González-Vera, J. A.; LV, F.; Escudero, D.; Orte, A.; Guo, X.; Hao, E.; Talavera-Rodríguez, E. M.; Jiao, L.; Boens, N.; Ruedas-Rama, M. J. Unusual spectroscopic and photophysical properties of solvatochromic BODIPY analogues of Prodan. *Dyes and Pigments*, **2020**, *182*, 10851. doi: 10.1016/j.dyepig.2020.108510.

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Elsevier Sharing Policies.

This accepted manuscript has been made available after a 24-month embargo period.

Supplementary Materials accompanying this article can be found on-line at the publisher’s site.

Unusual spectroscopic and photophysical properties of solvatochromic BODIPY analogues of Prodan

Juan A. González-Vera,†[a] Fan Lv,†[b] Daniel Escudero,\*[c] Angel Orte,[a] Xing Guo,[b] Erhong Hao,[b] Eva M. Talavera-Rodriguez,[a] Lijuan Jiao,[b] Noёl Boens,[d] and Maria Jose Ruedas-Rama\*[a]

[a] Departamento de Fisicoquimica. Facultad de Farmacia. Unidad de Excelencia de Química Aplicada a la Biomedicina y Medioambiente (UEQ). Universidad de Granada, Campus Cartuja, 18071, Granada, Spain. E-mail: mjruedas@ugr.es

[b] School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu, 241000, China.

[c] Department of Chemistry, Quantum Chemistry and Physical Chemistry Division, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, B-3001 Heverlee, Belgium. E-mail: daniel.escudero@kuleuven.be

[d] Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200f, 3001 Leuven, Belgium.

† Equal contribution

**Abstract**

Here, we report the synthesis of two isomeric BODIPY-based fluorescent molecules with a diethylamino donor group and an acetyl acceptor group at positions 5 and 2 (or 3), respectively, on the boron dipyrromethene core. The structure of the new BODIPY dyes is similar to Prodan, probably the most widely used solvatochromic probe, but with the donor and acceptor groups attached to an electron-poor heteroaromatic core. Interestingly, these BODIPY dyes exhibit unusual spectroscopic and photophysical properties, involving inverse solvatochromic behavior. An in-depth study of the solvent effects and quantum-chemical calculations have been performed to assess the basis for such spectroscopic properties and the effect of the position of the substitution. This work points towards a rational design of new fluorescent probes, based on understanding their spectroscopic and photophysical behavior.

**Keywords:** Boron Dipyrromethene • Excited State • Photophysics • Quantum Chemistry • Solvatochromism

1. Introduction

It has been known for a very long time that solvents can have large effects on the spectroscopic properties of molecules.[1, 2] Compounds with solvent-dependent absorption and/or emission spectra are called solvatochromic.[3] Prodan [1-(6-(dimethylamino)naphthalen-2-yl)propan-1-one] (Figure 1) has been widely used as a fluorescent solvatochromic probe since its introduction by Weber and Farris in 1979.[4] The solvent-sensitive shifts of the fluorescence emission maxima and Stokes shifts are very large. Such an outstanding sensitivity to the environment is responsible for the success of Prodan and its naphthalene-based analogues (Acrylodan, Laurdan, Danca, Patman, Badan; Figure 1) for probing the micro-environments of a wide variety of chemical and biological systems [(reverse) micelles,[5, 6] phospholipid vesicles and cellular membranes,[7-9] protein binding sites,[6, 10] nucleosides,[11] cyclodextrines,[12, 13] etc.]. Several authors have investigated the nature of the emitting state of Prodan (locally excited and/or charge-transfer state, planar or twisted intramolecular charge-transfer state) and the origin of its high spectral sensitivity to the environment.[5, 8, 14, 15]

Environment-sensitive fluorescent probes – such as Prodan and its variants (Figure 1) – are characterized by two groups, an electron-releasing (donor, here an amine) and an electron-withdrawing (acceptor, here a carbonyl, C=O) group attached to appropriate positions of an aromatic system (here naphthalene). Upon photoexcitation an intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) occurs from the amino group to the carbonyl group of the ketone, so that the dipole moment  in the excited (E) state becomes larger than in the ground (G) state, E > G, which, in turn, strongly modifies the interactions with the environment. If the ICT probe also presents hydrogen-bonding sites, specific solute-solvent interactions not only can lead to large shifts of both absorption and emission bands but also can affect the nature of the emitting species, rendering the spectroscopic signal extremely sensitive to the hydrogen-bonding characteristics of the solvent, as it happens in Brooker’s merocyanine, another well-known solvatochromic dye, whose ground-state zwitterionic form is stabilized by hydrogen-bonding solvents, leading to large hypsochromic effects[16].

The electronic absorption spectra of Prodan and its family of 2-carbonyl-6-aminonaphthalene fluorophores (Figure 1) are located in the UV spectral region. Many analogues, such as 2- and 5- substituted naphthalene derivatives[17] or cyclopenta[*b*]naphthalene solvatochromic fluorophores,[18] still present absorption maxima, λabsmax, below ~400 nm. Other analogues were designed with a different fluorochromic core, such as pyrene (PA and PK),[19] anthracene (Anthradan),[20] and fluorene (FR0 and FR8).[21] Among the dyes shown in Figure 1, Anthradan has the most bathochromically-shifted absorption spectra with λabsmax in the 440–460 nm range.[20] Importantly, shifting the absorption and emission bands of a fluorophore into the visible region increases its usefulness in biological applications, hence, the search for improved, red-shifted solvatochromic dyes continues.



**Figure 1.** Solvent-sensitive probes based on amine donor and carbonyl acceptor with naphthalene (Prodan and its derivatives Acrylodan, Laurdan, Danca, Badan, Patman), pyrene (PA and PK), anthracene (Anthradan), and fluorene (FR0 and FR8) as parent aromatic system.

To shift the spectra into the visible range, we decided to utilize the ***bo***ron ***dipy***rromethene (BODIPY or 4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-*s*-indacene) fluorophore with its intrinsically visible absorption and fluorescence spectra as parent fluorophore. BODIPY derivatives have become extremely valuable in the last decades in different research areas, owing to their versatile chemistry[22, 23] and tunable spectroscopic/photophysical properties,[24, 25] including high fluorescence quantum yields (Φ) and molar absorption coefficients (ε), narrow absorption and fluorescence emission bands in the visible region, and resistance towards photo-, thermal, and chemical degradation. BODIPY derivatives are among the most intensely fluorescent and most detectable fluorophores available. The rich possibilities for functionalization allow one to obtain fluorescent probes with particular features useful in applications as varied as bioimaging,[26-28] sensing,[29] and other technological applications.[30, 31] Previously reported BODIPY dyes have been shown to behave as solvent-sensitive sensors[26, 32]. For instance, when an aromatic amine or an azacrown was added to the *meso*- position of the dipyrromethene, photoexcitation led to an ultrafast charge transfer from the locally excited (LE) state to a weakly emissive intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) excited state.[33] Interestingly, when the conjugation in the BODIPY ring was extended by a 3,5-distyryl modification, the characteristic BODIPY fluorescence was drastically quenched in polar solvents, compared to the high Φ value (0.92) found in hexane, but without the characteristic broad and solvent polarity-dependent emission band originating from an ICT excited state.[34] However, the ICT state was also observed when a single aminostyryl group (donor) at position 3 was conjugated to a *meso*-aryl substituted boron dipyrromethene moiety (acceptor), displaying solvent-dependent spectroscopic and photophysical characteristics, with low Φ, short fluorescence lifetime τ values and large bathochromic shifts of the emission maxima λemmax in polar solvents.[35, 36] Other works used BF2 rings, such as oxadiazaborinine[37] and naphthyridine,[38] decorated with electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups to achieve solvatochromic dyes and enhanced two-photon absorption cross sections.

In this work we propose BODIPY-based Prodan analogues, by introducing the dialkylamino–carbonyl donor–acceptor feature within the fluorochromic core (Figure 2). Starting from α,β-unsubstituted, *meso*-arylated boron dipyrromethenes, one can envisage nine different parent BODIPY molecules with resonant ICT between an amino (here diethylamino) electron donor in one ring and a carbonyl (here acetyl) electron acceptor in the other ring of the BODIPY core (structures **1**, Figure 2). Unfortunately, only a limited number of these structures are synthetically accessible. At the 8-position (*meso*-position) a 2,4,6-trimethylphen-1-yl (mesityl) group was placed to ensure a high fluorescence quantum yield Φ. Indeed, it has been shown that steric hindrance of methyl groups at the *ortho*-positions of *meso*-aryl substituted BODIPYs minimizes the non-radiative rotational deactivation of the singlet-excited state.[39]

C:\Users\Maria Jose\Dropbox\BODIPYs Boens\Project PRODAN\Dyes and Pigments\revision 2\2 estructuras b.tif

**Figure 2.** General structure of amine–carbonyl 8-arylBODIPYs **1**. Derivatives **2** and **3** are studied in this paper. Compound numbers **2** and **3** refer to the position of the acetyl group.

