RESEARCH ARTICLE







The prevalence of self-reported underuse of medications due to cost for the elderly: results from seven European urban communities

Aurima Stankuniene^{1*}, Mindaugas Stankunas², Mark Avery³, Jutta Lindert^{4,5}, Rita Mikalauskiene¹, Maria Gabriella Melchiorre⁶, Francisco Torres-Gonzalez⁷, Elisabeth Ioannidi-Kapolou⁸, Henrique Barros⁹, Arūnas Savickas¹, Raimondas Radziunas¹ and Joaquim J. F. Soares¹⁰

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of self-reported underuse of medications due to procurement costs amongst older persons from seven European urban communities.

Methods: The data were collected in a cross-sectional study ("ABUEL, Elder abuse: A multinational prevalence survey") in 2009. Randomly selected people aged 60–84 years (n = 4,467) from seven urban communities: Stuttgart (Germany), Athens (Greece), Ancona (Italy), Kaunas (Lithuania), Porto (Portugal), Granada (Spain) and Stockholm (Sweden) were interviewed. Response rate - 45.2 %. Ethical permission was received in each country.

Results: The results indicate that 3.6 % (n = 162) of the respondents self-reported refraining from buying prescribed medications due to cost. The highest prevalence of this problem was identified in Lithuania (15.7 %, n = 99) and Portugal (4.3 %, n = 28). Other countries reported lower percentages of refraining from buying medications (Germany – 2.0 %, Italy – 1.6 %, Sweden – 1.0 %, Greece – 0.6 %, Spain – 0.3 %). Females refrained more often from buying medications than males (2.6 % vs. 4.4 %, p < 0.0001). The prevalence of this refraining tended to increase with economic hardship.

Discussion: These differences between countries can be only partly described by the financing of health-care systems. In spite of the presence of cost reimbursement mechanisms, patients need to make co-payments (or in some cases to pay the full price) for prescribed medications. This indicates that the purchasing power of people in 10.1186/s12913-015-1089-4 the particular country can play a major role and be related with the economic situation in the country. Lithuania, which has reported the highest refrain rates, had the lowest gross domestic product (at the time of conducting this study) of all participating countries in the study.

Conclusions: Refraining from buying the prescribed medications due to cost is a problem for women and men in respect to ageing people in Europe. Prevalence varies by country, sex, and economic hardship.

Keywords: Ageing, Accessibility, Medications, Europe, ABUEL

Background

The use of medicines by elderly people is a growing concern in social pharmacy and beyond [1, 2]. In particular, polypharmacy (the use of multiple medications) is common among the elderly but it may cause many problems such as an increased risk of inappropriate use of medications/drugs, adverse effects and non-adherence. In addition, polypharmacy increases medical costs [3]. Moreover, increasing prices and the proportion of out-of-pocket payments in purchasing necessary pharmaceuticals may lead to situations where some older people refrain from buying prescribed medications [4]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has indicated five broad groupings of potential reasons for medication non-adherence: 1) patient, 2) health condition, 3) therapy, 4) socio-economic and 5) health system–related factors. In particular socio-economic reasons include low health literacy, poor social support and higher medication costs [5]. A



© 2015 Stankuniene et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: aurimastan@gmail.com

¹Department of Drug Technology and Social Pharmacy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

review by Perkins (2002) showed that the correlation of poor adherence were as follows: patients' beliefs about their illness/benefits of treatment, and barriers to treatment such as easy access to treatment, family/social support and perceived costs of treatment [6].

This non-adherence to medication use may cause serious problems to the health status of patients and increased cost to health-care systems in terms of additional hospital admissions. For instance, data from Australia suggest that at least 45,000 older Australians are hospitalized each year because of medication-related problems, representing 20–30 % of unplanned hospital admissions for this age group [7]. Non-adherence due to costs in the elderly, could be of a significant and worrying size problem in European countries. There have been several attempts to measure the size of this problem in European countries and beyond [8]. However, we still lack comparable data on the refraining of purchasing medications due to cost.

