Psicothema 2020, Vol. 32, No. 4, 476-489 doi: 10.7334/psicothema2020.106 ISSN 0214 - 9915 CODEN PSOTEG Copyright © 2020 Psicothema www.psicothema.com

A Meta-Analysis of the Generalization of the Reliability of State/Trait Depression Inventory Scores

María Guillot-Valdés, Alejandro Guillén-Riquelme, and Gualberto Buela-Casal Universidad de Granada

Abstract

Psicothema

Background: Depression is a disorder that is highly prevalent nowadays. Within the dimensional explanatory model of depression, the State/Trait Depression Inventory was developed. Its objective is to identify the degree of affectation (state) and the frequency of occurrence (trait) of the affective component of depression. The instrument has proven reliable and comprises two factors in its structure: state and trait, with two euthymia and dysthymia subscales in each one. The objective of this meta-analysis is to find an average alpha for the questionnaire. **Method:** A bibliographical search was conducted on Web of Science and Scopus. Forty-five articles were selected. **Results:** The alpha ranges from .84 to .89 for all factorizations, and in most studies a bifactorial structure of state and trait depression was found. **Conclusions:** The State/Trait Depression Inventory is a reliable and suitable instrument for measuring depression.

Keywords: Depression, meta-analysis, reliability, Cronbach's alpha, ST-DEP.

Resumen

Meta-Análisis de Generalización de la Fiabilidad de las Puntuaciones del Inventario de Depresión Estado/Rasgo. Antecedentes: la depresión es un trastorno de alta prevalencia en la actualidad. Dentro del modelo explicativo dimensional de la depresión, se desarrolló el Inventario de Depresión Estado/Rasgo, cuyo objetivo es identificar el grado de afectación (estado) y la frecuencia de ocurrencia (rasgo) del componente afectivo de la depresión. Este instrumento ha demostrado ser fiable y poseer dos factores en su estructura: estado y rasgo con dos subescalas eutimia y distimia en cada uno. El objetivo de este meta-análisis es hallar un alfa medio de las puntuaciones cuestionario. Método: se realizó una búsqueda bibliográfica en Web of Science y Scopus. Se seleccionaron 45 artículos. Resultados: el alfa oscila entre 0,84 y 0,89 para todas las factorizaciones, y en la mayoría de los estudios se halló una estructura bifactorial de depresión estado y rasgo. Conclusiones: el Inventario de Depresión Estado/Rasgo es un instrumento fiable y adecuado para medir la depresión.

Palabras clave: depresión, meta-análisis, fiabilidad, alfa de Cronbach, IDER.

Depression is one of the biggest public health problems worldwide (Schürmann & Margraf, 2018). Around 4.2% of the global population suffered from depression in 2015 (World Health Organization, 2017). The costs of the disorder are very high, not only in economic terms but also with regard to social and familial aspects and leading to the need for time off work (more than 70% of sick leave was for this reason in 2010; Castellón Leal et al., 2016). Depression also has associations with quality of life (Becker et al., 2018), with high levels of disability (Aguilera et al., 2019) and with obesity (Mulugeta et al., 2019). When the symptoms are very serious, depression can even lead to suicide (Brailovskaia et al., 2019; Castellón Leal et al., 2016; Teismann et al., 2018).

Low mood and anhedonia are the most important symptoms in a depressive disorder. However, the disorder also includes physical symptoms (fatigue, insomnia, weight gain, etc.), cognitive symptoms (loss of concentration, suicidal thoughts, feelings of guilt,

Received: April 5, 2020 • Accepted: June 11, 2020 Corresponding author: María Guillot-Valdés Centro de Investigación Mente, Cerebro y Comportamiento (CIMCYC) Universidad de Granada 18011 Granada (Spain) e-mail: mguillot@ugr.es etc.), and motor symptoms (psychomotor agitation or retardation; American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The symptoms are also characterized by the differential estimation of frequency and intensity in their presentation.

Among the explanatory models of mental disorders is the Spielberger model, with its distinction between state and trait. State is considered a transitory condition where levels of depression are detected at the time of the evaluation, while trait refers to individual differences in susceptibility to the disorder and to perceiving stimuli as depressive.

The state/trait model has been adapted to depression disorder, referring to it as a dimensional construct, that is, depression would be defined within a continuum. According to Agudelo et al. (2007), two aspects of depression can be evaluated: the frequency (trait) and the degree of involvement (state). Thus, it could be said that the presence of depressive traits increases the probability of the occurrence of depressive states and a person's vulnerability to the disorder. Hence, the State/Trait Depression Questionnaire was developed (ST-DEP; Spielberger, 1995; Spielberger & Reheiser, 2009; Spielberger & Ritterband, 1996; Spielberger et al., 2002a, 2002b). This test was adapted to several languages (i.e. Spanish, Portuguese, German...). In the development of the Spanish adaptation, only two of the items were kept, creating the rest of

the questionnaire from scratch. This Spanish version was the one that provided the basis for other adaptations to countries in Latin America or Portugal. The Spanish version was called State/ Trait Depression Inventory (in Spanish *Inventario de Depresión Estado/Rasgo*, Spielberger et al., 2008). Its main objective is to identify the presence of negative affects (dysthymia) and the absence of positive affects (euthymia) in state and trait depression components. This offers four possible combinations that can be evaluated: *state euthymia*, *trait euthymia*, *state dysthymia*, and *trait dysthymia* (Agudelo, 2009). This distinction between state and trait makes the instrument more sensitive to small changes in the level of involvement, given their transitory nature and makes it easier to apply the instrument to a non-clinical population (Agudelo et al., 2005).