Here, we report the synthesis and spectroscopic and photophysical properties of two isomeric BODIPY fluorescent molecules, namely 1-[5-(diethylamino)-4,4-difluoro-8-(2,4,6-trimethylphen-1-yl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-*s*-indacen-2-yl]ethan-1-one [or 2-acetyl-5-diethylamino-8-mesitylBODIPY (**2**)] and 1-[5-(diethylamino)-4,4-difluoro-8-(2,4,6-trimethylphen-1-yl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-*s*-indacen-3-yl]ethan-1-one [or 3-acetyl-5-diethylamino-8-mesitylBODIPY (**3**)] (Figure 2). Placing the diethylamino donor and the acetyl acceptor at positions 5 and 2 (in **2**) or 3 (in **3**), respectively, on the boron dipyrromethene core, maximizes their separation and makes an ICT excited state possible (Figure S1, in Supplementary Material, SM). Importantly, the structure of **1**–**3** (Figure 2) differs from the previous naphthalene, anthracene, pyrene, and fluorene based probes (Figure 1), because donor and acceptor are attached to an electron-poor heteroaromatic core (*i.e.*, BODIPY) and not to an aromatic hydrocarbon.

2. Experimental Section

**2.1. Synthesis and characterization**

Reagents and solvents were used as received from Energy Chemicals (Shanghai, China). All reactions were performed in oven-dried or flame-dried glassware and were monitored by TLC using 0.25 mm silica gel plates with UV indicator (60F-254). 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a 300, 400, or 500 MHz NMR spectrometer at room temperature. 11B and 19F NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz NMR spectrometer at room temperature. Chemical shifts (**) are given in ppm relative to CDCl3 (7.26 ppm for 1H and 77 ppm for 13C) or to internal TMS (**= 0 ppm) as internal standard. Data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity, coupling constants, and integration. Chemical shifts of 11B and 19F NMR spectra are quoted in ppm relative to external BF3.OEt2 (11B) and CFCl3 (19F). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained using ESI-TOF in positive mode. Synthetic routes for compounds **2** and **3** are shown in Scheme 1. See Supplementary Material for the details of the synthesis and characterization of the products.

**2.2. Instruments**

Absorption spectra and spectrally corrected steady-state fluorescence emission spectra were collected using a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 650 UV/vis spectrophotometer and a JASCO FP-8500 spectrofluorometer, respectively. All spectroscopic measurements were carried out in a Peltier temperature-controlled cell holder set at 20 °C, using undegassed samples in 10 × 10 mm cuvettes (with 10 mm optical path length for absorption and a 90° angle setup for fluorescence collection through the shortest side).

Time-resolved fluorescence decay traces were recorded using a FluoTime200 ﬂuorometer (PicoQuant GmbH) working in single-photon timing mode.[40, 41] The pulsed excitation source was a 440 nm diode laser (LDH series from PicoQuant GmbH) operated with a PDL-800 driver (PicoQuant GmbH) at a pulse repetition rate of 20 MHz. For each compound in a given solvent, three different fluorescence decay traces were collected at different emission wavelengths, selected by a grating monochromator, after a polarizer set at the ‘magic angle’. These emission wavelengths were 525, 530, and 535 nm for **2**, and 560, 565, and 570 nm for **3**. The fluorescence decay traces were collected over 1320 channels, with a time increment of 36 ps per channel, until they reached 2 × 104 counts in the peak channel. Histograms of the instrument response functions were collected using a LUDOX scatterer.

Time-Resolved Emission Spectroscopy (TRES) of compound **3** in different solvents was performed by collecting 45 fluorescence decay traces in the 460-640 nm emission range (Δλem = 4 nm) at 20 MHz excitation frequency during a fixed amount of time (500 s), to maintain the overall intensity information. For the TRES analysis and the estimation of the species-associated emission spectra (SAEMS), the fitting procedure described above was performed, by fitting globally the 45 decay traces.

Details of methods of analysis for quantum yields, intensity-weighted average decay times and SAEMS can be found in the Supplementary Material.

**2.3. Computational details**

Ground-state geometry optimizations were performed with DFT (PBE0).[42, 43] Excited-state geometry optimizations were carried out with TD-DFT. The nature of all stationary points was confirmed by computing the Hessian at the same level of theory. PBE0 is used in the TD-DFT calculations, since this functional provides a good balance between local excited (LE) and charge transfer states.[44, 45]TD-DFT calculations using the range-separated CAM-B3LYP functional[46] were also performed for comparative purposes. For TD-DFT calculations, analysis of hole-electron overlaps (Sr index) and the distance between the centroids of hole and electron were performed with the Multiwfn package.[47] The DFT and TD-DFT calculations were performed using the 6-31+G(d) basis set with Gaussian09.[48] Single-point ADC(2) calculations were performed with the TURBOMOLE program,[49] using the def2-TZVP basis set. Solvent effects were included in the ADC(2) calculations using the recent implementation of the conductor-like screening model (COSMO),[50] which include linear-response terms and state-specific effects.[51] Singlet electronic excitation energies were equilibrated to the ground state, whereas singlet electronic emission energies were equilibrated to their respective excited states.

3. Results and Discussion

**3.1. Synthesis and structure of BODIPY analogues of Prodan dyes**


Amine–carbonyl-substituted BODIPY dyes **2** and **3** were prepared *via* different methods, both starting from *meso*-mesityl BODIPY **A** as initial reagent, as shown in Scheme 1. Key intermediate 2-acylated BODIPY **4a** was regioselectively synthesized in 62% yield from BODIPY **A** and acid chloride (here acetyl chloride) in the presence of BF3.OEt2 through Friedel-Crafts electrophilic aromatic substitution.[52] 3-Acylated BODIPY **4b** wassynthesized in 40% yield from 2-methyl-1,3-dioxolane using Bu4NI as catalyst and *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP), followed by *in situ* deprotection according to our recently reported method.[53] Next, BODIPYs **2** and **3** were synthesized through the Ag(I)-mediated radical addition of an amino radical to the BODIPY core.[54] BODIPY **2** was synthesized in 90% yield from diethylamine in the presence of AgOAc in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 80 oC for 24 min. Similarly, BODIPY **3** was obtained in 39% yield in 1 h. These two amine–carbonyl-substituted BODIPY dyes **2** and **3** have been fully characterized by 1H, 13C, 11B, 19F NMR, and HRMS (see Supplementary Material).



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of amine–carbonyl BODIPY-based dyes **2** and **3**. TFA = trifluoroacetic acid, rt = room temperature.

The regiochemistry of BODIPY **2** wasfurtherconfirmed by X-ray analysis (Figure 3). Crystals of BODIPY **2** suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained *via* the slow diffusion of petroleum ether into its dichloromethane solution under atmospheric pressure (Table S1). The dihedral angle of two pyrrolic rings of the dipyrrin core is 2.8o (1.3o in the optimized S0 geometry), indicating no steric hindrance of amine and carbonyl substituents to cause structural distortion of the dipyrrin core. As usual, the *meso*-mesityl group and the dipyrrin core are near-orthogonal to each other (the dihedral angle between them is 83°, with 89° as computed value). The substituents at the N atom at 5-position of BODIPY are coplanar, and the C5–N3 bond length is 1.33 Å (1.34 Å the computed one), which is shorter than a typical single C–N bond, and close to partial double bonds found in amides. In contrast, the C1’–N3 and C2’–N3 bond lengths N are 1.47 Å and 1.48 Å (both are 1.46 Å in the optimized S0 geometry), respectively (Table S2), indicating that the lone pair of electrons on the diethylamine N atom is conjugated with the planar dipyrrin core. The coplanarity of the substituents can be confirmed by the deshielding ( 3.86 ppm) and broadening of the 1H-NMR signal of the methylene groups of the diethylamine. This effect is caused by long-range interaction with the fluorine atoms,[55] and confirms close proximity of these methylene groups with the fluorine atoms of the BODIPY core. The geometry of this amino group is very striking, since previous amino-substituents showed very different conformations. For instance, a 3,5-dibenzoimidazole-substituted BODIPY exhibited longer C3–N3 distances (1.4 Å) and torsion angles N–C–N–C around 50.5o,[56] far from a coplanar arrangement. Other amino-substituted BODIPY were more similar, for instance, in *meso*-amino-substituted BODIPYs the conjugation can be extended through the amino group, in a hemycyanine structure, but this may even alter planarity of the BODIPY core, resulting in blue-emissive dyes.[57] A more similar situation can be found in 3-(4-morpholinyl)-substituted BODIPY and 3-anilinoBODIPY[58] in which both short C3–N3 bond distances of 1.34 Å were reported, indicating extended conjugation of the  system to N.[56] However, in that fluorophore, the torsion angle N–C–N–C was 25.6o, so that the coplanarity of the three carbon atoms linked to the amine nitrogen is a remarkable feature of BODIPY **2**. Regarding the carbonyl group, the C=O distance was 1.22 Å (1.22 Å the computed one), in agreement with conventional double bonds in ketones and previously reported ketone groups attached at BODIPY at 2- or 3-positions (1.21-1.22 Å).[53, 59] This backs the thesis that charge separation is not large enough to foster a zwitterionic form in the ground state.[53, 60] In addition, we have also calculated the Mulliken formal charges at the dimethylamino N atom and the carbonyl O atom in **2** and **3**. As expected the O atom exhibits a formal charge of –0.44 in both compounds. In contrast, the N atom only shows a small positive charge excess of +0.14, far from the formal charge that a ground-state zwitterionic form would hold.

It was not possible to obtain crystals suitable for X-ray analysis for compound **3**. Nevertheless, coplanarity of the diethylamino substituent with the BODIPY moiety in **3** is well supported by the 1H-NMR signal of the methylene protons (broad signal at ** 3.93 ppm) as described above. In contrast, the signal of the acetyl protons did not show such effect, confirming the configuration of the acetyl group with the oxygen atom closer to the fluorine atoms (as depicted in Figure 2).