In this paper we use data from a cross sectional study in seven European countries ("Elder abuse: a multinational prevalence study – ABUEL" [9] project. One of the objectives of this project was to measure the accessibility and use of health services by older people. The present study is an opportunity to provide reliable data on the issue. Basic characteristics of the healthcare system and pharmaceutical schemes in each country are presented in Table 1 [10–18]. Some papers on use and non-adherence have been published elsewhere [19–22]. However, the referred papers have examined the prevalence of refrain due to any cause [19], or focused on the situation in Lithuania [20–22]. In this paper, we investigate the prevalence of refrain due to financial problems among older persons and to compare it among seven European urban communities.

Methods

Data for this study were collected during the European project ABUEL. The participants consisted of randomly selected women and men from the general population living in urban centres of seven European countries (Germany; Stuttgart; Greece, Athens; Italy, Ancona; Lithuania, Kaunas; Portugal, Porto; Spain, Granada; Sweden, Stockholm), except for Greece where a random route sample was used. Inclusion criteria were: 1) aged 60-84 years; 2) did not suffer from dementia or other cognitive impairments; 3) had a legal status (national citizens or documented migrants); 4) lived in the community or sheltered houses; 5) could read and write in the native languages; and 6) accepted participation to the study. A sample size was calculated based on municipal censuses (women and men aged 60-84 years) and an expected abuse prevalence of 13 % derived from a recent systematic review [23]. The sample size was customized for each country according to the population of individuals aged 60–84 years, with a maximum of 642 individuals in each of the participating countries because of the infinite population assumption. The sample was calculated proportional to age–sex groups in the population in each city. Three sampling approaches were used in ABUEL: 1) registry-based sampling (Germany, Spain, Italy, Lithuania and Sweden); 2) sampling by random route (Greece); and

pling was based on the city's population registries. The total number of participants amounted to 4,451 (2,576 women, 57.9 %). Response rates in the sampling base varied between countries from 18.9–87.4 %, with a mean of 45.2 % across countries. Response rates for women were 47.1 % and for men 49.3 %, and varied between age groups from 47.0–49.7 %, with a mean of 48 % across age groups.¹ However, there were no major differences (age and gender) between refusals and non-refusals nor did they differ from the general population in each participating country. The final sample consisted of 4,467 persons (2,559 women, 57.3 %). A more detailed description of sampling, data collection, and study limitations are described in a separate ABUEL methodology paper [24].

3) cluster sampling (Portugal). The registry-based sam-

The design of the study was cross-sectional. Recruitment and data gathering were performed during January-July, 2009. Written information about the ABUEL study was sent to the eligible individuals' homes. Trained interviewers telephoned the eligible persons (except in Lithuania) and provided information about the study. Informed consent from participants was obtained before interviewing. Two administration modes were used: (i) face-to-face interviews (Spain, Italy, Greece, Lithuania, Portugal); and (ii) mixed methods, i.e. face-to-face interviews and mailed questionnaires (Germany and Sweden).

Great emphasis was put on confidentiality, anonymity and the rights of older persons. The ethical permissions for the project were given by: Germany, Ethikkommission des Landes Baden-Wuerttenberg; Italy, Bioethics Advisory Committee of National Institute of Health and Science on Aging, Italian National Institute of Health and Science on Aging; Lithuania, The Lithuanian State Data Protection Inspectorate and the Kaunas Regional Bioethics Committee; Portugal, Comité de Ética do Hospital de João; Spain, Comité de Etica en Investigación de la Universidad de Granada; and Sweden, Regional Ethical Committee at Karolinska Institutet. In Greece, QED (market research company) conducted the fieldwork under the codes and guidelines of International Chamber of Commerce/European Society for Opinion and Market Research which are similar to ethical provisions in the other participating countries.

The participants completed a standardized questionnaire with various scales and questions [25]. Self-reported refrain