Structural validity and score's reliability have been corroborated in various studies around the world. Most of them coincide with respect to the existence of two factors in the structure of the questionnaire: state (S-DEP) and trait (T-DEP), with two subscales of euthymia and dysthymia in each one. Notably, its use should be considered within the evaluation of the affective component of depression but not as a diagnostic test in itself (Agudelo et al., 2014).

Although the ST-DEP has adequate psychometric properties in different populations, a generalization of its score's reliability has not been made. Therefore, this study aims to establish the reliability of the questionnaire's scores for various samples of adults (both clinical and general population) from around the world as well as to know which factors influence in its reliability. The hypothesis is that the questionnaire's scores will have high reliability for both the trait and state scales, with neither being more reliable than the other. Furthermore, the score's reliability of the unifactorial and tetrafactorial models will be lower than that of the bifactorial model.

Method

Literature sampling

Search strategy

For its preparation, the recommendations of Rubio-Aparicio et al. (2018) were followed, as well as the PRISMA guidelines (Liberati et al., 2009).

The identification of key scientific articles was performed by searching the Web of Science and Scopus databases with the search terms '*Inventario de depresión estado rasgo*', OR '*ider*', OR "*State Trait Depression*', OR '*st/dep*'.

As the instrument appears with different names in the literature and its use is frequently not reflected in the titles or summaries of articles, complementary strategies were used. Specifically, in addition to the database search, a more exhaustive manual search was carried out via the citations of the original ST-DEP documents and in those works where their psychometric properties were reflected. For this, cites from creation documents or cultural adaptations of the questionnaire were searched both in Scopus and in Google Scholar. In this case, cites were searched from original article and from its adaptations (Spanish, Portuguese, Cuban, Czech, Korean and German) as well as cultural adaptations of the Spanish version (i.e., Chile, Colombia and Mexico). Additionally, it was searched in the citations of articles whose fundamental objective was the development or study of the psychometric properties of the ST-DEP. This procedure will allow including articles from specific journals but without impact. This fact will help to mitigate the gray literature bias. This search was made during June 2019 and actualized in May 2020.

Study selection: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The articles included in the review were required to meet the following criteria: they must be empirical studies published in scientific journals (with no limit on year of publication); the participating samples must be adults and adolescents of any gender and culture; the articles must be available in English, Spanish, or German (an article in Czech that provided sufficient data for analysis in its English abstract was included); they must report Cronbach's alpha—whether global alpha, total state alpha, or total trait alpha, either together or divided by euthymia and dysthymia. Works with children's samples (under 11 years old) were excluded since the inventory is not designed for this population. A total of 977 studies were initially obtained. All articles that did not meet these inclusion criteria were excluded, as well as those that, after analysis, did not provide relevant data for the present review. This finally left 45 relevant articles (see Figure 1).

Instruments

All analysis included were performed using the R software and, specifically, the metafor package (Viechtbauer, 2010).

Procedure

After articles selection, the following data were extracted: 1) author, 2) year of publication, 3) country/ies in which the study was conducted, 4) sample size, 5) type of sample (adolescents, adults, elderly, and clinical samples), 6) percentage of women, 7) mean age and standard deviation, 8) minimum and maximum age, 9) Cronbach's alpha for each of the factorizations, and 10) means and standard deviations for each of the factorizations.

The coding process of half of the articles was made for all the variables by to independent researchers. The discrepancies were resolved by consensus. With all variables a Cohen's Kappa was obtained. The mean was .93 (SD = .20), ranked between .68-1.

Finally, the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology methodological quality survey was applied to check the bias risk of the selected studies. This survey is made up of 22 elements that evaluate aspects related to the presentation of relevant data for the study's replication. For its correction, one point was given per item that was properly reflected. The average methodological quality of the studies was 18.13 (SD = 0.83, range = 17–20).

Data analysis

To estimate the average alpha of all the selected studies, their non-normality was assumed. The alpha coefficient in each study was transformed using the formula presented by Bonett (2010) to achieve normalization. After obtaining the average transformed score, it was transformed back to alpha to make it more easily interpretable. A random effects model was used with the DerSimonian–Laird estimation method and the values



were weighted by the inverse of the studies' variance. To check heterogeneity in the results the Q statistics and the I^2 were used, interpreting the value upper than .25 as a small variability, upper than .5 medium, and upper than .75 as high variability. The moderator analysis was performed using metaANOVA for the categorical variables, and a multiple metaregression for continuous variables. The publication bias analysis was performed using the regression test of Egger.

Results

Description of studies

After the search and screening, a total of 45 studies were selected. The total sample was 19,582 with an average of 338 participants per study. Regarding the sample types, twenty-three studies focused on the general population, fourteen on university students, four on adolescents, three on both, and a single study consisted of a clinical sample (depressive patients). Around 30%

were from Germany, 13% from Spain and Austria, 11% from Colombia and Peru, 6% from Mexico, 4% from China, United States and Chile, and 2% from the Czech Republic, China and South Korea. Most of the studies (23) proposed a bifactorial structure of state and trait depression; while seventeen proposed a four-factor solution in which state and trait depression included the euthymia and dysthymia dimensions. Finally, five works provided the total alpha (see Table 1).

Internal consistency of different factorizations

As can be seen in Table 1, the alpha ranged between .85 and .89 for all factorizations. The homogeneity of the results was analysed. The significance associated with Q was always less than .01. So, it can be affirmed that there was a high variability. Likewise, two factorizations of possible publication bias were observed, according to the significance obtained by the Egger test (see Table 2). It is important to interpret these results with caution. For more details of these results, see the funnels plots (Figures 2 to 8).

