> flux until it became positive again.


#### 3.4.3.4. Relationships between variables

The plots of Kendall correlations from the whole dataset as well as from the MC and MC2 sites subsets are presented in Figure 5. Over the whole dataset, the most relevant correlations came from the positive relationships between alkalinity and DIC (Fig. 6A) as well as between Ca and S (Fig. 6B). There was also a significant positive relationship between  $SI_{\text{calcite}}$  and pH but due to five outliers that had their own non-significant relationship, this correlation was not highlighted by the correlation plot (Fig. 6C). All those outliers came from the MC2 site, and corresponded to negative values of  $SI_{\text{calcite}}$ , or very close to the equilibrium.

Over the MC subset, the most relevant correlations came from the positive relationship between cumulative  $F_c$  during extraction and  $T_s$  during extraction (Fig. 6D), the positive relationship between cumulative  $F_c$  after rain and DOC concentration (Fig. 6E), the negative relationship between the  $\chi_c$  decrease after rain and pH (Fig. 6F), the negative relationship between the  $\chi_c$  decrease after rain and the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration (Fig. 6G), and the positive relationship between pH and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration (Fig. 6H).


Over the MC2 subset, the most relevant correlations came from the negative relationship between DOC concentration and pH (Fig. 6I) and the negative relationships between the  $\chi_c$  decrease and  $T_s$  after rain (however, since the information of this relationship was redundant with Fig. 6D, it is not plotted).





**Figure 5** Plots of significant Kendall's correlations over (A) the whole dataset; (B) the MC (mature cyanobacterial) microsite subset; (C) the MC2 microsite subset.

Mean  $\chi_c$ , mean soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_c$ ) during soil water extraction; Mean  $F_{c1}$ , mean soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_c$ ) during extraction; Cum.  $F_{c1}$ , cumulative  $F_c$  during extraction;  $\Delta\chi_c$ , decrease in  $\chi_c$  after irrigation (from basal value before the first irrigation to minimum); Mean  $F_{c2}$ , mean  $F_c$  after irrigation (from basal  $\chi_c$  value before the first irrigation to minimum); Cum.  $F_{c2}$ , cumulative  $F_c$  after irrigation (from basal  $\chi_c$  value before the first irrigation to minimum);  $T_{s1}$ , mean soil temperature during extraction;  $\theta_{w1}$ , mean soil water content during extraction;  $T_{s2}$ , mean  $T_s$  after irrigation (from basal  $\chi_c$  value before the first irrigation to minimum);  $\theta_{w2}$ , mean  $\theta_w$  after irrigation (from basal  $\chi_c$  value before the first irrigation to minimum) Alk, alkalinity; DIC, dissolved inorganic carbon content; DOC, dissolved organic carbon content; Ca, calcium concentration; S, sulfur concentration;  $NO_3^-$ , nitrate concentration;  $Sl_{calcite}$ , calcite saturation index;  $Sl_{gypsum}$ , gypsum saturation index.



**Figure 6** Relevant significant relationships between variables (A-C) over the whole dataset; (D-H) over the MC (mature cyanobacterial) microsite subset; (I) over the MC2 microsite subset.


DIC, dissolved inorganic carbon content; Ca, calcium content; S, sulfur content; S<sub>calcite</sub>, saturation index of calcite; χ<sub>c</sub>, soil CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction; Δχ<sub>c</sub> after rain, decrease in χ<sub>c</sub> after irrigation (from basal χ<sub>c</sub> value before the first irrigation to minimum); F<sub>c1</sub>, soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux (F<sub>c</sub>) during extraction; F<sub>c2</sub>, F<sub>c</sub> after irrigation (from basal χ<sub>c</sub> value before the first irrigation to minimum); NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, nitrate concentration; DOC, dissolved organic carbon content. The blue lines are the models fits and the shaded bands are the confidence intervals. The redline in (C) is a linear regression fit on outliers form the MC2 microsite.

### 3.4.4. Discussion

#### 3.4.4.1. Evidences of coupled gypsum dissolution and carbonate precipitation


This study found several evidences of calcite precipitation from the calcium inherited from gypsum dissolution:

- (1) The soil solution was most of the time undersaturated with respect to gypsum (Table 2) and there was a strong correlation between Ca and S concentration (Fig. 6B), indicating that the dissolution of this mineral was favored, according to the following reaction:



- (2) By contrast, the soil solution was most of the time supersaturated with respect to calcite (Table 2) and  $SI_{\text{calcite}}$  increased with pH (Fig. 6C), indicating that the precipitation of this mineral was favored according to eq. 2, and enhanced by pH in the observed pH range (ca. 7.8 to 9). Non-equilibrium conditions with soil solution supersaturated with respect to calcite is a common condition in drylands soils that can be due to other sources of Ca, such as silicates [Suarez *et al.*, 1992; Suarez & Rhoades, 1982]. The presence of gypsum has also been reported to favor CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation due to the common-ion effect [Bischoff *et al.*, 1994; Suarez, 2017].

Therefore, we suggest that at our site, gypsum dissolution releases Ca that increases the IAP of calcite, thus favoring the precipitation of the latter mineral. In agreement with our results, pH >7.5 are known to favor gypsum dissolution and precipitation of calcite at atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations [Yu *et al.*, 2019]. The coupled reaction can be summarized as:



#### 3.4.4.2. Potential of coupled gypsum dissolution-carbonate precipitation as a long-term carbon sink

This study was motivated by *in-situ* observations of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil, mitigating soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Fig. 2). To explain this uptake, we formulated a conjecture according to which although the CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation apparently

produces gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> (eq. 2), the net balance of the equation is a carbon uptake since two moles of carbon are consumed for each mole of carbon that is produced. As a result, instruments measuring gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> would only detect a net CO<sub>2</sub> consumption if bicarbonate ions involved in carbonate precipitation are actively renewed by rapid CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in water (eq. 1). Based on the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil after irrigation (Fig. 3, Table 1) in association with the previously explained evidences of coupled gypsum dissolution and calcite precipitation, this assertion is likely. Carbonate precipitation, by removing HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from the soil solution, would shift the equilibrium of eq. 1 towards more CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution, generating a positive feedback loop between those processes. Note that since saturation indexes are theoretical, they mean that CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation was favored but the observed CO<sub>2</sub> uptake could also have been due to the formation of ionic species (CaCO<sub>3</sub><sup>0</sup> y CaHCO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>), without immediate CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation. However, CaCO<sub>3</sub> would precipitate anyway during subsequent soil drying due to the removal of water and increasing Ca<sup>2+</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations shifting eq. 2 to the left.

One of the objectives of this study was to evaluate the potential of the observed CO<sub>2</sub> uptake as a long-term net carbon sink. The precipitation of secondary CaCO<sub>3</sub> can be considered as an effective carbon sink only when the Ca originates from an exogenic source (i.e. independently of the carbonate parent material), due to precipitation/dissolution cycles of CaCO<sub>3</sub> that would result in a neutral carbon balance [*Hasinger et al., 2015; Monger et al., 2015*]. For this reason, the weathering of silicate rocks represents a well-known carbon sink (eq. 3). However, this reaction is generally considered to be slow, except for kinetically labile silicates such as plagioclase [*Sánchez-Cañete et al., 2018; Suarez & Rhoades, 1982*], and has usually been considered to be a carbon sink regulating Earth climate over geological times. By contrast, the carbonation from gypsum dissolution is rapid (on timescales of hours) and has therefore been considered as a promising way to store CO<sub>2</sub> in subsurface caprocks [*Yu et al., 2019*]. However, the later authors concluded that since the reaction releases protons (eq. 7), the acidification of the medium could potentially tend to destabilize calcite over time. At the pH range observed at our sites, calcite dissolution is rather unlikely to occur, except during ephemeral soil respiration pulses after extended drought, typically once a year

at the end of the summer under this climate [Vargas *et al.*, 2018]. During those moments, the respired CO<sub>2</sub> has the potential to acidify the soil solution. Therefore, in order to confirm that the coupled gypsum dissolution and calcite precipitation constitutes a long-term carbon sink in those soils, further research should monitor the composition of the soil solution during those events as well as the gypsum and soil CaCO<sub>3</sub> content over time. The use of isotope techniques would also contribute to better characterize the pathways of Ca and carbon.

### **3.4.4.3. Factors related to the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake**

#### **3.4.4.3.1. Water availability**

In this dryland, the main factor controlling the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake appeared to be water availability, as it is required for the initial gypsum dissolution. Our observations reveal that CO<sub>2</sub> uptake could be triggered or enhanced by rainfall (Fig.3C, Fig. 4). Remarkably, this uptake used to only occur at nighttime in natural dry conditions (Fig. 3B) but also occurred during daytime after irrigation (Table 1). Those results support the recent assumption according to which water vapor adsorption in natural dry conditions enhances mineral reactions at this site [Lopez Canfin *et al.*, 2022]. During daytime, liquid water was not available for mineral reactions in natural conditions but our irrigation lifted this limitation. Note that since gypsum is a hydrated salt with strong hydration/dehydration properties, it could potentially favor the process of water vapor adsorption and its dissolution could provide a non-negligible additional input of water at nighttime during drought.

#### **3.4.4.3.2. Soil temperature**

When water was available, the second most critical factor driving the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in natural conditions was certainly the decrease in temperature at night due to Henry's law according to which CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in water increases at lower temperature [Wilhelm *et al.*, 2002]. To test this assumption, we performed our soil water extractions from fall to winter in order to capture the natural seasonal decrease in  $T_s$ , as well as at daytime and nighttime to capture the diel pattern of  $T_s$  (Table 1). We found that the decrease in  $\chi_c$  from basal

pre-irrigation conditions was magnified in winter (Fig. 4A), and that the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was enhanced at nighttime (Fig. 4B) following the expected relationship with temperature (Fig. 6E), thus confirming our hypothesis. Such inverse relationship between soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and temperature has already been reported *in-situ* in other drylands [Ma *et al.*, 2013; Parsons *et al.*, 2004]. At our experimental site, this uptake was also site-dependent (Fig. 4B).