Indicator	Lithuania	Sweden	Germany	Italy	Spain	Portugal	Greece
Total health	6.66 %	9.62 %	11.28 %	9.18 %	9.62 %	9.46 %	9.28 %
expenditure 2011 as % of GDP (PPP\$ per capita) ¹	(1426 PPP\$)	(4158 PPP\$)	(4617 PPP\$)	(3040 PPP\$)	(3145 PPP\$)	(2400 PPP\$)	(2347 PPP\$)
Total pharmaceutical expenditure as % of total health 2011 ¹	24.9	12.1	14.1	16.2	17.4	17.9	28.5
Public pharmaceutical expenditure as % of total pharmaceutical expenditure 2011 ¹	34.2	58.3	75.6	46.6	71.0	55.1	73.7
Predominant health care financing mechanism ²	Social insurance	Taxes (local)	Social insurance	Taxes (local)	Taxes (local)	Taxes (central)	Social insurance
Reimbursment mechanism for prescribed medicines	NHIF reimbursed 50–100 % of price of selected medicines ³	The patient has to pay the full cost for prescribed drugs, up to SEK 1100 (€122), after which level the subsidy gradually increase up to a 100 %. ⁴	For prescription- only drugs, pharmacists are now paid through a flat-rate payment of $\in 8.35$ plus a fixed margin of 3 %. The retail price contains an additional 19 % VAT. ⁵	Only Class A medicines are partially reimbursed by the SSN and involve a modest co-payment that varies across regions. ⁶ I.e. Veneto Region implies co-payment mechanism from \in 2 for each packet up to a maximum of \in 4 for each prescription. ⁷	Medical prescriptions funded by the SNS are exempt from co-payment for pensioners and their beneficiaries. nonpensioners and their beneficiaries pay 40 % of the retail price. ⁸	There are four groups of medications and reimbursment varies by these categories from 90 % for category A to 5 % for category D. Pensioners have additional reductions from 5 to 15 %. ⁹	Insured citizens participate in covering the cost of pharmaceuticals with a co-payment rate set at 25 %. Patients with chronic conditions are exempted from co-payments, while pensioners on lower incomes who are beneficiaries of EKAS pay a co-payment of 10 %. The very poor are entitled to pharmaceuticals provided by public hospitals free of charge. ⁹

Table 1 Basic characteristics of the healthcare system and pharmaceutical schemes in ABUEL study countries

Surce:¹ – Ref. 10;² – Ref. 11;³–Ref. 12;⁴ – Ref. 13;⁵ – Ref. 14;⁶ – Ref. 15;⁷ - Ref. 16;⁸ - Ref 17,⁹ - Ref 18

GDP Gross Domestic Product, PPP Purchasing Power Parity, NHIF National Health Insurance Fund (Lithuania), SSN Italy's National Health Service, SNS Spanish national health system, EKAS Social Solidarity Benefit for low-income pensioners

from buying prescribed medications due to costs was measured with question: "What were the reasons for not buying prescribed medications and care"? (multiple-choice). A specific time frame has not identified. Economic hardship was measured with one question "How often are you worried about the daily expenses? (e.g. for buying food)" in a "never/ quite often/often/always" format. A participant was defined as having "financial strain" if she/he chose any response other than "never".

Data were computed, coded and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows, Version 17.0 (SPSS Inc.). The following statistical analyses were applied: 1) descriptive statistics; 2) logistic regression.

Associations of the reported refrain from buying prescribed medications due to costs and social-economic factors were measured calculating the prevalence of nonadherence. Differences between groups were assessed by using the two-tailed z criteria for categorical variables.

For evaluation of the impact of explanatory variables on analyzed event, (binary dependent variable) *Enter* model of multivariate logistic regression was used. Dependent variable was the reported refrain from buying prescribed medications due to costs (based on answers

Page 4 of 8

in the questionnaire). Sex, age, country of residence, living alone, education, economic hardship were used as independent variables. These associations were measured using odds ratio (OR) and calculating the 95 % confidence interval (CI). Differences in results at the p < 0.05 level were considered statistically significant.

Results

Of the 4,467 respondents, 1,908 (42.5 %) were male and 2,559 (57.5 %) were female. The distribution of respondents by age was: 60-64 years (25.2 %), 65-69 years (24.4 %), 70-74 years (21.1 %), 75-79 years (16.1 %) and 80-84 years (12.2 %); and by education: cannot read/write (3.1 %), without any degree (4.2 %), less than primary school (7.5 %), primary school/similar (24.4 %), secondary school/similar (40.0 %), university/similar (19.2 %) and other (1.6 %) (Table 2). A more detailed description of the study sample is presented in a separate paper [26].