Table 1 Average alpha according to the factorizations used							
Factorization	k	Average alpha	SE	95% CI	t	Q	\mathbf{I}^2
Unifactorial	5	.85	.13	[.77,.90]	12.7***	33.36***	91.35
Bifactorial							
State	23	.89	.12	[.86,.91]	18.73***	1255.12***	98.25
Trait	41	.88	.08	[.86,.90]	27.40***	1221***	96.72
Tetrafactorial							
State- euthymia	23	.88	.12	[.85,.91]	16.89***	1115.6***	98.03
State-dysthymia	25	.87	.14	[.83,.90]	14.75***	1632.04***	98.53
Trait-euthymia	25	.85	.11	[.81,.88]	17.44***	815.18***	97.06
Trait-dysthymia	26	.84	.11	[.80,.87]	16.98***	938.51***	97.34

	Egger Test		
Factorization	Т	р	
Unifactorial	4.37	.02	
Bifactorial			
State	2.19	.04	
Trait	1.36	.18	
Tetrafactorial			
State-euthymia	1.07	.30	
State-dysthymia	0.69	.53	
Trait-euthymia	0.63	.54	
Trait-dysthymia	-0.12	.90	

Figures 9 and 10 reflect the results of the alpha scores in depression–state and depression–trait for the bifactorial model. It was observed that all the studies had a score's reliability greater than .75 (except in two samples).

Figures 11 and 12 present the alpha scores of the state subscale understood as euthymia and dysthymia. In this case, most studies achieved an alpha greater than .70. Similarly, in Figures 13 and 14, the alpha subscale scores for trait (euthymic and dysthymia) are presented. Again, almost all of them exceed .70 (except in two samples). Finally, Figure 15 shows the global alpha of the ST-DEP (greater than .8 in four studies and lower in one study).

Moderator analysis

A moderator analysis was performed for the state and trait factorizations, since they are the most used and mainly supported by the theory. For the state scale, the country of the version was significant (F(8;12) = 3.86; p = .018), where the values ranged from .74 (in samples from Peru; the only country below .8), up to .95 (in Chinese samples). For the sample type in both adults and adolescents, the alpha was .86. The mean age was neither significant nor the percentage of women (p > .1). The standard deviation of the samples had a significant effect on the mean reliability (p < .001).

In the trait factorization, the country was also significant (F(10;27) = 5.81; p < .001), with a range between .73 (for Peruvian samples, there being no other countries with reliability lower than .8) and .94 (for United States). The type of sample was significant since in adults was .86, while in adolescents was .68 (however, there were only two studies with this factorization in adolescents' samples). Finally, neither the mean age of the sample nor the



Figure 2. Funnel plot for Depression-State scores

Transformed coefficient alpha



Figure 3. Funnel plot for Depression-Trait scores



Transformed coefficient alpha

Figure 4. Funnel Plot for State/Euthymia scores



Transformed coefficient alpha

Figure 5. Funnel plot for State/Dysthymia scores



Figure 6. Funnel pot for Trait/Euthymia scores

Transformed coefficient alpha



Transformed coefficient alpha

Figure 7. Funnel plot for Trait/Dysthymia scores



Transformed coefficient alpha

Figure 8. Funnel plot for ST-DEP global scores

percentage of women were significant (p > .1). The standard deviation of the samples had a significant effect on the mean reliability (p = .001).

Discussion

The objectives of this study were to provide an average alpha of the ST-DEP through the analysis of several studies and analyze its sources of variability. In most of the 45 included studies, Cronbach's alpha offers values greater than .80, and all the average alphas are greater than .84. These values are indicators of adequate reliability, according to Charter (2003). Therefore, it can be stated that the ST-DEP is a questionnaire with adequate score's reliability

for the evaluation of depression. The high accumulated sample size of the selected works allows increasing confidence in the results. Furthermore, the methodological quality of the papers included was high in all cases, suggesting that the conclusions were derived from objective, accurate and verifiable data.

The bifactorial structure is the most common in the ST-DEP. This factorization is based on the theoretical state and trait model used on the development of the ST-DEP (Spielberger et al., 2008). The efficacy and usefulness of these scales lies not only in their use in non-clinical samples but also when clinically relevant scores are not reached, which can be useful in the applied setting (Agudelo et al., 2014). The measurements of stable traits and specific states of depression help to understand the debate on the dimensionality of

Author and year				Weights	Alpha [CI-95%]
Agudelo-Vélez & Gómez Ma	aguet. 2014	H		4.41%	.84[.83, .85]
Agudelo-Vélez & Gómez Ma	aquet, 2014			4.41%	82[80,84]
Agudelo-Vélez & Gómez Maquet, 2014				4.41%	.85[.8486]
Gao et al., 2016				4.41%	.95 [.95, .96]
Granados et al., 2011				4.33%	.90 [.88, .92]
Granados et al., 2011			H	4.36%	.91 [.90, .92]
Knotek, 2011				4.33%	.84 [.81, .87]
Krohne et al., 2002		H		4.40%	.85 [.83, .87]
Krohne et al., 2002		H	н	4.38%	.88 [.86, .90]
Loerbroks et al., 2015			H H	4.38%	.90 [.89, .91]
Merino Soto. et al., 2012	⊢ −			4.34%	.76 [.72, .80]
Merino Soto. et al., 2012	⊢			4.34%	.76 [.72, .80]
Ocampo Otálvaro, 2007		⊢∎1		4.34%	.82 [.79, .85]
Ocampo Otálvaro, 2007	⊢ ∎			4.34%	.78 [.74, .81]
Santos-Iglesias et al., 2013		F		4.38%	.89 [.87, .90]
Silva & Jiménez-Cruz, 2016		H	н	4.37%	.88 [.86, .90]
Spaderna et al., 2002		Н	н	4.39%	.88 [.86, .89]
Spielberger et al., 2002			H	4.31%	.96 [.95, .97]
Spielberger et al., 2002			н	4.31%	.96 [.95, .97]
Spielberger et al., 2003			H	H 4.10%	.96 [.94, .97]
Spielberger et al., 2003			⊢∎⊣	4.27%	.93 [.91, .94]
Spielberger et al., 2003			H	4.33%	.94 [.93, .95]
Vera-Villarroel et al., 2010			H	4.34%	.94 [.93, .95]
RE Model			• 1	00%	.89 [.86, .92]
	Г	1	1		
	.7 .77	.85	.92	1	
	Alp	ha for S-DEP	score		