**Figure 3.** Top (a), front (b), and side (c) views of X-ray crystal structure of BODIPY **2**. C, light gray; N, blue; B, dark yellow; F, bright green, O, red. Hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.

**3.2. Spectroscopic and photophysical properties**

The two newly synthesized BODIPY analogues of Prodan are strongly colored solids that formed yellowish solutions in all the solvents tested. Dyes **2** and **3** were dissolved in 19 different solvents, and their UV–vis absorption and fluorescence emission spectra were collected (Tables 1 and 2). Both compounds **2** and **3** absorbed and emitted in the green region of the visible spectrum and exhibited much larger Stokes shifts than common BODIPY dyes.[24, 25] Figure 4 shows representative examples of the visible electronic absorption and fluorescence emission spectra of **2** and **3** in a selection of solvents. Further examples are shown in Figures S2 and S3. The absorption spectra of BODIPY **2** and **3** in all solvents tested exhibited a broad S1←S0 band, with fwhmabs values around 5-fold larger than those of typical BODIPY dyes.[24, 61] The vibronic structure of the absorption band of **2** was visible in apolar solvents such as cyclohexane and toluene, although it was not as noticeable for compound **3** (with the acetyl group at the 3-position). However, the most striking feature of the absorption spectra is that a clear hypsochromic shift was observed upon increasing the solvent dipolarity.

**Table 1.** Spectroscopic and photophysical data of **2** as a function of solvent.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Solvent[a] | λabsmax [nm] | λemmax [nm] | [b] [cm–1] | fwhmabs[b][cm–1] | fwhmem[b][cm–1] | ε[c]  [10-3 M–1cm–1] | Φ[d] |
| 1 | Methanol | 443 | 526 | 3560 | 3740 | 2300 | 42 ± 1 | 0.0020 ± 0.0001 |
| 2 | CH3CN | 444 | 529 | 3620 | 3770 | 2290 | 41 ± 2 | 0.0024 ± 0.0001 |
| 3 | Acetone | 448 | 528 | 3380 | 3590 | 2300 | 45 ± 4 | 0.0056 ± 0.0025 |
| 4 | Ethanol | 446 | 526 | 3410 | 3720 | 2300 | 38 ± 3 | 0.0038 ± 0.0003 |
| 5 | Ethyl acetate | 454 | 532 | 3230 | 3590 | 2260 | 40 ± 4 | 0.0065 ± 0.0001 |
| 6 | 2-Propanol | 447 | 528 | 3430 | 3650 | 2310 | 41 ± 1 | 0.0078 ± 0.0001 |
| 7 | 1-Propanol | 447 | 529 | 3470 | 3670 | 2310 | 47 ± 1 | 0.0078 ± 0.0004 |
| 8 | 1-Butanol | 449 | 529 | 3370 | 3670 | 2320 | 40 ± 4 | 0.0112 ± 0.0004 |
| 9 | THF [e] | 456 | 531 | 3100 | 3460 | 2220 | 40 ± 3 | 0.0073 ± 0.0004 |
| 10 | 1-Pentanol | 450 | 532 | 3430 | 3660 | 2360 | 38 ± 5 | 0.0156 ± 0.0019 |
| 11 | 1,4-Dioxane | 459 | 535 | 3100 | 3440 | 2150 | 43 ± 4 | 0.0289 ± 0.0005 |
| 12 | Cyclohexane | 495 | 534 | 1480 | 3160 | 2110 | 49 ± 3 | 0.5010 ± 0.0167 |
| 13 | 1-Octanol | 452 | 531 | 3290 | 3450 | 2280 | 37 ± 8 | 0.0321 ± 0.0019 |
| 14 | DMF [e] | 448 | 530 | 3450 | 3700 | 2300 | 42 ± 2 | 0.0104 ± 0.0021 |
| 15 | 1,2-Ethanediol | 443 | 526 | 3560 | 3780 | 2260 | 34 ± 3 | 0.0185 ± 0.0015 |
| 16 | Chloroform | 455 | 535 | 3290 | 3780 | 2210 | 51 ± 4 | 0.0565 ± 0.0024 |
| 17 | Benzene | 463 | 538 | 3010 | 3400 | 2150 | 56 ± 2 | 0.1100 ± 0.0026 |
| 18 | Toluene | 463 | 537 | 2980 | 3500 | 2170 | 57 ± 8 | 0.1299 ± 0.0032 |
| 19 | Chlorobenzene | 460 | 536 | 3080 | 3440 | 2190 | 54 ± 4 | 0.0609 ± 0.0015 |

[a] The solvents are numbered according to increasing refractive index, *n*. [b] The values of Stokes shift, fwhmabs, and fwhmem are rounded to the nearest 10 cm–1. [c] The values of absorption coefficients, ε, are rounded to the nearest 100 M-1 cm-1. [d] Fluorescence quantum yield ± one standard uncertainty. Φdetermined using fluorescein in 0.1 N NaOH (Φr = 0.91) as a reference. [e] THF = tetrahydrofuran, DMF = dimethylformamide.

**Table 2.** Spectroscopic and photophysical data of **3** as a function of solvent.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Solvent[a] | λabsmax [nm] | Band 1 λemmax [nm] | Band 1  [b] [cm–1] | Band 2 λemmax [nm] | Band 2  [b] [cm–1] | ε[c]  [10-3 M–1cm–1] | Φ[d] |
| 1 | Methanol | 466 | 516 | 2080 | - | - | 29 ± 2 | 0.0136 ± 0.0005 |
| 2 | CH3CN | 462 | 520 | 2410 | 554 | 3590 | 28 ± 2 | 0.0181 ± 0.0004 |
| 3 | Acetone | 466 | 519 | 2190 | 561 | 3630 | 31 ± 2 | 0.0223 ± 0.0008 |
| 4 | Ethanol | 469 | 517 | 1980 | - | - | 31 ± 3 | 0.0154 ± 0.0005 |
| 5 | Ethyl acetate | 470 | 519 | 2010 | 563 | 3520 | 30 ± 3 | 0.0285 ± 0.0016 |
| 6 | 2-Propanol | 471 | 518 | 1930 | 561 | 3410 | 28 ± 2 | 0.0229 ± 0.0008 |
| 7 | 1-Propanol | 471 | 518 | 1930 | 561 | 3410 | 27 ± 2 | 0.0274 ± 0.0020 |
| 8 | 1-Butanol | 473 | 518 | 1840 | 563 | 3380 | 28 ± 2 | 0.0236 ± 0.0009 |
| 9 | THF [e] | 472 | 521 | 1990 | 565 | 3490 | 27 ± 3 | 0.0220 ± 0.0010 |
| 10 | 1-Pentanol | 473 | 520 | 1910 | 564 | 3410 | 30 ± 3 | 0.0185 ± 0.0081 |
| 11 | 1,4-Dioxane | 472 | 519 | 1920 | 566 | 3520 | 30 ± 3 | 0.0392 ± 0.0027 |
| 12 | Cyclohexane | 488 | 522 | 1340 | 566 | 2820 | 32 ± 3 | 0.2592 ± 0.0469 |
| 13 | 1-Octanol | 475 | 522 | 1900 | 566 | 3390 | 30 ±2 | 0.0325 ± 0.0012 |
| 14 | DMF [e] | 467 | 520 | 2180 | 562 | 3620 | 28 ± 3 | 0.0322 ± 0.0039 |
| 15 | 1,2-Ethanediol | 466 | 522 | 2300 | - | - | 22 ± 3 | 0.0332 ± 0.0018 |
| 16 | Chloroform | 472 | 523 | 2070 | 568 | 3580 | 31 ± 3 | 0.0174 ± 0.0013 |
| 17 | Benzene | 474 | 525 | 2050 | 570 | 3550 | 33 ± 2 | 0.0577 ± 0.0030 |
| 18 | Toluene | 476 | 525 | 1960 | 569 | 3430 | 33 ± 2 | 0.0640 ± 0.0032 |
| 19 | Chlorobenzene | 473 | 525 | 2090 | 569 | 3570 | 32 ± 3 | 0.0498 ± 0.0023 |

[a] The solvents are numbered according to increasing refractive index, *n*. [b] The values of Stokes shift are rounded to the nearest 10 cm–1. [c] The values of absorption coefficients, ε, are rounded to the nearest 100 M-1 cm-1. [d] Fluorescence quantum yield ± one standard uncertainty. Φdetermined using fluorescein in 0.1 N NaOH (Φr = 0.91) as a reference. [e] THF = tetrahydrofuran, DMF = dimethylformamide.

Further differences between 2- and 3-substitution arose in the emission spectra. BODIPY dye **2** exhibits a single, broad emission band in all the tested solvents (see Figures 4B, S2, and Table 1), with λemmax ranging from 526 (in methanol and 1,2-ethanediol) to 538 nm (in benzene); importantly, with an overall blue-shift trend upon increasing the solvent dipolarity. Surprisingly, the emission maxima of compound **2** are hardly affected by solvent dipolarity. The difference in the emission maximum wavelength of this dye dissolved in methanol or 1,2-ethanediol and benzene is only 12 nm. The blue shift in the emission spectra with solvent dipolarity indicates that, contrary to what is expected, the excited state might present a lower dipolar moment than the ground state does.