The results indicate that 3.6 % of all respondents of the study had refrained from buying the prescribed medications due to cost. Furthermore, prevalence varied by country (Fig. 1). Cost-related non-adherence has been

Table 2 The main socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

06/n

variable	/0/11								
	Lithuania	Sweden	Germany	Italy	Spain	Portugal	Greece	All countries	
Sex									
Male	35.7/225	46.8/293	47.1/305	43.0/270	42.8/272	39.0/256	44.6/287	42.7/1908	
Female	64.3/405	53.2/333	52.9/343	57.0/358	57.2/364	61.0/400	55.4/356	57.3/2559	
Age group									
60–64 years	23.2/146	33.9/212	21.7/137	22.5/141	23.3/148	24.5/161	27.8/179	25.2/1124	
65–69 years	23.5/148	23.8/149	28.4/184	22.6/142	22.0/140	24.4/160	25.7/165	24.4/1088	
70–74 years	23.2/146	16.9/106	23.5/152	20.5/129	22.5/143	21.0/138	22.9/147	21.5/961	
74–79 years	19.2/121	13.3/83	16.0/104	18.9/119	17.8/113	17.5/115	14.6/94	16.8/749	
80–84 years	11.0/69	12.1/76	11.0/71	15.4/97	14.5/92	12.5/82	9.0/58	12.2/545	
Lives alone									
No	75.8/475	66.1/414	67.3/429	86.9/546	82.14/522	78.4/514	73.7/474	75.8/3374	
Yes	24.2/152	33.9/212	32.7/208	13.1/82	17.9/114	21.6/142	26.3/169	24.2/1079	
Education									
Less than primary	5.6/34	2.3/14	1.3/8	4.3/27	60.0/381	11.4/75	19.0/122	15.1/661	
Primary	24.3/147	31.1/193	2.2/13	34.6/217	13.1/83	36.4/239	31.2/200	24.9/1092	
Secondary	46.7/283	33.3/207	67.2/405	50.3/316	11.5/73	36.1/237	40.7/261	40.6/1782	
University	23.4/142	33.3/207	29.4/177	10.8/68	15.4/98	16.0/105	9.0/58	19.5/855	
Economic hardship									
Never	26.8/169	64.0/400	52.5/339	41.4/259	31.8/202	29.7/195	6.4/41	36.0/1605	
Quite often	37.8/238	26.4/165	31.7/205	35.8/224	9.9/63	23.0/151	23.5/151	26.8/1197	
Often	21.4/135	5.4/34	11.5/74	15.3/96	15.1/96	11.3/74	28.1/181	15.5/690	
Always	14.0/88	4.2/26	4.3/28	7.5/47	43.2/275	36.0/236	42.0/270	21.7/970	

n number of observed persons

Variablo



identified in Lithuania 15.7 % (n = 99). The other countries reported considerably lower rates. The second highest rate was in Portugal (4.3 %, n = 28). The remaining countries had prevalence rates lower than 2 %.

The prevalence of self-reported refrain from buying medications was evaluated by different socio-economic factors in each country and study sample in general (Table 3). It was identified, that the prevalence of refrain due to cost has differed by sex and economic hardship. Females and people experiencing economic problems were more likely not to buy prescribed drugs because of costs. Age, education and living alone were not associated with refrain.

A logistic regression was used to estimate the risk factors involved in the occurrence of refrain from buying prescribed medication due to cost (Table 4). The results revealed that being from Lithuania and experiencing economic hardship were related with increased risk to refrain from buying prescribed medications due to cost (OR = 14.92 and OR = 1.99 respectively).

Discussion

Our study revealed that the prevalence of refrain was due to financial problems among older persons varied by country from 0.3 % in Spain to 15.7 % in Lithuania. Similar studies from other countries show variations by country as well. The reported underuse of medicines due to costs in older age varies from 3 % in the Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and the Netherlands to 8 % in Germany and 9 % in the United States [8].