#### 3.4.4.3.3. Dissolved organic carbon

The third factor that seemed to drive the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was the presence of organic matter. At the beginning of this study, we assumed that soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake would be greater in the site with higher CaCO<sub>3</sub> content, i.e. in the MC2 site. However, we found the opposite trend (Table1, Fig.3, Fig. 4B) with the MC2 site even transiently emitting CO<sub>2</sub> at daytime (Fig. 4B). We suggest that this result is attributable to the greater soil organic carbon (SOC) content at the MC2 site (11.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) compared to the MC site (6.4 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) [Lopez Canfin *et al.*, n.d.] that could have acted in different ways: (1) by providing more available substrate for biological CO<sub>2</sub> production, thus partly masking the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. However, over two years, soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were similar between the two sites (101 gC m<sup>-2</sup>) and hence, this process could only have played a minor role; (2) by inhibiting calcite precipitation [Lebron & Suarez, 1996] through surface coating of the growing crystals by DOC [Lebron & Suárez, 1998]. We found several evidences supporting this mechanism: the soil solution pH used to be lower in the MC site (Fig. 4C) potentially as a result of more coupled gypsum dissolution-calcite precipitation releasing protons in this site (eq. 7) and conversely, more inhibition of the calcite growth in the MC2 “SOC-richer” site. The acidification triggered by the coupled gypsum dissolution-calcite precipitation seems to be confirmed by the greater magnitude of the CO<sub>2</sub> decrease after irrigation at lower pH in the MC site (Fig. 6F). Otherwise, if the process of carbonation from gypsum were not involved, the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake would have been expected to be enhanced by pH [Ma *et al.*, 2013; Sparling & West, 1990] due to aqueous CO<sub>2</sub> rapidly converting to HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>; that would provoke a depletion of aqueous CO<sub>2</sub> shifting the equilibrium of eq. 1 towards more CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution. As another evidence for the inhibition of calcite nucleation at the MC2 site, greater values of DOC were

associated to a lower pH (6I) thus limiting  $SI_{\text{calcite}}$  which is pH-dependent (Fig 6C); in addition outliers in the relationship between  $SI_{\text{calcite}}$  and pH all came from the MC2 “SOC-richer” site. Greater DOC values associated to lower pH might be due to an acidifying effect of organic matter on soil solution. In particular, organic acids are commonly produced by microorganisms and have the ability to enhance mineral weathering [Drever & Stillings, 1997; Finlay *et al.*, 2020], potentially explaining the relationship between DOC and pH (Fig. 6I) and why all the previously mentioned outliers were the only samples undersaturated with respect to CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 6C).

#### 3.4.4.3.4. Nitrogen

In the MC site, we found a strong relationship between the  $\chi_c$  decrease after irrigation and the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration, with the latter variable related to pH of the soil solution (Fig. 6G and 6H). However, the potential mechanisms involved in those relationships remain unclear, as several processes could contribute. Both pH and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> have been shown to affect the activity of carbonic anhydrase, an enzyme produced by microorganisms that catalyzes the hydration of CO<sub>2</sub> [Jones *et al.*, 2021]. Soil pH can influence nitrification, denitrification and dissimilatory reduction NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in a variety of ways [Šimek & Cooper, 2002; Stevens *et al.*, 1998]. In soils covered by biocrusts such as at our site, nitrifying microbes producing nitric acid are widespread and numerous [Garcia-Pichel *et al.*, 2016; Marusenko *et al.*, 2013]. In addition, biocrusts can release very rapidly NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> after rewetting that can be readily taken up by the surroundings microorganisms and the release of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> from nitrogen-fixing organisms supports very intense rates of nitrification in biocrusts [Barger *et al.*, 2016]. More recently, [Liu *et al.*, 2018] reported that under laboratory conditions, desert bacteria were able to trap atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in carbonate presumably *via* a biomineralization process mediated by ammonification through its positive effect on pH. The authors concluded that more empirical results were needed to confirm that such atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> biomineralization processes actually occur in desert soils. Further *in-situ* research should combine soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes measurements to explore the potential participation of nitrogen-related ecosystem processes on the nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, as well as well as

scanning electron microscopy to investigate a potential biotic origin of the precipitated  $\text{CaCO}_3$ .

### **3.4.5. Conclusions**

This study emphasizes the role of soil mineral reactions in the nocturnal  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake by soil that has been increasingly reported in drylands and which origin is debated. At the studied site, analyses point out that coupled gypsum dissolution-carbonate precipitation can explain this uptake. In this dryland, the availability of water appears to be the main factor limiting the process. Empirical evidences show that this geochemical process was enhanced by rainfalls and probably by nocturnal water vapor adsorption by soil in dry conditions. In the presence of water, the second main factor driving the process appears to be temperature. Decreasing temperature, either at nighttime or in winter favored the dissolution of  $\text{CO}_2$  in the soil water, forming  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  that could combine with the Ca inherited from gypsum dissolution to precipitate  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , generating a net  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake by soil. The continuous removal of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  by  $\text{CaCO}_3$  precipitation might generate a positive feedback between the mineral precipitation and  $\text{CO}_2$  dissolution in soil water. By contrast, the presence of DOC seemed to inhibit the process, most likely due to surface coating during crystal growth. Our results also show that the  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake could be connected to nitrogen cycling but the possibly involved mechanisms remain to be clarified. We suggest that this natural pathway of  $\text{CO}_2$  trapping in soil  $\text{CaCO}_3$  potentially constitutes an active long-term carbon sink.

### **Acknowledgements**

This work was funded by the research project CGL2016-78075-P, Biocrust Dynamics (DINCOS), of the Spanish National Program of Scientific and Technical Research, and by the ICAERSA research project (P18-RT-3629) of the Andalusian Regional Government including European Union ERDF funds. We are grateful to Andrew S. Kowalski for the proofreading and insightful suggestions that considerably improved the manuscript, and we are grateful to the Viciano brothers, landowners of the El Cautivo experimental site, without whose kind consent to set up semi-permanent installations on their land, this research would not have been possible.



**Supporting Information**

**Table S1** Composition of the rainwater used for irrigation

Sample	pH	Alkalinity meq L <sup>-1</sup>	Ca	K	Mg	Na	S	Cl <sup>-</sup>	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>
-	-					mmol L <sup>-1</sup>			
1	6.74	0.022	0.034	0.003	0.005	0.033	< 0.0016	0.042	0.004
2	6.51	0.03	0.017	0.003	0.007	0.040	< 0.0016	0.048	0.003

## References

- American Public Health Association. (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (21st ed.). Port City Press.
- Angert, A., Yakir, D., Rodeghiero, M., Preisler, Y., Davidson, E., & Weiner, T. (2015). Using O<sub>2</sub> to study the relationships between soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and soil respiration. *Biogeosciences*, *12*, 2089–2099. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-2089-2015>
- ASTM. (1994). Standard Test Method for Total Organic Carbon in water. In *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*. American Soc. Testing & Materials.
- Barger, N. N., Weber, B., Garcia-Pichel, F., Zaady, E., & Belnap, J. (2016). *Patterns and Controls on Nitrogen Cycling of Biological Soil Crusts*. 257–285. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_14)
- Bay, S. K., Waite, D. W., Dong, X., Gillor, O., Chown, S. L., Hugenholtz, P., & Greening, C. (2021). Chemosynthetic and photosynthetic bacteria contribute differentially to primary production across a steep desert aridity gradient. *The ISME Journal*, 1–18.
- Bernoux, M., & Chevallier, T. (2014). Carbon in dryland soils. Multiple essential functions. *Les Dossiers Thématiques Du CSFD*, *10*.
- Bischoff, J. L., Juliá, R., Shanks III, W. C., & Rosenbauer, R. J. (1994). Karstification without carbonic acid: Bedrock dissolution by gypsum-driven dedolomitization. *Geology*, *22*(11), 995–998.
- Bond-Lamberty, B., & Thomson, A. (2010a). Temperature-associated increases in the global soil respiration record. *Nature*, *464*(7288), 579–582.
- Bond-Lamberty, B., & Thomson, A. (2010b). A global database of soil respiration data. *Biogeosciences*, *7*(6), 1915–1926.
- Buck, A. L. (1981). New equations for computing vapor pressure and enhancement factor. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, *20*(12), 1527–1532.
- Cailleau, G., Braissant, O., & Verrecchia, E. P. (2004). Biomineralization in plants as a long-term carbon sink. *Naturwissenschaften* *2004* *91*:4, *91*(4), 191–194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00114-004-0512-1>
- Cuezva, S., Fernandez-Cortes, A., Benavente, D., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Kowalski, A. S., & Sanchez-Moral, S. (2011). Short-term CO<sub>2</sub> (g) exchange between a

- shallow karstic cavity and the external atmosphere during summer: role of the surface soil layer. *Atmospheric Environment*, 45(7), 1418–1427.
- Davidson, G. R., Phillips-Housley, A., & Stevens, M. T. (2013). Soil-zone adsorption of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> as a terrestrial carbon sink. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 106, 44–50.
- Denman, K. L., Brasseur, G., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P. M., Dickinson, R. E., Hauglustaine, D., Heinze, C., Holland, E., Jacob, D., Lohmann, U., Ramachandran, S., da Silva Dias, P. L., Wofsy, S. C., & Zhang, X. (2007). *Couplings Between Changes in the Climate System and Biogeochemistry, in Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 499–587.*
- Derry, L. A., & Chadwick, O. A. (2007). Contributions from Earth's Atmosphere to Soil. *Elements*, 3(5), 333–338. <https://doi.org/10.2113/GSELEMENTS.3.5.333>
- Drever, J. I., & Stillings, L. L. (1997). The role of organic acids in mineral weathering. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 120(1–3), 167–181. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757\(96\)03720-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757(96)03720-X)
- Fa, K.-Y., Zhang, Y.-Q., Wu, B., Qin, S.-G., Liu, Z., & She, W.-W. (2016). Patterns and possible mechanisms of soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in sandy soil. *Science of the Total Environment*, 544, 587–594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.163>
- Finlay, R. D., Mahmood, S., Rosenstock, N., Bolou-Bi, E. B., Köhler, S. J., Fahad, Z., Rosling, A., Wallander, H., Belyazid, S., Bishop, K., & Lian, B. (2020). Reviews and syntheses: Biological weathering and its consequences at different spatial levels - From nanoscale to global scale. *Biogeosciences*, 17(6), 1507–1533. <https://doi.org/10.5194/BG-17-1507-2020>
- Garcia-Pichel, F., John, V., Noah, M., Felde, L., Drahorad, S. L., & Weber, B. (2016). *Microstructure and Weathering Processes Within Biological Soil Crusts*. 237–255. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_13)
- Hamerlynck, E. P., Scott, R. L., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., & Barron-Gafford, G. A. (2013). Nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and its relationship to subsurface soil and ecosystem carbon fluxes in a Chihuahuan Desert shrubland. *Journal*