In contrast, the emission spectra of compound **3** displayed distinct variations in the different solvents. A narrow emission band, centered around 570 nm, typical for BODIPY dyes, was the major contribution in apolar solvents, such as toluene, cyclohexane, or benzene. However, this band coexisted and was overlapped with a much broader emission, appearing in the 520 nm range, which was especially noticeable in alcohols and other polar solvents (Figures 4D and S3, and Table 2). This is a striking, distinct feature. BODIPY **3** exhibited a bimodal emission from two well-defined states: the high-energy, broad band with typical features of a weakly emissive ICT state, and a narrow band, characteristic of the emission from the LE state.



**Figure 4.** Normalized S1←S0 visible absorption bands (A) and normalized fluorescence emission spectra (B) of **2** in the solvents: methanol (green); 1-propanol (magenta); 1-octanol (black); 1,4-dioxane (blue); chloroform (cyan); toluene (dark yellow); cyclohexane (red).Normalized S1←S0 visible absorption bands (C) and normalized fluorescence emission spectra (D) of **3** in the solvents: methanol (green); 1-propanol (magenta); 1-octanol (black); 1,4-dioxane (blue); chloroform (cyan); toluene (dark yellow); cyclohexane (red).

Other parameters in Tables 1 and 2 highlight the different behavior of BODIPYs **2** and **3**. As expected, the average Φ of both dyes is largely affected by the different solvents. As expected for the presence of weakly emissive ICT states, the fluorescence emission is much more effective in apolar solvents, with average quantum yield values of 0.50 ± 0.02 and 0.26 ± 0.05 for **2** and **3**, respectively, dissolved in cyclohexane, whereas Φ is as low as 0.002 and 0.014 for **2** and **3**, respectively, dissolved in methanol. The inspection of the quantum yield values suggests the clear presence of a weakly emissive state, in polar solvents, for **2**; whereas the dual-band emission behavior of **3** may be responsible for a not as low quantum yield in polar solvents. Those results clearly hint that at least two and three different excited-state species are responsible for the emissive characteristics of **2** and **3**, respectively.

To get more insights into the photophysics of the dyes studied, time-resolved fluorometry was employed to extract the kinetics of the excited state and fluorescence lifetimes (decay times) of the dyes dissolved in six different solvents (Table 3 and Figures S4 and S5). Fluorescence decay traces of **2** were well fitted to monoexponential functions in many of the solvents studied. This confirms that a single major emissive species can be found. Two components were found when **2** was dissolved in chlorobenzene, 1-octanol, and methanol, exhibiting an additional very short decay time, which reduced the average lifetime down to 0.451, 0.432, and 0.166 ns, respectively. This fast decaying component is supposedly responsible of the low quantum yield in polar solvents, supporting the presence of a weakly emissive ICT state. In contrast, BODIPY **3** clearly showed fluorescence decay traces that matched bi- or tri-exponential decay functions in all the solvents studied (Table 3). A much better description of the dynamic behavior of the emitting species can be obtained with time-resolved emission spectroscopy (TRES), by which fluorescence decay traces are collected over the entire emission range (see SM for experimental details). The TRES spectra of **3** in chloroform (Figure 5A) and acetonitrile (Figure 5B) exhibited similar features: the LE, low-energy emission band was the first being depopulated, but initially, emission contributions were detected from the two bands; then, the high-energy band was responsible for the later emission. Depopulation of the LE band was definitively faster in acetonitrile than in chloroform. The low-energy band was negligible after 3 ns when **3** was dissolved in acetonitrile, whereas it still persisted after 10 ns when dissolved in chloroform. In methanol (Figure 5C), the narrow LE band was already negligible just after excitation.

Importantly, the emission spectrum associated with each of the emitters can be extracted through the species-associated emission spectra (SAEMS). As one can observe from the SAEMS of **3** in chloroform (Figure 5D), acetonitrile (Figure 5E), and methanol (Figure 5F), the short-lived component included in all three cases the narrow LE emission and a certain contribution of the high-energy band, whereas the long-lived component was exclusively composed of the high-energy, broad band. Interestingly, the relative weight of the two components was solvent-dependent, with a higher contribution of the short component in the less polar solvents. This situation suggests a competing kinetic mechanism for **3**, evidenced in the average fluorescence decay time values (Table 3), which exhibited large values in apolar and polar solvents, due to the different emitting states in each environment.

**Table 3.** Fluorescence lifetimes (decay times) of 2 and 3 in selected solvents.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Compound | Solvent | τ1(ns) [a] | τ2(ns) [a] | τ3(ns) [a] | τave(ns)[b] | χ2 |
| **2** | Cyclohexane | 3.369 | - | - | 3.369 | 1.259 |
| Toluene | 0.777 | - | - | 0.777 | 1.200 |
| Benzene | 0.660 | - | - | 0.660 | 1.221 |
| Chlorobenzene | 1.596 | 0.390 | - | 0.451 ± 0.002 | 1.119 |
| 1-Octanol | 2.278 | 0.363 | - | 0.432 ± 0.001 | 1.238 |
| Methanol | 3.362 | 0.057 | - | 0.191 ± 0.008 | 1.245 |
| **3** | Cyclohexane | 3.791 | 2.644 | - | 3.683 ± 0.011 | 1.201 |
| Toluene | 3.837 | 2.025 | 0.364 | 2.182 ± 0.026 | 1.179 |
| Benzene | 3.770 | 2.001 | 0.289 | 2.240 ± 0.035 | 1.186 |
| Chlorobenzene | 3.850 | 1.966 | 0.201 | 2.245 ± 0.038 | 1.155 |
| 1-Octanol | 4.307 | 1.770 | 0.173 | 2.691 ± 0.113 | 1.126 |
| Methanol | 4.365 | 0.521 | - | 3.234 ± 0.076 | 1.267 |

[a] Fluorescence lifetimes (decay times) obtained with λex = 440 nm, as global fits of three fluorescence decay traces collected at 525, 530, and 535 nm for **2**, and 560, 565, and 570 nm for **3**. The standard errors of the individual decay times (obtained from the global analysis fit of decay traces recorded at three emission wavelengths) were between 5 and 30 ps. [b] Average fluorescence decay time was calculated using equation S2 in SM. The error associated to the average fluorescence decay time is the standard deviation of the values obtained at the three different emission wavelengths.



**Figure 5.** TRES spectra of BODIPY **3** dissolved in chloroform (A), acetonitrile (B), and methanol (C) at 0, 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.5, 3.0, 5.0, 8.0, 10.0, and 15.0 ns after photoexcitation. SAEMS of the two different components (short lifetime, black line; long lifetime, red line), as obtained in TRES experiments, for BODIPY **3** dissolved in chloroform (D), acetonitrile (E), and methanol (F).

Among the unusual spectroscopic properties of BODIPYs **2** and **3**, perhaps the most striking were the spectral shifts found in absorption and emission with solvent dipolarity, exhibiting an inverse behavior when compared to Prodan and its analogues. A hypsochromic shift with solvent dipolarity is a typical feature of merocyanine solvatochromic dyes. In these dyes, the formation of a stable zwitterionic species leads to a further decrease in energy of the ground state, causing blue shifts.[16, 55] Therefore, to rationalize solvatochromic bathochromic and hypsochromic shifts in absorption and/or emission bands of probes it is important to consider two different types of solvent contributions, namely non-specific (or general) interactions (dielectric solute-solvent interactions) and specific interactions (such as hydrogen bonding). To unravel the solvent effects on the spectral features of a dye, multi-parameter solvent scales have been proposed and applied to various physicochemical parameters.[1] A multi-parameter methodology was proposed by Kamlet and Taft, who described the solvent effect in terms of α, β, and π\*.[62-64] Kamlet and Taft’s α and β take into account specific interactions involving hydrogen bonding, whereas the π\* parameter is a measure of mixed effects of solvent dipolarity and polarizability. Alternatively, Catalán and co-workers proposed a generalized treatment of the solvent effect based on four empirical, complementary, mutually independent solvent scales, *i.e.*, two general scales (dipolarity and polarizability) and two specific scales (acidity and basicity).[65] The polarizability and dipolarity of a particular solvent are characterized by the indices SP and SdP, respectively, whereas the scales SA and SB define solvent acidity and basicity, respectively. Mathematically, the solvent effect on the physicochemical observable *y* can be expressed by the multi-linear expression (1):

*y* = *y*0 + *a*SA SA + *b*SB SB + *c*SP SP + *d*SdP SdP (1)

where *y*0 stands for the physicochemical property of interest in the gas phase; *a*SA, *b*SB, *c*SP, and *d*SdP are (adjustable) regression coefficients that reflect the sensitivity of the property *y* to the individual solvent–solute interaction contributions; and SA, SB, SP, and SdP are the mutually independent solvent parameters. This methodology can determine not only which solvent property is responsible for the solvatochromism, but crucially, it also quantifies the extent of the contribution of each individual solvent property to the measured sensitivity to the environment. This methodology is thus superior to other conventional solvatochromism formalisms, such as the Lippert-Mataga equation,[66] which correlates the dye’s Stokes shift () with the change of its dipole moment GE = E – G upon excitation and the solvent’s orientation polarizability (*f*). Therefore, we used the generalized Catalán methodology[65] to probe which solvent properties contribute mostly to the solvent sensitivity of the observed shifts of the absorption maxima abs (= 1/absmax)] and the fluorescence emission maxima em (= 1/emmax) of **2** and **3**, and compare their features with Prodan and other analogues.

The results of the fits of *y* = em and *y* = abs of **2** according to equation (1) are compiled in Table S3 (Supplementary Material). The multi-linear regression using all four solvent scales for em and abs produced excellent fits, using the correlation coefficient *r* as goodness-of-fit criterion (*r* = 0.953 and *r* = 0.925, for the fits of em and abs, respectively), resulting in equations (2) and (3), expressed in 103 cm–1. Analysis of the *F*-statistic of the fit allows to confirm (at 99% of confidence level) that the correlation is significant.#

em = 19.2 ± 0.2 + (0.21 ± 0.06) SA + (0.02 ± 0.05) SB – (0.77 ± 0.20) SP + (0.23 ± 0.05) SdP (2)

abs = 19.9 ± 0.8 + (0.3 ± 0.3) SA + (0.5 ± 0.3) SB + (1.2 ± 1.0) SP + (1.6 ± 0.2) SdP (3)

By inspecting the parameter values for the fit of em of **2** in eqn. (2) one can conclude that those with the highest weight and most precisely determined were *a*SA, *c*SP, and *d*SdP. Interestingly, two competing factors can be inferred. Whereas the increase in solvent polarizability causes red shifts in emmax (*i.e.*, lower em values), the solvent dipolarity and specific effects through solvent acidity promote a blue shift in emmax (*i.e.*, larger em values). Overall, the positive estimates of *a*SA and *d*SdP controlled the final blue-shift trend in emmax with increasing solvent acidity and dipolarity, observed in Table 1 in going from cyclohexane (apolar solvent, emmax= 534 nm, SA = 0.000, SP = 0.683, SdP = 0.000) to the polar solvents DMF (aprotic solvent, emmax = 530 nm, SA = 0.031, SP = 0.759, SdP = 0.977) or methanol (protic solvent, emmax = 526 nm, SA = 0.605, SP = 0.608, SdP = 0.904). These competing effects justify the low solvatochromic features of compound **2**. Similarly, the Catalán analysis of the solvatochromic shifts of abs of **2** [eqn. (3)] reflected that the absorption energy was predominantly controlled by changes in dipolarity of the environment of the dye, as supported by the largest and most precise *d*SdP estimate (*i.e.*, relatively small standard error of ca. 15%) compared with the smaller and imprecise *a*SA, *b*SB, and *c*SP estimates. This is corroborated by the fact that removing the SdP solvent scale from the multi-linear fit caused a decrease in the *r* value down to 0.648. Likewise, the linear correlation of abs *vs* SdP gave a reasonably good fit (*r* = 0.883). The large positive *d*SdP estimate clarifies the experimental large blue shift of absmax (*i.e.*, larger abs values) with increasing solvent dipolarity, found in Table 1 in going from cyclohexane (absmax= 495 nm, SdP = 0.000) to methanol (absmax = 443 nm, SdP = 0.904). Importantly, the fact that solvent’s acidity only affects noticeably to the emission and not to the absorption suggests that hydrogen-bonding-facilitated charge separation only occurs in the excited state. This contradicts a merocyanine-like zwitterionic form in the ground state. A thorough discussion on the different fittings to unequivocally identify the most important solvent features defining em and abs of compound **2** can be found in the Supplementary MateriaI and Table S3 and Figure S6.

We performed a similar correlation study with the spectral features of BODIPY **3**, namely the two emission maxima, em,1 and em,2, corresponding respectively to the high- and low-energy emission bands, and the absorption maxima, abs, from Table 2. The Catalán analysis of the high-energy emission maxima em,1 of **3** using the four solvent scales resulted in eqn. (4), with a good correlation (*r* = 0.914). For this emission band, unequivocally, the most contributing factor was the solvent polarizability, SP. The large and well-defined negative value of the coefficient *c*SP supports that increasing solvent polarizability causes a red shift in the emission band. This was confirmed with the very good linear correlation of the em,1 values with only the SP solvent scale (*r* = 0.866) and the high frequency polarizability function *f*(*n*2) = (*n*2 – 1)/(2*n*2 +1) [2] (*r* = 0.894). This dependency suggests that the accommodation of the electrons of solvent molecules within the solvation shell is the preferred mechanism for relaxation from this emissive state. However, as there are not large polarizability differences between the chosen solvents, the solvent effects over this band were very small. In contrast, the multi-linear analysis of the low-energy band, em,2, indicated that both polarizability and dipolarity were the main factors controlling the spectral shifts (eqn. (5), *r* = 0.936). In all the possible correlations, using different combinations of the solvent scales, good fits were obtained as long as both the SP and SdP scales were included (Table S4). Using the two scales responding to the general solvent effects, the correlation coefficient *r* obtained was 0.918. Similarly to what occurred in BODIPY **2**, opposite effects were in place, with increasing polarizability resulting in red shifts and increasing dipolarity promoting blue shifts. The overall effect was a blue shift, because larger differences are found in the dipolarity of the chosen solvents. Finally, the analyses of the absorption maxima abs of **3** unambiguously demonstrated that solvent dipolarity is the dominant factor that affects the absorption energy (eqn. (5), *r* = 0.910). Similar to the behavior of compound **2**, the positive *d*SdP estimate is in conformity with the experimental blue shift of absmax of **3** with increasing solvent dipolarity, seen in Table 2 in going from cyclohexane (apolar, aprotic solvent, absmax = 488 nm) to the polar solvents acetonitrile (aprotic, absmax = 462 nm) or methanol (protic, absmax = 466 nm). Likewise, further discussion on the complete analyses of compound **3** can be found in the Supplementary Material and Table S4 and Figure S7.

em,1 = 19.9 ± 0.2 + (0.02 ± 0.06) SA + (0.07 ± 0.05) SB – (1.0 ± 0.20) SP + (0.07 ± 0.05) SdP (4)

em,2 = 18.6 ± 0.2 + (0.02 ± 0.20) SA – (0.10 ± 0.08) SB – (1.4 ± 0.3) SP + (0.27 ± 0.06) SdP (5)

abs = 20.6 ± 0.4 – (0.06 ± 0.15) SA – (0.09 ± 0.13) SB + (0.2 ± 0.5) SP + (0.9 ± 0.1) SdP (6)

It is interesting to compare the unusual solvatochromic behavior of BODIPYs **2** and **3**, structurally analogues of Prodan, with the features of those dyes listed in Figure 1. It must be emphasized that emmax of Prodan[4] and other analogues[19, 20] moves in the opposite direction than BODIPYs **2** and **3**, involving large red shifts in going from an apolar, aprotic (hexane or toluene) to a polar and/or protic (methanol or water).


We also considered the influence of the viscosity of the solvent as a potential source of variation of the spectral features of dyes **2** and **3**. The selected aliphatic alcohol solvents that we selected are an interesting family, because they are the only acidic solvents and covering a broad range of viscosity values (between 0.5 and 16 mPa·s). The environment viscosity may have an important effect in spectral properties of BODIPY dyes with rotor-like substituents.[67] In order to avoid this effect, we chose for the *meso*- substituent a 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl group, which should ensure orthogonality between the *meso*- phenyl ring and the BODIPY core.[39] Although some spectral features, such as abs, em and quantum yield, exhibited notable trends with viscosity in alcohols (Figures S8 and S9), the fact that these trends do correlate well with the intrinsic tendency of variation of viscosity with dipolarity and polarizability of the alcohol (see Figure S10) allows us to infer that the effect of viscosity is negligible. This is likewise confirmed, given the spectral features of **2** and **3** are well predicted by the Catalán solvent scales, for both alcohol and non-alcohol solvents (see Figures S6 and S7), regardless of the viscosity of the environment.

In order to unveil the nature of the emissive states and the complex photophysical properties of compounds **2** and **3**, next we performed quantum chemical calculations.

**4. Quantum chemical calculations**

The potential energy surfaces (PES) of both low-lying and higher-lying excited states of **2** and **3** were explored with time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT). The PBE0 functional is used in the TD-DFT excited state optimizations. In Table S5 we report the TD-DFT results using two different functionals for **2**, *i.e.*, PBE0 and CAM-B3LYP. PBE0 reproduces more accurately the bright \* state (S1), whereas the n\* state is located more accurately with CAM-B3LYP (as compared with the ADC(2) estimates, see discussion below). Thus, as expected, the range-separated CAM-B3LYP functional reproduces better the excited state characterized by a larger amount of charge transfer character, *i.e.*, the n\* state (see its large charge transfer D distance and its small hole-electron overlaps *Sr* in Table S5). Aiming at attaining a balanced description between both local and charge transfer excited states, single point second-order algebraic diagrammatic construction [ADC(2)] calculations were performed at relevant stationary points of the excited-state PES (see details in the Experimental Section). Due to the so-called “cyanine challenge”,[68] obtaining accurate excited-state properties of BODIPY dyes is still challenging for TD-DFT-based methodologies. In this regard, the ADC(2) method has shown to yield accurate estimates of the excited-state properties of BODIPY dyes,[69] and thus in the following we mainly describe the ADC(2) results for **2** and **3**. As mentioned above, rather low fluorescence quantum yields along with complex excited-state decay kinetics involving several excited-state species have been measured for these two BODIPY dyes, especially for **3**. These characteristics are rarely found in BODIPY dyes, which often display very high Φ values and mono-exponential fluorescence decays.

We first modeled the UV-vis absorption characteristics of **2** and **3** in two different solvents: toluene and acetonitrile (see results in Table 4). Solvent effects were included in the ADC(2) calculations using the recent implementation of the conductor-like screening model (COSMO).[50] Two excited states (S1 and S2) contribute to the UV-vis spectrum of **3** (see the orbitals involved in these states in Figure 6) while a few more dark states are found for **2** (see Table 4). Regardless of the BODIPY dye, the spectroscopic state is always S1, which is a very bright \* state involving the BODIPY core, with a small participation of the dialkylamino group (see Figure 6). S1 is thus responsible of the absorption characteristics of these dyes. Note, that the computed COSMO-ADC(2) excitation energies perfectly match the experimental evidence, compare *e.g.* the computed absorption maxima in toluene for **2** and **3 (**466 and 483 nm, respectively), with those measured experimentally (463 and 476 nm). Note that in agreement with the experiments, the computed shifts in the absorption spectra are rather small, considering the different properties of the selected solvents. Higher in energy are found dark states, such as the n\* state shown in Figure 6, which involves the lone pair of the acetyl moiety and corresponds to S2 and S4 for **3** and **2**, respectively (see Table 4). In the case of **2**,two additional dark states of charge transfer \* character are found below the n\* state (see the orbitals involved in the excited states of **2** in Figure S11). In general, dark states, although not easily populated at the Franck-Condon region, might be populated in the course of photodeactivation through internal conversion processes, and thus they might play a role in the excited decay dynamics.




**Figure 6**. Orbitals involved in the S1 and S2 states of **3**.

Table 4. COSMO-ADC(2)/def2-TZVP[a] singlet electronic excitation energies (in eV) in toluene and acetronitrile (values between parentheses), and oscillators strengths *f* (in a.u.) of 2 and 3.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Compound | State (Character) | Exc. Energy | *f (gas phase)* | *f (toluene)* [b] | *f (ACN)* [b] |
| **2** | S1 (\*) | 2.663 (2.631) | 0.745 | 0.868 | 0.847 |
| S2 (\*) | 3.804 (3.762) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| S3 (\*) | 3.886 (3.857) | 0.057 | 0.000 | 0.021 |
| S4 (n\*) | 4.108 (4.035) | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| **3** | S1 (\*) | 2.569 (2.502) | 0.624 | 0.727 | 0.690 |
| S2 (n\*) | 3.706 (3.610) | 0.032 | 0.001 | 0.000 |

[a] These COSMO calculations are equilibrated for the ground state. [b] Obtained from PCM-TD-PBE0/6-31+G(d) calculations.

Next, to model the emission processes but also to rationalize the complex photophysics, we explored the singlet excited-state PES, so to capture relevant relaxation effects upon photodeactivation. Thus, we performed TD-DFT optimizations of the first five excited states to locate excited-state minima (see computational details in the experimental section). Indeed, with this protocol, we were able to obtain four different PES minima for compounds **2** and **3**. COSMO-ADC(2) single-point emission energies were performed on the optimized excited-state structures. Exemplarily, in Figure 7 are shown the different deactivation pathways opened up upon light irradiation for **3** in toluene. We recall that **3** displays experimentally the most complex behavior among the BODIPY dyes explored herein. Note that the results presented in Figure 7 are actually a qualitative representation of the PES landscapes because no minimum energy paths connecting the different minima have been explicitly calculated. In the \* PES three different minima were obtained, *i.e*., (\*)min1, (\*)min2, and a twisted ICT minimum (*i*.*e*., TICTmin). The \* deactivation coordinate mainly involves the rotation of the dialkylamino group. Note that the dihedral angle characterizing such a rotation increases from 34.3° to 44.7° when going from (\*)min1 to (\*)min2 and it reaches a maximum value of 86.7° at the TICTmin minimum (see Figure 7). Large oscillator strengths are obtained at the (\*)min1 and (\*)min2 minima (*f* = 0.562 and 0.493, respectively), in principle enabling fluorescence from these two minima. The COSMO-ADC(2) computed emission energies from (\*)min1 is 563 nm, which is in perfect agreement with the experimental 0–0 band of **3** (569 nm), see Figure 4d. Thus, among (\*)min1 and (\*)min2, likely (\*)min1 is only responsible for this narrow LE emission, which is responsible for the short-live component of **3**. This is the only emission band observed for **2**, and our calculations also support the fact of (\*)min1 being solely responsible for its emissive characteristics (see Table 5).



**Figure 7.** Schematic photodeactivation channels for **3** in toluene including the different excited-state minima. COSMO-ADC(2)/def2-TZVP excitation and emission energies (equilibrated values) along with their respective oscillator strengths are also shown.

A twist of the dialkylamino group from (\*)min1 leads to (\*)min2 and a second twist reaches the TICTmin minimum (see Figure 7). At the TICT minimum, the lone pair of the amino group is no longer delocalized within the BODIPY core, so that a negligible oscillator strength is computed at this minimum (*f* = 0.000). The important geometrical relaxation effects leading to the TICTmin (note that according to the COSMO-ADC(2) calculations it is located only 0.9 eV above the ground state) along with its negligible oscillator strength at this minimum makes the radiationless deactivation to the ground state much preferred over the radiative deactivation. Thus, the TICT is a dark state, and it is likely responsible for the unusual low quantum yields of fluorescence measured for both **2** and **3**.

**Table 5.** COSMO-ADC(2)/def2-TZVP[a] singlet electronic emission energies (in eV) at different excited-state minima in toluene and acetronitrile for 2 and 3.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | (\*)min1 | TICTmin | (n\*)min |
| **2** | Toluene  CH3CN | S1(\*): 2.200  S1(\*): 2.066 | -  - | S2(n\*):3.343 /S1(\*): 2.445  S2(n\*): 2.589 / S1(\*): 2.442b |
| **3** | Toluene  CH3CN | S1(\*): 2.202  S1(\*): 2.200 | S1:0.897  S1: 0.095 | S2 (n\*): 2.952 / S1(\*): 2.441  S2 (\*): 2.354 / S1(n\*): 2.195 |

[a] These COSMO calculations are equilibrated for the emissive state. [b] Non-equilibrated emission energy.

In the following, we address the origin of the measured high-energy band for **3**, which is responsible for its long-lived component**.** Thus, in addition to the \* channel, we successfully optimized a minimum in the S2 (n\*) PES (see the n\* deactivation pathway in Figure 7). As mentioned above, the n\* state is a second dark state. The main geometrical relaxation involves the stretching of the C=O distance (compare 1.22 Å with 1.27 Å at the ground state and at the (n\*)min optimized minima, respectively). The n\* state is vertically located 4.11 and 3.71 eV above the ground state for **2** and **3**, respectively (see Table 4). Under the experimental conditions it is unlikely that this state will be directly populated. However, it can be populated in the course of photodeactivation. The well of the n\* state for **3** is deeper in energy than for **2** (compare *e.g.*, 2.195 vs 2.598 eV in acetonitrile, respectively in Table 5). Thus, due to its higher energetic accessibility, the population of the n\* well is more likely to happen in **3** than in **2**.Furthermore, in toluene, the n\* state remains the second excited state, and it is thus located above the bright \* state at (n\*)min, (see Figure 7), whereas the order between the states is reversed in acetonitrile. Therefore, in toluene internal conversion from n\* to the lowest lying \* state is likely, from where emission of a photon will predominantly occur (see Figure 7). Note that the computed \* emission energy at (n\*)min is 2.44 eV (508 nm), and thus this excited-state minimum might be responsible for the high-energy band (slow component) of **3**, which peaks at 525 nm**.** Thus, the dual emission observed in toluene is explained for **3**. Conversely, in acetonitrile, the excited-state ordering is reversed, and the n\* state becomes the lowest-lying excited state (see Table 5), from where non-radiative deactivation to the ground state is the only possibility. Therefore, population of this channel in acetonitrile is likely linked to the enhanced radiationless deactivation processes experimentally observed in polar solvents, exhibiting a much lower quantum yield. Further theoretical studies (*e.g.*, excited state dynamics simulations) are needed to corroborate these hypotheses but also to unambiguously decipher the actual mechanisms of dual photoluminescence. We also note that, for instance, in the case of toluene, where a larger adiabatic energy gap exists between the \* and the n\* states, the emission process might be facilitated *via* vibronic coupling between these excited states.

In a nutshell, as disclosed by our calculations, different non-radiative decays (*i.e*., involving the TICT and/or the n\* state) are opened up for **2** and **3** in different environments, which likely rationalize the differences observed experimentally.

5. Conclusions

The rational design of novel fluorophores is an important field, which combines organic chemistry, physical chemistry, and spectroscopy. Being capable of preparing new dyes, with tailored properties, will pave the way to increasingly challenging biophysical and biomedical applications.

Based on the combination of electron-releasing and electron-withdrawing groups linked to an aromatic moiety, we have synthesized and characterized two new BODIPY dyes, with diethylamino and acetyl groups in different places of the BODIPY core, maximizing the possibility of an ICT excited state, in analogy with the structural arrangement of Prodan, perhaps the best known solvatochromic dye. However, the fact that the BODIPY moiety is electron-deficient provides the new compounds with unusual spectroscopic and photophysical properties, namely, dual-band absorption and emission bands, low quantum yields, and distinct solvent effects on the luminescence properties. Solvent dipolarity and polarizability cause opposite effects over the emission of dyes **2** and **3**. Overall, these competing effects result in a very low anti-solvatochromic behavior of these dyes, in huge contrast with Prodan and other analogues. The behavior of **2** and **3** also contrast that of hypsochromic merocyanines, with stabilized ground-state zwitterions.

Importantly, state-of-the-art quantum chemistry calculations have allowed us to understand the deactivation mechanisms of the dyes, as well as the sources of the dual emission behavior of compound **3**. Such experiments have clearly shown the different effect of the position of the acetyl group within the BODIPY core on the molecular orbitals of the molecules. Importantly, as demonstrated by our theoretical investigations, the TICT state is responsible for the very low emission quantum yields of these BODIPY dyes.

These BODIPY dyes clearly exemplify the importance of understanding complex photophysics in the design of new luminescent probes.

Acknowledgements

M.J.R. and A.O. acknowledge the grants CTQ2014-56370-R and CTQ2017-85658-R from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI), and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) for funding. E.H. and L.J. acknowledge the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grants Nos. 21672006, 21672007, and 21871006). D.E. acknowledges KU Leuven Internal Funds.

Notes and references

# **Note:** given *N* is the number of data points used in the fit, *df* represents the degree of freedom and *F* is the *F*-statistic of the fit, these parameters can be used to assess the likelihood of a larger *F* value occurring by chance by comparing *F* with critical values in published *F*(*v*1, *v*2) distribution tables or obtained through dedicated software. In the fits of em and abs of compound **2**, resulting in eqns. (2) and (3), the *F* values were 35 and 21, respectively. The critical *F* value from the *F*(*v*1, *v*2) distribution has *v*1 and *v*2 degrees of freedom, with *v*1 = *N* – *df* – 1 = 19 – 14 – 1 = 4, and *v*2 = *df* = 14. Assuming a probability level  of 0.01 (1%), standing for the probability of erroneously concluding that there is a relationship, the critical level of *F*(*v*1, *v*2) is 5.04. Since the *F* values of the fits in eqns. (2) and (3) are substantially higher than 5.04, it is extremely unlikely that an *F* value this high occurred by chance. Hence, with  = 0.01, the hypothesis that there is no relationship between em or abs and the parameters [[SA, SB, SP, SdP} must be ruled out when *F* exceeds the critical level, 5.04. Similar analyses can be done for all the multi-linear analyses reported in Tables S3 and S4 (Supplementary Material).

§ **Note:** The multi-linear equation found for the em values of Prodan, using just the SA and SdP solvent scales, was em = [(24.8 ± 0.3) – (3.3 ± 0.4) SA – (3.3 ± 0.5) SdP] × 103 cm–1 (*r* = 0.979). For Anthradan, the corresponding equation was: em = [(20.5 ± 0.2) – (3.0 ± 0.3) SA – (2.7 ± 0.3) SdP] × 103 cm–1 (*r* = 0.983). These results pointed clearly to the central role of solvent acidity (SA) and dipolarity (SdP) in shifting em.

[1] Valeur B, Berberan-Santos MN. Molecular Fluorescence. Principles and Applications. 2nd Ed. ed. Weinheim (Germany): Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, 2012.

[2] Lakowicz JR. Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy. 3rd ed. New York (USA): Springer, 2006.

[3] Marini A, Muñoz-Losa A, Biancardi A, Mennucci B. What is solvatochromism? J Phys Chem B. 2010;114(51):17128-35.

[4] Weber G, Farris FJ. Synthesis and spectral properties of a hydrophobic fluorescent probe: 6-propionyl-2-(dimethylamino)naphthalene. Biochemistry. 1979;18(14):3075-8.

[5] Adhikary R, Barnes CA, Petrich JW. Solvation dynamics of the fluorescent probe PRODAN in heterogeneous environments: contributions from the locally excited and charge-transferred states. J Phys Chem B. 2009;113(35):11999-2004.

[6] Pospisil P, Luxem KE, Ener M, Sykora J, Kocabova J, Gray HB, et al. Fluorescence quenching of (dimethylamino)naphthalene dyes Badan and Prodan by tryptophan in cytochromes P450 and micelles. J Phys Chem B. 2014;118(34):10085-91.

[7] Nyholm T, Nylund M, Soderholm A, Slotte JP. Properties of palmitoyl phosphatidylcholine, sphingomyelin, and dihydrosphingomyelin bilayer membranes as reported by different fluorescent reporter molecules. Biophys J. 2003;84(2 Pt 1):987-97.

[8] Parisio G, Marini A, Biancardi A, Ferrarini A, Mennucci B. Polarity-sensitive fluorescent probes in lipid bilayers: bridging spectroscopic behavior and microenvironment properties. J Phys Chem B. 2011;115(33):9980-9.

[9] Suhaj A, Le Marois A, Williamson DJ, Suhling K, Lorenz CD, Owen DM. PRODAN differentially influences its local environment. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2018;20(23):16060-6.

[10] Haskard CA, Li-Chan ECY. Hydrophobicity of Bovine Serum Albumin and Ovalbumin Determined Using Uncharged (PRODAN) and Anionic (ANS-) Fluorescent Probes. J Agric Food Chem. 1998;46(7):2671-7.

[11] Tainaka K, Tanaka K, Ikeda S, Nishiza K, Unzai T, Fujiwara Y, et al. PRODAN-conjugated DNA: synthesis and photochemical properties. J Am Chem Soc. 2007;129(15):4776-84.

[12] Banerjee A, Sengupta B, Chaudhuri S, Basu K, Sengupta PK. Encapsulation of Prodan in beta-cyclodextrin environments: A critical study via electronic spectroscopy and molecular mechanics. J Mol Struct. 2006;794(1):181-9.

[13] Naughton HR, Abelt CJ. Local solvent acidities in beta-cyclodextrin complexes with PRODAN derivatives. J Phys Chem B. 2013;117(12):3323-7.

[14] Samanta A, Fessenden RW. Excited State Dipole Moment of PRODAN as Determined from Transient Dielectric Loss Measurements. J Phys Chem A. 2000;104(39):8972-5.

[15] Mennucci B, Caricato M, Ingrosso F, Cappelli C, Cammi R, Tomasi J, et al. How the Environment Controls Absorption and Fluorescence Spectra of PRODAN:  A Quantum-Mechanical Study in Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Media. J Phys Chem B. 2008;112(2):414-23.

[16] Morley JO, Morley RM, Docherty R, Charlton MH. Fundamental Studies on Brooker's Merocyanine. J Am Chem Soc. 1997;119(42):10192-202.

[17] Abelt CJ, Sun T, Everett RK. 2,5-PRODAN: synthesis and properties. Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2011;10(4):618-22.

[18] Benedetti E, Kocsis LS, Brummond KM. Synthesis and photophysical properties of a series of cyclopenta[b]naphthalene solvatochromic fluorophores. J Am Chem Soc. 2012;134(30):12418-21.

[19] Niko Y, Kawauchi S, Konishi G. Solvatochromic pyrene analogues of Prodan exhibiting extremely high fluorescence quantum yields in apolar and polar solvents. Chemistry. 2013;19(30):9760-5.

[20] Lu Z, Lord SJ, Wang H, Moerner WE, Twieg RJ. Long-wavelength analogue of PRODAN: synthesis and properties of Anthradan, a fluorophore with a 2,6-donor-acceptor anthracene structure. J Org Chem. 2006;71(26):9651-7.

[21] Kucherak OA, Didier P, Mély Y, Klymchenko AS. Fluorene Analogues of Prodan with Superior Fluorescence Brightness and Solvatochromism. J Phys Chem Lett. 2010;1(3):616-20.

[22] Boens N, Verbelen B, Dehaen W. Postfunctionalization of the BODIPY Core: Synthesis and Spectroscopy. Eur J Org Chem. 2015;2015:6577-95.

[23] Boens N, Verbelen B, Ortiz MJ, Jiao L, Dehaen W. Synthesis of BODIPY dyes through postfunctionalization of the boron dipyrromethene core. Coord Chem Rev. 2019;399:213024.

[24] Loudet A, Burgess K. BODIPY Dyes and Their Derivatives:  Syntheses and Spectroscopic Properties. Chem Rev. 2007;107(11):4891-932.

[25] Boens N, Leen V, Dehaen W. Fluorescent indicators based on BODIPY. Chem Soc Rev. 2012;41(3):1130-72.

[26] Dziuba D, Jurkiewicz P, Cebecauer M, Hof M, Hocek M. A Rotational BODIPY Nucleotide: An Environment-Sensitive Fluorescence-Lifetime Probe for DNA Interactions and Applications in Live-Cell Microscopy. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2016;55(1):174-8.

[27] Ripoll C, Cheng C, Garcia-Fernandez E, Li J, Orte A, Do H, et al. Synthesis and Spectroscopy of Benzylamine-Substituted BODIPYs for Bioimaging. Eur J Org Chem. 2018;2018(20-21):2561-71.

[28] Kowada T, Maeda H, Kikuchi K. BODIPY-based probes for the fluorescence imaging of biomolecules in living cells. Chem Soc Rev. 2015;44(14):4953-72.

[29] Ni Y, Wu J. Far-red and near infrared BODIPY dyes: synthesis and applications for fluorescent pH probes and bio-imaging. Org Biomol Chem. 2014;12(23):3774-91.

[30] Klfout H, Stewart A, Elkhalifa M, He HS. BODIPYs for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2017;9(46):39873-89.

[31] Zampetti A, Minotto A, Squeo BM, Gregoriou VG, Allard S, Scherf U, et al. Highly Efficient Solid-State Near-infrared Organic Light-Emitting Diodes incorporating A-D-A Dyes based on alpha,beta-unsubstituted "BODIPY" Moieties. Sci Rep. 2017;7:1611.

[32] Yu C, Miao W, Wang J, Hao E, Jiao L. PyrrolylBODIPYs: Syntheses, Properties, and Application as Environment-Sensitive Fluorescence Probes. ACS Omega. 2017;2(7):3551-61.

[33] Kollmannsberger M, Rurack K, Resch-Genger U, Daub J. Ultrafast Charge Transfer in Amino-Substituted Boron Dipyrromethene Dyes and Its Inhibition by Cation Complexation:  A New Design Concept for Highly Sensitive Fluorescent Probes. J Phys Chem A. 1998;102(50):10211-20.

[34] Rurack K, Kollmannsberger M, Daub J. A highly efficient sensor molecule emitting in the near infrared (NIR): 3,5-distyryl-8-(p-dimethylaminophenyl)difluoroboradiaza-s-indacene. New J Chem. 2001;25(2):289-92.

[35] Baruah M, Qin W, Flors C, Hofkens J, Vallée RAL, Beljonne D, et al. Solvent and pH Dependent Fluorescent Properties of a Dimethylaminostyryl Borondipyrromethene Dye in Solution. J Phys Chem A. 2006;110(18):5998-6009.

[36] Qin W, Baruah M, Sliwa M, Van der Auweraer M, De Borggraeve WM, Beljonne D, et al. Ratiometric, fluorescent BODIPY dye with aza crown ether functionality: synthesis, solvatochromism, and metal ion complex formation. J Phys Chem A. 2008;112(27):6104-14.

[37] Potopnyk MA, Volyniuk D, Ceborska M, Cmoch P, Hladka I, Danyliv Y, et al. Benzo[4,5]thiazolo[3,2-c][1,3,5,2]oxadiazaborinines: Synthesis, Structural, and Photophysical Properties. J Org Chem. 2018;83(19):12129-42.

[38] Dipold J, Romero EE, Donnelly J, Calheiro TP, Bonacorso HG, Iglesias BA, et al. Two-photon absorption properties of BODIPY-like compounds based on BF2–naphthyridine complexes. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2019;21(12):6662-71.

[39] Kee HL, Kirmaier C, Yu L, Thamyongkit P, Youngblood WJ, Calder ME, et al. Structural Control of the Photodynamics of Boron−Dipyrrin Complexes. J Phys Chem B. 2005;109(43):20433-43.

[40] Lemmetyinen H, Tkachenko NV, Valeur B, Hotta J-i, Ameloot M, Ernsting NP, et al. Time-Resolved Fluorescence Methods. Pure Appl Chem. 2014;86:1969-98.

[41] Becker W. Advanced Time-Correlated Single Photon Counting Techniques. Berlin (Germany): Springer, 2005.

[42] Ernzerhof M, Scuseria GE. Assessment of the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof exchange-correlation functional. J Chem Phys. 1999;110(11):5029-36.

[43] Adamo C, Barone V. Toward reliable density functional methods without adjustable parameters: The PBE0 model. J Chem Phys. 1999;110(13):6158-70.

[44] Jacquemin D, Perpète EA, Scuseria GE, Ciofini I, Adamo C. TD-DFT Performance for the Visible Absorption Spectra of Organic Dyes:  Conventional versus Long-Range Hybrids. J Chem Theory Comput. 2008;4(1):123-35.

[45] Escudero D. Revising Intramolecular Photoinduced Electron Transfer (PET) from First-Principles. Acc Chem Res. 2016;49(9):1816-24.

[46] Yanai T, Tew DP, Handy NC. A new hybrid exchange–correlation functional using the Coulomb-attenuating method (CAM-B3LYP). Chem Phys Lett. 2004;393(1):51-7.

[47] Lu T, Chen F. Multiwfn: A multifunctional wavefunction analyzer. Journal of Computational Chemistry. 2012;33(5):580-92.

[48] Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, et al. Gaussian 16 Rev. C.01. Gaussian 16 Rev C01. 2016.

[49] TURBOMOLE V6.6 2014, a development of University of Karlsruhe and Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, 1987-2007, TURBOMOLE GmbH, since 2007; available from <http://www.turbomole.com>.

[50] Klamt A, Schüürmann G. COSMO: a new approach to dielectric screening in solvents with explicit expressions for the screening energy and its gradient. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans. 1993(5):799-805.

[51] Lunkenheimer B, Köhn A. Solvent Effects on Electronically Excited States Using the Conductor-Like Screening Model and the Second-Order Correlated Method ADC(2). J Chem Theory Comput. 2013;9(2):977-94.

[52] Mirri G, Schoenmakers DC, Kouwer PH, Veranic P, Musevic I, Stefane B. Synthesis of Functional Fluorescent BODIPY-based Dyes through Electrophilic Aromatic Substitution: Straightforward Approach towards Customized Fluorescent Probes. ChemistryOpen. 2016;5(5):450-4.

[53] Lv F, Yu Y, Hao E, Yu C, Wang H, Boens N, et al. Highly regioselective α-formylation and α-acylation of BODIPY dyes via tandem cross-dehydrogenative coupling with in situ deprotection. Org Biomol Chem. 2019;17(20):5121-8.

[54] Zhang H, Chen X, Lan J, Liu Y, Zhou F, Wu D, et al. Silver-mediated direct C–H amination of BODIPYs for screening endoplasmic reticulum-targeting reagents. Chem Commun. 2018;54(26):3219-22.

[55] Afonin AV, Ushakov IA, Pavlov DV, Petrova OV, Sobenina LN, Mikhaleva AbI, et al. Structural studies of meso-CF3-3(5)-aryl(hetaryl)- and 3,5-diaryl(dihetaryl)-BODIPY dyes by 1H, 13C and 19F NMR spectroscopy and DFT calculations. J Fluorine Chem. 2013;145:51-7.

[56] Zhang H, Chen X, Lan J, Liu Y, Zhou F, Wu D, et al. Silver-mediated direct C–H amination of BODIPYs for screening endoplasmic reticulum-targeting reagents. Chemical Communications. 2018;54(26):3219-22.

[57] Osorio-Martínez CA, Urías-Benavides A, Gómez-Durán CFA, Bañuelos J, Esnal I, López Arbeloa I, et al. 8-AminoBODIPYs: Cyanines or Hemicyanines? The Effect of the Coplanarity of the Amino Group on Their Optical Properties. J Org Chem. 2012;77(12):5434-8.

[58] Qin W, Leen V, Rohand T, Dehaen W, Dedecker P, Van der Auweraer M, et al. Synthesis, Spectroscopy, Crystal Structure, Electrochemistry, and Quantum Chemical and Molecular Dynamics Calculations of a 3-Anilino Difluoroboron Dipyrromethene Dye. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A. 2009;113(2):439-47.

[59] Hornillos V, Amat-Guerri F, Acuña AU. Fluorescent labeling of alkyl chains of phosphocholine lipids by one-pot TMS cleavage-click reaction. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry. 2012;243:56-60.

[60] Sunahara H, Urano Y, Kojima H, Nagano T. Design and Synthesis of a Library of BODIPY-Based Environmental Polarity Sensors Utilizing Photoinduced Electron-Transfer-Controlled Fluorescence ON/OFF Switching. J Am Chem Soc. 2007;129(17):5597-604.

[61] Jiao L, Yu C, Wang J, Briggs EA, Besley NA, Robinson D, et al. Unusual spectroscopic and photophysical properties of meso-tert-butylBODIPY in comparison to related alkylated BODIPY dyes. RSC Adv. 2015;5(109):89375-88.

[62] Kamlet MJ, Taft RW. The solvatochromic comparison method. I. The .beta.-scale of solvent hydrogen-bond acceptor (HBA) basicities. J Am Chem Soc. 1976;98(2):377-83.

[63] Taft RW, Kamlet MJ. The solvatochromic comparison method. 2. The .alpha.-scale of solvent hydrogen-bond donor (HBD) acidities. J Am Chem Soc. 1976;98(10):2886-94.

[64] Kamlet MJ, Abboud JLM, Abraham MH, Taft RW. Linear solvation energy relationships. 23. A comprehensive collection of the solvatochromic parameters, .pi.\*, .alpha., and .beta., and some methods for simplifying the generalized solvatochromic equation. J Org Chem. 1983;48(17):2877-87.

[65] Catalán J. Toward a Generalized Treatment of the Solvent Effect Based on Four Empirical Scales: Dipolarity (SdP, a New Scale), Polarizability (SP), Acidity (SA), and Basicity (SB) of the Medium. J Phys Chem B. 2009;113(17):5951-60.

[66] Noboru M, Yozo K, Masao K. Solvent Effects upon Fluorescence Spectra and the Dipolemoments of Excited Molecules. Bull Chem Soc Jpn. 1956;29(4):465-70.

[67] Vysniauskas A, Lopez-Duarte I, Duchemin N, Vu TT, Wu YL, Budynina EM, et al. Exploring viscosity, polarity and temperature sensitivity of BODIPY-based molecular rotors. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2017;19(37):25252-9.

[68] Prlj A, Fabrizio A, Corminboeuf C. Rationalizing fluorescence quenching in meso-BODIPY dyes. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2016;18(48):32668-72.

[69] Le Guennic B, Jacquemin D. Taking Up the Cyanine Challenge with Quantum Tools. Acc Chem Res. 2015;48(3):530-7.