Table 3 The prevalence of refrain from buying medications due to cost by different socio-economic variables

Variable	%/n								
	Lithuania	Sweden	Germany	Italy	Spain	Portugal	Greece	All	
Sex									
Male (R)	16.0/36	0.7/2	1.6/5	0.7/2	0.0/0	1.6/4	0.0/0	2.6/49	
Female	15.6/63	1.2/4	2.3/8	2.2/8	0.5/2	6.0/24**	1.1/4*	4.4/113***	
Age group									
60–64 years (R)	20.5/30	2.4/5	2.9/4	2.1/3	0.0/0	1.9/3	1.1/2	4.2/47	
65–69 years	16.9/25	0.0/0*	0.5/1	2.1/3	0.7/1	3.8/6	0.6/1	3.4/37	
70–74 years	12.3/18	0.9/1	3.9/6	2.3/3	0.0/0	5.8/8	0.7/1	3.9/37	
74–79 years	14.0/17	0.0/0*	1.0/1	0.8/1	0.9/1	7.0/8	0.0/0	3.7/28	
80-84 years	13.0/9	0.0/0*	1.4/1	0.0/0	0.0/0	3.7/3	0.0/0	2.4/13*	
Lives alone									
No (R)	16.4/78	0.7/3	0.9/4	1.5/8	0.2/1	3.3/17	0.6/3	3.4/114	
Yes	13.2/20	1.4/3	4.3/ 9*	2.4/2	0.9/1	7.7/11	0.6/1	4.4/47	
Education									
Less than primary	26.5/9	7.1/1	0.0/0	0.0/0	0.3/1	17.3/13***	0.0/0	3.6/24	
Primary	17.7/26	1.6/3	7.7/1	0.9/2	1.2/1	4.2/10	0.5/1	4.1/45	
Secondary	16.3/46	1.0/2	2.2/9	2.2/7	0.0/0	1.3/3	0.8/2	3.8/68	
University (R)	11.3/16	0.0/0	1.7/3	1.5/1	0.0/0	1.9/2	0.0/0	2.6/22	
Economic hardship									
Never	3.0/5***	0.3/1*	0.0/0*	0.8/2*	0.0/0	0.0/0***	2.4/1	0.6/9***	
Quite often	15.1/36*	0.0/0*	2.0/4*	0.4/1*	0.0/0	2.0/3*	0.0/0	3.7/44*	
Often	24.4/33	2.9/1	5.4/4	2.1/2	0.0/0	13.5/10	0.0/0	7.2/50	
Always (R)	28.4/25	15.4/4	17.9/5	10.6/5	0.7/2	6.4/15	1.1/3	6.1/59	

n number of observed persons, R reference group

*-p < 0.05, **-p < 0.01 and ***-p < 0.001 comparing with a reference group

Variable	OR	95 % CI	Р
Being male	0.80	0.55-1.17	0.249
Age (each age group)	0.88	0.77-1.01	0.077
Education (higher level of education)	0.83	0.68-1.01	0.059
Living not alone	1.29	0.87-1.90	0.207
Being from Lithuania	14.92	10.33-21.56	P < 0.001
Daily worries about expenses (each group of more intensive worries)	1.99	1.68-2.35	P < 0.001

Table 4 Logistic regression analysis of the relation between refrain from buying prescribed medication due to cost and selected socio-economic factors

p significance level, OR odds ratio, Cl confidence interval

How can these differences between ABUEL countries be explained? It is noteworthy that all countries which have participated in the study had pharmaceutical benefit schemes. This suggests that the financing of healthcare systems can only partly explain differences in refraining from buying prescribed medications among older people. In spite of the presence of cost reimbursement mechanisms, patients need to make copayments (or in some cases to pay the full price) for prescribed medications. In addition, studies indicate that chronic diseases require significant proportions of household incomes for buying medications [27]. This indicates that the purchasing power of people in the particular country can play a major role and be related with the economic situation in the country. Lithuania, which has reported the highest refrain rates, had the lowest gross domestic product (at the time of conducting this study) of all participating countries in the study [28]. It could be that economic factors influenced Lithuanians in deciding not to use prescriptions prescribed by their doctors. It is noteworthy that data for this study were collected during the economic crisis in Lithuania. In 2009, Lithuania experienced one of the highest annual decline of gross domestic product in the European Union (-14.8 %) [29]. This "free fall" of economy caused dramatic changes in salary policies, financing of health care and growth of unemployment [30]. It might be that "hard times" could have a negative impact on the economic accessibility to medications as well. On the other hand, it should be mentioned that the Lithuanian Government cut old-age pensions only from the year 2010 [31]. Therefore, we think that the infl uence of crisis on respondents' answers is very limited. However, further investigations on this issue are needed. Moreover, the similar situation has been observed with accesibility to health care services within this age group during the period of economic recession in in Lithuania.