Figure 9. Forest plot of Cronbach's alpha for Alpha Scores in Depression-State

-



Figure 10. Forest plot of Cronbach's alpha for Alpha Scores in Depression-Trait

Author and year	Weights Alpha [CI-95%]
Agudelo-Vélez, 2009	4.19% .80 [.73, .85]
Agudelo-Vélez, 2009	4.30% .73 [.66, .79]
Agudelo-Vélez, 2009	4.14% .86 [.80, .90]
Agudelo-Vélez, 2009	■ 4.33% .91 [.89, .93]
Agudelo-Vélez & Gómez Maquet, 2014	4.45% .86 [.85, .87]
Agudelo-Vélez & Gómez Maquet, 2014	4.45% .87 [.86, .88]
Agudelo-Vélez & Gómez Maquet, 2014	4.45% .86 [.85, .87]
Agudelo-Vélez et al., 2007	4.36% .87 [.84, .89]
Agudelo et al., 2005	■ 4.19% .91 [.88, .93]
Agudelo et al., 2005	⊣ 4.32% .92 [.90, .94]
Domínguez-Lara & Merino-Soto, 2018	■H 4.39% .91 [.89, .92]
Krohne et al., 2002	4.44% .72 [.69, .75]
Krohne et al., 2002	4.42% .75 [.71, .78]
Merino Soto. et al., 2012	4.39% .77 [.73, .81]
Merino Soto. et al., 2012	4.39% .83 [.80, .86]
Sotelo et al., 2012	4.36% .86 [.83, .89]
Spaderna et al., 2002	4.43% .75 [.72, .78]
Spielberger et al., 2002	₩ 4.36% .95 [.94, .96]
Spielberger et al., 2002	₩ 4.36% .95 [.94, .96]
Spielberger et al., 2003	⊢ = 4.17% .94 [.92, .96]
Spielberger et al., 2003	⊣ 4.33% .92 [.90, .94]
Spielberger et al., 2003	₩₩ 4.37% .93 [.92, .94]
Vera-Villarroel et al., 2010	■ 4.39% .97 [.96, .97]
RE Model	▶ 100% .88 [.85, .91]
	1
.6 .7 .8 .9	1
Alpha for S-DEP euthymia sco	bre

Figure 11. Forest plot of Cronbach's alpha for Alpha Scores in State/Euthymia

Author and year	Weights	Alpha [CI-95%]
Agudelo-Vélez, 2009	3.89%	.81 [.74, .86]
Agudelo-Vélez, 2009	3.97%	.73 [.66, .79]
Agudelo-Vélez, 2009	3.85%	.60 [.44, .71]
Agudelo-Vélez, 2009 ⊢ ■	3.99%	.72 [.65, .77]
Agudelo-Vélez & Gómez Maquet, 2014 H	4.08%	.71 [.68, .73]
Agudelo-Vélez & Gómez Maquet, 2014	4.08%	.75 [.73, .77]
Agudelo-Vélez & Gómez Maquet, 2014	4.08%	.69 [.66, .72]
Agudelo-Vélez et al., 2007 ⊢■→	4.01%	.83 [.79, .86]
Agudelo et al., 2005	3.89%	.90 [.86, .93]
Agudelo et al., 2005	3.99%	.90 [.88, .92]
Domínguez-Lara & Merino-Soto, 2018	4.04%	.88 [.86, .90]
Krohne et al., 2002	4.07%	.90 [.89, .91]
Krohne et al., 2002	4.06%	.90 [.89, .91]
Merino Soto. et al., 2012	4.04%	.66 [.60, .71]
Merino Soto. et al., 2012	4.04%	.74 [.69, .78]
Sotelo et al., 2012	4.01%	.86 [.83, .89]
Spaderna et al., 2002	4.07%	.90 [.89, .91]
Spielberger et al., 2002	■4.02%	.95 [.94, .96]
Spielberger et al., 2002	■4.02%	.95 [.94, .96]
Spielberger et al., 2003	HB.88%	.96 [.94, .97]
Spielberger et al., 2003	3.99%	.90 [.88, .92]
Spielberger et al., 2003	H 4.02%	.93 [.92, .94]
Vera-Villarroel et al., 2010	4 .0 3 %	.96 [.95, .97]
Weigl et al., 2016	3.90%	.90 [.87, .93]
Weigl et al., 2016	3.99%	.91 [.89, .93]
RE Model 🔶	100%	.87 [.83, .90]
	1	
.5 .62 .75 .88	1	
Alpha for S-DEP dysthymia score		