- of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 118(4), 1593–1603. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002495>
- Hasinger, O., Spangenberg, J. E., Millière, L., Bindschedler, S., Cailleau, G., & Verrecchia, E. P. (2015). Carbon dioxide in scree slope deposits: A pathway from atmosphere to pedogenic carbonate. *Geoderma*, 247–248, 129–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GEODERMA.2015.02.012>
- International Organization of Standardization. (1996). *ISO 13395:1996. Water quality - Determination of nitrite nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen and the sum of both by flow analysis (CFA and FIA) and spectrometric detection*. <https://www.iso.org/standard/21870.html>
- International Organization of Standardization. (2000). *ISO 15682:2000. Water quality - Determination of chloride by flow analysis (CFA and FIA) and photometric or potentiometric detection*. <https://www.iso.org/standard/27984.html>
- Jones, S. P., Kaisermann, A., Ogée, J., Wohl, S., Cheesman, A. W., Cernusak, L. A., & Wingate, L. (2021). Oxygen isotope exchange between water and carbon dioxide in soils is controlled by pH, nitrate and microbial biomass through links to carbonic anhydrase activity. *SOIL*, 7(1), 145–159. <https://doi.org/10.5194/SOIL-7-145-2021>
- Kessler, T. J., & Harvey, C. F. (2001). The global flux of carbon dioxide into groundwater. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(2), 279–282. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL011505>
- Lebron, I., Herrero, J., & Robinson, D. A. (2009). Determination of gypsum content in dryland soils exploiting the gypsum–bassanite phase change. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 73(2), 403–411.
- Lebron, I., & Suarez, D. L. (1996). Calcite nucleation and precipitation kinetics as affected by dissolved organic matter at 25°C and pH > 7.5. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 60(15), 2765–2776. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(96\)00137-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(96)00137-8)
- Lebron, I., & Suárez, D. L. (1998). Kinetics and Mechanisms of Precipitation of Calcite as Affected by PCO<sub>2</sub> and Organic Ligands at 25°C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 62(3), 405–416. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(97\)00364-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(97)00364-5)

- Liu, J., Fa, K., Zhang, Y., Wu, B., Qin, S., & Jia, X. (2015). Abiotic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake from the atmosphere by semiarid desert soil and its partitioning into soil phases. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *42*(14), 5779–5785.
- Liu, Z., Dreybrodt, W., & Liu, H. (2011). Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> sink: Silicate weathering or carbonate weathering? *Applied Geochemistry*, *26*(SUPPL.), S292–S294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APGEOCHEM.2011.03.085>
- Liu, Z., Sun, Y., Zhang, Y., Feng, W., Lai, Z., & Qin, S. (2021). Soil Microbes Transform Inorganic Carbon into Organic Carbon by Dark Fixation Pathways in Desert Soil. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, e2020JG006047.
- Liu, Z., Zhang, Y., Fa, K., Zhao, H., Qin, S., Yan, R., & Wu, B. (2018). Desert soil bacteria deposit atmospheric carbon dioxide in carbonate precipitates. *Catena*, *170*, 64–72.
- Lopez Canfin, C., Lázaro, R., & Sánchez-Cañete P, E. (n.d.). Disparate responses of soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange to biophysical and geochemical factors over a biocrust ecological succession in the Tabernas Desert. *Geoderma*.
- Lopez Canfin, C., Lázaro, R., & Sánchez-Cañete P, E. (2022). Water vapor adsorption by dry soils: a potential link between the water and carbon cycles. *Science of the Total Environment*.
- Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., & Lazaro, R. (2021). Development of a new low-cost device to measure calcium carbonate content, reactive surface area in solid samples and dissolved inorganic carbon content in water samples. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13579>
- Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., Serrano-Ortiz, P., López-Ballesteros, A., Domingo, F., Kowalski, A. S., & Oyonarte, C. (2018). From microhabitat to ecosystem: identifying the biophysical factors controlling soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics in a karst shrubland. *European Journal of Soil Science*, *69*(6), 1018–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12710>
- Ma, J., Liu, R., Tang, L.-S., Lan, Z.-D., & Li, Y. (2014). A downward CO<sub>2</sub> flux seems to have nowhere to go. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, *11*(7), 10419–10450.
- Ma, J., Wang, Z.-Y., Stevenson, B. A., Zheng, X.-J., & Li, Y. (2013). An inorganic CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion and dissolution process explains negative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in

- saline/alkaline soils. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02025>
- Marusenko, Y., Bates, S. T., Anderson, I., Johnson, S. L., Soule, T., & Garcia-Pichel, F. (2013). Ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria are structured by geography in biological soil crusts across North American arid lands. *Ecological Processes*, 2(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2192-1709-2-9/TABLES/3>
- Monger, H. C., Kraimer, R. A., Khresat, S., Cole, D. R., Wang, X., & Wang, J. (2015). Sequestration of inorganic carbon in soil and groundwater. *Geology*, 43(5), 375–378. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G36449.1>
- Parsons, A. N., Barrett, J. E., Wall, D. H., & Virginia, R. A. (2004). Soil carbon dioxide flux in Antarctic dry valley ecosystems. *Ecosystems*, 7(3), 286–295. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-003-0132-1>
- Raich, J. W., & Schlesinger, W. H. (1992). The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate. *Tellus B*, 44(2), 81–99.
- Rey, A. (2015). Mind the gap: non-biological processes contributing to soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux. *Global Change Biology*, 21(5), 1752–1761.
- Roland, M., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Kowalski, A. S., Godd ris, Y., S nchez-Ca nete, E. P., Ciais, P., Domingo, F., Cuezva, S., Sanchez-Moral, S., & Longdoz, B. (2013). Atmospheric turbulence triggers pronounced diel pattern in karst carbonate geochemistry. *Biogeosciences*, 10(7), 5009–5017. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-5009-2013>
- S nchez-Ca nete, E. P., Barron-Gafford, G. A., & Chorover, J. (2018). A considerable fraction of soil-respired CO<sub>2</sub> is not emitted directly to the atmosphere. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 13518. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29803-x>
- Schimel, D. S., House, J. I., Hibbard, K. A., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Peylin, P., Braswell, B. H., Apps, M. J., Baker, D., & Bondeau, A. (2001). Recent patterns and mechanisms of carbon exchange by terrestrial ecosystems. *Nature*, 414(6860), 169.
- Serrano-Ortiz, P., Roland, M., Sanchez-Moral, S., Janssens, I. A., Domingo, F., Godd ris, Y., & Kowalski, A. S. (2010). Hidden, abiotic CO<sub>2</sub> flows and

- gaseous reservoirs in the terrestrial carbon cycle: review and perspectives. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 150(3), 321–329.
- Siegel, A. F. (1982). Robust regression using repeated medians. *Biometrika*, 69(1), 242–244. <https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOMET/69.1.242>
- Šimek, M., & Cooper, J. E. (2002). The influence of soil pH on denitrification: progress towards the understanding of this interaction over the last 50 years. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 53(3), 345–354. <https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1365-2389.2002.00461.X>
- Šimůnek, J., & Suarez, D. L. (1993). Modeling of carbon dioxide transport and production in soil: 1. Model development. *Water Resources Research*, 29(2), 487–497.
- Sparling, G. P., & West, A. W. (1990). A comparison of gas chromatography and differential respirometer methods to measure soil respiration and to estimate the soil microbial biomass. *Pedobiologia*, 34(2), 103–112.
- Stemmet, M. C., De Bruyn, J. A., & Zeeman, P. B. (1962). The uptake of carbon dioxide by plant roots. *Plant and Soil*, 17(3), 357–364.
- Stevens, R. J., Laughlin, R. J., & Malone, J. P. (1998). Soil pH affects the processes reducing nitrate to nitrous oxide and di-nitrogen. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 30(8–9), 1119–1126. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(97\)00227-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00227-7)
- Suarez, D. (2017). Inorganic Carbon: Land Use Impacts. *Encyclopedia of Soil Science, Third Edition*, 1210–1212. <https://doi.org/10.1081/E-ESS3-120001765>
- Suarez, D. L. (1986). A soil water extractor that minimizes CO<sub>2</sub> degassing and pH errors. *Water Resources Research*, 22(6), 876–880.
- Suarez, D. L. (1987). Prediction of pH Errors in Soil-water Extractors Due to Degassing 1. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 51(1), 64–67.
- Suarez, D. L., & Rhoades, J. D. (1982). The Apparent Solubility of Calcium Carbonate in Soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 46(4), 716–722.
- Suarez, D. L., Wood, J. D., & Ibrahim, I. (1992). Reevaluation of calcite supersaturation in soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 56(6), 1776–1784.
- Vargas, R., Sánchez-Cañete P, E., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Curiel Yuste, J., Domingo, F., López-Ballesteros, A., & Oyonarte, C. (2018). Hot-moments of soil CO<sub>2</sub>

- efflux in a water-limited grassland. *Soil Systems*, 2(3), 47.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems2030047>
- Verheye, W. H., & Boyadgiev, T. G. (1997). Evaluating the land use potential of gypsiferous soils from field pedogenic characteristics. *Soil Use and Management*, 13(2), 97–103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1475-2743.1997.TB00565.X>
- Wilhelm, E., Battino, R., & Wilcock, R. J. (2002). Low-pressure solubility of gases in liquid water. *Chemical Reviews*, 77(2), 219–262.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/CR60306A003>
- Yu, L., Daniels, L. M., Mulders, J. J. P. A., Saldi, G. D., Harrison, A. L., Liu, L., & Oelkers, E. H. (2019). An experimental study of gypsum dissolution coupled to CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation and its application to carbon storage. *Chemical Geology*, 525, 447–461.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMGEO.2019.08.005>





## 4. GENERAL DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Variation of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and other environmental variables over ecological succession

In the Chapter 2 of the thesis, a predictive spatio-temporal model was developed in order to predict the evolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> molar fraction ( $\chi_c$ ) of soil over time, as accurate predictions of  $\chi_c$  could be used to predict the soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux ( $F_c$ ) by using the gradient method. This model was developed in a parsimonious way, as it included as less terms as possible: (1) a qualitative variable: the stage of biocrusts ecological succession; (2) two quantitative variables: the soil water content ( $\theta_w$ ) and soil temperature ( $T_s$ ); (3) up to three-way interactions between those variables. In spite of its simplicity, the model performed very well at predicting from a new dataset. During the model development, it was observed that removing the stage of the biocrusts ecological succession considerably deteriorated the quality of the fit and predictions. Those results seem to support the statement that a successional community framework has a strong potential to provide predictive and scaling power regarding biocrust relationships with function [Ferrenberg *et al.*, 2017].