Our results show that the decision not to purchase medications was linked to individuals experiencing financial strain or problems. Similar patterns have been noticed in studies from Australia, Canada, New Zealand, United Kingdom and the United States [8].

We identified that females were more likely to refrain from buying prescribed medications due to costs. An explanation of this pattern could be that women in general report more bodily distress, and more numerous, intense and frequent somatic symptoms than men [32]. This may cause women to use more pharmaceutical products [20] and spend a considerable amount of the household income on them. It could be that women decided not to buy some of these prescribed medications in order to save financial resources. Correa-De-Araujo et al. (2005) showed that there are gender differences in use and expenditure on prescription drugs amongst older adults aged 65+. Overall, women spent about 17 % more than average expenditures by men. Several authors demonstrate evidence that it is critical for older women and men to have proper access to prescribed medicines, particularly women, given the financial vulnerability of the female population [33]. Moreover, Johnell and Parker (2011) state that women and men express themselves differently, report symptoms differently and probably also are treated differently - encounter different behavior - within the healthcare system. Socio-economic status may also play a part, since women, at least elderly women, often have a lower income and educational level than men. Socio-economic status may be linked to expectations, communication skills, how well informed you are about various treatments, what demands you place on healthcare and how you are treated within the healthcare system. It is therefore important to consider socioeconomic status (e.g. education level) in analyses of gender differences, especially among elderly people [34].

The present study reveled inequalities in medication accessibility between different countries and within countries. It suggests a much broader plan of actions for solving this issue. Frost and Reich (2008) has developed a framework to describe the components of access to new health technologies, which can be adapted to accessibility to medications. According to the authors, there are three key components for improved access: availability (addressing both "upstream" issues of product discovery and development and "downstream" challenges of national pharmaceutical supply systems), affordability (sustainable funding and low prices), and safe and effective medicine use (rational use, quality and safety) [35]. These key components are affected by "architecture" of pharmaceutical system, which includes financing pharmaceutical workforce, governance, regulations etc. [36]. A complexity of this problem requires political support and sustainability. The recent WHO European policy framework and strategy for the 21st century "Health 2020" reminds about the commitment of WHO and its Member States to ensure universal coverage, including access to high-quality and affordable care and medicines and to eliminate catastrophic and impoverishing payments [37]. Some countries initiate specific activities to achieve these political objectives. Lithuania, which has demonstrated highest prevalence in cost-related non-adherence, has approved the "Lithuanian Health Program 2014-2025" in 2014 [38]. This national health policy emphasizes the importance in reducing health and health care (including accessibility to medications) inequalities in the country. There are several measures considered for this purpose but to name just few, there are: increase of public funding of health care system, strength of pharmaceutical care, use of health technology asseement in developing a list of state reimbursed medicines.

This study has some limitations. The participants (women and men) were recruited from urban centers in seven European countries and results might not be applicable to rural areas. Secondly, non-responders were not investigated. It could be, that those who refrained from buying prescribed medications were much higher among those who refused. Third, some confounding elements have been identified in the questionnaire. The main question of our paper is not asking, exclusively about medicines, but about care as well. This could cause, that answers reflect not only on medications. However, we expect only a minor effect of this factor, as the question is placed in the group of questions related to use of medications. This could lead, that respondents answering this question put more emphasis on mediations, rather other care. The absence of a specific time frame for refrain, could be identified as confounding factor as well. The fourth, the accuracy of the data was dependent on the participants' subjective assessment of their situation. No objective evaluations (e.g. medical records) have been used to corroborate their responses. More detailed discussion on methodology and study limitations are published in a separate paper [24].

Conclusions

The current study indicates that 3.6 % (n = 162) of older people refrained from buying prescribed medications due to cost. Living in Lithuania and financial strain were associated with self-reported underuse of medicines due to cost. Non-adherence to medication prescribing occurs frequently in Europe and is associated with adverse outcomes and this impacts on patients, care providers and the healthcare system. As Ho et al. have emphasized: "the first step toward improving adherence, there needs to be a broader recognition of the problem of non-adherence, and once identified, simple strategies should be implemented in daily practice to improve adherence" [39].