Figure 12. Forest plot of Cronbach's alpha for Alpha Scores in State/Dysthymia



Figure 13. Forest plot of Cronbach's alpha for Alpha Scores in Trait/Euthymia



Figure 14. Forest plot of Cronbach's alpha for Alpha Scores in Trait/Dysthymia



Figure 15. Forest plot for the global Cronbach's Alpha

depression compared to the existence of a categorical diagnostic model. This excludes the presence of symptoms and subsyndromic levels of relevance to the patient who does not meet the established diagnostic criteria (Agudelo et al., 2007; Reed et al., 2019). Besides, with the ST-DEP, it is possible to establish the levels of less serious affectation and an estimate of improvement with a decrease in the symptoms, which is relevant in the clinical setting and supports the dimensional character of the depression construct. The same happens with other questionnaires based on the dimensional model of depression, such as the Basic Depression Questionnaire (Guillot-Valdés et al., 2019).

Few studies have focused exclusively on the analysis of Cronbach's alpha for ST-DEP, but those selected in this work have been relevant to the stated objective and have helped to demonstrate that the alpha generalization index of ST-DEP is satisfactory. This fact is especially relevant in scales with a low number of items, as this negatively affects Cronbach's alpha (Zumbo & Kroc, 2019).

For all these reasons, it can be concluded that the test fulfils the function for which it was developed. Furthermore, even though it was initially created for use in adults, in the case of adolescents, the state depression scale is just as reliable as for adults. However, the trait subscale reliability for adolescent's samples was lower than recommended. Nevertheless, this score was only obtained from two studies, so more studies are needed in these samples. Even in the different cultural adaptations the test scores are reliable. Although the ST-DEP has shown good internal consistency, it has been observed that the highest values appear in the negative affectivity dimension (state/trait dysthymia). This emphasizes the consideration of the instrument as a measure of negative affectivity in depression and not so much a diagnostic test in itself, as previous studies have pointed out (Agudelo et al., 2014).

Regarding the limitations that have been observed in this work, the lack of studies providing data on Cronbach's alpha in the ST-DEP is notable, even though there is abundant literature on their use. For future research, these results warn of the need for more research with experimental designs focused on the psychometric study of this instrument. Another aspect that could limit the results is observed in the publication bias, which makes it difficult to access all studies actually developed on the subject of this work. Despite this, and given the proven quality of the original studies, it is concluded that the average reliability of the ST-DEP scores is adequate for its bifactorial model (state depression and trait depression), constituting an adequate measure of negative affectivity in a depressive disorder.

Acknowledgements

This research has been funded by the Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities of Spain under contract number FPU17/05262.

References

References marked with an asterisk indicate studies included in the metaanalysis.

- *Agudelo, D. (2009). Propiedades psicométricas del Inventario de Depresión Estado/Rasgo (IDER) con adolescentes y universitarios de la ciudad de Bucaramanga [Psychometric Properties of the State-Trait Depression Inventory with adolescents and university students in Bucaramanga]. *Pensamiento Psicológico*, 5(12), 139-160.
- Agudelo, D., Buela-Casal, G., & Spielberger, C. D. (2007). Ansiedad y depresión; el problema de la diferenciación a través de los síntomas [Anxiety and depression: The problem of the differentiation through the symptoms]. *Salud Mental*, *30*(2), 33-41.
- Agudelo, D., Carretero-Dios, H., Blanco Picabia, A., Pitti, C., Spielberger, C., & Buela- Casal, G. (2005). Evaluación del componente afectivo de la depresión: análisis factorial del ST/DEP revisado [Assessment of the affective component of depressio: Factor analysis of ST/DEP revised]. *Salud Mental*, 28(3), 32-41.
- *Agudelo, D., Casadiegos, C., & Sánchez, D. (2009). Relación entre esquemas maladaptativos tempranos y características de ansiedad y depresión en estudiantes universitarios [Relation Between Early Maladaptive Schemes and Anxiety and Depression Features in University Students]. Universitas Psychologica, 8(1), 87-103.
- *Agudelo, D., Gómez, Y., & López, P. (2014). Propiedades psicométricas del Inventario de Depresión Estado Rasgo (IDER) con una muestra de población general colombiana [Psychometric propierties of The State-Trait Depression inventory (IDER) with a colombian general sample]. Avances en Psicología Latinoamericana, 32(1), 71-84. https://doi.org/10.12804/apl32.1.2014.05
- Agudelo, D., Spielberger, C., & Buela-Casal, G. (2007). La depresión: ¿un trastorno dimensional o categorial? [Depression: ¿A dimensional or categorical disorder?]. *Salud Mental*, *30*(3), 20-28.
- Aguilera, M., Paz, C., Compañ, V., Medina, J. C, & Feixas, G. (2019). Cognitive rigidity in patients with depression and fibromyalgia. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 19(2), 160-164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2019.02.002
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). Manual Diagnóstico y Estadístico de los Trastornos Mentales DSM-5 (5a ed.) [Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders DSM-5 (5th ed.)]. Editorial Médica Panamericana.
- Becker, N. B., Jesus, S. N., Viseu J. N., Stobäus, C. D., Guerreiro, M., & Domingues, R. B. (2018). Depression and quality of life in older adults: Mediation effect of sleep quality. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psycholy*, 18(1), 8-17.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2017.10.002
- *Bertrams, A., & Dickhäuser, O. (2009). Messung dispositioneller Selbstkontrollkapazität. Eine deutsche Adaptation der Kurzform der SelfControl Scale (SCS-K-D) [Measuring dispositional self-control capacity: A German adaptation of the short form of the Self- Control Scale (SCS-K-D)]. *Diagnostica*, 55(1), 2-10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1026/0012- 1924.55.1.2
- *Bertrams, A., & Dickhäuser, O. (2012). Passionate thinkers feel better: Self-control capacity as a mediator of the relationships between need for cognition and affective adjustment. *Journal of Individual Differences*, 33(2), 69-75. https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-0001/a000081
- Bonett, D. G. (2010). Varying coefficient meta-analytic methods for alpha reliability. *Psychological Methods*, 15(4), 368-385. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020142
- Brailovskaia, J., Forkmann, T., Paashaus, L., Rath, D., Schönfelder, A., Juckel, G., & Teismann, T. (2019). Positive mental health moderates the association between suicide ideation and suicide attempts. *Journal* of Affective Disorders, 245, 246-249.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.11.005
- Castellón Leal, E., Ibern, P., Gili, M., Lahera, G., Sanz, J., & Sáiz, J. (2016). El abordaje de la depresión en el ámbito del trabajo: recomendaciones clave [Management of depression in the work setting: Key recommendations]. *Psiquiatría Biológica*, 23(3), 112-117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psiq.2016.08.003
- Charter, R. A. (2003). A Breakdown of Reliability Coefficients by Test Type and Reliability Method, and the Clinical Implications of Low Reliability. *The Journal of General Psychology*, 130(3), 290-304. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00221300309601160

- *Domínguez Espinosa, A. C., Aguilera Mijares, S., Acosta Canales, T. T., Navarro Contreras, G., & Ruiz Paniagua, Z. (2012). La deseabilidad social revalorada: más que una distorsión, una necesidad de aprobación social [Social Desirability Reconsidered: More than Distortion, the Need for Social Approval]. Acta de Investigación Psicológica, 2(3), 808-824.
- *Domínguez-Lara, S. (2013). Análisis psicométrico de la Escala de Cansancio Emocional en estudiantes de una universidad privada [Psychometric analysis of the Emotional Exhaustion Scale in private university students]. *Revista Digital de Investigación en Docencia Universitaria*, 7(1), 45-55. https://doi.org/10.19083/ridu.7.186
- *Domínguez-Lara, S. (2016). Análisis estructural del Inventario de Depresión Estado-Rasgo en pacientes con diagnóstico de depresión de Lima [Structural analysis of the State-Trait Depression Inventory in patients with diagnosis of depression from Lima]. *Revista del Hospital Psiquiátrico de La Habana, 13*(1).
- *Domínguez-Lara, S., & Merino-Soto, C. (2018). Efectos de método en el Inventario de Depresión Estado Rasgo (IDER): un análisis SEM [Method Effects in State-Trait Depression Inventory (ST-DEP): A SEM Analysis]. Avances en Psicología Latinoamericana, 36(2), 253-267. https://doi.org/10.12804/revistas.urosario.edu.co/apl/a.4151
- *Gao, Y., Wong, D. S. W., & Yu, Y. (2016). Maltreatment and delinquency in China: Examining and extending the intervening process of general strain theory. *Comparative Criminology*, 60(1), 38-61. https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X14547495
- *Garaigordobil, M., & Maganto, C. (2015). Relación entre actitudes sexistas y variables emocionales positivas y negativas [Relationship between sexist attitudes and positive and negative emotional variables]. *Feminismo/s*, 25, 35-54. https://doi.org/ 10.14198/fem.2015.25.03
- *Gerteis, A. K. S., & Schwerdtfeger, A. R. (2016). When rumination counts: Perceived social support and heart rate variability in daily life. *Psychophysiology*, 53(7), 1034-1043. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12652
- *Granados, M. R., Vallejo-Medina, P., & Sierra, J. C. (2011). Relación de la ansiedad y la depresión con la asertividad sexual [Relationship of anxiety and depression with sexual assertiveness]. Análisis y Modificación de Conducta, 37(155-156), 77-90.
- Guilera, G., Pino, O., Barrios, M., Rojo, E., Vieta, E., & Gómez-Benito, J. (2020). Towards an ICF Core Set for functioning assessment in severe mental disorders: Commonalities in bipolar disorder, depression and schizophrenia. *Psicothema*, 32(1), 7-14. https://doi.org/ 10.7334/psicothema2019.186
- Guillot-Valdés, M., Guillén-Riquelme, A., & Buela-Casal, G. (2019). Reliability and validity of the Basic Depression Questionnaire. International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology, 19(3), 243-250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2019.07.002
- *Knotek, P. (2011). State-Trait Depression Inventory Czech version. Ceskoslovenská Psychologie, 55(3), 234-244.
- *Krohne, H. W., Schmukle, S. C., Spaderna, H., & Spielberger, C. D. (2002). The State–Trait Depression Scales: An international comparison. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping*, 15(2), 105-122. https://doi.org/10.1080/10615800290028422
- *Lehr, D., Hillert, A., Schmitz, E., & Sosnowsky, N. (2008). Screening depressiver Störungen mittels Allgemeiner Depressions-Skala (ADS-K) und State-Trait Depression Scales (STDS-T): Eine vergleichende Evaluation von Cut-Off-Werten [Screening of affective disorders with CES-D and STDS-T: A comparative evaluation of cut-off values]. *Diagnostica*, 54(2), 61-70. https://doi.org/10.1026/0012-1924.54.2.61
- *Lemos, M., & Agudelo-Vélez, D. M. (2018). El afecto negativo como factor emocional de orden superior en pacientes cardiovasculares [Negative affect as a high order emotional factor in cardiovascular patients]. Acta Colombiana de Psicología, 21(2), 68-77. https://doi.org/10.14718/acp.2018.21.2.4
- Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P. J., Kleijnen, J., & Moher, D. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 151(4), 65-94. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00136

