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The topological and differentiable structures of some moduli spaces constructed from flat Riemannian tori (con The topological and differentiable structures of some moduli spaces constructed from flat Riemannian tori (con-
cretely, $\left.H \backslash\left(G l(2, \mathbb{R})^{+} / S l(2, \mathbb{Z})\right), H=O^{+}(2, \mathbb{R}), C O^{+}(2, \mathbb{R}), O(2, \mathbb{R}), C O(2, \mathbb{R})\right)$ is studied by means of a cut-and-paste procedure. In the orientation preserving cases, the quotients admit a structure of orbifold with singular points cor elructurs is a smooth manifold with piecewise smooth boundary, where the interior points corresponds to oblique he interior points corresponds to oblique lattices, and the edge of the boundary to square and hexagonal ones.

## 1. Introduction

It is well-known that any flat Riemannian torus can be regarded as a planar lattice, i.e., a quotien $\mathbb{R}^{2} / G$ where $G$ is a group of translations generated by two independent elements. On one hand, such lattices are well-known since (at least) Bieberbach's solution to Hilbert's 18th problem, and they are classified in classical crystallographic systems. On the other, from a geometrical viewpoint, it is natura to identify some of the tori by several distinct criteria. For example, one can consider the space of al the flat Riemannian tori up to (oriented or not) isometries or conformal transformations. These spaces are topological quotients (moduli spaces) with an obvious geometrical interpretation and interest. Fo example, it is known that any Riemmannian torus is globally conformal to a flat one, and then, the se of all the complex structures (as Riemann surfaces) on the torus are in natural bijective correspon dence with the quotient set of all the flat Riemannian tori up to oriented conformal transformations Nevertheless, even though it is not difficult to compute their topological structure, the differentiable structure of these quotients become subtler, because they are not smooth manifolds in a standard sense. Our aim in the present article is to study carefully both, their topological and differentiable structures, identifying the crystallographic systems where the structures become singular
The set of all the planar lattices is the quotient manifold $G l(2, \mathbb{R})^{+} / S l(2, \mathbb{Z})$. As $S l(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is a closed subgroup, the quotient admits a natural structure of smooth 4 -manifold. We reconstruct this mani fold by introducing a chart in an open dense subset; this allows to consider $\operatorname{Gl}(2, \mathbb{R})^{+} / S l(2, \mathbb{Z})$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with some points identified. Recall that, as the structure of the quotient is known to be smooth a priori, all these identifications must be regarded also as smooth. Then, we wonder when two such lattices represent isommetric oriented flat Riemannian tori (resp. conformal oriented flat Riemannian tori; isommetric flat Riemannian tori; conformal flat Riemannian tori). The space of all these tori is naturally a further quotient $G_{H}=H \backslash\left(G l(2, \mathbb{R})^{+} / S l(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$ where $H=O^{+}(2, \mathbb{R})\left(\mathrm{resp}, C O^{+}(2, \mathbb{R})\right.$ $O(2, \mathbb{R}) ; C O(2, \mathbb{R}))$; this is a particular case of orbispace. The topological structure of $G_{H}$ is com puted easily and, as all their identifications are carried out explicitly, one can make natural choice to fix if the identified points either preserve the differentiable structure or are singular. In fact, $G_{H}$ becomes either an orbifold with two connected parts of singular points (in the orientation-preserving cases: $H=O^{+}(2, \mathbb{R}), C O^{+}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ) or a smooth manifold with a (connected) piecewise smooth bound ary (cases $H=O(2, \mathbb{R}), C O(2, \mathbb{R})$ ). Even more, the explicit identifications allows to control the crysta systems of the singular, regular or boundary points. Our results can be summarized then as follows: Theorem 1..1 For each one of the groups $H$ in the cases below, the quotient space $G_{H}=$ $H \backslash\left(G l(2, \mathbb{R})^{+} / S l(2, \mathbb{Z})\right)$ is a topological $n$-manifold, eventually with boundary, with the following char acteristics:

- Case $H=O^{+}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (set of all the flat Riemannian tori up to oriented isometries). $G_{H}$ is homeomor phic to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ an admits a natural structure of 3 -orbifold whose singular points are distributed in two lines: one corresponds with the lattices in the square crystal system, and the other with lattices in the hexagonal one.
- Case $H=C O^{+}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (set of all the flat Riemannian tori up to oriented conformal diffeomorphisms -or, equivalently, set of all the Riemann surface structures on a torus). $G_{H}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ an admits a natural structure of 2-orbifold with two singular points: one is the class of all the lattices in the square crystal system, and the other the class of all the lattices in the hexagonal one.
- Case $H=O(2, \mathbb{R})$ (set of all the flat Riemannian tori up to isometries). $G_{H}$ is homeomorphic to a closed semi-space of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (i.e., $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$, with $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{0}=[0, \infty[)$, where the non-boundary points are all the classes of lattices in the oblique crystal system. It also admits a natural structure of smooth 3-manifold with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial G_{H}$ such that $\partial G_{H}$ contains
- Two disjoint singular lines: one with classes of lattices in the square crystal system, and the othe with classes in the hexagonal one
- Three disjoint regular planes (separated by the singular lines): one of them contain the classes of lattices in the rectangular crystal system, and the other two classes in the centered rectangula one
- Case $H=C O(2, \mathbb{R})$ (set of all the flat Riemannian tori upt to conformal transformations): $G_{H}$ is homeomorphic to a closed semi-plane $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}$, where the non-boundary points are all the classe of lattices in the oblique crystal system. It also admits a natural structure of smooth 2-manifold with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial G_{H}$ such that $\partial G_{H}$ contains
- Two singular points: one is the class of the lattices in the square crystal system, and the other in the hexagonal one
- Three disjoint regular lines (separated by the singular points): one of them contain the classes of lattices in the rectangular crystal system, and the other two classes in the centered rectangular one


## 2. A cut-and-paste construction for flat quotient tori

Let $\mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be the group of regular matrixes $2 \times 2$. Given a basis $B=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the lattice generated by $B$ is the conmutative subgroup $G\left(=G\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right)=\left\{m w_{1}+n w_{2}: m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$; this lattice is also generated by any two independent $v_{1}, v_{2} \in G$ which span a parallelogram of minimum area. The associated torus is the quotient set $T_{G}=\mathbb{R}^{2} / G$, which inherits the canonical flat connection and orientation of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, as well as the usual Riemannian metric and its associated conformal structure Such planar lattices are classically clasified into five crystallographic groups: oblique ( $S_{2}$ ), rectangular $\left(D_{2}^{p}\right)$, centered rectangular $\left(D_{2}^{c}\right)$, square $\left(D_{4}\right)$ and hexagonal $\left(D_{6}\right)$, see fig. 1 .


Figure 1: Bidimensional crystal system.

## 2..1 Canonical representatives for each lattice

Among the possible generators of the lattice $G$, a pair of representatives $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ of each lattice will be chosen in the following concrete way.
First, take $v_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\left\|v_{1}^{\prime}\right\|=\operatorname{Min}\{\|x\|: x \in G\}, v_{1}^{\prime}$ lies in the closed upper semiplane and its angle $\theta_{1}^{\prime}$ with the $x$-axis is the smallest possible one. Then, choose $v_{2}^{\prime}$ analogously, such that $\left\|v_{2}^{\prime}\right\|=\operatorname{Min}\{\|x\|$ $\left.x \in G \backslash\left\{m v_{1}^{\prime}: m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}\right\}, v_{2}^{\prime}$ lies in the closed upper semiplane with smallest angle $\theta_{2}^{\prime}$ with the $x$-axis Finally, let $v_{1}$ be the one of the $v_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ 's with smaller angle with the $x$-axis and $v_{2}$ the one with bigger angle Let $\theta$ be the angle between $v_{1}$ and $\left.v_{2}: \theta \in\right] 0, \pi-\theta_{1}[$
For each $v_{1} \equiv\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)$, vector $v_{2}$ is characterizied by and lie in one of the following regions $R_{r_{1}, \theta_{1}}$ :


Proposition 2.. 2 The map between the set of all the flat torus $G l^{+}(2, \mathbb{R}) / S l(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and the set $X=$ $\left\{\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, r_{2}, \theta_{2}\right): r_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \theta_{1} \in\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\left[\right.\right.\right.$ and $\left.\left(r_{2}, \theta_{2}\right) \in R_{r_{1}, \theta_{1}}\right\}$ which assigns to each torus $T_{G}$ the value of $\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, r_{2}, \theta_{2}\right)$ corresponding to the canonical representatives of the lattice $G$, is a point bijection.

## 2..2 Manifold structure: identifications.

Up to now, the bijection between the quotient set $G l^{+}(2, \mathbb{R}) / S l(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $X$ has been defined at a point-set level. In order to obtain a homeomorphism, a topology will be defined in $X$ by enlarging it with the points in the boundary (endowed with the natural topology), and identifying suitable boundary points. The explicit identifications are:
I If $\theta_{2}=\pi,:\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, r_{2}, \pi\right) \sim\left(r_{2}, 0, r_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)$.
II If $r_{2}=\frac{-r_{1}}{2 \cos \theta}:\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, \frac{-r_{1}}{2 \cos \theta}, \theta_{1}+\theta\right) \sim\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, \frac{-r_{1}}{2 \cos \theta}, \theta_{1}+\pi-\theta\right)$.
III For $r_{2}=2 r_{1} \cos \theta:\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, 2 r_{1} \cos \theta, \theta_{1}+\theta\right) \sim\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}+2 \theta-\pi, 2 r_{1} \cos \theta, \theta_{1}+\theta\right)$.
IV For $r_{2}=\frac{r_{1}}{2 \cos \theta}:\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, \frac{r_{1}}{2 \cos \theta}, \theta_{1}+\theta\right) \sim\left(\frac{r_{1}}{2 \cos \theta}, \theta_{1}-\theta, r_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)$
Let $\hat{X}$ be the quotient set of $\bar{X}$ by the relation of equivalence $\sim$, endowed with the quotient topology. Any class has one and only one element of $X$.
Then, the map $F: G l^{+}(2, \mathbb{R}) / S l(2, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \hat{X}$ which maps each lattice to the class of its canonical representatives, is a homeomorphism, and allows to find the not smooth points of Th. 1.1.
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