Endnotes

¹Greece excluded.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution

AS, MS, RM performed the data analysis and drafted and revised the manuscript. MA, RR, AS contributed in drafting the manuscript. JS, JL, MM, FG, EIK, HB participated in the initial study design, data collection and revision of the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our appreciation for the financial support by the European Union through the EAHC, which made possible the realization of the project. We extend also our appreciation to the staff of EAHC, and in particular Dr. Guy Dargent, for their help. Furthermore, we would like to express our appreciation to all participating institutions and to the staff involved in ABUEL. Finally, and most of all, all authors appreciate the kindness, efforts and answers of the elderly people who participated in ABUEL.

Funding

The ABUEL was supported by the Executive Agency for Health and Consumers (EAHC) (Grant No., A/2007123) and participating institutions.

Author details

¹Department of Drug Technology and Social Pharmacy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania. ²Department of Health Management, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania. ³Health Service Management Department, Centre for Health Innovation, School of Medicine, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia. ⁴Department of Public Health, University of Emden, Emden, Germany. ⁵Brandeis University, Waltham, USA. ⁶Scientific Technological Area, Centre for Socio Economic Research on Ageing, Italian National Institute of Health and Science on Aging (INRCA), Ancona, Italy. ⁷Centro de Investigación Biomedica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), University of Granada, Granada, Spain. ⁸Department of Sociology, National School of Public Health, Athens, Greece. ⁹Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal. ¹⁰Department of Health Sciences, Section of Public Health Science, Mid Sweden University, Sundsvall, Sweden.

Received: 16 December 2014 Accepted: 21 September 2015 Published online: 26 September 2015

References

- Wagner L, Wahlberg V, Worning AM. Drug consumption among elderly a four-year study. Scand J Caring Sci. 1994;8:113–7.
- Carey IM, De Wilde S, Harris T, Victor C, Richards N, Hilton SR, et al. What factors predict potentially inappropriate primary care prescribing in older people? Analysis of UK primary care patient record database. Drugs Aging. 2008;25:693–706.
- Hajjar ER, Cafiero AC, Hanlon JT. Polypharmacy in Elderly Patients. Am J Geriatr Pharmacother. 2007;5:345–51.