*Loerbroks, A., Weigl, M., Li, J., Glaser, J., Degen, C., & Angerer, P. (2015). Workplace bullying and depressive symptoms: A prospective study among junior physicians in Germany. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 78(2), 168-172.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2014.10.008

- *Maganto, C., Garaigordobil, M., & Kortabarria, L. (2017). Eating Problems in Adolescents and Youths: Explanatory Variables. *The Spanish Journal* of Psychology, 19(81), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1017/sjp.2016.74
- *Merino-Soto, C., Pflucker, D., & Riaño-Hernández, D. (2012). Análisis factorial exploratorio del Inventario de Depresión Estado-Rasgo (ST-DEP) en adolescentes [Exploratory Factor Analysis of State-Trait Depression Inventory (ST-DEP) in Adolescents]. Diversitas: Perspectivas en Psicología, 8(2), 319-330. https://doi.org/10.15332/s1794-9998.2012.0002.08
- *Morgan, P., Dell'Isolla, R., Nicholson, B., & Spencer, C. (2020). Stress generation theory in couples with depression: A latent profile analysis. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*. Advanced online publication. https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407520919992
- Mulugeta, A., Zhou, A., Vimaleswaran, K. S., Dickson, C., & Hyppönen, E. (2019). Depression increases the genetic susceptibility to high body mass index: Evidence from UK Biobank. *Depression & Anxiety*, 36(12), 1154-1162. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22963
- *Nestler, S., Back, M. D., & Egloff, B. (2011). Psychometrische Eigenschaften zweier Skalen zur Erfassung interindividueller Unterschiede in der Präferenz zum Alleinsein [Psychometric properties of two scales for the assessment of individual differences in preference for solitude]. *Diagnostica*, 57(2), 57-67. https://doi.org/10.1026/0012-1924/a000032
- *Ocampo, L. (2007). Análisis correlacional del Cuestionario de Depresión Estado/Rasgo con una muestra de adolescentes y universitarios de la ciudad de Medellín (Colombia) [Correlational analysis of the State/ Trait Depression Questionnaire with a sample of adolescents and university students from the city of Medellín (Colombia)]. *Psicología* desde el Caribe, 20, 28-49.
- *Paik, S. C., & Kim, J. S. (2018). The Effect of Emotional Experiences on Biological Aging. *Korean Journal of Health Promotion*, 18(4), 147-158. https://doi.org/10.15384/kjhp.2018.18.4.147
- Reed, G. M., First, M. B., Kogan, C. S., Hyman, S. E., Gureje, O., Gaebel, W., Maj, M., Stein, D. J., Maercker, A., Tyrer, P., Claudino, A., Garralda, E., Salvador-Carulla, L., Ray, R., Saunders, J. B., Dua, T., Poznyak, V., Medina-Mora, M. E., Pike, K. M., Ayuso-Mateos. J. I.,...Saxena, S. (2019). Innovations and changes in the ICD-11 classification of mental, behavioural and neurodevelopmental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, *18*(1), 3-19. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20611
- Ritterband, L. M., & Spielberger, C. D. (1996). Construct validity of the Beck Depression Inventory as a measure of state and trait depression in non-clinical populations. *Depression and Stress*, 2(2), 123-145.
- Rubio-Aparicio, M., Sánchez-Meca, J., Marín-Martínez, F., & López López, J. A. (2018). Guidelines for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Anales de Psicología*, 34(2), 412-420. https://doi.org/10.6018/analesps.34.2.320131
- *Santos-Iglesias, P., Calvillo, G., & Sierra, J. C. (2011). A further examination of Levine's model of sexual desire. *Psychology & Sexuality*, 4(1), 34-45. https://doi.org/10.1080/19419899.2011.576697
- Schürmann, J., & Margraf, J. (2018). Age of anxiety and depression revisited: A meta-analysis of two European community samples (1964-2015). *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 18(2), 102-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2018.02.002
- *Schwerdtfeger, A., & Friedrich-Mai, P. (2009). Social interaction moderates the relationship between depressive mood and heart rate variability: Evidence from an ambulatory monitoring study. *Health Psychology*, 28(4), 501-509. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0014664
- *Schwerdtfeger, A., Friedrich-Mai, P., & Gerteis, A. (2014). Daily positive affect and nocturnal cardiac activation. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 22(1), 132-138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12529-014-9396-4
- *Schwerdtfeger, A., Gaisbachgrabner, K., & Traunmüller, C. (2017). Life Satisfaction and Hemodynamic Reactivity to Mental Stress. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 51(3), 464-469. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-016-9858-9
- *Schwerdtfeger, A. R., & Gerteis, A. K. S. (2013). Is the blunted blood pressure reactivity in dysphoric individuals related to attenuated

behavioral approach? International Journal of Psychophysiology, 90(1), 58-65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2013.01.015

- *Schwerdtfeger, A. R., & Scheel, S. M. (2012). Self-esteem fluctuations and cardiac vagal control in everyday life. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 83(3), 328-335.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.11.016
- *Schwerdtfeger, A. R., & Schlagert, H. (2011). The conjoined effect of naturalistic perceived available support and enacted support on cardiovascular reactivity during a laboratory stressor. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 42(1), 64-78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-011-9272-2
- *Silva, C., & Jiménez-Cruz, C. (2016). Construcción de una escala breve de depresión rasgo para adolescentes. Acta de Investigación Psicológica,
- 6(1), 2317-2324. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2007-4719(16)30052-7
 *Sotelo, L., Sotelo, N., Domínguez, S., Poma, I., Cueto, E., Alarcón, D., Barboza, P. M., & Padilla, O. (2012). Propiedades psicométricas del Inventario de Depresión Estado/Rasgo (IDER) en adultos de Lima [Psychometric properties of the state-trait depression inventory (ST-DEP) in a adults of lima]. Avances en Psicología, 20(2), 59-68. https://doi.org/10.33539/avpsicol.2012v20n2.319
- *Spaderna, H., Schmukle, S. C., & Krohne, H. W. (2002). Bericht über die deutsche Adaptation der State-Trait Depression Scales (STDS) [Report about the German adaptation of the State-Trait Depression Scales (STDS)]. *Diagnostica*, 48(2), 80-89. https://doi.org/10.1026//0012-1924.48.2.80
- Spielberger, C. D. (1995). State-Trait Depression Scales (Form X-1). Mind Garden.
- Spielberger, C. D., Buela-Casal, G., & Agudelo, D. (2008). Inventario de Depresión Estado/ Rasgo (IDER) [State/Trait Depression Inventory (IDER)]. TEA Ediciones.
- *Spielberger, C. D., Carretero-Dios, H., De los Santos Roig, M., & Buela-Casal, G. (2002a). Spanish experimental version of the statetrait depression questionnaire (ST-DEP): Trait sub-scale (T-DEP). International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology, 2(1), 51-69.
- *Spielberger, C. D., Carretero-Dios, H., De los Santos Roig, M., & Buela-Casal, G. (2002b). Spanish experimental version of the statetrait depression questionnaire (ST-DEP): State sub-scale (S-DEP). *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 2(1), 71-89.
- Spielberger, C. D., & Reheiser, E. C. (2009). Assessment of Emotions: Anxiety, Anger, Depression, and Curiosity. *Applied Psychology: Health* and Well-Being, 1(3), 271-302.

https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1758-0854.2009.01017.x

- Spielberger, C. D., & Ritterband, L. M. (1996). Preliminary Test Manual for the Stait-Trait Depression Scale. University of South Florida.
- *Spielberger, C. D., Ritterband, L. M., Reheiser, E. C., & Brunner, T. M. (2003). The nature and measurement of depression. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 3(2), 209-234.
- Teismann, T., Forkmannb, T., Brailovskaiaa, J., Siegmann, P., Glaesmerc, H., & Margraf, J. (2018). Positive mental health moderates the association between depression and suicide ideation: A longitudinal study. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 18(1), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2017.08.001
- *Torres-Obregon, R., Onofre, D., Sierra, J. C., Benavides, R., & Garza, M. (2017). Validación de la Sexual Assertiveness Scale en mujeres mexicanas [Validation of the Sexual Assertiveness Scale in mexican women]. *Suma Psicológica*, 24(1), 34-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sumpsi.2017.01.001
- *Traumüller, C., Stefitz, R., Gaisbachgrabner, K., Hofmann, P., Roessler, A., & Schwerdtfeger, A. R. (2019). Psychophysiological concomitants of burnout: Evidence for different subtypes. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 118, 41-48.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2019.01.009.
- *Vera-Villarroel, P., Buela-Casal, B., Celis-Atenas, K., Córdova-Rubio, N., Encina-Olea, N., & Spielberger, C. D. (2008). Chilean experimental version of the State-Trait Depression Questionnaire (ST-DEP): Trait sub-scale (T-DEP). *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 8(2), 563-575.
- *Vera-Villarroel, P., Buela-Casal, G., Zych, I., Córdova-Rubio, N., Celis-Atenas, K., Zepeda, L., & Spielberger, C. (2010). Chilean experimental version of the State-Trait Depression Questionnaire (ST-Dep): State subscale (S-Dep). *Psychological Reports*, 106(1), 65-73. https://doi.org/10.2466/ pr0.106.1.65-77

- Viechtbauer, W. (2010). Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. Journal of Statistical Software, 36(3), 1-48.
- *Weigl, M., Hornung, S., Petru, R., Glaser, J., & Angerer, P. (2012). Depressive symptoms in junior doctors: A follow-up study on workrelated determinants. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 85(5), 559-570. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-011-0706-8
- *Weigl, M., Stab, N., Herms, I., Angerer, P., Hacker, W., & Glaser, J. (2016). The associations of supervisor support and work overload with burnout and depression: A cross-sectional study in two nursing settings. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 72(8), 1774-1788. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12948
- *Wiggert, N., Wilhelm, F. H., Boger, S., Georgii, C., Klimesch, W., & Blechert, J. (2017). Social Pavlovian conditioning: Short- and long-

term effects and the role of anxiety and depressive symptoms. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *12*(2), 329-339. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/ nsw128

- World Health Organization (2017). Depression and other common mental disorders: Global health estimates. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254610/1/WHO-MSD-MER-
- http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254610/1/WHO-MSD-MER-2017.2-eng.pdf
- *Wu, Q., Chi, P., Lin, X., & Du, H. (2018). Child maltreatment and adult depressive symptoms: Roles of self-compassion and gratitude. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 80, 62-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.03.013
- Zumbo, B. D., & Kroc, E. (2019). A Measurement Is a Choice and Stevens' Scales of Measurement Do Not Help Make It: A Response to Chalmers. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 79(6),1184-1197. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164419844305