However, it is important to not attribute all the improvement of the explained variability in the developed model to biocrusts succession, since this variable was included as a factor (i.e. categorical variable). As a result, this variable could have accounted for other environmental variables not measured or not included in the model. For example, in this thesis, it was found that many variables tended to vary over biocrusts succession. Overall, most of the variables tended to increase over succession: the soil porosity, the soil organic carbon (SOC) content, the soil nitrogen content, the specific surface area of CaCO<sub>3</sub> ( $SSA_c$ ), the ratio between cumulative negative water vapor fluxes ( $F_h$ ) and cumulative positive  $F_h$ ; more specifically in later succession stages, the CO<sub>2</sub> production tended to increase over succession; more specifically in early succession stages: the total specific surface area of soil ( $SSA_s$ ) and the magnitude of CO<sub>2</sub> influxes tended to increase over succession. Few variables tended to decrease over biocrusts succession: the gradient of water vapor molar fraction ( $\chi_h$ ) between soil and atmosphere; and specifically in late succession stages: the ratio between cumulative CO<sub>2</sub>

influxes and cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> influxes. All those variables (and potentially others that were not measured) could have affected the  $\chi_c$  dynamics through feedback processes with biocrusts. Many of these variables were highly collinear, thus challenging our ability to disentangle the controlling effects without *a priori* knowledge on the processes affecting  $\chi_c$ . To improve modelling, further research should explore the relevance of a synthetic index of the succession status taking into account those variables, in order to minimize collinearity, which represents an issue in model development.

In spite of that, pedoclimatic variables such as  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  that were included in our model were probably the main underlying variables driving  $\chi_c$  dynamics, as they control decomposition rates of organic matter and the availability of nutrients that affect biological CO<sub>2</sub> production [Luo & Zhou, 2006];  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  are also modulated by biocrusts [Chamizo *et al.*, 2012; Xiao *et al.*, 2013], and have positive feedbacks on their development [Grote *et al.*, 2010; Maestre *et al.*, 2013]. Those variables also present the enormous advantage to be easy to measure at high frequency and with good spatial coverage. This is especially important in water-limited ecosystems where  $\chi_c$  and  $F_c$  are known to exhibit “hot-moments” and “hot-spots” which depend on  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  [Leon *et al.*, 2014; Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2018; Vargas *et al.*, 2018], including soils covered by biocrusts [Bowling *et al.*, 2011; Castillo-Monroy *et al.*, 2011]. In comparison, in this thesis, most of the soil physicochemical properties were only sampled once and thus deserve to be sampled at higher frequency in the future. In particular, monitoring organic and inorganic pools (SOC and CaCO<sub>3</sub>) could provide further explanatory and predictive power to models, as well as critical information on the fate of the carbon that is emitted or captured by the soil (i.e. the carbon storage capacity of soil) in each stage of the biocrusts succession.

At the beginning of this thesis, it has been assumed that since biocrusts ecological succession can last decades to centuries [Belnap & Lange, 2001], measuring and sampling different succession stages could be approached by space-for-time substitution, i.e. to infer long-term temporal dynamics from spatial data [Pickett, 1989]. In the light of our results, we suggest that biocrusts development could drive the succession towards a climax state that maximizes organic carbon storage. That is because in spite of greater soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in late stages, the soil tended to accumulate SOC over succession. Therefore,

photosynthetic rates should also increase over succession in order to exceed those enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (i.e. net CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes should increase over succession) and allow carbon accumulation in soil. Recent evidences at our site suggest that biocrusts respiration is at least compensated by photosynthesis thus resulting in similar net CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes regardless of the biocrust type [Miralles *et al.*, 2018]. However, those measurements were performed with manual chambers that probably did not capture completely the sporadic activation of biocrusts photosynthesis after rain pulses. Therefore, continuous measurements of aboveground CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes with transparent and opaque chambers should be performed to confirm our assumption.

At the beginning of this thesis, it has also been assumed that the ecological succession of biocrusts could represent a convenient *in-situ* model to study the interaction between biotic and abiotic factors on  $F_c$  as the biotic influence on soil was expected to increase gradually from physical crusts to lichens-dominated late successional stages. In the light of our results, some evidences seem to support this assumption: in Chapter 3, it was found that the magnitude of the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil increased only over early successional stages and this increase was highly correlated to a concomitant increase in  $SSA_s$ . The latter variable depended mainly on the soil clay fraction which was correlated to the reactive surface area of calcite. That suggested the potential contribution of an abiotic mechanism of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption [Davidson *et al.*, 2013] on highly reactive clay-size calcite [Loeppert & Suarez, 1996]. The accumulation of fine CaCO<sub>3</sub> particles can be mediated by biocrusts through the interception of particles from wind [Warren, 2003] and/or through biomineralization [Liu *et al.*, 2018]. This enhancement of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake with  $SSA_s$  was not observed in late successional stages. However, in Chapter 1, the  $SSA_c$  tended to increase over the whole succession (including in late successional stages), suggesting that as expected, processes consuming CO<sub>2</sub> and presumably involving CaCO<sub>3</sub> were masked in late successional stages due to enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> production. Further determinations of  $SSA_c$  with greater spatial coverage in combination with analyses of the morphology of those small-size carbonates with electronic microscopy are required to respectively

confirm with more confidence their accumulation over succession and assess their potential biotic origin.

#### **4.2. Water vapor adsorption by dry soils**

In the Chapter 3 of this thesis, water vapor adsorption (WVA) fluxes were identified for the first time in the scientific literature by using the gradient method. Compared to the traditionally used lysimeters, the gradient method has several advantages: (1) it is easy to deploy in the field; (2) it generates only limited perturbation of the soil profile (only a thin PVC tube is inserted in the topsoil); (3) it can provide long-term continuous measurements of water vapor fluxes at high frequency; (4) it can provide further understanding of the above- and belowground mechanisms involved in WVA; (5) it has a very low-cost, as relative humidity sensors used to calculate the water vapor molar fraction involved in the fluxes estimation, are very affordable; (6) its low-cost facilitates the acquisition of measurements replicated in space, allowing to combine both spatial and temporal resolution; (7) it does not require complex numerical modelling, providing a direct estimation of the water vapor uptake by soil without the need to filter data based on environmental conditions to discard other non-rainfall water inputs. The only drawback of the gradient method is that the estimated flux is highly dependent on the chosen gas transfer coefficient. For water vapor, no standardized procedure exists yet to calibrate this coefficient. Therefore, future research could combine measurements from the gradient method and measurements with lysimeters to calibrate this coefficient in order to obtain more accurate estimates of WVA. Moreover, the WVA estimates obtained by the gradient method are based on the assumption that all the water vapor that diffuses from atmosphere to soil is adsorbed onto soil particles, and thus this assumption requires validation or correction to improve the accuracy of WVA estimates.

The gradient method provided new insights on the underlying processes involved in WVA. In the past, WVA has been attributed to a soil drying during daytime and an increase in atmosphere relative humidity ( $RH_a$ ) at nighttime, magnifying the soil-atmosphere water vapor pressure gradient [Kosmas *et al.*, 1998]. Based on our measurements, those statements were partially true. First, future research should prefer to analyze the variations in the water

vapor molar fraction ( $\chi_h$ ) instead of  $RH$  as the latter is influenced by temperature and hence can be misleading. Second, although we indeed observed an increase in atmosphere  $\chi_h$  at nighttime and a decrease in soil  $\chi_h$  during daytime, the latter could not be attributed to soil drying (the soil was already dry) but rather to a decrease in temperature reducing the capacity of air to store water vapor. In addition, a third condition seemed necessary for the process to occur: a substantial average atmosphere  $\chi_h$ . This condition could only be fulfilled in summer due to greater temperature triggering more oceanic evaporation and the increased capacity of warmer air to store water vapor. Most studies reporting WVA fluxes were conducted in coastal drylands [e.g. *Kohfahl et al.*, 2021; *Kool et al.*, 2021; *Kosmas et al.*, 2001], where atmosphere is usually wetter. Since our study site was also close to the sea, further research is needed to clarify if WVA can also occur in non-coastal drylands or if the proximity of the sea only affects the magnitude of WVA fluxes. In addition, the vicinity of mountains could also be involved in the increase in atmosphere  $\chi_h$  at night due to katabatic winds enriched with water vapor.

After combining continuous measurements of  $f_h$  and  $f_c$ , the main finding of Chapter 3 was that WVA could represent a potential link between the water and carbon cycle. At the beginning of this thesis, it has been assumed that WVA could enhance microbial activity and mineral reactions, thus impacting  $f_c$ . In particular, we assumed that WVA could be the driver of the nocturnal  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake increasingly reported in drylands by providing water to sustain either biotic or abiotic  $\text{CO}_2$  consumption processes. This thesis provided several evidences that this is likely to occur: (1)  $f_h$  and  $f_c$  covaried, both becoming negative at night; (2) a cross-correlation analysis revealed that the variation in  $f_h$  slightly led the variation in  $f_c$ . However, the lag was small and thus requires further confirmation with measurements at higher frequency; (3) a diel hysteretic relationship was found between  $f_h$  and  $f_c$ . In agreement with this result, adsorption/desorption processes are known to exhibit this kind of hysteretic behavior [*Arthur et al.*, 2020]. In spite of those evidences, it is still unclear whether a biotic and/or abiotic process links water vapor and  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake by soil. Besides, since no convincing relationship was found between cumulative absorption  $f_h$  and cumulative absorption  $f_c$ , and because

absorption  $f_c$  was strongly correlated to  $SSA_s$  (which is an important factor controlling the adsorption of gases), a process of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption independent of WVA cannot be completely discarded. However, if both gases were adsorbed at the same time (co-adsorption), they would still be expected to interact as WVA can enhance CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption [Rubasinghege & Grassian, 2013]. It is also very likely that more spatial replicates of  $f_h$  are needed to find a significant relationship between cumulative absorption  $f_h$  and cumulative absorption  $f_c$ , as well as between WVA and  $SSA_s$ , due to soil heterogeneity.

Many questions remain about the impact of WVA on the carbon cycle in drylands, especially: what is the fate of the adsorbed water? Is water vapor reemitted to the atmosphere during daytime due to desorption? Do microorganisms such as *Cyanobacteria* or chemotrophs use the adsorbed water films in their carbon-fixing metabolism? Is the adsorbed water consumed by geochemical reactions involving minerals such as calcite? If those processes occur, in which proportions? The use of appropriate isotopic techniques can probably help to answer those questions. The assumption of a potential enhancement of WVA by *Cyanobacteria* generating a positive feedback loop on their development also deserves further investigation. In addition, the significance of the water input through WVA leaves the door open for novel or growing multidisciplinary research, for example (1) on the use of WVA to grow plants of agricultural interest in drylands. For example, highly adsorbent material such as volcanic ashes could be used to optimize both water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption by soils; (2) to assess the potential of WVA as a source of liquid water in extra-terrestrial environments. For example, strong water vapor adsorption and desorption processes have recently been found on Mars [Savijärvi & Harri, 2021].

### **4.3. The role of carbonates in nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil**

In the chapter 4 of this thesis, empirical evidences were found supporting that coupled gypsum dissolution-calcite precipitation could explain the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil observed at the experimental site. The main evidences came from saturation indexes (SI) with overall  $SI_{\text{gypsum}}$  and  $SI_{\text{calcite}}$  indicating clear dissolution and precipitation of the respective minerals during the consumption of CO<sub>2</sub> by soil. However, the use of SI's alone to assess calcite

dynamics is not entirely satisfactory. The SI's can only be considered as semi-quantitative indicators of carbonate dynamics as they do not take into account key parameters that can either inhibit or enhance calcite precipitation. The analyses performed in this thesis suggested that the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake apparently due to calcite precipitation was inhibited by DOC. That is because the rates of precipitation and dissolution of calcite and more generally of minerals increase with the mineral surface area. When DOC is present in the soil solution, it can adsorb on the surface of growing calcite crystals, thus limiting precipitation rates [Lebron & Suarez, 1996; Lebron & Suarez, 1998]. Due to contamination of crystal surfaces by DOC, solutions can remain almost indefinitely calcite-supersaturated [Suarez & Rhoades, 1982]. Evaporation has also the potential to provoke supersaturation by concentrating ionic species [Suarez, 2017]. However, in our experiment, evaporation is unlikely to explain the supersaturation of the soil solution since water extractions were performed immediately after irrigation and evaporation was strongly limited at nighttime, especially at lower temperature in winter. Therefore, in our case, the rate of gypsum dissolution surely exceeds the rate of CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation due to contamination of calcite crystal surfaces. This unbalanced input of Ca increases the ion activity product (IAP) of calcite, provoking supersaturation of the soil solution. Due to the key role of DOC and mineral surface area in calcite precipitation, an accurate quantification of the rates of formation of pedogenic carbonates should take into account those parameters.

Quantifying with accuracy the rate of CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation would allow to better assess and validate the efficiency of this apparently active carbon sink. Such quantification requires the use of special kinetic models, such as the UNSATCHEM model developed by Suarez and Šimůnek, [1997]. Apart of using DOC and reactive surface area (RSA) as inputs for calculations of precipitation rates, this mechanistic model has also the advantage of incorporating a submodel to predict the dynamics of soil CO<sub>2</sub> from fundamental variables, and thus its outputs would also deserve to be compared with continuous measurements of the soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics at our experimental site. Incorporating a submodel of soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics is an advantage over most available models that generally consider the CO<sub>2</sub> as a fixed infinite reservoir (open-system) in equilibrium with the soil solution. Although those models are



more realistic than closed-system models, they are not entirely satisfactory to represent field conditions due to the highly variable  $\chi_c$  and common deviations from the equilibrium state [Suarez, 1995]. In spite of the availability of powerful tools such as UNSATCHEM to model the precipitation-dissolution dynamics of soil carbonates, we found limited use of this software for this purpose in the scientific literature. That is partly attributable to the fact that carbon storage in the form of organic matter has received much more scientific attention, probably because carbonates have a slower dynamic. However, the limited experimental observations indicate that carbonate dynamics are not slow and insignificant; for example, it has been estimated that pedogenic CaCO<sub>3</sub> forms at a rate of 0.07-0.266 Gt C year<sup>-1</sup> in arid and semiarid regions [Bernoux & Chevallier, 2014]. Those estimates are still largely uncertain and thus require to be better constrained. Therefore, future research in drylands where fluxes of soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake have been reported should consider to apply such kinetic models to compare rates of CaCO<sub>3</sub> formation to rates of soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, in particular in arid and hyperarid climates where the inhibition effect of DOC on CaCO<sub>3</sub> growth should be limited due to CaCO<sub>3</sub> stocks largely exceeding SOC stocks [Lal, 2019; Plaza et al., 2018].

Several other challenges remain to explore the effect of biology and microclimate on CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation, in particular to which extent water vapor adsorption can contribute to the process and activate microorganisms involved in CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation. In the Chapter 3 of this thesis, we found that the nocturnal soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake increase with total soil specific surface area ( $SSA_s$ ) in early stages of the biocrust ecological succession. Since the  $SSA_s$  is mainly due to the clay fraction and a correlation was found between the clay fraction and calcite RSA, it was inferred that highly reactive clay-size carbonates might have reacted with the adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> and/or water. In the light of results from Chapter 4, it can be postulated that those relationships are likely due to the growth of small-size calcite crystals during the early stages of biocrust succession. Those results raise the question of whether the development of microbial communities over succession could favor the precipitation of CaCO<sub>3</sub>. Under purely abiotic control, the precipitation rate of CaCO<sub>3</sub> is often considered to occur slowly; however, microbes have the ability to create conditions that can enhance CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation, a process named

biomineralization. The mechanism appears to be widespread in ecosystems. For example, Meier et al. [2017] isolated bacteria from limestone-associated groundwater, rock and soil; they found that 92% of isolates were able to form carbonates. The diversity of the microorganisms involved in this process is large; it has generally been associated to bacteria [Dhama et al., 2013; Görden et al., 2020], although fungi can also contribute, sometimes through bacterial-fungal interactions [Bindschedler et al., 2016; Hervé et al., 2016]. Since biocrusts are regarded as hotspots of bacterial and fungal diversity [Maier et al., 2016], they are very likely to shelter biomineralizing organisms. Four groups of microorganisms are seen to be mainly involved in the process [Dhama et al., 2013]: (1) photosynthetic organisms, such as *Cyanobacteria* and algae; (2) sulphate reducing bacteria; (3) organisms utilizing organic acids, and (4) organisms involved in the nitrogen cycle. The first group is particularly congruent with our measurements over biocrusts succession revealing that the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake had the greatest magnitude in the mature *Cyanobacteria* stage. The fourth group is also congruent with our observations of the soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake being related to the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration of the soil solution. In particular, the greater CO<sub>2</sub> uptake at lower NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> could have been favored by biomineralizing nitrate reducers [Eltarhony et al., 2019; Hou et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2015]. The two other groups of biomineralizers could also have participated to the process in some way. Although sulfate reducers are anaerobic, their presence has been reported in oxic desert soils [Peters & Conrad, 1995] and favorable anoxic conditions can be locally created in soil aggregates or transiently after rain pulses [Lafuente et al., 2020]. Biomineralizers utilizing organic acids could have played a role in late succession stages dominated by lichens. Those organisms can produce large amounts of oxalic acid and calcium oxalate that can serve as a substrate to sustain the metabolism of bacteria that oxidize oxalate into CaCO<sub>3</sub> [Braissant et al., 2004]. In this thesis, the soil solution of late succession stages was not sampled to assess their calcite precipitation-dissolution status but they also exhibited a non-negligible soil CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, although probably limited by greater DOC contents and partly masked by greater CO<sub>2</sub> production. For those reasons, we recommend for future research (1) to sample the soil solution over the whole succession (as well as during more seasons in order to capture

the annual variability of temperature); (2) to isolate potential microorganisms able to precipitate CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

#### 4.4. Climate-carbon cycle feedbacks

One of the most important results of this thesis from Chapter 2 is that  $\theta_w$  was the main driver of the  $\chi_c$  dynamics and that overall, its effect tended to be enhanced by  $T_s$ , regardless of the successional stage (Fig. 6, Chapter 2). Due to the good prediction accuracy of the model, this result can be interpreted with good confidence and has considerable implications in the context of climate change. It provides relevant information to the ongoing debate on whether the main regulator of the net terrestrial carbon flux is temperature or moisture variability, supporting recent studies stating that it is becoming increasingly evident that their interaction is fundamental but still largely neglected in most studies [Piao *et al.*, 2020; Quan *et al.*, 2019]. This is particularly true in drylands where the effect of  $T_s$  is strongly constrained by  $\theta_w$  and antecedent moisture conditions play a key role in triggering ephemeral soil CO<sub>2</sub> pulses [Lopez-Canfin *et al.*, 2018; Vargas *et al.*, 2018]. This result also confirms that even if a positive feedback between the  $F_c$  and temperature is likely [Hashimoto *et al.* 2015], global models still underrepresent drylands and are often simplified in those areas with respect to their hydric status; therefore, future predictions require confirmation of their modelled processes in water-limited ecosystems.

The main difficulty in establishing predictions of the future feedback between  $F_c$  and climate change in drylands comes from the fact that climate change can have disparate effects: (1) from the one hand, the soil drying predicted with high confidence in some regions of the globe [Collins *et al.*, 2013] has the potential to reduce basal respiration over long periods of drought; (2) from the other hand, the rewetting of soils that have previously experienced long droughts can trigger short-lived but very large CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, which according to the results at our site, should be enhanced by the future rise in temperature. Therefore, one question arises: which of these disparate effects will dominate the carbon balance of water-limited ecosystems? It could be argued that the future precipitation patterns will play an important role, as some drylands are expected to undergo a rise in the total precipitation amount and others are expected to undergo a decrease in total

precipitation amount; however, even in areas where precipitation will increase, the global warming will concomitantly increase evapotranspiration rates, which may cancel out the expected positive effects of enhanced precipitation on  $\theta_w$ ; as a consequence,  $\theta_w$  is projected to decrease by 25% in a substantial portion of drylands worldwide [Maestre *et al.*, 2012]. In the ecosystem studied in this thesis, it was observed that basal  $F_c$  was close to zero most of the year, except after rain pulses (Fig. 1, Chapter 2). As a result, a reduction of basal  $F_c$  in response to increasing drought should be limited in comparison with the enhanced pulse response to precipitation in response to the combined effect of rising temperature and increasing drought that stimulates the Birch effect [Unger *et al.*, 2010]. Consequently, a future enhancement of soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is a more likely outcome of global warming at this site. However, the future response of  $F_c$  might differ in other drylands such as in those from subhumid climates as they are expected to sustain a greater basal respiration. In Chapter 2, we suggested that since climate change is predicted to have a harmful effect on biocrusts cover and their carbon assimilation capacity [Ferrenberg *et al.*, 2015; Maestre *et al.*, 2013, 2015], biocrusted drylands like the one studied here which often have a carbon balance close to neutrality, could dangerously switch from carbon sinks to carbon sources. That could potentially generate a vicious cycle of positive feedback with climate change. In agreement with our speculations, Darrouzet-Nardi *et al.* [2015] found that biocrusted soils that were warmed by 2°C emitted more CO<sub>2</sub> (biocrusted soils took up less carbon and/or lost more carbon in warmed plots), thus highlighting a substantial risk of increased carbon loss from biocrust soils with higher future temperatures.

In order to predict with higher confidence the future trajectory of ecosystem carbon-climate feedbacks in drylands, it is urgent to invest more research efforts in studying natural ecosystem processes that mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Many of those processes still suffer from a gap in both their understanding and quantification, including:

- The potential adaptation of soil microbial communities. Due to adaptation processes, responses of microbial communities might differ on the long-term compared to results obtained from short-term experiments. Several studies pointed out that soil microbial respiration can adapt to warming

[Bradford, 2013; Bradford *et al.*, 2008, 2019], including in drylands [Dacal *et al.*, 2019]. Regarding biological soil crusts, although some evidences exist regarding a potential adaptation of their respiration to warming [Tucker *et al.*, 2020], most studies suggest a negative effect of climate change on biocrusts cover and their carbon assimilation capacity [Ferrenberg *et al.*, 2015; Maestre *et al.*, 2013, 2015].

- Dark CO<sub>2</sub> fixation by soil chemotrophs. This process has been increasingly reported in soils recently [Akinyede *et al.*, 2020; Bay *et al.*, 2021; Yang *et al.*, 2017], including in drylands [Liu *et al.*, 2021]. The fixation rates of CO<sub>2</sub> by those microorganisms has been shown to increase with CO<sub>2</sub> concentration [Spohn *et al.*, 2020], and thus their activity could be enhanced by climate change. However, the sensitivity of respiration and carbon assimilation by soil chemotrophs to environmental factors such as  $\chi_c$ ,  $\theta_w$  and  $T_s$  remains largely unexplored.
- Adsorption of water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> by soils. Additional research is needed to monitor soil water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> uptake as those sinks could grow with climate change in different ways: (1) warmer air has the capacity to hold more water vapor, and a soil drying is predicted in some regions of the globe, thus potentially enhancing WVA; (2) the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> increase is expected to enhance CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption by soils, especially in areas of low biological activity (typically, drylands) and where highly adsorbent phases are present [Davidson *et al.*, 2013].
- Mineral carbonation in soils. The fixation of CO<sub>2</sub> into stable carbonates through industrial processes has been recommended to mitigate climate change [Mazzotti *et al.*, 2005]. However, its natural occurrence in ecosystems has been overlooked as most research has focused on carbon storage into soil organic matter so far. Carbonates represents a much more interesting carbon sink since the residence time of mineral carbon is much greater than organic matter: 10<sup>2</sup>-10<sup>6</sup> years and 0.1-10<sup>3</sup> years, respectively [Bernoux & Chevallier, 2014; Cailleau *et al.*, 2004]. Therefore, future research should dedicate further effort to assess the importance in the global carbon cycle of natural mineral carbonation, occurring either

through abiotic processes such as those investigated in Chapter 4 of this thesis or through biomineralization processes such as the oxalate-carbonate pathway [Verrecchia *et al.*, 2006].

- Leaching of soil dissolved inorganic carbon towards aquifers. The exportation of dissolved inorganic carbon (either inherited from carbonate weathering or soil respiration) to aquifers has the potential to capture carbon transiently, from hundreds up to thousands years [Kessler and Harvey, 2001]. Such downward fluxes have been reported in drylands [Li *et al.*, 2015; Ma *et al.*, 2014] and have been suggested to contribute to the unbalance in the global carbon budget, i.e. “the residual terrestrial carbon sink” [Houghton *et al.*, 2018]. At the scale of Europe, the leaching of carbon has also been proposed to explain this “missing sink” [Siemens, 2003].

Many of those processes of mitigation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions depend on critical environmental conditions such as  $\theta_w$ ,  $T_s$ ,  $RH$  and  $\chi_c$ . Therefore, besides monitoring those processes, more manipulation experiments of those variables are required to anticipate their future response to climate change. Most of those processes are not included yet into current Earth System Models, i.e. climate models that take into account biogeochemical processes such as those involved in the carbon cycle [Kawamiya *et al.*, 2020]. In particular, more Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE) facilities are needed worldwide, as they provide a strong foundation for next-generation experiments in unexplored ecosystems, and inform coupled climate-biogeochemical models of the ecological mechanisms controlling ecosystem response to the rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration [Norby & Zak, 2011]. At this time, FACE facilities are still inexistent in Africa and Latin America; and several areas still deserve further attention in order to attain a better knowledge of drylands worldwide and further increase our ability to predict global change impacts [Maestre *et al.*, 2012].

## References

- Akinyede, R., Taubert, M., Schrumpf, M., Trumbore, S., & Küsel, K. (2020). Rates of dark CO<sub>2</sub> fixation are driven by microbial biomass in a temperate forest soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *150*, 107950. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107950>
- Arthur, E., Tuller, M., Moldrup, P., & de Jonge, L. W. (2020). Clay content and mineralogy, organic carbon and cation exchange capacity affect water vapour sorption hysteresis of soil. *European Journal of Soil Science*, *71*(2), 204–214.
- Bay, S. K., Waite, D. W., Dong, X., Gillor, O., Chown, S. L., Hugenholtz, P., & Greening, C. (2021). Chemosynthetic and photosynthetic bacteria contribute differentially to primary production across a steep desert aridity gradient. *The ISME Journal*, 1–18.
- Belnap, J., & Lange, O. L. (2003). Structure and Functioning of Biological Soil Crusts: a Synthesis. Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8\\_33](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56475-8_33)
- Bernoux, M., & Chevallier, T. (2014). Carbon in dryland soils. Multiple essential functions. *Les Dossiers Thématiques Du CSFD*, *10*.
- Bindschedler, S., Cailleau, G., Verrecchia, E., Benzerara, K., Miot, J., & Coradin, T. (2016). Role of Fungi in the Biomineralization of Calcite. *Minerals 2016*, Vol. 6, Page 41, *6*(2), 41. <https://doi.org/10.3390/MIN6020041>
- Bowling, D. R., Grote, E. E., & Belnap, J. (2011). Rain pulse response of soil CO<sub>2</sub> exchange by biological soil crusts and grasslands of the semiarid Colorado Plateau, United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *116*(G3). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JG001643>
- Bradford, M. A. (2013). Thermal adaptation of decomposer communities in warming soils. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *4*(NOV). <https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2013.00333>
- Bradford, M. A., Davies, C. A., Frey, S. D., Maddox, T. R., Melillo, J. M., Mohan, J. E., Reynolds, J. F., Treseder, K. K., & Wallenstein, M. D. (2008). Thermal adaptation of soil microbial respiration to elevated temperature. *Ecology Letters*, *11*(12), 1316–1327. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1461-0248.2008.01251.X>
- Bradford, M. A., McCulley, R. L., Crowther, T. W., Oldfield, E. E., Wood, S. A., &

- Fierer, N. (2019). Cross-biome patterns in soil microbial respiration predictable from evolutionary theory on thermal adaptation. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2019 3:2, 3(2), 223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0771-4>
- Braissant, O., Cailleau, G., Aragno, M., & Verrecchia, E. P. (2004). Biologically induced mineralization in the tree *Milicia excelsa* (Moraceae): its causes and consequences to the environment. *Geobiology*, 2(1), 59–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1472-4677.2004.00019.X>
- Cailleau, G., Braissant, O., & Verrecchia, E. P. (2004). Biomineralization in plants as a long-term carbon sink. *Naturwissenschaften* 2004 91:4, 91(4), 191–194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00114-004-0512-1>
- Castillo-Monroy, A. P., Maestre, F. T., Rey, A., Soliveres, S., & García-Palacios, P. (2011). Biological soil crust microsites are the main contributor to soil respiration in a semiarid ecosystem. *Ecosystems*, 14(5), 835–847.
- Chamizo, S., Cantón, Y., Miralles, I., & Domingo, F. (2012). Biological soil crust development affects physicochemical characteristics of soil surface in semiarid ecosystems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 49, 96–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2012.02.017>
- Collins, M., Knutti, R., Arblaster, J., Dufresne, J.-L., Fichefet, T., Friedlingstein, P., Gao, X., Gutowski, W. J., Johns, T., & Krinner, G. (2013). Long-term climate change: projections, commitments and irreversibility. In *Climate Change 2013-The Physical Science Basis: Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 1029–1136). Cambridge University Press.
- Dacal, M., Bradford, M. A., Plaza, C., Maestre, F. T., & García-Palacios, P. (2019). Soil microbial respiration adapts to ambient temperature in global drylands. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2019 3:2, 3(2), 232–238. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0770-5>
- Darrouzet-Nardi, A., Reed, S. C., Grote, E. E., & Belnap, J. (2015). Observations of net soil exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> in a dryland show experimental warming increases carbon losses in biocrust soils. *Biogeochemistry*, 126(3), 363–378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10533-015-0163-7>
- Davidson, G. R., Phillips-Housley, A., & Stevens, M. T. (2013). Soil-zone adsorption of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> as a terrestrial carbon sink. *Geochimica*



- et Cosmochimica Acta*, 106, 44–50.
- Dhami, N. K., Reddy, M. S., & Mukherjee, M. S. (2013). Biomineralization of calcium carbonates and their engineered applications: A review. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 4(OCT), 314. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2013.00314/BIBTEX>
- Eltarahony, M., Zaki, S., Kamal, A., Abd-El-Haleem, D., & Zaki, S. A. (2019). Calcite and vaterite biosynthesis by nitrate dissimilating bacteria in carbonatogenesis process under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.5194/BG-2019-444>
- Ferrenberg, S., Reed, S. C., Belnap, J., & Schlesinger, W. H. (2015). Climate change and physical disturbance cause similar community shifts in biological soil crusts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(39), 12116–12121. <https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1509150112/-/DCSUPPLEMENTAL>
- Ferrenberg, S., Tucker, C. L., & Reed, S. C. (2017). Biological soil crusts: diminutive communities of potential global importance. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 15(3), 160–167. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1469>
- Görgen, S., Benzerara, K., Skouri-Panet, F., Gugger, · Muriel, Chauvat, · Franck, & Cassier-Chauvat, C. (2020). The diversity of molecular mechanisms of carbonate biomineralization by bacteria. *Discover Materials* 2020 1:1, 1(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S43939-020-00001-9>
- Grote, E. E., Belnap, J., Housman, D. C., & Sparks, J. P. (2010). Carbon exchange in biological soil crust communities under differential temperatures and soil water contents: implications for global change. *Global Change Biology*, 16(10), 2763–2774. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02201.x>
- Hashimoto, S., Carvalhais, N., Ito, A., Migliavacca, M., Nishina, K., & Reichstein, M. (2015). Global spatiotemporal distribution of soil respiration modeled using a global database. *Biogeosciences*, 12, 4121–4132. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4121-2015>
- Hervé, V., Junier, T., Bindschedler, S., Verrecchia, E., & Junier, P. (2016). Diversity and ecology of oxalotrophic bacteria. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 32(2), 28.
- Hou, W., Lian, B., & Zhang, X. (2011). CO<sub>2</sub> mineralization induced by fungal

- nitrate assimilation. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(2), 1562–1566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2010.08.080>
- Houghton, R. A., Baccini, A., & Walker, W. S. (2018). Where is the residual terrestrial carbon sink? *Global Change Biology*, 24(8), 3277–3279. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14313>
- Kawamiya, M., Hajima, T., Tachiiri, K., Watanabe, S., & Yokohata, T. (2020). Two decades of Earth system modeling with an emphasis on Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (MIROC). *Progress in Earth and Planetary Science*, 7(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S40645-020-00369-5>
- Kessler, T. J., & Harvey, C. F. (2001). The global flux of carbon dioxide into groundwater. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(2), 279–282. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL011505>
- Kohfahl, C., Saaltink, M. W., & Ruiz Bermudo, F. (2021). Vapor flow control in dune sediments under dry bare soil conditions. *Science of The Total Environment*, 786, 147404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.147404>
- Kool, D., Agra, E., Drabkin, A., Duncan, A., Fendinat, P. P., Leduc, S., Lupovitch, G., Nambwandja, A. N., Ndilenga, N. S., & Thi, T. N. (2021). The overlooked non-rainfall water input sibling of fog and dew: Daily water vapor adsorption on a! Nara hummock in the Namib Sand Sea. *Journal of Hydrology*, 598, 126420.
- Kosmas, C., Danalatos, N. G., Poesen, J., & Van Wesemael, B. (1998). The effect of water vapour adsorption on soil moisture content under Mediterranean climatic conditions. *Agricultural Water Management*, 36(2), 157–168.
- Kosmas, C., Marathianou, M., Gerontidis, S., Detsis, V., Tsara, M., & Poesen, J. (2001). Parameters affecting water vapor adsorption by the soil under semi-arid climatic conditions. *Agricultural Water Management*, 48(1), 61–78.
- Lafuente, A., Durán, J., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Recio, J., Gallardo, A., Singh, B. K., & Maestre, F. T. (2020). Biocrusts Modulate Responses of Nitrous Oxide and Methane Soil Fluxes to Simulated Climate Change in a Mediterranean Dryland. *Ecosystems*, 23(8), 1690–1701.

- <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10021-020-00497-5>
- Lal, R. (2019). Carbon Cycling in Global Drylands. *Current Climate Change Reports* 5:3, 5(3), 221–232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40641-019-00132-Z>
- Lebron, I., & Suarez, D. L. (1996). Calcite nucleation and precipitation kinetics as affected by dissolved organic matter at 25°C and pH > 7.5. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 60(15), 2765–2776. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(96\)00137-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(96)00137-8)
- Lebron, I., & Suarez, D. L. (1998). Kinetics and mechanisms of precipitation of calcite as affected by PCO<sub>2</sub> and organic ligands at 25 C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 62(3), 405–416.
- Leon, E., Vargas, R., Bullock, S., Lopez, E., Panosso, A. R., & La Scala, N. (2014). Hot spots, hot moments, and spatio-temporal controls on soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a water-limited ecosystem. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 77, 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.029>
- Li, Y., Wang, Y., Houghton, R. A., & Tang, L. (2015). Hidden carbon sink beneath desert. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(14), 5880–5887.
- Liu, Z., Sun, Y., Zhang, Y., Feng, W., Lai, Z., & Qin, S. (2021). Soil Microbes Transform Inorganic Carbon into Organic Carbon by Dark Fixation Pathways in Desert Soil. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, e2020JG006047.
- Liu, Z., Zhang, Y., Fa, K., Zhao, H., Qin, S., Yan, R., & Wu, B. (2018). Desert soil bacteria deposit atmospheric carbon dioxide in carbonate precipitates. *Catena*, 170, 64–72.
- Loeppert, R. H., & Suarez, D. L. (1996). Carbonate and gypsum. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3 Chemical Methods*, 5, 437–474. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c15>
- Lopez-Canfin, C., Sánchez-Cañete, E. P., Serrano-Ortiz, P., López-Ballesteros, A., Domingo, F., Kowalski, A. S., & Oyonarte, C. (2018). From microhabitat to ecosystem: identifying the biophysical factors controlling soil CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics in a karst shrubland. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 69(6), 1018–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12710>
- Luo, Y., & Zhou, X. (2006). *Soil respiration and the environment*. Academic Press.
- Ma, J., Liu, R., Tang, L.-S., Lan, Z.-D., & Li, Y. (2014). A downward CO<sub>2</sub> flux

- seems to have nowhere to go. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 11(7), 10419–10450.
- Maestre, F. T., Escolar, C., Bardgett, R. D., Dungait, J. A. J., Gozalo, B., & Ochoa, V. (2015). Warming reduces the cover and diversity of biocrust-forming mosses and lichens, and increases the physiological stress of soil microbial communities in a semi-arid *Pinus halepensis* plantation. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6(AUG). <https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2015.00865/ABSTRACT>
- Maestre, F. T., Escolar, C., de Guevara, M. L., Quero, J. L., Lázaro, R., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Ochoa, V., Berdugo, M., Gozalo, B., & Gallardo, A. (2013). Changes in biocrust cover drive carbon cycle responses to climate change in drylands. *Global Change Biology*, 19(12), 3835–3847. <https://doi.org/10.1111/GCB.12306>
- Maestre, F. T., Salguero-Gómez, R., Quero, J. L., Rey, U., Carlos, J., & Tulipán, C. / (2012). It is getting hotter in here: determining and projecting the impacts of global environmental change on drylands. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1606), 3062–3075. <https://doi.org/10.1098/RSTB.2011.0323>
- Maier, S., Muggia, L., Kuske, C. R., & Grube, M. (2016). *Bacteria and Non-lichenized Fungi Within Biological Soil Crusts*. 81–100. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_5)
- Mazzotti, M., Abanades, J. C., Allam, R., Lackner, K. S., Meunier, F., Rubin, E., Sanchez, J. C., Yogo, K., & Zevenhoven, R. (2005). Mineral carbonation and industrial uses of carbon dioxide. In *IPCC special report on carbon dioxide capture and storage*. Cambridge University Press.
- Meier, A., Kastner, A., Harries, D., Wierzbicka-Wieczorek, M., Majzlan, J., Büchel, G., & Kothe, E. (2017). Calcium carbonates: induced biomineralization with controlled macromorphology. *Biogeosciences*, 14(21), 4867–4878.
- Miralles, I., Ladrón de Guevara, M., Chamizo, S., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Ortega, R., van Wesemael, B., & Cantón, Y. (2018). Soil CO<sub>2</sub> exchange controlled by the interaction of biocrust successional stage and environmental variables in two semiarid ecosystems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 124, 11–23.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2018.05.020>
- Norby, R. J., & Zak, D. R. (2011). Ecological Lessons from Free-Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE) Experiments. *Http://Dx.Doi.Org/10.1146/Annurev-Ecolsys-102209-144647*, 42, 181–203. <https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ECOLSYS-102209-144647>
- Peters, V., & Conrad, R. (1995). Methanogenic and other strictly anaerobic bacteria in desert soil and other oxic soils. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 61(4), 1673–1676. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.61.4.1673-1676.1995>
- Piao, S., Wang, X., Wang, K., Li, X., Bastos, A., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., & Sitch, S. (2020). Interannual variation of terrestrial carbon cycle: Issues and perspectives. *Global Change Biology*, 26(1), 300–318. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14884>
- Pickett, S. T. A. (1989). Space-for-Time Substitution as an Alternative to Long-Term Studies. *Long-Term Studies in Ecology*, 110–135. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-7358-6\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-7358-6_5)
- Plaza, C., Zaccone, C., Sawicka, K., Méndez, A. M., Tarquis, A., Gascó, G., Heuvelink, G. B. M., Schuur, E. A. G., & Maestre, F. T. (2018). Soil resources and element stocks in drylands to face global issues. *Scientific Reports 2018 8:1*, 8(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32229-0>
- Quan, Q., Tian, D., Luo, Y., Zhang, F., Crowther, T. W., Zhu, K., Chen, H. Y. H., Zhou, Q., & Niu, S. (2019). Water scaling of ecosystem carbon cycle feedback to climate warming. *Science Advances*, 5(8), eaav1131. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav1131>
- Rubasinghege, G., & Grassian, V. H. (2013). Role (s) of adsorbed water in the surface chemistry of environmental interfaces. *Chemical Communications*, 49(30), 3071–3094.
- Savijärvi, H. I., & Harri, A. M. (2021). Water vapor adsorption on Mars. *Icarus*, 357, 114270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ICARUS.2020.114270>
- Siemens, J. (2003). The European Carbon Budget: A Gap. *Science*, 302(5651), 1681–1681. <https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.302.5651.1681A>
- Singh, R., Yoon, H., Sanford, R. A., Katz, L., Fouke, B. W., & Werth, C. J. (2015). Metabolism-Induced CaCO<sub>3</sub> Biomineralization during Reactive Transport in a Micromodel: Implications for Porosity Alteration. *Environmental*

- Science and Technology*, 49(20), 12094–12104. [https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.EST.5B00152/SUPPL\\_FILE/ES5B00152\\_SI\\_001.PDF](https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.EST.5B00152/SUPPL_FILE/ES5B00152_SI_001.PDF)
- Spohn, M., Müller, K., Höschen, C., Mueller, C. W., & Marhan, S. (2020). Dark microbial CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in temperate forest soils increases with CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Global Change Biology*, 26(3), 1926–1935. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14937>
- Suarez, D. (2017). Inorganic Carbon: Land Use Impacts. *Encyclopedia of Soil Science, Third Edition*, 1210–1212. <https://doi.org/10.1081/E-ESS3-120001765>
- Suarez, D. L. (1995). Carbonate chemistry in computer programs and application to soil chemistry. *SSSA SPECIAL PUBLICATION*, 42, 53.
- Suarez, D. L., & Rhoades, J. D. (1982). The Apparent Solubility of Calcium Carbonate in Soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 46(4), 716–722.
- Suarez, D. L., & Šimůnek, J. (1997). UNSATCHEM: Unsaturated water and solute transport model with equilibrium and kinetic chemistry. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 61(6), 1633–1646.
- Tucker, C., Ferrenberg, S., & Reed, S. C. (2020). Modest Residual Effects of Short-Term Warming, Altered Hydration, and Biocrust Successional State on Dryland Soil Heterotrophic Carbon and Nitrogen Cycling. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 8, 364. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FEVO.2020.467157/BIBTEX>
- Unger, S., Máguas, C., Pereira, J. S., David, T. S., & Werner, C. (2010). The influence of precipitation pulses on soil respiration—Assessing the “Birch effect” by stable carbon isotopes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42(10), 1800–1810.
- Vargas, R., Sánchez-Cañete P, E., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Curiel Yuste, J., Domingo, F., López-Ballesteros, A., & Oyonarte, C. (2018). Hot-moments of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a water-limited grassland. *Soil Systems*, 2(3), 47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems2030047>
- Verrecchia, E. P., Braissant, O., & Cailleau, G. (2006). The oxalate-carbonate pathway in soil carbon storage: the role of fungi and oxalotrophic bacteria. *Fungi in Biogeochemical Cycles*, 289–310.

- Warren, S. D. (2003). Synopsis: influence of biological soil crusts on arid land hydrology and soil stability. In *Biological soil crusts: Structure, function, and management* (pp. 349–360). Springer.
- Xiao, B., Wang, H., Fan, J., Fischer, T., & Veste, M. (2013). Biological soil crusts decrease soil temperature in summer and increase soil temperature in winter in semiarid environment. *Ecological Engineering*, *58*, 52–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLENG.2013.06.009>
- Yang, J., Kang, Y., Sakurai, K., & Ohnishi, K. (2017). Fixation of carbon dioxide by chemoautotrophic bacteria in grassland soil under dark conditions. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B—Soil & Plant Science*, *67*(4), 362–371. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09064710.2017.1281433>

## 5. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

This thesis contributed to improve the understanding and modelling of the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor exchange in semiarid biocrusted soils, by identifying the environmental variables and potential biogeochemical processes controlling those fluxes. The main conclusions of the thesis are the following:

- The interactive effect of soil water content and temperature on CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes is still largely neglected in most studies but is fundamental in water-limited ecosystems to assess their future response to climate change. In addition, taking into account biocrusts ecological succession has the potential to enhance the predictive power of models of the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange. Overall, the combination of observations and predictions suggest that soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are likely to be enhanced by climate change at the studied site. That is because, in the future, the interactive effect of increasing drought and temperature magnifying the pulse response of soil CO<sub>2</sub> to rewetting seems likely to override the expected decrease in basal soil respiration with drought. Since climate change is predicted to have a harmful effect on biocrusts cover and their carbon assimilation capacity, biocrusted drylands like the one studied here which often have a carbon balance close to neutrality, could dangerously switch from carbon sinks to carbon sources. That could potentially generate a vicious cycle of positive feedback with climate change. Upcoming research in this site should evaluate the future response of natural CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation processes that differ from photosynthesis.
- Processes of CO<sub>2</sub> consumption in soil were detected at night in all stages of the biocrusts succession. However, the magnitude of this CO<sub>2</sub> uptake was greater in early successional stages and particularly in sites dominated by *Cyanobacteria*. Our results indicate that the increased CO<sub>2</sub> production in late successional stages partially masked those CO<sub>2</sub> consumption processes throughout the year, except during periods of droughts that



substantially reduced biological activity. That is also probably why, in the scientific literature, CO<sub>2</sub> consumption processes have been essentially reported in drylands, as they sustain a low biological CO<sub>2</sub> production. The role of natural soil CO<sub>2</sub> consumption processes in mitigating soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and their sensitivity to climate change has been overlooked so far as most research has focused on soil respiration and water-limited ecosystems are still largely underrepresented in research on the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange. As a result, the potential of drylands to act as substantial carbon sinks has been neglected so far in comparison to other ecosystems such as forests. However, highlighting the capacity of those extensive ecosystems to mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions could help to improve their conservation in the future.

- This thesis has provided several evidences regarding the potential biogeochemical processes involved in the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil. During most of the year, when liquid water inputs are available, though limited in this ecosystem, our geochemical analyses suggest that the dissolution of gypsum coupled to CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation might act as a net CO<sub>2</sub> sink at nighttime. During summer drought, our results point out that water vapor adsorption by soil was the main input of liquid water in this ecosystem, and has the potential to link the water and carbon cycles by maintaining those geochemical reactions. However, we cannot discard the potential activation of specialized microorganisms (chemotrophs/biomineralizers) by the adsorbed water and/or CO<sub>2</sub> co-adsorption to explain the nocturnal CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by soil; hence, further investigation is required to evaluate the potential contribution of those processes.
- By the way, this thesis participated in improving the methodology required (1) to quantify *in situ* the water vapor adsorption by soils, by using for the first time the gradient method for this purpose, and (2) to measure the CaCO<sub>3</sub> equivalent content and its reactive surface area in soils samples as well as the dissolved inorganic carbon in aqueous samples, by developing a device that measures the CO<sub>2</sub> release after samples acidification. The latter contribution gave rise to the registration of a

Utility Model (nº U202032735) in the Oficina Española de Patentes y Marcas (Spanish office of Patents and Registered trademarks). The parameters measured by the device can now be used as inputs in a kinetic model of coupled carbonate precipitation-gypsum dissolution, to accurately quantify the rates of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  accumulation in soils through this active and potential long-term carbon sink. Such quantitative results would constitute a solid argument to improve the protection status of the Tabernas Desert.



---

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

AEV	Air entry value
AIC	Akaike information criteria
AR1	Autoregressive of order 1
CCE	Calcium carbonate equivalent content
CV	Coefficient of variation
DIC	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon or Deviance Information Criteria
DOC	Dissolved organic carbon
EC	Electrical conductivity
IAP	Ion Activity Product
IC	Incipient <i>Cyanobacteria</i>
IGME	Instituto Geológico y Minero de España
FPI	Fabry-Pérot interferometer
LI	<i>Lepraria isidiata</i>
MC	Mature <i>Cyanobacteria</i>
MC2	Mature <i>Cyanobacteria</i> (second microsite)
NRWI	Non-rainfall water input
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation
PD	Physical depositional crust
RMSE	Root mean square error
RRMSE	Relative root mean square error
RSA	Reactive surface area
SD	<i>Squamarina lentigera</i> and <i>Diploschistes diacapsis</i>
SI	Saturation index
SOC	Soil organic carbon
SOM	Soil organic matter
SSA <sub>c</sub>	Calcite specific surface area
SSA <sub>s</sub>	Total soil specific surface area
VIF	Variance inflation factor
WVA	Water vapor adsorption



**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

$a_i$	Activity of aqueous species $i$
$C_i$	Molar concentration of aqueous species $i$
$\chi_c$	Soil CO <sub>2</sub> molar fraction
$\chi_s$	Soil CO <sub>2</sub> molar fraction (in Chapter 2)
$\chi_a$	Atmosphere CO <sub>2</sub> molar fraction
$\chi_{\text{CO}_2}$	CO <sub>2</sub> molar fraction (in Chapter 1)
$\chi_h$	Water vapor molar fraction
$D_a$	Diffusion coefficient in free air
$e$	Partial pressure of water vapor
$F_c$	Soil-atmosphere CO <sub>2</sub> flux
$F_h$	Soil-atmosphere water vapor flux
$F_s$	Soil-atmosphere CO <sub>2</sub> flux (in Chapter 2)
$\gamma_i$	Activity coefficient of the aqueous species $i$
$I$	Ionic strength
$k_s$	Diffusion coefficient, or empirical soil transfer coefficient.
$K_{\text{sp}}$	Solubility product
$P$	Pressure
$P_h$	Water vapor pressure
$P_s$	Saturation vapor pressure
$\phi$	Porosity
$R$	Universal gas constant
$RH$	Relative humidity
$RH_s$	Soil relative humidity
$RH_a$	Atmosphere relative humidity
$R_s$	Radius of maximum pore size
$T$	Temperature
$T_a$	Atmosphere temperature
$T_s$	Soil temperature or surface tension
$T_{\text{surf}}$	Surface temperature
$\theta_a$	Air filled pore space
$\theta_w$	Soil water content
$V$	Volume
$z_i$	ionic charge of aqueous species $i$