Universidad de Granada Programa de Doctorado en Bioquímica y Biología Molecular











### Solation and characterization of a *Readomonas patida* with potential use as biofertilizer and its environmental applications

Paloma Pizarro Tobías 2013

Editor: Editorial de la Universidad de Granada Autor: Paloma Pizarro Tobías D.L.: GR 354-2014 ISBN: 978-84-9028-759-0

Editor: Editorial de la Universidad de Granada Autor: D.L.: En trámite ISBN: En trámite





ESTACIÓN EXPERIMENTAL DEL ZAIDÍN

La doctorando Paloma Pizarro Tobías y Juan Luis Ramos Martín, Director de la Tesis, garantizan, al firmar esta tesis doctoral, que el trabajo ha sido realizado por la doctorando bajo la dirección del director de la tesis y hasta donde nuestro conocimiento alcanza, en la realización del trabajo, se han respetado los derechos de otros autores a ser citados, cuando se han utilizado sus resultados o publicaciones.

Granada, 15 de Mayo 2013

Director/es de la Tesis

and

Fdo.: Juan Luis Ramos Martin

Doctorando

Fdo.: Paloma Pizarro Tobías

Esta Tesis Doctoral ha sido realizada en el Departamento de Investigación y Desarrollo (I+D) de Bio-Ilíberis Research and Development

A mi familia A Germán

## General Index

| I. Appendix  | 5  |
|--|----|
| Abbreviations  | 7  |
| Figure Index   | 9  |
| Table Index  | 12 |
| II. Resumen  | 15 |
| III. General Introduction  | 23 |
| 1. Plant-microbes interactions in the rhizosphere: Plant growth- |    |
| promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)                                   | 25 |
| 2. The <i>Pseudomonas</i> genus                                  | 31 |
| 2.1. Pseudomonas putida  | 35 |
| 2.2.1. <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> BIRD-1                          | 36 |
| 2.2.2. <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> KT2440                          | 37 |
| 3. Solubilisation of low-availability nutrients mediated by      |    |
| Pseudomonas in the rhizosphere                                   | 39 |
| 3.1. Solubilisation of phosphate                                 | 39 |
| 3.2. Solubilisation of iron                                      | 42 |
| 4. Production of compounds with plant growth-promoting           |    |
| properties   | 46 |
| 4.1. Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA)                                  | 46 |
| 4.2. 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase           | 48 |
| 5. Uses and applications of PGPR microorganisms in the           |    |
| environment  | 51 |
| 5.1. PGPRs in agriculture  | 51 |
| 5.2. PGPRs in bioremediation and rhizoremediation                | 54 |

#### IV. Aims of the Thesis

#### V. Results \*

61

#### General Index

| Chapter 1. Analysis of the plant growth-promoting properties   |     |  |
|--|-----|--|
| encoded by the genome of the rhizobacterium Pseudomonas        |     |  |
| putida BIRD-1  | 63  |  |
| Chapter 2. Restoration of a Mediterranean forest after a fire: |     |  |
| bioremediation and rhizoremediation field-scale trial.         | 103 |  |
| Chapter 3. Field trial on removal of petroleum-hydrocarbon     |     |  |
| pollutants using a microbial consortium for bioremediation and |     |  |
| rhizoremediation   | 141 |  |
|  |     |  |
| VI. General Discussion   | 177 |  |
| VII. Conclusions   | 213 |  |
| VIII. References   | 219 |  |

\* Supplementary information is attached at the end of each chapter when corresponding.

# Appendix

| Abbreviations | Meaning  |
|---------------|--|
| ACC           | 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate              |
| ANOVA         | Analysis of variance                           |
| BTEX          | Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes    |
| C             | Carbon   |
| CAS           | Chrome azurol S                                |
| CFU           | Colony formig units                            |
| DAPG          | Diacetylphloroglucinol                         |
| DHA           | Dehydrogenase acyivity                         |
| DNA           | Deoxyribonucleic acid                          |
| EPS           | Exopolysaccharides                             |
| GFP           | Green fluorescent protein                      |
| HCN           | Hydrogen cyanide                               |
| HPLC          | High-performance liquid chromatography         |
| IAA           | Indole-3-acetic                                |
| IAAld         | Indole-3-acetaldeyde                           |
| IAM           | Indole-3-acetamide                             |
| IAN           | Indole-3-acetonitrile                          |
| INFOCA        | Plan de Prevención y Extinción de Incendios de |
|               | Andalucía                                      |
| INT           | 2-(4-iodophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-          |
|               | phenylteytrazolium chloride hydrate            |
| INTF          | Iodonitrotetrazolium violet-formazan           |
| IPTG          | Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside            |
| ІРуА          | Indole-3-pyruvate                              |
| ISR           | Induced systemic resistance                    |
| IVET          | <i>In vivo</i> expression technology           |
| LB            | Luria-Bertani                                  |
| N             | Nitrogen                                       |
| OTU           | Operational taxonomic unit                     |
| Р             | Phosphorous                                    |
| РАН           | Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons               |

| Abbreviations | Meaning                                    |
|---------------|--|
| PCB           | Polychlorinated biphenyls                  |
| PCE           | Perchloroethylene                          |
| PCoA          | Principal component analysis               |
| PCR           | Polymerase chain reaction                  |
| PGPR          | Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria       |
| PNG           | 4-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucopiranoside          |
| PNP           | <i>p</i> -nitrophenol                      |
| PNPP          | 4-nitrophenyl phosphate-disodium           |
| PSM           | Phosphate-solubilising microorganism       |
| RDP           | Ribosomal data project                     |
| REP           | Repetitive extragenic palindromic sequence |
| RNA           | Ribonucleic acid                           |
| rRNA          | Ribosomal RNA                              |
| TAM           | Tryptamine                                 |
| TCE           | Trichloroethylene                          |
| TPH           | Total petroleum hydrocarbons               |

#### **General Introduction**

#### Figure Page Scheme showing bacterial functions induced in the Pseudomonas-1 28 rhizosphere interaction..... ຂ 30 Beneficial plant-bacterial interactions in the rhizosphere. ..... З 41 Pikovskaya test with *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1..... 4 42 Phytase agar test with *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1. ..... 5 43 CAS agar test with *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1. ..... 6 44 Example of pyoverdine structure. ..... 7 47 Different bacterial IAA biosynthetic pathways. A. Structural similarities among contaminants and plant products. B. The chemical structures of several intermediates in the degradation of 8 aromatic compounds in plants and the inducers of contaminant-56 degradation pathways in bacteria.

#### Results

#### Chapter 1

#### Figure

1

ຂ

З

4

5

6

#### 80 Viable P. putida KT2440, BIRD-1 and a TreZ mutant in rhizosphere soil...... 82 Root development of corn seeds germinated on agar plates..... A: Germination rate of seeds of plants of agronomical interest 83 B: Height of plants after 30 days. 85 Solubilisation of phosphate and growth of *P. putida* BIRD-1..... 87 Phosphatase activity in bulk soil and rhizosphere soil..... 92 Synthesis of IAA based on the annotation of the BIRD-1 genome..... Growth of *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 with different sources of inorganic S196 phosphorous. ..... Pikovskaya test with BIRD-1 and mutants in the Entner-Doudoroff S2 97 pathway..... 98 S3Growth of *P. putida* BIRD-1 with phytate. ..... S4 99 Production of IAA by wild-type BIRD-1 and different isogenic mutants......

Page

Figure Index

| Cha | Chapter 2   |     |  |
|-----|---|-----|--|
|     | seeds with BIRD-1 and a mutant deficient in the ACC deaminase gene      | 101 |  |
| S6  | Corn root development in a control seed without bacteria and inoculated | 101 |  |
|     | The picture shows controls without bacteria                             | 100 |  |
| 85  | Corn root development with mutants in the IAA biosynthetic pathways.    |     |  |

## Figure

#### Page

| 1 | Location of the Parque Natural de los Montes de Málaga at the south of            |     |
|---|---|-----|
| - | Spain   | 109 |
|   | Viable <i>P. putida</i> BIRD-1, <i>P. putida</i> KT2440 and indigenous culturable |     |
| 2 | bacteria in rhizosphere of introduced plants in treated pristine soil and         |     |
|   | rhizoremediation, versus bioremediation   | 120 |
|   | Rarefaction analysis for pristine soil bulk burnt soil and rhizoremediation       |     |
| 3 | treatment at two different times of the assay 1 month (1: autumn) and 6           |     |
|   | months (6: spring).   | 122 |
| 4 | Relative abundance of phylogenetic groups at phylum level based on 16S            |     |
| - | rRNA genes.   | 123 |
|   | Principal component analysis (PCoA) performed to compare sample groups            |     |
|   | from phylogenetic distance-matrix, determining similarity between or              |     |
| 5 | differences between pristine soil , bulk burnt soil and rhizoremediation          |     |
|   | treatment at two different times of the assay 1 month (1: autumn) and 6           |     |
|   | months (6: spring).   | 124 |
| 6 | pH measurements performed along the study   | 127 |
| 7 | Enzymatic activities measurements performed along the study                       | 128 |
| 8 | Introduced plants growth and soil coverage after 4 weeks of treatment on          |     |
| 0 | burnt soil  | 130 |
| 9 | General evolution of the treated burnt parcel along the study                     | 131 |

#### Chapter 3

#### Figure

#### Page

| 1 | Survival of introduced strains.  | 159 |
|---|--|-----|
| 2 | Distribution treatments and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) in the |     |
| 2 | plots at the beginning of the assay                                    | 161 |
| 3 | Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) measured along the study           | 162 |
| 4 | Dehydrogenase activity (DHA) measured along the study                  | 163 |

| 5  | pH measured along the study.   | 164 |
|----|--|-----|
| 6  | Rarefaction analysis.  | 166 |
| 77 | Relative abundance of phylogenetic groups at the phylum level based on |     |
| 1  | 16S rDNA genes   | 167 |
| 8  | Introduced plant fitness at different times in the study               | 172 |
| 9  | General evolution of the treated plots along the study (8 months)      | 174 |

#### **General Introduction**

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1     | Compounds identified in plant-root exudates                       | 26   |
| 2     | PGPR forms and their mechanism of action stimulating plant growth | 52   |

#### Results

#### Chapter 1

#### Table

| 1  | FecA-like receptors in the genome of <i>P. putida</i> BIRD-1                | 90  |
|----|---|-----|
| S1 | Genes involved in adhesion to biotic and abiotic surfaces in Pseudomonas    |     |
|    | putida strain BIRD-1 deduced from the identification of reciprocal genes in |     |
|    | P. putida KT2440  | 102 |
|    |   |     |

#### Chapter 2

#### Table

1

ຊ

#### Chapter 3

#### Table

#### Page

Page

Page

| 1 | Summary of treatments applied in the field study                  | 149 |
|---|---|-----|
| 2 | Strains used in this study and their properties                   | 151 |
| 3 | Bacterial relative abundance at genus level (≥1%) along the assay | 170 |

#### Discussion

# TablePage1Pseudomonas putida genes encoding traits involved in rhizosphere<br/>competence. In silico pairing with reciprocal genes found in P. putida BIRD-1<br/>genome.1992Identity (%) of rhizosphere competence determinants identified in<br/>sequenced strains of Pseudomonas putida.207



En los últimos años se ha producido un endurecimiento en la legislación para el uso de fertilizantes químicos inorgánicos, derivado de sus efectos adversos sobre el medio ambiente y a que este tipo de productos no tienen en cuenta otras posibles deficiencias de nutrientes en el suelo, como en el caso del fósforo y el hierro, debido a que los fertilizantes al uso no se componen de formas fácilmente biodisponibles para las plantas de estos elementos. En consecuencia, se ha dado lugar a la búsqueda y estudio de nuevas fuentes naturales de abonos, bioestimulantes y enmiendas para el suelo, unido a la creciente importancia que ha tomado la agricultura ecológica.

El conocimiento de las interacciones que se producen en la rizosfera entre plantas y microorganismos, es esencial para el desarrollo de nuevas herramientas biotecnológicas, basadas en microorganismos promotores del crecimiento vegetal (PGPR, Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria), que pueden aumentar el rendimiento de las cosechas, además de la disminución en el uso de agroquímicos y promover la restauración de ecosistemas afectados por perturbaciones, ya sean de origen natural y/o debidas a actividades antrópicas. El desarrollo de productos de origen biológico comprende el uso de microorganismos vivos que colonizan eficazmente la rizosfera, son capaces de mantenerse en ella y, además, de producir nutrientes asimilables por la planta hospedadora, influenciar positivamente de manera indirecta el crecimiento y desarrollo de la raíz y/o estimular el crecimiento de la planta mediante el control de patógenos.

Con dichos antecedentes, esta tesis se centró en la caracterización de una microorganismo rizosférico, *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, que se aisló de suelo rizosférico y tiene capacidad para solubilizar nutrientes de baja disponibilidad y para producir fitohormonas que promueven el crecimiento vegetal. Como objetivo general esta tesis se propone superar las limitaciones en el conocimiento del efecto que ejercen distintas condiciones ambientales sobre los inoculantes microbianos, y mejorar la tecnología de aplicación de los mismos en condiciones que son adversas para el correcto desarrollo de especies vegetales de interés.

En el **capítulo 1** se realiza una caracterización de *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, que es un rizobacteria promotora del crecimiento vegetal (PGPR) cuyo genoma tiene un tamaño de 5,7 Mpb. Esta cepa se adhiere eficientemente a las raíces de las plantas y coloniza la rizosfera a altas densidades celulares, incluso en suelos con sólo el 2% de humedad. Esta propiedad parece estar ligada a su capacidad de sintetizar trehalosa, como se deduce a partir de un mutante deficiente en la síntesis de trehalosa que exhibe menos tolerancia a la desecación de la cepa parental. El genoma de BIRD-1 codifica también una amplia gama de proteínas que ayudan a lidiar con el estrés provocado por especies reactivas de oxígeno generada en la rizosfera de las plantas. Las propiedades PGPR de *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 se derivan de su capacidad para solubilizar fósforo y hierro, y producir fitohormonas. BIRD-1 es capaz de solubilizar formas insolubles de fosfato inorgánico a través de la producción de ácido. Además, el genoma de BIRD-1 codifica al

menos 5 fosfatasas relacionadas con la solubilización de fósforo; una de ellas es una fitasa que facilita la utilización de ácido fítico, la principal forma de almacenamiento de fósforo orgánico en las plantas. La pioverdina es el principal sideróforo producido por esta cepa; un mutante en la sideróforo sintasa FvpD no logró crecer en medio líquido sin suplemento de hierro, sin embargo, en suelo el mutante FvpD era tan competitivo como la cepa parental, ya que posee un gran número de receptores que captan los sideróforos producidos por otros microbios, lo que permite la adquisición de hierro y la supervivencia. BIRD-1 produce en exceso de ácido indol-3-acético a través de vías convergentes, lo que influye en su capacidad para estimular la germinación de semillas y crecimiento de las plantas.

En el **capítulo 2** se plantea el uso de *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, en consorcio con otras bacterias, en un ensayo de biorremediación y rizorremediación a escala de campo, para recuperar una zona incendiada, que se enmarca dentro de un espacio natural protegido. Los incendios forestales constituyen una grave amenaza para los países de la cuenca mediterránea, que pueden arrasar grandes extensiones de bosques cada año. Después de los incendios, los suelos son más propensos a sufrir erosión y se inhibe su capacidad de recuperación, en parte, por los compuestos tóxicos producidos durante la combustión de la celulosa y la lignina. En este estudio se analizó el uso de técnicas de biorremediación y rhizoremediation para la restauración del suelo en un estudio de campo a gran escala en un ecosistema mediterráneo protegido después de un

incendio controlado. En la estrategias de biorremediación У rizorremediación planteadas. se combina el uso de cepas de Pseudomonas putida, microorganismos cultivables indígenas y gramíneas anuales. Después de ocho meses de seguimiento de los parámetros de calidad incluida la eliminación de del suelo, hidrocarburos monoaromáticos e hidrocarburos aromáticos policíclicos (HAPs), así como la evolución de la cubierta vegetal, se encontró los niveles en parámetros analizados eran equiparables a los previos al incendio. El análisis de la población microbiana reveló que los incendios indujeron indígena, y que microbiota la cambios en la estrategia de rizorremediación favorece la recuperación de la microbiota del suelo. La combinación de microorganismos y plantas analizada en este estudio representa una estrategia eficaz para la restauración de los suelos después de un incendio forestal.

En el **capítulo 3** se plantea el uso de *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, en consorcio con otras bacterias, en un ensayo de biorremediación y rizorremediación a escala de campo, para recuperar una contaminada por hidrocarburos de petróleo. Los lodos de depuradora procedentes del refinado del petróleo son tóxicos y peligrosos, por lo tanto, los organismos de protección del medio ambiente han declarado prioritario el tratamiento para la inactivación de los residuos de petróleo debido a su toxicidad y peligrosidad. Los tratamientos físico-químicos son costosos y perjudiciales para el medioambiente, y los tratamientos biológicos alternativos son menos costosos, pero, en general, funcionan a un ritmo

más lento. Tratamientos de biorremediación y rizorremediación *in situ* y se realizaron en una zona contaminada con lodos de refinería de petróleo en condiciones de clima semiárido. Los tratamientos de biorremediación y rizorremediación incluyen el uso de un consorcio microbiano formado por rizobacterias promotoras del crecimiento vegetal (PGPRs) y bacterias degradadoras de hidrocarburos aromáticos policíclicos (HAPs), y el uso combinado del consorcio mencionado junto con especies vegetales pratenses, respectivamente. El tratamiento de rizorremediación reveló que el desarrollo de la vegetación favorece el incremento de las poblaciones microbianas con potencial para eliminar los desechos derivados del petróleo, lo que se traduce en la disminución de los hidrocarburos totales de petróleo (HTPs), siete meses después del establecimiento de dichos tratamientos biológicos.

Finalmente, se planteó establecer qué genes, de aquellos que están descritos como esenciales para la proliferación y adaptación de *Pseudomonas putida* en la rizosfera, se encuentran conservados específicamente en *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 y, en general, en el resto de cepas de *P. Putida* cuyos genomas actualmente se encuentran secuenciados. Una búsqueda bibliográfica reveló que se han descrito 180 genes involucrados en la aptitud para sobrevivir en la rizosfera de *P. putida*, relacionados con diversas capacidades como la adhesión a superficies bióticas y la capacidad para formar biofilms, biosíntesis de flagelo, quimiotaxis, reguladores y sensores, adaptación a estreses, reparación del ADN, mecanismos de transporte y secreción y

metabolismo de diversos compuestos presentes en la rizosfera. Un estudio *in silico*, reveló que estos genes se encuentran conservados, en general, en las cepas de *P. Putida* secuenciadas y, en particular, en *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 confirmando esta cepa tiene la maquinaria genética para sobrevivir y proliferar en la rizosfera. Además, se perfila como una excelente candidata para su uso en agricultura y como parte de tratamientos destinados a la remediación de ecosistemas incendiadas y suelos contaminados con hidrocarburos de petróleo.

## General Introduction

#### 1. Plant-microbes interactions in the rhizosphere: Plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)

The root is the organ that holds the plant to ground, providing for water and enabling the interchange of nutrients with the surrounding soil, creating a root-soil interface known as rhizosphere. The term rhizosphere, formed by the Greek words *rhiza* (root) and *sphere* (influence zone), was first defined by the plant-physiologist Hiltner as the narrow zone adjacent to the plant-roots supporting high levels of microbial activity (Hiltner, 1904). Nowadays, it has been redefined as the soil volume under the physical and biological influence of the root, including the root tissues colonized by microorganisms. Although the rhizosphere extent is difficult to define, in general, it is considered to reach a few millimetres (approximately 0 to 2 mm) from the root surface (Ahmad *et al.*, 2011), and can be divided into endorhizosphere (endodermis and cortical zone of the root), rhizoplane (root surface including strongly attached particles) and ectorhizosphere (soil immediately adjacent to the root) (Morgan *et al.*, 2005).

The rhizosphere is a region with high nutrients content and, therefore, of increased microbial activity. The 30 to 60% of the photosynthesis-fixed carbon can be transported to the root, and about 49% is loss in the form of root exudates or through root respiration (Kennedy, 1999). Root exudates are nutrient rich and comprise high- and low-molecular weight compounds like polysaccharides, proteins, mucilage, amino acids, organic acids, sugars, vitamins, fatty acids and sterols, nucleotides, enzymes and

other compounds like carbon dioxide, molecular hydrogen, protons, hydroxides, etc (Table 1) (Ahmad *et al.*, 2011).

**Table 1**. Compounds identified in plant-root exudates. Extracted fromAhmad et al., 2011.

| Class of compounds      | Compounds  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Amino acids             | Alanine, $\alpha$ -aminoadipic acid, $\gamma$ -                          |
|                         | aminobutyric acid, arginine, asparagine,                                 |
|                         | aspartic acid, cysteine, cystine, glutamic                               |
|                         | acid, glutamine, glycine, histidine,                                     |
|                         | homoserine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine,                                 |
|                         | methionine, ornithine, phenylalanine,                                    |
|                         | proline, serine, therionine, tryptophan,                                 |
|                         | tyrosine, valine   |
| Organic acids           | Acetic, acotinic, aldonic, butyric, citric,                              |
|                         | erythronic, formic, fumeric, glutaric,                                   |
|                         | glycolic, lactic, malic, malonic, oxalic,                                |
|                         | piscidic, propionic, pyruvic, succinic,                                  |
|                         | tartaric, tertronic, valeric acid  |
| Sugars                  | Arabinose, deoxyribose, fructose,  |
|                         | galactose, glucose, maltose,   |
|                         | oligosaccharides, raffinose, rhamnose,                                   |
|                         | ribose, sucrise, xylose  |
| Vitamins                | ρ-Aminobenzoic acid, biotin, choline, <i>n</i> -                         |
|                         | methionylnicotinic acid, niacin,   |
|                         | panthothenate, pyridoxine, riboflavin,                                   |
|                         | thiamine   |
| Fatty acids and sterols | Palmitic, stearic, oleic, linoleic, linoleic                             |
|                         | acids, cholesterol, campestrol,  |
|                         | stigmasterol, sitosterol   |
| Nucleotides             | Adenine, guanine, uridine, cytidine                                      |
| Enzymes                 | Amylase, invertase, phosphatase,   |
|                         | polygalactouranase, proteases  |
| Miscellaneous           | $\rm HCO_{3^{-}}, OH^{-}, H^{+}, \rm CO_{2}, H_{2}, auxins, flavonones,$ |
|                         | glycosides, saponin, scopolotin  |
Rhizodeposition is unevenly distributed along the root: it is maximal in younger zones, like the apex, and areas were primary and secondary roots are emerging (Uren, 2007). Therefore, the root is a complex environment with longitudinal and radial gradient variations in its physic-chemical and biologic properties, influencing the development of the microbial community (Uren, 2007; Brimecombe *et al.*, 2007; Ahmad *et al.*, 2011). Due to providing a nutrient-rich environment, microbial densities can be two to three orders of magnitude higher than those of bulk soil (Molina *et al.*, 2000). Between the plant and bacterial population inhabiting the rhizosphere several kinds of relationships can be established, being mutualistic, symbiotic or harmful for the host if microbes are pathogenic. Nonetheless, the accomplishment of root colonization by bacteria is subjected to complex processes involving survivability, tolerance and competition with indigenous rhizospheric microorganisms (Somers and Vanderleyden, 2004).

Traits involved in the success of bacteria in the rhizosphere are getting to be understood by studying of genes and proteins involved in root colonization, reviewed in the case of *Pseudomonas* by Wu and colleagues (2011). The already identified features include proteins involved in attachment to biotic surfaces and biofilm formation (Yousef-Coronado *et al.*, 2008; Nielsen et al., 2011; Nilsson et al., 2011; Duque *et al.*, 2013), proteins related to flagella (Yousef-Coronado *et al.*, 2008; Duque *et al.*, 2013), proteins involved in chemotaxis processes (Matilla *et al.*, 2007), regulators and sensor proteins (Matilla *et al.*, 2007; Barret *et al.*, 2011),

proteins related to stress adaptation mechanisms (Reva *et al.*, 2006; Matilla *et al.*, 2007), proteins involved in DNA repair (Ramos-González *et al.*, 2005; Cheng *et al.*, 2009), proteins involved in transport processes, protein secretion (Barret *et al.*, 2011), metabolism related proteins (Ramos-González *et al.*, 2005; Matilla *et al.*, 2007; Cheng *et al.*, 2009) and specific protein synthesis (Cheng *et al.*, 2009), among others. Matilla and colleagues (2007) pointed out that strong selective pressures are acting in the vicinity of roots (Figure 1) that are related with i) the ability for uptaking and nourishing from particular compounds found in the rhizosphere, like amino acids and other aromatic compounds (Table 1), and ii) the ability to cope with different stresses, like oxidative stress.



**Figure 1.** Scheme showing bacterial functions induced in the *Pseudomonas*-rhizosphere interaction. Extracted from Matilla *et al.*, 2007.

