CANCER GENETICS AND EPIGENETICS



A pleiotropic variant in DNAJB4 is associated with multiple myeloma risk

```
Marco Dicanio 1 | Matteo Giaccherini 1 | Alyssa Clay-Gilmour 2 |
Angelica Macauda <sup>3</sup> | Juan Sainz <sup>4,5,6</sup> | Mitchell J. Machiela <sup>7</sup> |
Malwina Rybicka-Ramos<sup>8</sup> | Aaron D. Norman<sup>9,10</sup> | Agata Tyczyńska<sup>11</sup> |
Stephen J. Chanock <sup>7</sup> | Torben Barington <sup>12</sup> | Shaji K. Kumar <sup>13</sup> |
Parveen Bhatti 14,15 | Wendy Cozen 16,17 | Elizabeth E. Brown 18 | Anna Suska 19 |
Eva K. Haastrup<sup>12</sup> | Robert Z. Orlowski<sup>20</sup> | Marek Dudziński<sup>21</sup> |
Ramon Garcia-Sanz<sup>22</sup> | Marcin Kruszewski<sup>23</sup> | Joaquin Martinez-Lopez<sup>24</sup> |
Katia Beider<sup>25</sup> | Elżbieta Iskierka-Jazdzewska<sup>26</sup> | Matteo Pelosini<sup>27</sup> |
Sonja I. Berndt<sup>7</sup> | Małgorzata Raźny<sup>28</sup> | Krzysztof Jamroziak<sup>29</sup> |
S. Vincent Rajkumar<sup>13</sup> | Artur Jurczyszyn<sup>19</sup> | Annette Juul Vangsted<sup>12</sup> |
Pilar Garrido Collado<sup>5</sup> | Ulla Vogel<sup>30</sup> | Jonathan N. Hofmann<sup>7</sup> | Mario Petrini<sup>31</sup> |
Aleksandra Butrym<sup>32</sup> | Susan L. Slager<sup>9</sup> | Elad Ziv<sup>33</sup> | Edyta Subocz<sup>34</sup> |
Graham G. Giles<sup>35,36,37</sup> | Niels Frost Andersen<sup>12</sup> | Grzegorz Mazur<sup>38</sup> |
Marzena Watek<sup>29,39</sup> | Fabienne Lesueur<sup>40</sup> | Michelle A. T. Hildebrandt<sup>20</sup> |
Daria Zawirska<sup>41</sup> | Lene Hyldahl Ebbesen<sup>12</sup> | Herlander Margues<sup>42</sup> |
Federica Gemignani<sup>1</sup> | Charles Dumontet<sup>43</sup> | Judit Várkonyi<sup>44</sup> | Gabriele Buda<sup>31</sup> |
Arnon Nagler<sup>25</sup> | Agnieszka Druzd-Sitek<sup>45</sup> | Xifeng Wu<sup>46</sup> | Katalin Kadar<sup>44</sup> |
Nicola J. Camp<sup>47</sup> | Norbert Grzasko<sup>48</sup> | Rosalie G. Waller<sup>10</sup> | Celine Vachon<sup>9</sup> |
Federico Canzian<sup>3</sup> | Daniele Campa<sup>1</sup>
```

Correspondence

Daniele Campa, Department of Biology, University of Pisa, 56126 Pisa, Italy. Email: daniele.campa@unipi.it

Present address

Matteo Pelosini, Ospedale Santa Chiara, Pisa,

Abstract

Pleiotropy, which consists of a single gene or allelic variant affecting multiple unrelated traits, is common across cancers, with evidence for genome-wide significant loci shared across cancer and noncancer traits. This feature is particularly relevant in multiple myeloma (MM) because several susceptibility loci that have been

Abbreviations: Cl.; confidence interval; eQTL:, expression quantitative trait loci; GTEx.; genotype-tissue expression project; GWAS;, genome-wide association studies; HSC.; hematopoietic stem cell; HWE:, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; IMMEnSE:, International Multiple Myeloma rESEarch; IMWG:, International Myeloma Working Group; InterLymph:, International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium; LD:, linkage disequilibrium; IncRNA:, long non coding RNA; MM, multiple myeloma; OR, odds ratio; PC, principal component; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

Marco Dicanio, Matteo Giaccherini and Alyssa Clay-Gilmour share the first position and Celine Vachon, Federico Canzian and Daniele Campa share the last position. For affiliation refer to page 245

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. International Journal of Cancer published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of UICC.

Int. J. Cancer. 2023;152:239-248. wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/ijc 239

.0970215, 2023, 2, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023].

ons) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

Funding information University of Pisa and DKFZ

identified to date are pleiotropic. Therefore, the aim of this study was to identify novel pleiotropic variants involved in MM risk using 28 684 independent single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from GWAS Catalog that reached a significant association (P < 5×10^{-8}) with their respective trait. The selected SNPs were analyzed in 2434 MM cases and 3446 controls from the International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium (InterLymph). The 10 SNPs showing the strongest associations with MM risk in InterLymph were selected for replication in an independent set of 1955 MM cases and 1549 controls from the International Multiple Myeloma rESEarch (IMMEnSE) consortium and 418 MM cases and 147 282 controls from the FinnGen project. The combined analysis of the three studies identified an association between DNAJB4-rs34517439-A and an increased risk of developing MM $(OR = 1.22, 95\%CI 1.13-1.32, P = 4.81 \times 10^{-7})$. rs34517439-A is associated with a modified expression of the FUBP1 gene, which encodes a multifunctional DNA and RNA-binding protein that it was observed to influence the regulation of various genes involved in cell cycle regulation, among which various oncogenes and oncosuppressors. In conclusion, with a pleiotropic scan approach we identified DNAJB4-rs34517439 as a potentially novel MM risk locus.

KEYWORDS

genetic susceptibility, multiple myeloma, pleiotropy, pleiotropy scan, polymorphisms

What's new?

Genetic variants can have multiple, seemingly unrelated, effects. Often, these so-called "pleiotropic" variants play a role in cancer. Here, the authors set out to identify new pleiotropic variants involved in multiple myeloma (MM) risk. They analyzed 28,684 independent single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that had been identified in genome-wide association studies as having an effect on a human trait. This analysis revealed an association between increased MM risk and a variant called DNAJB4-rs34517439-A. That variant has been associated with changes in expression of a DNA- and RNA-binding protein that helps regulate cell cycle genes.

INTRODUCTION 1

Multiple myeloma (MM) is an incurable hematological disease originating from plasma cells in the bone marrow. MM is the third most common hematological tumor with a crude incidence rate of 6.8/100000 new cases per year in Europe² (https://gco.iarc.fr/today/home).

Several studies have investigated the genetic susceptibility of MM, using genome-wide association studies (GWAS)³⁻¹⁰ or more targeted approaches.^{8,11-15} Twenty-four risk loci have been found to be associated with MM risk through GWAS; however, these variants explain approximately only 16% of MM heritability.³ Due to the large number of tests conducted in a GWAS, a stringent statistical significance threshold, $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, is employed. The associated increase in type II error rates means that many true associations may remain undetected and unreported.

One strategy to avoid this loss of information is to investigate pleiotropic variants. Pleiotropy is a genetic phenomenon consisting of a single gene or allelic variant affecting multiple, often unrelated traits.¹⁶ GWASs have identified several loci associated with cancer and noncancer phenotypes. Recently, it was estimated that half of all the SNPs showing an association with a P_{value} threshold of 10^{-8} are pleiotropic, and 12.34% show associations with 10 or more phenotypes. 17

Pleiotropy is frequent across cancers, 18-20 with a portion of genome-wide associated loci shared with other traits and several regions harboring single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) associated with multiple cancer types. For example, the TERT and 8q24 loci are associated with risk of multiple cancer types, including MM. 12,21-25 This feature is particularly evident in MM since we observed, using GWAS Catalog, that 17 of the 24 associated polymorphisms (~71%) are pleiotropic or in high linkage disequilibrium (LD) $(r^2 > 0.8)$ with SNPs associated with other traits (https://www. ebi.ac.uk/gwas/), including telomere length,26 BMI27 and risk of various cancers, such as myeloproliferative neoplasms²⁸ and pancreatic cancer.²⁵ Therefore, the aim of this study was to test the impact of all the SNPs associated at genome-wide significant level with any human trait on risk of MM. This strategy has been employed for several cancer sites 18-20,29-31 but never for MM.

.0970215, 2023, 2, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada,

Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023]. See the Terms

and Conditions

) on Wiley Online Library for rules

of use; OA articles

are governed by the applicable Creative Commons I

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study populations

The study employed a two-step approach, consisting of a discovery phase in which the International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium (InterLymph) GWAS data were analyzed, and a validation phase performed using both summary statistics from the FinnGen project MM GWAS (https://www.finngen.fi/en/access_results) and cases and controls of the International Multiple Myeloma rESEarch (IMMEnSE) consortium.

InterLymph has been described elsewhere.⁸ Briefly, InterLymph generated GWAS data, using multiple platforms, for 2434 MM cases and 3446 controls of European ancestry from the United States of America, Canada and Australia genotyped using the Affymetrix 6.0 and Illumina (610 Quad, Human660W-quad Beadchip, Omni5, OmniExpress Beadchip, Oncoarray) platforms.⁸ Imputation was performed using the Haplotype Reference Consortium as reference panel. and the Michigan imputation server (https://imputationserver.sph. umich.edu/).32 After imputation, each site was filtered to include only imputed variants with information score > 0.6 and further quality control checks were implemented (genotype rate > 95%, minor allele frequencies >0.01, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium [HWE] $P > 10^{-5}$ in controls). Finally, the data were pooled and final quality controls were performed on the pooled GWAS set, including checks for missingness, duplicates, sex mismatch, abnormal heterozygosity, cryptic relatedness, population outliers (through principal component [PC] analysis), and genomic inflation. After applying quality control measures to the imputed data, 5 864 648 SNPs remained for analysis.8

The FinnGen project³³ is a cohort of 176 899 Finnish individuals genotyped with Illumina and Affymetrix platforms (https://www. finngen.fi). Subjects with sex discrepancies, call rate < 95%, excess heterozygosity (+-4SD) and non-Finnish ancestry were removed. SNPs with call rate < 98%, deviation from HWE (P < 10^{-6}) and low minor allele count < 3 were removed. Subsequently, imputation was conducted using the SISu v3 reference panel with Beagle 4.1 (version 08Jun17.d8b). Imputed variants with information score < 0.7 were removed.³³ A GWAS testing association of 16 962 023 SNPs with 2444 endpoints (among which MM risk) was performed. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, 10 PCs and for genotyping batch. A total of 418 MM cases and 147 282 controls who were cancer-free at recruitment were evaluated in this study. Summary statistics of genome-wide associations between SNPs and MM risk were obtained from https://r4.finngen.fi on April 22, 2021.

Information on IMMEnSE is reported in detail elsewhere.34 Briefly, it consists of a multicentric study involving seven countries (Denmark, France, Hungary, Israel, Italy, Poland, Portugal and Spain). Patients had a confirmed diagnosis of MM in compliance with International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) criteria,35 while controls were from the same center or geographic region as the MM patients, including individuals from the general population, blood donors or patients hospitalized for diseases other than cancer. For each participant sex, age (at diagnosis for cases, at recruitment for controls) and country of origin were collected. For this study, 1955 MM cases and 1549 controls were included. Considering all of the studies, the total number of subjects analyzed was 4807 MM cases and 152 277 controls (Table 1).

SNP identification and selection 2.2

We downloaded a list of all the SNPs associated with at least one human phenotype at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ from the GWAS Catalog web site (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/). The list was downloaded in January 2020 and all SNPs without "rs" identifier (n = 1667) were excluded, leaving 66 296 unique SNPs for analysis.

2.3 Sample preparation, genotyping and quality control in IMMEnSE

DNA samples from IMMEnSE consortium participants were extracted from whole blood and genotyped using TagMan (Thermo Fisher Applied Biosystems, Waltham MA, USA) assay technology, according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Genotyping was conducted in 384 well plates, including n = 203 duplicate samples (6%) for quality control purpose (concordance rate was higher than 98%). The distribution of cases and controls was unknown to the operator performing the genotyping. The fluorescent emission of the genotyping assay was detected by a QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR system (Thermofisher) and the genotyping calls were made with QuantStudio software (Thermofisher). The average call rate of the SNPs was 86.30%. Subjects with a call rate < 70% (331 cases and 216 controls) were excluded from further analysis. Pearson's chi-square test (χ^2) was performed to assess if genotype frequencies were in HWE. The analysis, restricted to controls, was performed overall and separately for each country. All SNPs were in HWE except for rs10187103

TABLE 1 Study populations

	InterLymph	IMMEnSE	FinnGen	Total
Diagnosis				
MM cases	2434	1955	418	4807
Controls	3446	1549	147 282	152 277
Total	5880	3504	147 700	157 084
Median age (2	5%-75%) ^a			
MM cases	61 (26-90)	61 (54-67)	-	-
Controls		51 (43-61)	-	-
Sex				
Male	61%	52%	_	_
Female	39%	48%	_	_

Note: Details on age and sex distribution of FinnGen individuals are not available.

^aMedian age values of MM cases and controls with 25th and 75th percentile.

.0970215, 2023, 2, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada,

Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023]. See the Terms

ons) on Wiley Online Library for rules

of use; OA articles

are governed by the applicable Creative Commons Licens

($P=4.99\times10^{-8}$), rs1063348 ($P=9.27\times10^{-4}$) and rs465530 ($P=8.91\times10^{-6}$) in the controls from Denmark and therefore we excluded the genotypes of Danish subjects for these three SNPs from further analysis.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Unconditional logistic regression analysis was performed using Inter-Lymph data to assess the association between the pleiotropic SNPs and risk of developing MM, reporting odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Analyses were adjusted for age at diagnosis/recruitment, sex, study site and for the first five PCs. LD pruning ($r^2 = 0.8$) was applied to eliminate SNPs representing the same locus or variants in LD with known MM susceptibility regions. All independent variants that showed an association at $P < 10^{-4}$ (arbitrary threshold) were then analyzed in the validation populations. Summary statistics were used for FinnGen, whereas for IMMEnSE, additive and co-dominant unconditional logistic regression analysis was performed, adjusted for age at diagnosis/recruitment, sex and country of origin.

Finally, random-effects meta-analysis was performed with the PLINK software to combine results of the two phases. Heterogeneity was quantified with the I² metric and evaluated with the Cochran's Q statistic test for each SNP. To account for multiple testing, we considered LD ($r^2 > 0.8$) among the SNPs used in the discovery phase to obtain a list of independent variants (n = 28 684). The threshold for statistical significance was therefore set to $P = 0.05/28684 = 1.74 \times 10^{-6}$ using Bonferroni's correction.

2.4.1 | Annotation of functional effect of the SNPs

Several bioinformatic tools were used to evaluate possible functional features of the SNPs associated with MM. The occurrence of regulatory motif alterations was investigated through HaploReg (https://pubs.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php)³⁶ and RegulomeDB (https://regulomedb.org/regulome-search).³⁷ The Genotype-Tissue Expression project (GTEx) portal³⁸ (https://www.gtexportal.org/home/) was used to assess if selected SNPs are expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs), that is, their alleles are associated with differential expression of genes in cis.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Discovery

Among the 66 296 variants selected for testing, 6438 were not genotyped or imputed in the InterLymph MM GWAS and therefore could not be analyzed. Of the 59 858 remaining SNPs, 2997 SNPs were associated with risk of developing MM at P < 0.05. Within that group, 56 SNPs with $P < 10^{-4}$ were selected for the validation studies (Table S1). Forty SNPs already known to be associated with the risk of

TABLE 2 Combined analysis using InterLymph, IMMEnSE and FinnGen results

							i						
SNP	Region	(Alleles) M/m ^a	InterLymph		IMMEnSE		FinnGen		Combined analysis				
	•		OR (95%CI)	P _{value}	OR (95%CI)	P _{value}	OR (95%CI)	Pvalue	OR (95%CI)	P _{value}	1 ^{2b}	б <mark>р</mark>	Q-P _{value}
rs34517439 1p31.1	1p31.1	C/A	1.30 (1.15-1.48) 4.70×10^{-5}	4.70×10^{-5}	1.09 (0.89-1.34)	.402	1.21 (1.09-1.34)	.071	1.22 (1.13-1.32)	4.81×10^{-7}	7.30%	2.25	.325
rs6674512	1p22.3	G/A	1.40 (1.21-1.62) 8.71×10^{-6}	8.71×10^{-6}	1.10 (0.88-1.36)	.402	1.04 (0.91-1.20) .765	.765	1.17 (0.96-1.43)	.115	77.50%	9.74	.008
rs10187103 2q24.3	2q24.3	C/T	$0.84~(0.77-0.91)~6.06\times10^{-5}$	6.06×10^{-5}	0.99 (0.88-1.12)	.915	0.99 (0.90-1.09) .924	.924	0.95 (0.83-1.08)	.397	81.10%	10.38	900.
rs1022206	3q13.13	C/T	1.18 (1.09-1.28)	8.61×10^{-5}	1.11 (1.00–1.24) .061	.061	0.99 (0.92-1.07)	.923	1.09 (0.97-1.22)	.136	80.00%	10.25	900.
rs4143832	5q31.1	G/T	1.24 (1.12-1.37) 4.66×10^{-5}	4.66×10^{-5}	0.95 (0.83-1.08)	.439	0.91 (0.84-0.99)	.275	1.02 (0.84-1.25)	.819	91.30%	22.96	1.03×10^{-5}
rs537930	5q31.1	G/T	0.83 (0.76-0.91)	5.09×10^{-5}	0.97 (0.86–1.09)	.571	0.96 (0.88-1.04)	.596	0.92 (0.83-1.01)	.088	70.10%	6.51	3.80×10^{-2}
rs1063348	6p21.32	G/A	1.19 (1.09-1.30)	7.44×10^{-5}	0.95 (0.85-1.07)	398	NAc	A A	1.07 (0.87-1.32)	.534	%9.68	14.49	.001
rs13252276	8p23.1	C/T	0.77 (0.68-0.87)	3.10×10^{-5}	1.01 (0.91-1.12)	.870	1.03 (0.96-1.11)	.711	0.93 (0.79-1.10)	.415	88.00%	17.50	2.00×10^{-4}
rs465530	12q14.3	G/T	1.18 (1.09–1.28) 4.94×10^{-5}	4.94×10^{-5}	1.01 (0.89-1.13)	.926	0.95 (0.88-1.02)	.458	1.03 (0.90-1.19)	.657	87.80%	17.06	2.00×10^{-4}
rs8132680	21q22.12 T/C	T/C	1.21 (1.11-1.33) 2.96×10^{-5}	2.96×10^{-5}	0.99 (0.88-1.12)	.924	1.04 (0.96-1.13)	.646	1.08 (0.96-1.21)	.197	77.40%	8.06	.018

^aM = the most common allele in controls; m = the less common allele in controls. The more common allele found in the control population has been used as reference and the other allele was defined as the exposure factor

This polymorphism is not present in FinnGen, and therefore the combined analysis refers only to InterLymph and IMMEnSE populations.

²Measures of heterogeneity between the combined analysis components.

.0970215, 2023, 2, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023]. See the Terms

and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/t

conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

developing MM or in LD ($r^2 \ge 0.8$) with these known SNPs were further excluded. Residual LD ($r^2 > 0.4$) between the remaining 16 SNPs was evaluated, resulting in the exclusion of an additional six SNPs. The remaining 10 SNPs were selected for evaluation in FinnGen and IMMEnSE. Figure S1 shows a flowchart of the process.

3.2 Replication and combined analysis

We performed the combined analysis using exclusively the allelic model, since this was available for the FinnGen dataset. We found a statistically significant association (using $P < 1.74 \times 10^{-6}$) between the A allele of DNAJB4-rs34517439 and an increased risk of developing MM (OR_{combined-analysis} = 1.22, 95%CI 1.13-1.32, $P = 4.81 \times 10^{-7}$) with very low study heterogeneity ($I^2 = 7.30\%$, Q = 2.25) (Table 2 and Figure 1). However, we observed some degree of heterogeneity in the combined analysis for the SNPs that did not show any statistically significant results, that could be due to the different direction of the association (Table 2) or to differences of the allelic frequencies between Finnish and non-Finnish Europeans (Table S2).

Though not statistically significant, we observed an association between LOC105374037-rs1022206 and risk of developing MM in the IMMEnSE population when comparing homozygotes for the rare allele (T/T) to homozygotes for the common allele (C/C) $(OR_{homozygptes} = 1.29, 95\%CI 1.03-1.62, P = .028)$ and in the recessive model ($OR_{recessive} = 1.28$, 95%CI 1.04-1.57, P = .019) in the IMMEnSE population (Table 3).

3.3 Functional effect of the SNPs

RegulomeDB assigned a rank of 5 to both SNPs, implying a possible influence on a transcription factor binding site. In addition, GTEx and HaploReg indicated that DNAJB4-rs34517439 is an eQTL affecting far upstream element binding protein 1 gene (FUBP1) expression in several human tissues. This included a statistically significant correlation between the A allele of this SNP and a reduced expression of FUBP1 in cultured fibroblasts (P = 1.10×10^{-4}). GTEx also indicated an association between the T allele of LOC105374037-rs1022206 and increased expression of the nectin cell adhesion molecule 3 (NECTIN3) gene in cultured fibroblasts $(P = 2.10 \times 10^{-20}).$

DISCUSSION

Pleiotropic variants are commonly found associated with complex diseases, like cancer. To investigate the possible association between common SNPs and the risk of developing MM, we analyzed all the genetic variants associated with any human trait in 4807 patients and 152 277 controls. We observed a statistically significant association (P < 1.74×10^{-6}) between DNAJB4-rs34517439-A and risk of developing MM. This SNP is pleiotropic since it is associated with numerous traits such as cutaneous melanoma, diastolic blood pressure, fat-free mass, hair color, hand grip strength, height, lung cancer, noncognitive aspects of educational attainment, psoriasis, serum alkaline phosphatase levels, smoking initiation and BMI, with a wide range of effect sizes and P_{values} as reported in Table S3. The association between this SNP and BMI is of particular interest since BMI is also associated with MM risk.³⁹ The A allele that was associated with increased MM risk in our study has previously been associated with increased risk of lung cancer. 40 This pleiotropic effect, especially in cancers, may be attributed to the LD of rs34517439 with the variants inside or in the proximity of DnaJ heat shock protein family (Hsp40) member B4 (DNAJB4), situated on chromosome 1. This protein is a molecular chaperone specifically recognizing wild-type from mutant E-cadherin protein. Since E-cadherin is an important element in the suppression of tumor invasion, the function of DNAJB4 represents a significant pleiotropic mechanism of tumoral invasion inhibition. 41,42 DNAJB4 also acts as a co-chaperone, forming a complex with Hsp70 which participates in protein folding.⁴³ The expression and activity of heat shock proteins increases during cellular stress,44 and DNAJB4 has been observed to reduce tumor metastasis and progression in several cancer types, including lung carcinoma and melanoma. 45,46 This mechanism could also be relevant to MM. In bioinformatic analysis, GTEx showed an association between DNAJB4rs34517439-A and a reduced expression of the FUBP1 gene in various tissues, including lung, skin (sun exposed and not sun exposed), adipose tissue, the arteries and the heart. The altered expression of the gene in these tissues mirrors the associations found in the GWASs (eg, altered expression in lung for lung cancer, or skin for melanoma). FUBP1 encodes a multifunctional DNA and RNA-binding protein involved in cell cycle regulation and self-renewal of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs).⁴⁷ FUBP1 acts both as oncogene and as tumor suppressor, and its activity is tissue-dependent.⁴⁸ In various tumor types FUBP1 promotes the overexpression of the MYC oncogene, 48 whereas FUBP1 silencing does not influence the expression of MYC in normal

Associations between MM risk and the top 10 SNPs in IMMEnSE population TABLE 3

			Allelic	Allelic model ^b		Codominant model ^c	nt model ^c					Domina	Dominant model ^d		Recess	Recessive modele	
SNP	Region	(Alleles) M/m ^a	OR	95% CI	P _{value}	OR het	95% CI	pvalue	OR hom	95% CI	pvalue	OR	95% CI	pvalue	OR	95% CI	pvalue
rs34517439	1p31.1	C/A	1.09	1.09 0.89-1.34	.402	1.10	0.88-1.38	.395	1.09	0.44-2.66	.853	1.10	0.88-1.37	.387	1.07	0.44-2.62	.878
rs6674512	1p22.3	G/A	1.10	1.10 0.88-1.36	.402	1.14	0.90-1.44	.267	0.74	0.24-2.28	009:	1.12	0.89-1.41	.320	0.73	0.24-2.24	.580
rs10187103	2q24.3	C/T	0.99	0.88-1.12	.915	0.90	0.75-1.07	.215	1.09	0.83-1.43	.540	0.93	0.79-1.10	.407	1.15	0.89-1.49	.287
rs1022206	3q13.13	C/T	1.11	1.00-1.24	.061	1.01	0.86-1.20	.889	1.29	1.03-1.62	.028	1.08	0.92-1.26	.348	1.28	1.04-1.57	.019
rs4143832	5q31.1	G/T	0.95	0.83-1.08	.439	0.94	0.79-1.10	.428	0.94	0.66-1.32	.709	0.94	0.80-1.10	.406	96.0	0.68-1.35	.807
rs537930	5q31.1	G/T	0.97	0.86-1.09	.571	98.0	0.73-1.00	.061	1.14	0.84-1.55	385	06.0	0.77-1.05	.168	1.22	0.90-1.64	.198
rs1063348	6p21.32	G/A	0.95	0.85-1.07	398	0.92	0.77-1.10	368	0.92	0.72-1.17	.487	0.92	0.77-1.09	.336	96.0	0.77-1.20	.731
rs13252276	8p23.1	C/T	1.01	0.91-1.12	.870	1.02	0.86-1.21	.825	1.01	0.82-1.25	.895	1.02	0.87-1.19	.826	1.00	0.83-1.21	.973
rs465530	12q14.3	G/T	1.01	0.89-1.13	.926	0.83	0.67-1.01	.064	1.03	0.81-1.31	.820	0.89	0.73-1.07	.220	1.16	0.95-1.42	.147
rs8132680	21q22.12	1/C	0.99	0.88-1.12	.924	1.01	0.86-1.19	.869	96.0	0.72-1.28	.785	1.00	0.86-1.17	096:	96.0	0.72-1.27	.751

Note: In bold it is reported the statistically significant association.

^aM = the more common allele in controls; m = the less common allele in controls. The more common allele found in the control population has been used as the reference and the other allele was defined as the exposure factor.

^bAlso termed quantitative additive (m vs M).

^cMm vs MM (het) and mm vs MM (hom).

 $^{^{}d}$ Mm + mm vs MM.

 $^{^{\}mathrm{e}}$ mm vs Mm + MM.

All the analyses were adjusted for age at diagnosis/recruitment, sex and country of origin. Results in bold show associations with P < .05.

.0970215, 2023, 2, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada, Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023]. See the Terms

ns) on Wiley Online Library for rules

of use; OA articles

are governed by the applicable Creative

fibroblasts, prostate and bladder cancer cells.⁴⁸ Moreover, FUBP1 is involved in the upregulation and downregulation of the cell cycle inhibitor p21.49,50 The pleiotropic role of rs34517439 could be explained by its involvement in the altered expression of FUBP1 in different tumors, that determines their development, by interacting with specific factors in each tissue. Specifically for hematopoietic lineages, the cooperation of FUBP1 with RUNX1 in promoting the expression of the c-KIT oncogene was reported.⁵¹ In summary, a possible explanation for the DNAJB4-rs34517439 association with increased risk of developing MM could be that the A allele modifies the expression of DNAJB4 leading to an incorrect folding of FUBP1. In turn, this mechanism could be responsible for the disruption of the HSCs homeostasis equilibrium, thus increasing the risk of developing MM. Although we lack an experimental validation of the proposed mechanism in MM cell lines, our hypothesis relies on the experimental and genomic data collected from GTEx, which are broadly used in the scientific community.

In addition, the T allele of LOC105374037-rs1022206 in homozygosity showed an increased risk of developing MM in the IMMEnSE population. LOC105374037 is an uncharacterized long noncoding RNA (IncRNA) for which possible functional roles are unknown. Interestingly, LOC105374037-rs1022206 is an eQTL for NECTIN3 gene expression in cultured fibroblasts. Fibroblasts are the cells with the highest expression of NECTIN3 in humans.⁵² Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are one of the known cellular elements participating in MM tumoral microenvironment in the BM.⁵³ In fact, they show a bidirectional loop with myeloma cells providing chemotaxis, adhesion, apoptosis resistance and proliferation through cytokines, growth factors. angiogenetic factors and cell-cell contact.⁵⁴ However, considering the lack of data in the literature on the topic it is not clear how the increased expression of NECTIN3 mediated by LOC105374037-rs1022206 might be involved in MM risk. Furthermore, Nectin-3 is involved in cell survival through PDGF receptor signal and in inhibition of cell movement.^{52,55} The SNP LOC105374037-rs1022206 is in LD with variants associated in with various traits: balding type 1, smoking initiation, self-reported math ability, feeling hurt and neuroticism. Although these traits apparently do not show a shared mechanism or pathway, the LOC105374037 IncRNA could be the common element explaining the pleiotropic effect of the SNP on different cellular functions.

A possible limitation of this study could be that only the allelic model was available for all the datasets and therefore it was the only one assessed in the final combined analysis using InterLymph, IMMEnSE and FinnGen populations. In fact, we were not able to confirm the promising association detected in the IMMEnSE population for LOC105374037-rs1022206-T, that needs to be further investigated. Another possible limitation is the ethnic origin of the populations used in the replication phase, due to the known genetic differences between the Finnish and non-Finnish Europeans. 56,57 Specifically, for the 10 SNPs considered in the replication phase of our study, the average difference in allelic frequency is 6%, and for the two SNPs that show significant association this difference is lower than 5%. The heterogeneity value obtained in the combined analysis for DNAJB4-rs34517439 is very low ($I^2 = 7.30\%$) and not significant, and therefore, it is highly unlikely that differences in the allelic

frequencies might have affected the results. However, we have observed some degrees of heterogeneity in the other SNPs. An additional limitation in our study is that the number of SNPs chosen for replication was limited. We chose a threshold of $P < 10^{-4}$ as a good compromise allowing us to select a suitably small number of variants to genotype in IMMEnSE and to maximize chances of finding significant results in the overall analysis. It would have not been worth choosing SNPs showing a weaker association in InterLymph, because we would not have sufficient statistical power to find genome-wide significant associations in the combined results.

Finally, considering that the P_{value} we observed, although statistically significant considering the Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, does not reach genome-wide significance, further studies are warranted to establish this SNP as a new MM risk locus.

In conclusion, our results suggest the involvement of a pleiotropic region on chromosome 1 in MM development and highlight pleiotropy as an approach to uncover additional risk variants in cancer susceptibility.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Daniele Campa conceived and designed the study. Marco Dicanio and Matteo Giaccherini performed the lab work, Marco Dicanio, Matteo Giaccherini and Alyssa Clay-Gilmour analyzed the data. All the authors contributed with the interpretation of the data. Marco Dicanio, Matteo Giaccherini and Daniele Campa wrote the first draft of the manuscript and all authors contributed to the writing and approve of the final version of the manuscript. The work reported in the paper has been performed by the authors, unless clearly specified in the text.

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Biology, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Greenville, South Carolina, USA

³Genomic Epidemiology Group, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

⁴Genomic Oncology Area, GENYO. Center for Genomics and Oncological Research: Pfizer, University of Granada/Andalusian Regional Government, Granada, Spain

⁵Department of Hematology, Virgen de las Nieves University Hospital, Granada, Spain

⁶Department of Medicine, University of Granada, Granada, Spain ⁷Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institues of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA ⁸Department of Hematology Specialist Hospital No. 1, Bytom, Poland ⁹Division of Epidemiology, Department of Health Sciences Research,

¹⁰Division of Biomedical Statistics and Informatics, Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Ontario, USA

¹¹Department of Hematology and Transplantology, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland

Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Ontario, USA

¹²Department of Hematology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹³Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Ontario, USA

0970215, 2023, 2, Downlo

/onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijc.34278 by Universidad De Granada,

Wiley Online Library on [11/10/2023]. See

of use; OA articles

are governed by the applicable Creative

- ¹⁴Cancer Control Research, BC Cancer, Vancouver, Canada
- ¹⁵Program in Epidemiology, Public Health Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington, USA ¹⁶Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Susan and Henry Samueli College of Health Sciences, Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine, California, USA
- ¹⁷Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, Susan and Henry Samueli College of Health Sciences, Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine, California, USA ¹⁸Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA ¹⁹Plasma Cell Dyscrasia Center Department of Hematology Jagiellonian University Faculty of Medicine, Kraków, Poland ²⁰Department of Lymphoma - Myeloma, Division of Cancer Medicine. University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston. Texas, USA
- ²¹Department of Hematology, Institute of Medical Sciences, College of Medical Sciences, University of Rzeszow, Rzeszow, Poland ²²Medina A. Department of Hematology, University Hospital of Salamanca (HUS/IBSAL), CIBERONC and Cancer Research Institute of Salamanca-IBMCC (USAL-CSIC), Salamanca, Spain
- ²³Department of Hematology, University Hospital No. 2 in Bydgoszcz, Bydgoszcz, Poland
- ²⁴Hospital 12 de Octubre, Compluntense University, CNIO, CIBERONC, Madrid, Spain
- ²⁵Hematology Division Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer,
- ²⁶Department of Hematology, Medical University of Lodz, Łódź,
- ²⁷U.O. Dipartimento di Ematologia, Azienda USL Toscana Nord Ovest, Livorno, Italy
- ²⁸Department of Hematology, Rydygier Hospital, Cracow, Poland
- ²⁹Department of Hematology, Institute of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
- ³⁰National Research Center for the Working Environment, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ³¹Hematology Unit, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- ³²Department of Cancer Prevention and Therapy, Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland
- ³³Department of Medicine, University of California San Francisco Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, San Francisco, California, USA
- ³⁴Department of Hematology, Military Institute of Medicine, Warsaw,
- ³⁵Cancer Epidemiology Division, Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- ³⁶Center for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- ³⁷Precision Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

- ³⁸Department of Internal Diseases, Occupational Medicine, Hypertension and Clinical Oncology, Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland
- ³⁹Department of Hematology, Holycross Cancer Center, Kielce, Poland
- ⁴⁰Inserm, U900, Institut Curie, PSL Research University, Mines ParisTech. Paris. France
- ⁴¹Department of Hematology, University Hospital in Cracow, Cracow, Poland
- ⁴²Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Health Sciences, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal and ICVS/3B's - PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal ⁴³INSERM 1052/CNRS 5286/University of Lyon, Lyon, France
- ⁴⁴Department of Hematology and Internal Medicine, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
- ⁴⁵Department of Lymphoproliferative Diseases, Maria Skłodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland ⁴⁶Department of Epidemiology, Division of Cancer Prevention and Population Sciences, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA
- ⁴⁷Division of Hematology and Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah. Salt Lake City. Utah. USA
- ⁴⁸Department of Experimental Hematooncology, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Open Access Funding provided by Universita degli Studi di Pisa within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This study was partially supported by intramural funds of University of Pisa and DKFZ.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The primary data for this work will be made available to researchers who submit a reasonable request to the corresponding author, conditional to approval by the centers participating in this study. Data will be stripped from all information allowing identification of study participants.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The IMMEnSE study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg (reference number: S-004/2020). Following the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, written informed consent was obtained from each participant For InterLymph, contributing studies were approved by local ethics review committees. Summary statistics were used from the FinnGen study, that was approved by the ethical Review Board of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa. FinnGen participants provided written, informed consent.

ORCID

Matteo Giaccherini https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0363-6632 Juan Sainz https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9355-2423 Katia Beider https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7739-7745 Fabienne Lesueur https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7404-4549 Michelle A. T. Hildebrandt https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6769-6872 Daniele Campa https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3220-9944

REFERENCES

- 1. Kumar SK, Rajkumar V, Kyle RA, et al. Multiple myeloma. Nat Rev Dis Prim. 2017:3:17046.
- 2. Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, et al. Cancer statistics for the year 2020: An overview. Int J Cancer. 2021;149(4):778-789.
- 3. Went M, Sud A, Försti A, et al. Identification of multiple risk loci and regulatory mechanisms influencing susceptibility to multiple myeloma. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):1-10.
- 4. Swaminathan B, Thorleifsson G, Jöud M, et al. Variants in ELL2 influencing immunoglobulin levels associate with multiple myeloma. Nat Commun. 2015;6:1-8.
- 5. Mitchell JS, Li N, Weinhold N, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple susceptibility loci for multiple myeloma. Nat Commun. 2016;7:12050.
- 6. Chubb D, Weinhold N, Broderick P, et al. Common variation at 3q26.2, 6p21.33, 17p11.2 and 22q13.1 influences multiple myeloma risk. Nat Genet. 2013;45(10):1221-1225.
- 7. Broderick P, Chubb D, Johnson DC, et al. Common variation at 3p22.1 and 7p15.3 influences multiple myeloma risk. Nat Genet. 2012;44(1):58-61.
- 8. Clay-Gilmour Al, Hildebrandt MAT, Brown EE, et al. Coinherited genetics of multiple myeloma and its precursor, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. Blood Adv. 2020;4(12): 2789-2797.
- 9. Pertesi M, Went M, Hansson M, Hemminki K, Houlston RS, Nilsson B. Genetic predisposition for multiple myeloma. Leukemia. 2020;34(3):
- 10. Duran-Lozano L, Thorleifsson G, de Lapuente L, et al. Germline variants at SOHLH2 influence multiple myeloma risk. Blood Cancer J. 2021:11(4):76.
- 11. Giaccherini M, Macauda A, Orciuolo E, et al. Genetically determined telomere length and multiple myeloma risk and outcome. Blood Cancer J. 2021;11(4):74.
- 12. Campa D, Martino A, Varkonyi J, et al. Risk of multiple myeloma is associated with polymorphisms within telomerase genes and telomere length. Int J Cancer. 2015;136(5):E351-E358.
- 13. Campa D, Martino A, Macauda A, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in genes of class switch recombination and multiple myeloma risk and survival: an IMMEnSE study. Leuk Lymphoma. 2019;60(7):1803-1811.
- 14. Melaiu O, Macauda A, Sainz J, et al. Common gene variants within 3'-untranslated regions as modulators of multiple myeloma risk and survival. Int J Cancer. 2020;148:1887-1894.
- 15. Rand KA, Song C, Dean E, et al. A meta-analysis of multiple myeloma risk regions in African and European ancestry populations identifies putatively functional loci. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2016; 25(12):1609-1618.
- 16. Paaby AB, Rockman MV. The many faces of pleiotropy. Trends Genet. 2013;29(2):66-73.
- 17. Shikov AE, Skitchenko RK, Predeus AV, Barbitoff YA. Phenome-wide functional dissection of pleiotropic effects highlights key molecular pathways for human complex traits. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):1-10.
- 18. Pierce BL, Ahsan H. Genome-wide "pleiotropy scan" identifies HNF1A region as a novel pancreatic cancer susceptibility locus. Cancer Res. 2011;71(13):4352-4358.

- 19. Campa D, Barrdahl M, Tsilidis KK, et al. A genome-wide "pleiotropy scan" does not identify new susceptibility loci for estrogen receptor negative breast cancer. PLoS One. 2014;9(2):1-6.
- 20. Panagiotou OA, Travis RC, Campa D, et al. A genome-wide pleiotropy scan for prostate cancer risk. Eur Urol. 2015;67(4):649-657.
- 21. Campa D, Rizzato C, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, et al. TERT gene harbors multiple variants associated with pancreatic cancer susceptibility. Int J Cancer. 2015;137(9):2175-2183.
- 22. Campa D, Martino A, Sainz J, et al. Comprehensive investigation of genetic variation in the 8q24 region and multiple myeloma risk in the IMMEnSE consortium. Br J Haematol. 2012;157(3):331-338.
- 23. Giaccherini M, Macauda A, Sgherza N, et al. Genetic polymorphisms associated with telomere length and risk of developing myeloproliferative neoplasms. Blood Cancer J. 2020;10(8):89.
- 24. Wilson C, Kanhere A. 8g24.21 locus: a paradigm to link non-coding RNAs, genome polymorphisms and cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(3):
- 25. Wolpin BM, Rizzato C, Kraft P, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple susceptibility loci for pancreatic cancer. Nat Genet. 2014;46(9):994-1000.
- 26. Codd V, Nelson CP, Albrecht E, et al. Identification of seven loci affecting mean telomere length and their association with disease. Nat Genet. 2013;45(4):422-427.
- 27. Hoffmann TJ, Choquet H, Yin J, et al. A large multiethnic genomewide association study of adult body mass index identifies novel loci. Genetics. 2018;210(2):499-515.
- 28. Macauda A, Giaccherini M, Sainz J, et al. Do myeloproliferative neoplasms and multiple myeloma share the same genetic susceptibility loci? Int J Cancer. 2021;148(7):1616-1624.
- 29. Cheng I, Kocarnik JM, Dumitrescu L, et al. Pleiotropic effects of genetic risk variants for other cancers on colorectal cancer risk: PAGE, GECCO and CCFR Consortia. Gut. 2014;63(5):800-807.
- 30. Jiang X, Finucane HK, Schumacher FR, et al. Shared heritability and functional enrichment across six solid cancers. Nat Commun. 2019; 10(1):4386.
- 31. Sampson JN, Wheeler WA, Yeager M, et al. Analysis of heritability and shared heritability based on genome-wide association studies for thirteen cancer types. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2015;107(12):1-11.
- 32. McCarthy S, Europe PMC. Funders group Europe PMC funders author manuscripts a reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. Nat Genet. 2016;48(10):1279-1283.
- 33. Tabassum R, Rämö JT, Ripatti P, et al. Genetic architecture of human plasma lipidome and its link to cardiovascular disease. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):4329.
- 34. Martino A, Sainz J, Buda G, et al. Genetics and molecular epidemiology of multiple myeloma: the rationale for the IMMEnSE consortium (review). Int J Oncol. 2012;40(3):625-638.
- 35. Rajkumar SV, Dimopoulos MA, Palumbo A, et al. International myeloma working group updated criteria for the diagnosis of multiple myeloma. Lancet Oncol. 2014;15(12):e538-e548.
- 36. Ward LD, Kellis M. HaploReg v4: systematic mining of putative causal variants, cell types, regulators and target genes for human complex traits and disease. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D877-D881.
- 37. Boyle AP, Hong EL, Hariharan M, et al. Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. Genome Res. 2012; 22(9):1790-1797.
- 38. Lonsdale J, Thomas J, Salvatore M, et al. The genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) project. Nat Genet. 2013;45(6):580-585.
- 39. Wallin A, Larsson SC. Body mass index and risk of multiple myeloma: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Eur J Cancer. 2011;47(11): 1606-1615.
- 40. McKay JD, Hung RJ, Han Y, et al. Large-scale association analysis identifies new lung cancer susceptibility loci and heterogeneity in genetic susceptibility across histological subtypes. Nat Genet. 2017; 49(7):1126-1132.

2094-2105

- 41. Simões-correia J, Silva DI, Melo S, et al. DNAJB4 molecular chaperone distinguishes WT from mutant E-cadherin, determining their fate in vitro and in vivo. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2014:23(8):
- 42. Mitra A, Shevde LA, Samant RS. Multi-faceted role of HSP40 in cancer. Clin Exp Metastasis. 2009;26(6):559-567.
- Qiu X-B, Shao Y-M, Miao S, Wang L. The diversity of the DnaJ/Hsp40 family, the crucial partners for Hsp70 chaperones. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2006;63(22):2560-2570.
- 44. Feder ME, Hofmann GE. Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress response: evolutionary and ecological physiology. *Annu Rev Physiol.* 1999;61:243-282.
- Chen CH, Chang WH, Su KY, et al. HLJ1 is an endogenous Src inhibitor suppressing cancer progression through dual mechanisms. Oncogene. 2016;35(43):5674-5685.
- Miao W, Li L, Wang Y. A targeted proteomic approach for heat shock proteins reveals DNAJB4 as a suppressor for melanoma metastasis. *Anal Chem.* 2018;90(11):6835-6842.
- Rabenhorst U, Thalheimer FB, Gerlach K, et al. Single-stranded DNA-binding transcriptional regulator FUBP1 is essential for fetal and adult hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal. Cell Rep. 2015;11(12): 1847-1855.
- 48. Kang M, Kim HJ, Kim T-J, et al. Multiple functions of Fubp1 in cell cycle progression and cell survival. *Cell*. 2020;9(6):1-15.
- Duan J, Bao X, Ma X, et al. Upregulation of far upstream elementbinding protein 1 (FUBP1) promotes tumor proliferation and tumorigenesis of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. PLoS One. 2017;12(1): e0169852.
- Rabenhorst U, Beinoraviciute-Kellner R, Brezniceanu M-L, et al. Overexpression of the far upstream element binding protein 1 in hepatocellular carcinoma is required for tumor growth. *Hepatology*. 2009;50(4):1121-1129.

- Debaize L, Jakobczyk H, Avner S, et al. Interplay between transcription regulators RUNX1 and FUBP1 activates an enhancer of the oncogene c-KIT and amplifies cell proliferation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(21):11214-11228.
- 52. Mandai K, Rikitake Y, Mori M, Takai Y. Nectins and Nectin-Like Molecules in Development and Disease. *Curr Top Dev Biol.* 2015;112:197-231.
- 53. Bianchi G, Munshi NC. Pathogenesis beyond the cancer clone(s) in multiple myeloma. 2015;125:3049.
- Frassanito MA, Rao L, Moschetta M, et al. Bone Marrow Fibroblasts Parallel Multiple Myeloma Progression in Patients and Mice: In Vitro and In Vivo Studies. *Leukemia*. 2014;28(4):904-16.
- Fujito T, Ikeda W, Kakunaga S, et al. Inhibition of cell movement and proliferation by cell-cell contact-induced interaction of Necl-5 with nectin-3. J Cell Biol. 2005;171(1):165-173.
- Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature. 2016;536(7616):285-291.
- 57. Nelis M, Esko T, Mägi R, et al. Genetic structure of Europeans: a view from the North-east. *PLoS One*. 2009;4(5):e5472.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Dicanio M, Giaccherini M, Clay-Gilmour A, et al. A pleiotropic variant in *DNAJB4* is associated with multiple myeloma risk. *Int J Cancer*. 2023;152(2): 239-248. doi:10.1002/ijc.34278

B-cell malignancies -A new knowledge hub on the latest research in therapeutic advances

EDUCATIONAL CONTENT AVAILABLE ON THE HUB:

- On-demand Webinars earn CME credit
- Infographics
- Patient Case Studies
- Currated Research Articles

...and much more

VISIT KNOWLEDGE HUB TODAY

This educational resource has been supported by Eli Lilly.

WILEY