- Piette JD, Hiesler M, Wagner TH. Problems paying out-of-pocket medication costs among older adults with diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2004;27:384–91.
- World Health Organization. Adherence to Long-Term Therapy: Evidence for Action. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003.
- Perkins DO. Predictors of noncompliance in patients with schizophrenia. J Clin Psychiatry. 2002;63:1121–8.
- Elliott RA. Problems with medication use in the elderly: An Australian perspective. J Pharm Pract Res. 2006;36:58–66.
- Kemp A, Roughead E, Preen D, Glover J, Semmens J. Determinants of selfreported medicine underuse due to cost: a comparison of seven countries. J Health Serv Res Policy. 2010;15:106–14.
- Soares JFJ, Barros H, Torres-Gonzales F, Ioannidi-Kapolou E, Lamura J, Lindert J, et al. Abuse and Health Among Elderly in Europe. Kaunas: Lithuanian University of Health Sciences Press; 2010.
- Health for all data base. World Health Organization, Copenhagen. 2014. http://data.euro.who.int/hfadb/. Accessed 10 Dec 2014.
- Thomson S, Foubister T, Mossialos E. Financing health care in the European Union: Challenges and policy responses. Copenhagen: European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies; 2009.
- 12. Murauskiene L, Janoniene R, Veniute M, van Ginneken E, Karanikolos M. Lithuania: health system review. Health Syst Transit. 2013;15:1–150.
- Anell A, Glenngård AH, Merkur S. Sweden: Health system review. Health Syst Transit. 2012;14:1–159.
- Busse R, Blümel M. Germany: health system review. Health Syst Transit. 2014;16:1–296.
- Ferré F, de Belvis AG, Valerio L, Longhi S, Lazzari A, Fattore G, et al. Italy: Health System Review. Health Syst Transit. 2014;16:1–168.
- Toniolo F, Mantoan D, Maresso A. Veneto Region, Italy: Health system review. Health Syst Transit. 2012;14:1–138.
- 17. García-Armesto S, Abadía-Taira MB, Durán A, Hernández-Quevedo C, Bernal-Delgado E. Spain: Health system review. Health Syst Transit. 2010;12:1–295.
- Economou C. Greece: Health system review. Health Syst Transit. 2010;12:1–180.
 Stankunas M, Soares JJF, Viitasara E, Melchiorre MG, Sudin O, Torres-
- Gonzalez F, et al. Factors associated with refraining from prescribed medications among older persons. Australas J Aging. 2014;33:E25–30.
- Stankuniene A, Stankunas M, Avery M, Radziunas R, Soares JJF, Melchiorre MG, et al. Use of medications amongst older persons in Kaunas, Lithuania. Cent Eur J Med. 2013;8:855–60.
- Stankuniene A, Radziunas R, Stankunas M, Soares JF, Baranauskas A, Ioannidi-Kapolou E, et al. Causes of refrain from buying prescribed medications among the elderly in Kaunas, Lithuania. Medicina (Kaunas). 2011;47:291–6.
- Stankuniene A, Stankunas M, Soares JJF, Avery M, Melchiorre MG, Torres-Gonzalez F, et al. Somatic complaints and refrain from buying prescribed medications. Results from a cross-sectional study on people 60 years and older living in Kaunas (Lithuania). Daru. 2012;20:78.
- Cooper C, Selwood AC, Livingston G. The prevalence of elder abuse and neglect: A systematic review. Age Ageing. 2008;37:151–60.
- Lindert J, Luna J, Torres-Gonzalez F, Barros H, Ioannidi-Kapolou E, Quattrini S, et al. Study design, sampling and assessment methods of the European study "Abuse of the Elderly in the European Region". Eur J Public Health. 2012;22:662–6.
- ABUEL questionnaire. http://www.abuel.org/docs/pub02_questionnaire.pdf. Accessed 21 Nov 2014.
- Lindert J, de Luna J, Torres-Gonzalez F, Barros H, Ioannidi-Kopolou E, Melchiorre MG, et al. Abuse and neglect of older persons in seven cities in seven countries in Europe: a cross-sectional community study. Int J Public Health. 2013;58:121–32.
- 27. Rogowski J, Lillard LA, Kington R. The financial burden of prescription drug use among elderly persons. Gerontologist. 1997;37:475–82.
- Eurostat. GDP per capita in PPS. http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu. Accessed 25 Nov 2014.
- Eurostat. Real GDP growth rate volume. http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/ portal/page/portal/eurostat/home/. Accessed 28 Nov 28, 2014.
- Stankunas M, Lindert J, Avery M, Sorensen R. Suicide, recession, and unemployment. Lancet. 2013;9868:721.
- 31. Tiazkijus V. Problem of the relationship between labour and social law: theory and practice. Verslo ir teises aktualijos. 2010;5:458–75.
- Stankunas M, Soares JFJ, Stankuniene A, Melchiorre MG, Torres-Gonzales F, Ioannidi-Kapolou E, et al. Differences in reporting somatic complaints in elderly by education level. Cent Eur J Med. 2013;8:125–31.

- Correa-De-Araujo R, Miller GE, Banthin JS, Trinh Y. Gender Differences in Drug Use and Expenditures in a Privately Insured Population of Older Adults. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2005;14:73–81.
- Johnell K, Parker MG. From women's health to gender medicine An anthology. Solna: The Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research (FAS); 2011.
- Frost L, Reich M. Access. How do good health technologies get to poor people in poor countries? Cambridge: Harvard Centre for Population and Development Studies; 2008.
- Hanson K, Palafox B, Anderson S, Guzman J, Moran M, Shretta R, et al. Pharmaceuticals. In: Merson MH, Black RE, Mills AJ, editors. Global Health: diseases, programs, systems, and policies. 3rd ed. Burlington: Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2012. p. 707–55.
- Health 2020. A European policy framework and strategy for the 21st century. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
- Lithuanian Health Programme 2014–2025 (XII-964). Vilnius: Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania; 2014
- Ho PM, Bryson CL, Rumsfeld JS. Medication Adherence: Its Importance in Cardiovascular Outcomes. Circulation. 2009;119:3028–35.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit