COSTBI 1743 1-10

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



ScienceDirect

Structural Biology

- Biotechnological and protein-engineering implications
- of ancestral protein resurrection

Valeria A Risso¹, Jose M Sanchez-Ruiz¹ and S Banu Ozkan²

- Approximations to the sequences of ancestral proteins can be 5
- derived from the sequences of their modern descendants. 6
- Proteins encoded by such reconstructed sequences can be
- prepared in the laboratory and subjected to experimental 8 scrutiny. These 'resurrected' ancestral proteins often display 9
- remarkable properties, reflecting ancestral adaptations to 10
- intra-cellular and extra-cellular environments that differed from 11
- the environments hosting modern/extant proteins. Recent 12
- 13 experimental and computational work has specifically
- discussed high stability, substrate and catalytic promiscuity, 14
- conformational flexibility/diversity and altered patterns of 15
- interaction with other sub-cellular components. In this review, 16
- we discuss these remarkable properties as well as recent 17
- attempts to explore their biotechnological and protein-18
- engineering potential. 19

Addresses

- 20 ¹ Departamento de Quimica Fisica. Facultad de Ciencias University of
- 21 Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain
- 22 ² Department of Physics and Center for Biological Physics, Arizona State 23 University, Tempe, AZ 85281, United States

Corresponding authors: Sanchez-Ruiz, Jose M (sanchezr@ugr.es), Ozkan, S Banu (Banu.Ozkan@asu.edu)

- 24 Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:xx-yy
- This review comes from a themed issue on Engineering & design 25
- 26 Edited by Giovanna Ghirlanda and Ivan Korendovych

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2018.02.007 27

0959-440X/© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. 28

Introduction 29

Plausible approximations to words in ancient languages 30 can be derived from their modern descendant words by 31 using suitable models of language evolution. The com-32 mon ancestor of a modern language family (an extinct 33 Proto-language) can thus be reconstructed [1]. As a well-34 known example, historical linguists worked on the recon-35 struction of Proto-Indo-European, the common ancestor 36 of the Indo-European language family, already in the XIX 37 century [2]. Likewise, plausible approximations to the 38 sequences of ancestral proteins can be derived from the 39 sequences of their modern descendants [3], since a pro-40 tein sequence can be considered as a word written using 41

an alphabet of 20 letters. The overall procedure is called 42 ancestral sequence reconstruction, and involves phyloge-43 netic and statistical analyses that use simple models of 44 sequence evolution [4]. Proteins encoded by the ancestral reconstructed sequences can be prepared in the laboratory and subjected to experimental scrutiny. Such fres-47 urrected ancestral proteins', to use the accepted term in 48 the field, have been extensively used to explore relevant 49 evolutionary processes and hypothesis. This work has 50 been covered in excellent reviews [5–8,9[•]]. 51

Besides their use over the last ~ 25 years as molecular 52 tools to address important evolutionary issues, more recent 53 literature suggests the biotechnological potential of resur-54 rected ancestral proteins [10,11,12**,13,14**,15**,16-55 22,23[•],24[•]]. The interest on practical applications arises 56 in part because ancestral proteins are perceived as being 57 'different' from modern/extant proteins. Ancestral proteins 58 certainly differ from their modern counterparts in terms of 59 sequence, in particular when 'old' phylogenetic nodes are 60 targeted. Indeed, reconstructed sequences of Precambrian 61 proteins often show large numbers of amino acid differ-62 ences with their modern descendants. More relevant, 63 however, is the fact that ancestral proteins were adapted 64 to intra-cellular and extra-cellular environments that likely 65 differed from the environments hosting modern proteins. 66 As a result, resurrected ancestral proteins could be 67 expected display, unusual' or 'extreme' properties to some 68 extent. Experimental and computational work has specifi-69 cally discussed high stability, substrate and catalytic pro-70 miscuity, conformational flexibility/diversity and altered 71 patterns of interaction with other sub-cellular components. 72 In this review, we summarize and discuss this recent work 73 as well as very recent attempts to explore the biotechno-74 logical and protein-engineering potential of resurrected 75 ancestral proteins. 76

Altered patterns of interaction with other subcellular components

77

78

The biological function of proteins involves interactions 79 with other sub-cellular components, including, in many 80 cases, other proteins. Modern proteins are, therefore, 81 adapted to a substantial extent to modern cellular envir-82 onments, because they have co-evolved with their inter-83 action partners. Consequently, replacing a modern pro-84 tein with a representation of one of its ancestors is 85 expected to impair to some extent the fitness of the modern host organism [23,25]. Nevertheless, recent 87 work suggests that the altered patterns of interactions 88 of ancestral proteins may be useful in biotechnological or 89

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1-10

ARTICLE IN PRESS

2 Engineering & design

biomedical application scenarios. Particularly, two examples in protein folding and virus host interactions based
on very recent works [23°,26] highlight the impact of
utilizing ancestral reconstruction in protein biotechnology as discussed below.

Protein folding is a complex process that is assisted in vivo 95 by chaperones [27]. Molecular chaperones are, of course, 96 an outcome of evolution. Ancient proteins likely had to 97 fold without the assistance of chaperones or, perhaps, 98 with the assistance of chaperones that were not as effi-99 cient as their modern counterparts are. Thus, efficient 100 folding in ancient proteins, therefore, may have been 101 encoded at the level of sequence to some extent. Plausi-102 bly, however, ancestral sequence determinants of effi-103 cient folding may have been lost during evolutionary 104 history as efficient molecular chaperones evolved. 105 Although these notions remain to be fully explored and 106 tested, they are supported by preliminary experimental 107 work on the folding kinetics of resurrected Precambrian 108 thioredoxins [26]. Ancestral determinants of efficient 109 folding may plausibly have contributed, together with 110 other factors, to the enhanced expression levels recently 111 reported for some resurrected ancestral proteins [15^{••},28]. 112 High expression levels are certainly convenient when 113 preparing proteins of biotechnological interest. More 114 critically, they may enhance in vivo function of the 115 protein drug [15^{••}]. 116

Viruses typically code for a rather small number of pro-117 teins. Therefore, they rely on recruiting proteins from the 118 hosts for essential processes involved in infection and 119 propagation. Such recruited proteins are known as provi-120 ral factors. Viruses and their hosts co-evolve. Modern 121 viruses have, therefore, adapted to recruit modern provi-122 ral factors. It follows that replacing a modern proviral 123 factor with a functional ancestral form may perhaps 124 render the host resistant to virus infection. A proof of 125 concept of this notion has been recently reported [23[•]] 126 using the infection of Escherichia coli by the bacteriophage 127 T7 as a model system. Phage T7 recruits E. coli thior-128 edoxin for its replisome [29]. Some resurrected Precam-129 brian thioredoxins showed somewhat decreased, but still 130 substantial levels of 'normal' redox functionality within 131 E. coli. However, these ancestral thioredoxins could not be recruited by the phage and rendered E. coli resistant to 132 infection. The authors [23[•]] discussed the possibility of 133 applying this approach to the important problem of the 134

engineering of virus resistance in plants.

136 Enhanced stability

A remarkable large number of studies have reported substantial stability enhancements upon ancestral protein resurrection, in particular when targeting <u>`old'</u> Precambrian nodes [10,14^{••},19,20,30–34]. In our view, the high stability of resurrected ancestral proteins most likely reflects a high-temperature environment for ancient life. Indeed, many different scenarios are consistent with a hot 143 start for life and/or with ancient life being thermophilic. 144 These include, for instance, the origin of life in hydro-145 thermal vents [35], the possibility that only tough ther-146 147 mophilic organisms survived catastrophic extra-terrestrial impacts in the young planet (the so-called 'impact 148 bottleneck' scenarios) [36] and that the ancient oceans 149 that hosted life were hot [37]. The primordial origin of the 150 enhanced stability of resurrected ancestral proteins is 151 consistent with recent work that supports site-specific 152 amino acid preferences in proteins to be conserved to 153 some substantial extent over evolutionary history [38-41]. 154 Since stability is a major factor contributing to amino acid 155 preferences, mutational effects on stability are also con-156 served to some substantial extent [38,39]. This supports 157 the reliability of the reconstruction of primordial stability 158 and rationalizes the stabilizing effect of back-to-the-pre-159 dicted-ancestor mutations. Thus, while destabilizing 160 mutations may be accepted upon cooling of the environ-161 ment, the corresponding back-to-the-ancestor mutations 162 will remain available for stabilization when this is 163 required. This may occur when a local environment 164 imposes again a high temperature or when other factors, 165 such as oxidative stress or high radiation levels [42], 166 confer stabilization with a selective advantage. According 167 to this interpretation, the high stability reported for some 168 comparatively 'young' resurrected ancestral enzymes [42] 169 may be a simple recapitulation of the primordial trait. 170

On the other hand, the high stability of resurrected 171 ancestral proteins can hardly be explained as an *artifact*' 172 or 'bias' of the sequence reconstruction procedures, as it 173 has been occasionally suggested. The increments in 174 denaturation temperature obtained upon ancestral pro-175 tein resurrection are often on the order of a few tens of 176 degrees. They are, therefore, larger than computational 177 estimates of stability biases of ancestral reconstruction, 178 which are on the order of a few degrees [43]. They are also 179 larger than the most denaturation temperature incre-180 ments obtained through rational design or directed evo-181 lution (compare, for instance, with the experimental data 182 reviewed in [44]). 183

Regardless of its origin, however, high stability is a very 184 convenient property from a biotechnological point of view 185 because low stability compromises many practical appli-186 cations of proteins [44-47]. Also, from a protein-engineer-187 ing point of view, enhanced stability may be essential as it 188 contributes to high evolvability [48] by allowing destabi-189 lizing, but functionally beneficial mutations to be 190 accepted. Finally, enhanced stability may improve phar-191 macokinetics of protein drugs $[12^{\bullet\bullet}]$. Overall, we foresee 192 that ancestral resurrection may become in the near future 193 a common source to create stabile variants of proteins of 194 biotechnological interest. This is all the more so as 195 mutational comparison between ancestral nodes may lead 196 to further stabilization (Figure 1) [49]. 197

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1–10

www.sciencedirect.com



High stability of resurrected Precambrian thioredoxins. (a) Schematic phylogenetic tree used for the reconstruction of thioredoxin ancestral sequences [31]. Only the bacterial branch is shown. LBCA and LPBCA stand, respectively, for the last common ancestor of bacteria and the last common ancestor of the cyanobacterial, *Deinococcus* and *Thermus* groups. (b) 3D-structures of LBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin [92]. Mutational differences and experimental denaturation temperature values are shown. (c) Mutational comparison between LBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin temperature values are shown. (d) Mutational comparison between LBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin temperature values are shown. (a) Mutational comparison between LBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin temperature values are shown. (a) Mutational comparison between LBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin temperature values are shown. (b) Mutational comparison between LBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin temperature values are shown. (c) Mutational comparison between LBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin and LPBCA thioredoxin temperature about 40 degrees above that of the modern *E. coli* thioredoxin, as shown by experimental differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) profiles [49]. Note that overpressure is customarily applied in DSC experiments to prevent boiling above 100°C.

198 Promiscuity

Although enzymes are sometimes described as efficient 199 specialists, there appears to be no fundamental constraint 200 to the number of tasks a protein can perform. Enzymes 201 involved in detoxication, for instance, are highly promis-202 cuous and can degrade a wide variety of toxics through 203 different chemical routes [50,51]. Certainly, many 204 enzymes carry out only one physiologically relevant func-205 tion. Even in these cases, however, low-level activities 206 with no known physiological relevance are usually 207 208 observed [52,53]. This kind of promiscuity is often con-209 sidered as a vestige of the proposed generalist nature of primordial enzymes [54–56]. 210

An application may require an enzyme to catalyze a reaction 211 that is related to, but not identical to the physiological 212 reaction. A promiscuous, low-level activity will provide the 213 essential starting point in the laboratory directed evolution 214 of an efficient catalyst for the biotechnologically useful 215 reaction. Indeed, the exponential increase in the number 216 of papers on applications of enzymes to the transformation 217 of non-natural products in the period 1970-1990 [57] has 218 been linked (see chapter 10 in [58]) to the realization that 219 enzymes are promiscuous catalysts. 220

Unfortunately, promiscuity is an accidental property in 221 most modern proteins. Searching for promiscuity in 222

		a dina at	
www	scienc	eoireci	COILI

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1-10

Nature is, therefore, considered to be inefficient [14^{••}]. 223 On the other hand, promiscuity appears to be a common 224 225 outcome of ancestral protein resurrection. Thornton and 226 coworkers have recently reviewed experimental resurrection studies on 15 protein families [59]. They report that, 227 for most families (11 out of 15), evolution involved 228 function partitioning from a multi-functional ancestor, 229 while *de novo* evolution of a new function was observed 230 in only 4 protein families (see Table 1 in [59]). We suggest 231 that the simplest, Occam-razor explanation of this result is 232 that primordial enzymes were generalists with broad 233 substrate scope [54,55] and, consequently, 'traveling back 234 in time' through ancestral reconstruction increases the 235 probability of finding substantial levels of promiscuity. 236 Still, it is also possible, as suggested by Thornton and 237 coworkers [59], that the preponderance of function evo-238 lution trough partitioning from multi-functional ancestors 239 (versus de novo evolution) is explained by higher chances 240 of 'survival' of the new function, which may become 241 biologically significant during the pre-duplication period, 242 when the single gene is protected from degeneration. 243 These differences in interpretation should not distract us 244 from the essential experimental result that many ancestral 245 resurrection efforts have led to multifunctional (promis-246 cuous) proteins. We foresee, therefore, that ancestral 247 protein resurrection may become in the near future a 248 common source of promiscuous proteins for biotechno-249 logical and protein-engineering applications. 250

We note, finally, that the fact that promiscuity is a 251 common outcome of ancestral resurrection does not rule 252 out the possibility that, in some cases at least, ancestral 253 proteins show enhanced levels of activity compared to 254 their modern descendants [60]. A particularly relevant 255 example of this scenario has been recently reported by 256 Gaucher and coworkers [12.]. Ancestral protein resur-257 rection showed that uricases, the enzymes that metabo-258 lize uric acid, have progressively lost activity since the last 259 common ancestor of mammals, likely because this 260 allowed our ancestors to accumulate fat from the metab-261 olism of fructose. As an important biomedical outcome of 262 this study, the high activity and enhanced in vivo stability 263 of ancestral uricases suggest their potential therapeutic 264 value in the treatment of gout $[12^{\bullet\bullet}]$. 265

266 Conformational flexibility/diversity

We now know that proteins dynamically interconvert 267 between conformations in the native state to achieve 268 their function [61]. Simply, proteins possess an ensemble 269 of conformations in their native state. It is this ensemble 270 that it is involved in various biological functions, includ-271 ing allosteric signaling [62], protein-ligand recognition, 272 and protein-protein recognition [63,64], electron transfer 273 [65] and catalysis [66,67[•],68]. 274

In the ensemble model, a protein samples a variety of conformations through local changes such as loop motions, side-chain rotations, or global changes through 277 domain rearrangement. Allostery, commonly known as 278 regulation at a distance, is a widely used emergent prop-279 erty of this ensemble view. Rather than forming a new 280 structure, a ligand binding to a remote site promotes a 281 shift in dynamics, changing the intrinsic structure-282 encoded dynamics and dynamic linking (i.e. the distribu-283 tion of accessible conformational states in the ensemble), 284 promoting easy access to certain conformers for allosteric 285 regulations [62,64,69]. Furthermore, the ensemble view 286 also agrees with the evolutionary adaptability of a protein 287 in which the same conserved 3D native fold can adopt 288 new functions [70[•]]. Mutations throughout protein evo-289 lution alter conformational dynamics, shifting the distri-290 bution of the ensemble and lead to the emergence of new 291 functions [67,71] and adaption to different environments 292 [72]. 293

In recent years, computational protein design methods 294 have been used to introduce completely novel enzymatic 295 functions in protein scaffolds initially lacking these abili-296 ties (i.e. *de novo* enzyme design) [73-75]. Despite these 297 notable successes, the activities of the designed enzymes 298 are almost universally orders of magnitude lower than 299 their naturally occurring counterparts [76,77], suggesting 300 that our understanding of the intricacies of enzymatic 301 processes is likely incomplete. 302

State of the art enzyme design algorithms based on the 303 Pauling postulate of transition state stabilization [78] do 304 not likely fully capture all components of enzymatic 305 function. Design efforts are focused on sculpting artificial 306 active sites through the introduction and stabilization of 307 catalytic residues, often within existing cavities in pro-308 teins of known structure. Although the role of dynamics in 309 designed enzymes function has been explored through 310 QM/MM [79], DFT [80], and MD [81] simulations, these 311 studies were limited to active site residues due to the 312 computational expense associated with application of 313 these analyses to full proteins. To date, no large-scale 314 enzyme design efforts have been carried out in which the 315 ensemble of conformation and each position role in the 316 conformational search were considered either during or 317 after design. 318

Ancestral protein resurrection offers an excellent oppor-319 tunity to address many of the issues raised above. As 320 expounded in the preceding section, promiscuity is a 321 common outcome of ancestral protein resurrection. Fur-322 thermore, it is widely accepted that enzyme promiscuity 323 is linked to conformational flexibility/diversity [66,68,82]. 324 In the simplest picture, enzymes exist as ensembles of 325 conformations, with different conformations being 326 responsible for the different activities of a promiscuous 327 protein. A number of recent studies [66,67,71,82-84] 328 support a fundamental role for conformational diversity 329 in functional evolution. In the simplest interpretation, 330

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1–10

www.sciencedirect.com



Evolution of conformational dynamics determines the conversion of a promiscuous generalist into a specialist enzyme. (a) Schematic phylogetic tree used for the reconstruction of ancestral sequences of β-lactamases [10]. ENCA, GNCA and PNCA stand, respectively, for the last common ancestor of enterobacteria, the last common ancestor of various Gram-negative bacteria and the last common ancestor of various Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. (b) The 'oldest' resurrected Precambrian β-lactamases can promiscuously catalyze the degradation of several lactam antibiotics [10], including benzylpenicillin (BZ) and the third generation antibiotics cefotaxime (CTX) and ceftazidime (CAZ). By contrast, the modern TEM-1 β-lactamase is a penicillin specialist. Catalytic efficiencies are shown on the distribution for modern proteins [87]. Note that GNCA and PNCA β-lactamases are efficient promiscuous enzymes that degrade several antibiotics with catalytic efficiencies that compare well with a modern average enzyme. (c) DFI profiles of extant (TEM-1) and ancestral β-lactamases [91*] mapped on 3-D protein structures using a color coded scheme with a spectrum from red to blue. Lowest DFI regions are denoted with blue and flexible regions are red. The oldest and most promiscuous ancestors GNCA and PNCA exhibit higher flexibility near the active site. B-lactam specific TEM-1 shows less flexibility near the active site. (b) A cladogram of SVD distances for

mutations can shift the conformational equilibria toward (previously) minor conformations responsible for new enzyme functions. 333

For several protein families, resurrected ancestral pro-334 teins have been reported to share the same 3-D structure 335 as their modern homologs and yet to function differently. 336 The change of conformational dynamics as function 337 evolves has recently been studied in three ancestral 338 steroid receptors (the ancestors of mineralocorticoid 339 and glucocorticoid receptor proteins) [86]. Mineralocorti-340 coid and glucocorticoid receptors (MR and GR) arose by 341 duplication of a single ancestor (AncCR) deep in the 342 vertebrate lineage and then diverged in function. While 343 AncCR are AncGR1 have a promiscuous binding showing 344 binding affinity to both aldosterone, cortisol, AncGR2 345 specifically binds to cortisol. AncGR1 and AncGR2, 346 which diverge functionally through 36 mutations, have 347 highly similar experimental structures. However, a com-348 parison of the conformational dynamics of the three 349 ancestral proteins reveals AncCR and AncGR1 have a 350 flexible binding pocket, suggesting flexibility plays a role 351 in promiscuous binding affinity. In contrast, the muta-352 tions of AncGR2 lead to a rigid binding pocket, suggest-353 ing that, as the binding pocket becomes cortisol specific, 354 evolution acts to shape the binding pocket toward a 355 specific ligand [86]. 356

Similar to the promiscuous ancestors of mineralocorti-357 coid and glucocorticoid receptors, proteins correspond-358 ing to 2-3 billion year old Precambrian nodes in the 359 evolution of Class A β -lactamases have been shown [10] 360 to degrade a variety of antibiotics with catalytic effi-361 ciency levels similar to those of an average enzyme [87] 362 (Figure 2). Consequently, ancestral lactamases can be 363 described as moderately efficient promiscuous catalysts. 364 Remarkably, there are only a few (and minor) structural 365 differences (in particular at the active-site regions) 366 between the resurrected ancestral enzymes and penicil-367 lin-specialist modern β -lactamases [10]. This then raises 368 the question whether the functional differences arise 369 from the conformational dynamics of the lactamases. 370 The dynamics of the lactamases were simulated using 371 Molecular Dynamics and the covariance matrix was 372 calculated and analyzed using Perturbation Response 373 Scanning (PRS) [88] to calculate the Dynamic Flexibil-374 ity Index (DFI) [89,90], a site specific measure to com-375 pute the contribution each position to the functionally 376 relevant conformational dynamics. Because DFI is a 377 position specific metric, it also allows us to quantify 378 the change in flexibility per position throughout the 379

 β -lactamases determined from their DFI profiles, showing that dynamics based clustering captures the promiscuity of two ancestral enzymes and cluster them together [91].

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1-10



De novo enzyme functionality in ancestral β-lactamase scaffolds linked to conformational flexibility [24°]. (a) NMR relaxation studies on the modern TEM-1 β-lactamase and the ancestral GNCA β-lactamase (see legend to Figure 2 for definitions). Red color is used to highlight the residues with relaxation rates that suggest a conformational exchange contribution. The residue targeted for new active-site generation (W229) is highlighted in blue. (b) A new active site capable of catalyzing Kemp elimination is generated in ancestral β-lactamases (but not in modern β -lactamases) by a single W229D mutation. Here, a blowup of the new active site generated in GNCA β-lactamase is shown with a transition state analogue bound. (c) The 3D-structure of the W229D variant of GNCA β -lactamase with a transition-state analogue bound is shown superimposed with that of the GNCA β-lactamase background. It is apparent that transition-state binding (and, consequently, the generation of a de novo activity) relies on conformational re-arrangements, in particular, on the shift of the α-helices h1 and h11.

evolution by identifying flexible and rigid position
within the 3-D interaction network of protein structure.
The low *DFI* sites are rigid sites (i.e. hinge sites). They
are robust to perturbation occur at any part of the chain
(i.e. in term of response fluctuation upon positional

changes in other part of the change), yet transfer the perturbation response efficiently to rest of the protein as joints in skeleton. High *DFI* regions on the other hand shows high response, thus these are more deformable sites. 389

The special dynamics associated to substrate promiscuity 390 of ancestral β-lactamases was revealed by patterns of high 391 DFI values in regions close to the active site illuminating the flexibility required for the binding and catalysis of 392 different ligands. These specific DFI patterns suggest 393 that the protein native state is actually an ensemble of 394 conformations displaying the structural variability in the 395 active site region required for efficient binding of sub-396 strates of different sizes and shapes. On the other hand, 397 DFI analysis of modern TEM-1 lactamase shows a comparatively rigid active-site region, likely reflecting adap-398 tation for efficient degradation of a specific substrate, 399 penicillin [91[•]] (Figure 2). 400

Thioredoxins achieved adaptation to a cooler and less 401 acidic Earth by altering their stability and changing their 402 catalytic rates while maintaining the same 3-D fold 403 [31,92]. Comparison of the distribution of flexibility of 404 residues between ancestral and extant thierodoxins 405 reveals that the population density of very high flexible 406 sites and rigid sites increased, as they evolved. These 407 common features of changing the flexibility of specific 408 positions observed in evolution suggest a 'fine tuning' of 409 their native ensemble to adjust to ambient conditions in 410 accordance with the evolution in their function [93]. 411

DFI analysis further reveals how functional evolution is related to changes in flexibility, specifically at hinge 412 points (i.e. low DFI sites), even as the protein structure 413 remains largely unchanged. The DFI analysis of recon-414 structed ancestral proteins of green fluorescent protein 415 (GFP) shows the evolution of red color from a green 416 ancestor emerged by migration of the hinge point (i.e. low 417 DFI region) from the active site diagonally across the betabarrel fold [94[•]]. While the flexibility of the mutational 418 sites does not change significantly, in response to these 419 mutations, both increase in flexibility and decrease in 420 flexibility occurs for regions of the beta-fold that are 421 widely separated from the mutational sites, indicating 422 allosteric regulation in evolution. Nature introduces 423 mutations at relatively flexible sites farther away from 424 functionally critical sites, yet allosterically alter the flexi-425 bility of functionally critical active sites. Thus, Nature 426 utilizes minimum perturbation maximum response as a 427 principle through allosterically altering the dynamics of 428 the functionally critical sites, rather than introducing 429 mutations on these sites. 430

Overall, ancestral reconstruction studies provide a unique 431 opportunity to address and understand the relation 432 between conformational dynamics, protein evolution 433

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1–10

www.sciencedirect.com

and protein function. At a more applied level, flexible 434 proteins derived from ancestral resurrection may provide 435 useful scaffolds for the engineering of new enzyme func-436 437 tionalities. This is so because conformational flexibility/ 438 diversity should facilitate the binding of substrates and transition states for enzyme-catalyzed reactions through 439 the sampling of many potentially productive conforma-440 tions. This notion is supported by recent work that used 441 β-lactamases as scaffolds for the generation of new active-442 sites. A simple minimalist design was found to lead to 443 substantial levels of a de novo Kemp-elimination activity 444 when using flexible Precambrian proteins as scaffolds, but 445 failed in the more rigid modern lactamases (Figure 3) 446 [24[•]]. 447

448 Concluding remarks

In 1963, Linus Pauling and Emile Zuckerkand stated 449 that it would be possible one day to infer the gene 450 sequences of ancestral species to "synthesize these pre-451 sumed components of extinct organisms, . . and study 452 the physico-chemical properties of these molecules'. 453 55 years later, the large number of sequences available 454 in the post-genomic era, together with advances in bio-455 informatics and molecular biology methodologies, has 456 contributed to make their statement true for a substantial 457 number of protein systems. Often, resurrected ancestral 458 proteins have been found to display high stability and 459 enhanced promiscuity, features that are immediately 460 advantageous in biotechnological application scenarios. 461 Furthermore, detailed computational conformational 462 analyses support that ancestral proteins may have 463 evolved to new or more specific modern functions by 464 altering their ensemble of conformational states while 465 preserving the 3-D structure. In addition to precisely 466 positioning amino acid residues in catalytically compe-467 tent orientations within the active site, nature has 468 evolved unique networks of interactions that enable 469 communication between the active site and the rest of 470 the of protein through dynamic motions These correlated 471 dynamic motions appear to facilitate all important steps 472 in catalytic reactions including substrate recognition, 473 catalysis, and substrate release. Thus, efforts to develop 474 the next generation of computational enzyme-engineer-475 ing tools must not only address the precise conformation 476 of the active site, but also the associated dynamic motion 477 profile of the protein scaffold. 478

47903 Uncited reference

480 [85].

481 Acknowledgements

VAR and JMS-R are supported by Feder Funds, Grant BIO2015-66426-R
 from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and Grant
 RGP0041/2017 from the Human Frontier Science Program. Support from
 NSF-MCB Award 1715591 and Scialog Fellow Award by RCS and the
 Gordon & Betty Moore Foundation is gratefully acknowledged by SBO.

References and recommended reading Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as: • of special interest

487

488

489

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517 518

519

520

521

522

523 524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541 542

- of outstanding interest
- Atkinson QD: The descent of words. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013, 110:4159-4160.
- Clakson J: Indo-European Linguistics. An Introduction. Cambridge 492 University Press; 2007.
- Pauling L, Zuckerkandl E: Chemical paleogenetics. Molecular "restoration studies" of extinct forms of life. Acta Chem Scand 1963, 17:S9-S16.
- Liberles D: Ancestral Sequence Reconstruction. Oxford University Press; 2007.
- Benner SA, Sassi SO, Gaucher EA: Molecular paleoscience: system biology from the past. Adv Enzymol Relat Areas Mol Biol 2007, 75:1-132.
- Harms MJ, Thornton JW: Analyzing protein structure and function using ancestral gene resurrection. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2010, 20:360-366.
- Harms MJ, Thornton JW: Evolutionary biochemistry: revealing the historical and physical causes of protein properties. Nat Rev Genet 2013, 14:559-571.
- 8. Merkl R, Sterner R: Ancestral protein reconstruction: techniques and applications. *Biol Chem* 2016, **397**:1-21.
- 9. Gumulya Y, Gillam EMJ: Exploring the past and the future of protein evolution with ancestral sequence reconstruction: the "retro" approach to protein engineering. *Biochem J* 2017, 474:1-19.

This excellent review provides a balanced, detailed and up-to-date account of the ancestral protein resurrection field.

- Risso VA, Gavira JA, Mejia-Carmona DF, Gaucher EA, Sanchez-Ruiz JM: Hyperstability and substrate promiscuity in laboratory resurrections of Precambrian β-lactamases. J Am Chem Soc 2013, 135:2899-2902.
- 11. Cox VE, Gaucher EA: Engineering proteins by reconstructing evolutionary adaptive paths. *Methods Mol Biol* 2014, **1179**:353-363.
- Kratzer JT, Lanaspa MA, Murphy MN, Cicherchi C, Graves CL,
 Tipton PA, Ortlund EA, Johnson RJ, Gaucher EA: Evolutionary history and metabolic insights on ancient mammalian

uricases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014, **111**:3763-3768. The authors show that uricases, the enzymes that metabolize uric acid, have progressively lost activity since the last common ancestor of mammals, likely because this allowed our ancestors to accumulate fat from the metabolism of fructose. As an important biomedical implication, the high activity and enhanced *in vivo* stability of ancestral uricases suggest their potential therapeutic value in the treatment of gout.

 Whitfield JH, Zhang WH, Herde MK, Clifton BE, Radziejewski J, Janovak H, Henneberger C, Jackson CJ: Construction of a robust and sensitive arginine biosensor through ancestral protein reconstruction. Protein Sci 2015, 24:1412-1422.

 Devamani T, Rauwerdink AM, Lunzer M, Jones BJ, Mooney JL, Tan MAO, Zhang Z-J, Xu J-H, Dean AM, Kazlauskas RJ: Catalytic promiscuity of ancestral esterases and hydroxynitrile lyases. J

Am Chem Soc 2016, **138**:1046-1056. Promiscuity is a biotechnologically important property. Search for promiscuity is, however, inefficient because it is an accidental property in modern proteins. This work supports that ancestral reconstruction targeting pre-duplication nodes may provide a convenient route to promiscuous proteins.

- Zakas PM, Brown HC, Kight K, Meeks SL, Spencer HT,
 Gaucher EA, Doering CB: Enhancing the pharmaceutical
- properties of protein drugs by ancestral sequence reconstruction. Nat Biotechnol 2017, **35**:35-37.

The authors use ancestral reconstruction to engineer variants of coagulation factor VIII with enhanced pharmaceutical properties. The work provides strong support for the biotechnological potential of ancestral protein resurrection.

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1-10

- 543 16. Alcalde M: When directed evolution met ancestral enzyme 544 resurrection. Microb Biotechnol 2017, 10:22-24.
- Clifton BF, Whitfield JH, Sanchez-Romero I, Herde MK 545 17 Hennebeger C, Janovjak H, Jackson CJ: Ancestral protein reconstruction and circular permutation for improving the stability and dynamic range of FRET sensors. Methods Mol Biol 546 547 2017, 1596:71-87. 548
- 549 18. Holinski A, Heyn K, Merkl R, Sterner R: Combining ancestral sequence reconstruction with protein design to identify an 550 interface hotspot in a key metabolic enzyme complex. Proteins 551 552 2017. 85:312-321
- Babkova P, Sebestova E, Brezovsky J, Chaloupkova R, 553 19. Damborsky J: Ancestral haloalkane dehalogenases show 554 robustness and unique substrate specificity. ChemBioChem 555 2017. 18:1448-1456
- 556 Ayuso-Fernández I, Martínez AT, Ruiz-Dueñas FJ: Experimental 20. 557 recreation of the evolution of lignin-degrading enzymes from 558 the Jurassic to date. Botechnol Biofuels 2017, 10:67.
- 559 21. Wilding M, Peat TS, Kalyaanamoorthy S, Newman J, Scott C, Jermiin LS: Reverse engineering: transaminase biocatalyst 560 development using ancestral sequence reconstruction. Green Chem 2017, 19:5375. 561
- Blanchet G, Alili D, Protte A, Upert G, Gilles N, Tepshi L, Stura EA, Mourier G, Servent D: Ancestral protein resurrection and 562 22. 563 engineering opportunities of the mamba aminergic toxins. Sci Rep 2017, 7:2701 564
- Delgado A. Arco A. Ibarra-Molero B. Sanchez-Ruiz JM: Using 23. 565 resurrected ancestral proviral proteins to engineer virus 566 resistance. Cell Rep 2017, 19:1247-1256
- Proviral factors are host proteins recruited by viruses for processes essential for propagation. The authors show that replacing a suitable 567
- 568 569
- modern proviral factor with an ancestral form of the same protein renders *E. coli* resistant to the bacteriophage T7. The authors also discuss how 570 571 this approach could be applied to the engineering of virus resistance in 572 plants
- 24. Risso VA, Martinez-Rodriguez S, Candel AM, Krüger DM, Pantoja-Uceda D. Ortega-Muñoz M. Santoyo-Gonzalez F. Gaucher EA, Kamerlin SCL, Bruix M *et al.*: *De novo* active sites for resurrected Precambrian enzymes. *Nat Commun* 2017, 573
- 574 8:16113. 575 A minimalist design that generates a *de novo* enzymatic activity in several resurrected Precambrian β-lactamases fails when using ten different 576 577 modern β-lactamases as scaffolds. Enhanced conformational flexibility

580

- 578 is shown to play a crucial role in the capability of the ancestral proteins to 579 generate a new function. This work supports the potential of ancestral
- reconstruction in enzyme engineering. Hobbs JK, Prentice EJ, Grousin M, Arcus VL: Reconstructed ancestral enzymes impose a fitness cost upon modern 581 25. 582 583 bacteria despite exhibiting favourable biochemical properties. 584 J Mol Evol 2015, 81:110-120.
- 585 26. Candel AM, Romero-Romero ML, Gamiz-Arco G, Ibarra-Molero B, Sanchez-Ruiz JM: Fast folding and slow unfolding of a resurrected Precambrian protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 586 587 2017. 114:E4122-E4123.
- Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M: Converging concepts of protein folding in vitro and in vivo. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2009, 16:574-581. 588 27. 589
- 590 28. Manteca A, Schönfelder J, Alonso-Caballero A, Fertin MJ, Barruetabañena N, Faria BF, Herrero-Galán E, Alegre-Cebollada J, De Sancho D, Perez-Jimenez R: Mechanochemical evolution of 591 the giant muscle protein titin as inferred from resurrected 592 proteins. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2017, 24:652-657.
- 593 Hadman SM, Richardson CC. Motors, switches, and contacts in 29. 594 the replisome. Annu Rev Biochem 78:205-243.
- 595 30. Gaucher EA, Govindarajan S, Ganesh OK: Palaeotemperature trend for Precambrian life inferred from resurrected proteins. 596 597 Nature 2008, 451:704-707.
- Perez-Jimenez R, Ingles-Prieto A, Zhao ZM, Sanchez-Romero I, Alegre-Cebollada J, Kosuri P, Garcia-Manyes S, Kappock TJ, Takonura M, Holmgren A *et al.*: **Single-molecule** 598 31. paleoenzymology probes the chemistry of resurrected enzymes. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2011, 18:592-596. 599 600

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1-10

Akanuma S, Nakajima Y, Tokobori S, Kimura M, Nemoto N, Mase T, Miyazono K, Tanokura M, Yamagishi A: **Experimental** evidence for the thermophilicity of ancestral life. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, **110**:11067-11072. 32.

601

602

603

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622 623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631 632

633

634

635

630

640

641

642

643

644

645

646 647

652

658

- 33. Nguyen V, Wilson C, Hoemberger M, Stiller JB, Agafonov RV, Kutter S, English J, Theobald DL, Kern D: **Evolutionary drivers of** 604 thermoadaptation in enzyme catalysis. Science 2017, 355: 605 289-294 606
- 34. Garcia AK. Schopf JW. Yokobori S. Akanuma S. Yamagishi A: 607 Reconstructed ancestral enzymes suggest long-term cooling of Earth's photic zone since the Archean. Proc Natl Acad Sci US 608 609 A 2017, 114:4619-4624. 610 611
- Martin W, Baross J, Kelley D, Russell MJ: Hydrothermal vents 35 and the origin of life. Nat Rev Microbiol 2008, 6:805-814.
- Nisbet EG, Sleep NH: The habitat and nature of early life. Nature 36. 2001, 409:1083-1091.
- 37. Robert F, Chausidon M: A palaeotemperature trend curve for the Precambrian oceans based on silicon isotopes in cherts. Nature 2006, 443:969-972
- Ashenberg O, Gong LI, Bloom JD: Mutational effects on stability 38. are largely conserved during evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S Å* 2013, **110**:21071-21076.
- 39. Risso VA, Manssour-Triedo F, Delgado-Delgado A, Arco R, Barroso delJesus A, Ingles-Prieto A, Godoy-Ruiz R, Gavira JA, Gaucher EA, Ibarra-Molero B *et al.*: **Mutational studies on** resurrected ancestral proteins reveal conservation of sitespecific amino acid preferences over evolutionary history. Mol Biol Evol 2015, 32:440-455.
- 40. Doud MB, Ashenberg O, Bloom JD: Site-specific amino acid preferences are mostly conserved in two closely related protein homologs. Mol Biol Evol 2015, 32:2944-2960
- 41. Chan YH, Venev SV, Zeldovich KB, Matthews CR: Correlation of fitness landscapes from three orthologous TIM barrels originates from sequence and structure constraints. Nat Commun 2017, 8:14614.
- Trudeau DL, Kaltenbach M, Tawfik DS: On the potential origin of 42 the high stability of reconstructed ancestral proteins. *Mol Biol Evol* 2016, **33**:2633-2641.
- Williams PD, Pollock DD, Blackburne BP, Goldstein RA: 636 43. Assessing the accuracy of ancestral protein reconstruction methods. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2006, **2**:e69. 637 638
- Wijma HJ, Floor RJ, Janssen DB: Structure- and sequenceanalysis inspired engineering of proteins for enhanced thermostability. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2013, **23**:588-594.
- Sanchez-Romero I, Ariza A, Wilson KS, Skjøt LK, Vind J, De Maria L, Skov LK, Sanchez-Ruiz JM: Mechanism of protein 45. kinetic stabilization by engineered disulfide crosslinks. PLoS ONE 2013, 8:e70013.
- 46. Moore EJ. Zorine D. Hansen WA. Khare SD. Fasan R: Enzyme Nati Acad Sci U S A 2017, **114**:12472-12477.
- 47. Sanchez-Ruiz JM: Kinetic stability of variant enzymes. In 648 Understanding Enzymes: Function, Design, Engineering and Analysis. Edited by Svendsen A. Pan Stanford Publishing; 2016:835-858.
- 48. Bloom JD, Labthavikul ST, Otey CR, Arnold FH: Protein stability 649 promotes evolvability. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006, 103: 5869-5874. 650 651
- Romero-Romero ML, Risso VA, Martinez-Rodriguez S, Ibarra-49. Molero B, Sanchez-Ruiz JM: Engineering ancestral protein 653 hyperstability. Biochem J 2016. 473:3611-3620.
- 50. Sheenan D, Meade G, Foley VM, Dowd CA: Structure, function 654 and evolution of glutathione transferases: implications for 655 classification of non-mammalian members of an ancient 656 657 enzyme superfamily. Biochem J 2001, 360:1-16.
- Zhang W, Dourado DFAR, Fernandes PA, Ramos MJ, 51. Mannervik B: Multidimensional epistasis and fitness

www.sciencedirect.com

- landscapes in enzyme evolution. *Biochem J* 2012, 445:
 39-46.
- 52. Copley SD: An evolutionary biochemist's perspective on promiscuity. Trends Biochem Sci 2015, 40:72-78.
- 53. Copley SD: Shining a light on enzyme promiscuity. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2017, 47:167-175.
- 54. Jensen RA: Enzyme recruitment in evolution of a new function.
 Annu Rev Microbiol 1976, 30:409-425.
- 55. O'Brien PJ, Herschlag D: Catalytic promiscuity and the evolution
 of new enzymatic activities. Chem Biol 1999, 6:R91-R105.
- Khersonsky O, Tawfik DS: Enzyme promiscuity: a mechanistic and evolutionary perspective. Annu Rev Biochem 2010, 79:471-505.
- 57. Faber K: Biotransformations of non-natural compounds: state
 of the art and future development. Pure Appl Chem 1997,
 69:1613-1632.
- 58. Grunwald P: *Biocatalysis, Biochemical Fundamentals and Applications*. London: Imperial College Press; 2009.
- 59. Siddiq MA, Hochberg GKA, Thornton JW: Evolution of protein specificity: insights from ancestral protein reconstruction. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2017, 47:113-122.
- 60. Newton MS, Arcus VL, Patrick WM: Rapid bursts and slow
 declines: on the possible evolutionary trajectories of enzymes.
 J R Soc Interface 2015, 12:20150036.
- 682 61. Bahar I, Lezon TR, Yang K, Eyal E: Global dynamics of proteins: bridgening between structure and function. *Annu Rev Biophys* 2010, 39:23-42.
- 685 62. Guo J, Huan-Xiang Z: Protein allostery and conformational dynamics. Chem Rev 2016, 116:6503-6515.
- Kumar A, Butler BM, Kumar S, Ozkan SB: Integration of
 structural dynamics and molecular evolution via protein
 interaction networks: a new era in genomic medicine. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2015, 35:135-142.
- 64. Nussinov R, Tsai C: Allostery in disease and in drug discovery. *Cell* 2013, 153:293-305.
- 65. Martin DR, Ozkan SB, Matyushov DV: Dissipative electro-elastic
 network model of protein electrostatics. *Phys Biol* 2012,
 9:036004.
- 66. Tokuriki N, Tawfik DS: Protein dynamism and evolvability.
 67. Science 2009, 324:203-207.
 - Campbell E, Kaltenbach M, Correy GC, Carr PD, Porebski BT,
 Livingstone EK, Afriat-Jurnou L, Buckle AM, Weik M, Hollfelder F et al.: The role of protein dynamics in the evolution of new function. Nat Chem Biol 2016, 12:944-950.
- 699 This detailed experimental analysis shows how a new function can 700 emerge through the enrichment of previously existing protein 701 conformations.
- Pabis A, Risso VA, Sanchez-Ruiz JM, Kamerlin SCL:
 Cooperativity and flexibility in enzyme evolution. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2018, 48:83-92.
- Woldeyes RA, Sivak DA, Fraser JS: *E pluribus unum*, no more: from one crystal, many conformations. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2014, 28:56-62.
 - Haliloglu T, Bahar I: Adaptability of protein structures to enable
 functional interactions and evolutionary implications. *Curr* Opin Struct Biol 2015, 35:17-23.
- This excellent review summarizes recent studies that explain the role of
 conformational dynamics in protein interaction and allosteric regulations,
 particularly the relationship between evolutionary selection of protein
 structure and corresponding intrinsic dynamics.
- 714 71. Bhabha G, Ekiert DC, Jennewein M, Zmasek CM, Turttle LM, Kroon G, Dyson HJ, Godkiz A, Wilson IA, Wright PE: Divergent evolution of protein conformational dynamics in dihydrofolate reductase. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2013, 20:1243-1249.
- 717 72. Isaksen GV, Åqvist J, Brandsdal BO: Enzyme surface rigidity tunes the temperature dependence of catalytic rates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016, **113**:7822-7827.

- Röthlisberger D, Khersonsky O, Wollacott AM, Jiang L, DeChancie J, Gallaher JL, Althoff EA, Zanghellini A, Dym O et al.: Kemp elimination catalysis by computational enzyme design. Nature 2008, 453:190-195.
- Jiang L, Althoff EA, Clemente FR, Doyle L, Rothlisberger D, Zanghellini A, Gallaher JL, Betkter JL, Tanaka F, Barbas CF *et al.*: Computational design of retro-aldol enzymes. *Science* 2008, 319:303-313.
- Siegel JB, Zanghellini A, Lovick HM, Kiss G, Lambert AR, St Clair JL, Gallagher JL, Hilvert D, Gelb MH, Stoddard BL et al.: Computational design of an enzyme catalyst for a stereoselective bimolecular Diels-Alder reaction. Science 2010, 329:309-313.
- Kiss G, Celebi-Ölçüm N, Moretti R, Baker D, Houk KN: Computational enzyme design. Angew Chem Int Ed 2013, 52:5700-5725.
- 77. Korendovych IV, DeGrado WF: Catalytic efficiency of designed catalytic proteins. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2014, **27**:113-121.
- 78. Pauling L: Molecular architecture and biological reactions. Chem Eng News 1946, 24:1375-1377.
- Alexandrova AN, Röthlisberger D, Baker D, Jorgensen WL: Catalytic mechanism and performance of computationally designed enzymes for Kemp elimination. J Am Chem Soc 2008, 130:15907-15915.
- Kiss G, Röthlisberger D, Baker D, Houk KN: Evaluation and ranking of enzyme designs. Protein Sci 2010, 19:1760-1773.
- 81. Ruscio JZ, Kohn JE, Ball KA, Head-Gordon T: The influence of protein dynamics on the success of computational enzyme design. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009, **131**:14111-14115.
- James LC, Tawfik DS: Conformational diversity and protein evolution – a 60-year-old hypothesis revisited. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2003, 28:361-368.
- 83. Klinman JP, Kohen A: Evolutionary aspects of enzyme dynamics. J Biol Chem 2014, 289:30205-30212.
- Petrovic D, Frank D, Kamerlin SCL, Hoffman K, Strodel B: Shuffling active-site sub-state populations impacts catalytic activity: the case of glucose oxidase. ACS Catal 2017, 7:6188-6197.
- Clifton BE, Jackson CJ: Ancestral protein reconstruction yields insights into adaptive evolution of binding specificity in solute-binding proteins. *Cell Chem Biol* 2016, 23:236-245.
- Gliembo TJ, Farrell DW, Gerek NZ, Thorpe MF, Ozkan SB: Collective dynamics differentiates functional divergence in protein evolution. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2012, 8:e100248.
- Bar-Even A, Noor E, Savir Y, Liebermeister W, Davidi D, Tawfik DS, Milo R: The moderately efficient enzyme: evolutionary and physicochemical trends shaping enzyme parameters. *Biochemistry* 2011, **50**:4402-4410.
- Atilgan C, Gerek ZN, Ozkan SB, Atilgan AR: Manipulation of conformational change in proteins by single residue perturbations. *Biophys J* 2010, 99:933-943.
- Butler BM, Gerek ZN, Kumar S, Ozkan SB: Conformational dynamics of nonsynomymous variants at protein interfaces reveals disease association. *Proteins* 2015, 83:428-435.
- Gerek ZN, Kumar S, Ozkan SB: Structural dynamics flexibility informs function and evolution at a proteomic scale. *Evol Appli* 2013, 6:423-433.
- Zou TS, Risso VA, Gavira JA, Sanchez-Ruiz JM, Ozkan SB:
 Evolution of conformational dynamics determines the conversion of a promiscuous generalist into a specialist enzyme. *Mol Biol Evol* 2015, **32**:132-143.

This work provides computational evidence that the conversion from ancestral generalists to modern specialists, likely to have occurred often during protein evolution, is linked to changes in conformational dynamics.

www.sciencedirect.com

698

708

709

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1-10

Please cite this article in press as: Risso VA, et al.: Biotechnological and protein-engineering implications of ancestral protein resurrection, Curr Opin Struct Biol (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j. sbi.2018.02.007

720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781

- Ingles-Prieto A, Ibarra-Molero B, Delgado-Delgado A, Perez-Jimenez R, Fernandez JM, Gaucher EA, Sanchez-Ruiz JM, Gavira JA: Conservation of protein structure over four billion years. Structure 2013, 21:1690-1697. 782 783
- Modi T, Hui Hui J, Ghosh K, Ozkan SB: Ancient thioredoxins evolved to modern day stability-function requirement by altering native-state ensembles. *Philos Trans* 2018. (in 784 93. 785<mark>Q5</mark> 786 787 press).
- 94. Kim H, Zou T, Modi C, Dörner K, Grunkemeyer TJ, Chen L,
 Fromme R, Matz MV, Ozkan SB, Wachter RM: A hinge migration mechanism unlocks the evolution of green-to-red photoconversion in GFP-like proteins. *Structure* 2015, 23: 34-43.
 This integrated experimental and computational work highlights changes of conformational dynamics through allostery in the evolution of GFP-like proteins from green to red color.

proteins from green to red color.

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 51:1-10