Rhizospheric mutualistic plant-microbe interactions are provided by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), which were first defined as soil bacteria that colonize the root of plants following inoculation onto seeds and that enhance plant growth (Kloepper and Shroth, 1978). These microorganisms have common traits comprising i) ability for surviving after inoculation onto seeds, ii) multiplying in the spermosphere (area surrounding the seed) in response to root exudates, iii) attaching to the root surface and iv) colonizing the developing root system (Kloepper, 1994).

PGPRs efficiently colonize the rhizosphere of a wide range of plants of agricultural and environmental interest and stimulate plant development and/or reduce phytopathogens through direct or indirect mechanisms (biocontrol) (Glick, 1995; Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009; Matilla *et al.*, 2010; Barret *et al.*, 2011) (Figure 2). Microorganisms described as PGPR are bacteria belonging to *Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Azospirillum, Azotobacte*r and *Serratia*, among others (Somers and Vanderleyden, 2004).

Direct plant growth-promoting mechanisms comprise solubilisation of low-bioavailability soil nutrients, synthesis of phytohormones (Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009), volatile compounds that can stimulate plant development and synthesis of vitamins or amino acids (Simmons *et al.*, 1997; Compant *et al.*, 2010; Vial *et al.*, 2011). Plant growth can also be promoted by indirect effects that essentially are related to

phytopathogens suppression through the production of antifungal compunds, antibiotics, pesticides (Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009, Ahemad and Khan, 2012), general biocydes, enzymes (Zhang and Yuen, 2000; Haas and Keel, 2003), the competition for low-bioavailability nutrients like iron or through the suppression of plant diseases by eliciting induced systemic resistance (ISR) (van Loon and Bakker, 2005; Bakker *et al.*, 2007).



**Figure 2.** Beneficial plant-bacterial interactions in the rhizosphere. PGPR populations are selected by specific root exudates. Motility (1), adherence (2) and growth (3) are important steps of rhizosphere competence. PGPR strains could then improve the plant growth by releasing nutrients and phytohormones and/or inhibit root diseases caused by phytopathogenic fungi. Inhibition of the pathogen could be indirect through elicitation of plant ISR (4) or direct through the production of secondary metabolites (5). Extracted from Barret *et al.*, 2011.

Within the *Pseudomonas genus*, stains belonging to the species *P. putida* have been reported to have PGPR properties (Wu *et al.*, 2011).

#### 2. The *Pseudomonas* genus

Members of the genus *Pseudomonas* are considered to be ubiquitous in the environment, since they can be found in a variety of milieus all along the globe. Migula first described the genus in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century as "rod-shaped and polar-flagella cells with some sporulating species", which was such a vague definition that made erroneous adscriptions of *Pseudomonas* to other genres. A century later, in 1986, Palleroni proposed five taxonomic groups (RNA-I – RNA-V) within the genus based on DNA-DNA or rRNA/DNA hybridization. Finally, sequencing the genes coding RNAr 16S allowed ascertaining the diversity inherent to *Pseudomonas*, locating the RNA-I group within the gammaproteobacteria (Bergey *et al.*, 2005).

Among the described species are *Pseudomonas putida*, *Pseudomas fluorescens*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, etc. being up to 200 species conforming the group (Palleroni and Moore, 2004); the G+C genome content is 58% to 69%. Taxonomic classification of *Pseudomonas* sp. is as follows:

Domain: Bacteria *Phylum*: Proteobacteria Class: Gammaproteobacteria Order: Pseudomonadales Family: Pseudomonadaceae Genus: *Pseudomonas*  General Introduction

*Pseudomonas* are gram-negative bacteria  $(0.5 - 0.8 \ \mu m \ge 1 - 3 \ \mu m)$  with one or more polar flagella, they are chemotrophic, aerobic with oxygen as terminal electrons acceptor in some cases anaerobic growth can be possible using nitrate as alternaive electron acceptor. They are oxidase positive and metabolise glucose via the Entner-Doudoroff pathway and the tricarboxylic acid cycle (del Castillo *et al.*, 2007). The genus comprises water and soil saprophytic bacteria with a high metabolic versatility, being capable of colonise a wide range of environments and assimilate a variety of carbon sources including recalcitrant compounds, which make them interesting candidates for biodegradation of pollutants (Ramos *et al.*, 1994; Segura *et al.*, 2009, Segura and Ramos, 2012).

*Pseudomonas* do not form spores. There are strains in the genus with the ability to synthesise siderophores, which are iron chelators, in ironlimiting conditions, and have a variety of receptors to internalize homologous and heterologous siderophores (Roca *et al.*, 2013). These green-yellow pigments are known as pyoverdines and pyocyanin and are fluorescent under short wavelength light (254 nm). These compounds are common in strains belonging to the species *Pseudomonas putida*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

A characteristic trait among the genus is the presence of plasmids, which confers them the ability to cope with antibiotics, other antibacterial agents and catabolize toxic compunds; 13 plasmids groups have been

identified to be compatible with *Pseudomonas* (Greated *et al.*, 2002; Levchuck *et al.*, 2006).

Few strains have been described as human opportunistic pathogens, especially *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and there are plant pathogens as is known to be *Pseudomonas syringae*. Within the *Pseudomonas* genus there are members with potential uses and interest in the environmental and agronomical sectors, like pollutant degraders and plant growthpromoting bacteria, as *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Lugtenberg and Dekkers, 1999), for example.

*Pseudomonas* are so nutritionally versatile that they are easily cultured under laboratory conditions, with a variety of carbon sources, neutral pH and mesophyll range of temperatures (Palleroni, 1986). Among the organic compounds that they use as energy sources, some *Pseudomonas* are able to use a variety of hidrocarbons, aromatic or linear, amines, amides, amino acids, alcohols and other aromatic compounds through an ample assortment of enzymes and catabolic pathways (Nelson *et al.*, 2002).

The relatively recent genome sequencing of several strains belonging to the *Pseudomonas* genus has shed light to the mechanisms that confer them the ample adaptation capacity that makes them able to colonize very different environments. Among the sequenced *Pseudomonas* there are opportunistic pathogens like *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Stover *et al.*, 2000),

plant pathogens like *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato (Buell *et al.*, 2003) and innocuous strains like *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 (Nelson *et al.*, 2002), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf-5 (Paulsen *et al.*, 2005) and *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 (Matilla *et al.*, 2011). Genomic comparison of pathogen and innocuous strains revealed that the later did not bear the genetic machinery encoding exotoxins, specific hydrolytic enzymes and type III secretion systems. Nevertheless, both kinds of strains share traits like adhesins, type IV pili, proteins involved in stress response, etc. (Nelson *et al.*, 2002).

Some *Pseudomonas* strains are able to synthesise phytohormones, iron chelators, to solubilise soil low-availability compounds, toxic substances to other microorganisms, which make them interesting candidates for agriculture as PGPR and/or biocontrol agents (Haas and Keel, 2003; Roca *et al.*, 2013). Other members of the genus are able to cope and/or catabolize environmental pollutants, which makes them suitable for their use in environmental processes like bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments (Segura *et al.*, 2009; Segura and Ramos, 2012; Pizarro-Tobias *et al.*, unpublished results). Another interesting trait common to the genus is the ability to attach to surfaces, to produce adhesins and other proteins related to attachment, which are essential for the rhizospheric success of the soil strains (Yousef-Coronado *et al.*, 2008).

Thus, the *Pseudomonas* genus arouses much interest for its feasible uses in biotechnology, remediation of polluted sites and as biocontrol and plant growth-promoting agents in agriculture.

#### 2.1. Pseudomonas putida

*Pseudomonas putida* belongs to the group of fluorescent *Pseudomonas*, due to their ability to produce fluorescence-emitting pigments. These are chemoorganothophic bacteria, which have a versatile metabolism that allows them to colonize different habitats, either pristine or polluted (Nelson *et al*, 2002; Wu *et al.*, 2011). They have been isolated from agricultural, forest and industrial soils, and from continental waters. This species is able to colonize plants rhizosphere, and have a potential use in bioremediation of polluted soils (Segura *et al.*, 2009; Segura and Ramos, 2012; Pizarro-Tobias *et al.*, unpublished results).

Among the *Pseudomonas putida* species it has not been found any animal or plant pathogen; this has been taken as positive for the development of biotechnological techniques, like the design of catabolic pathways aimed for pollutants degradation (Ramos *et al.*, 1986; Ramos *et al.*, 1987), production of intermediates in the chemical synthesis of complex molecules (Wubbolts and Timmis, 1990). Further, several strains have been proven being good colonizers of a variety of plants rhizosphere, like *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 in maize rhizosphere (Espinosa-Urgel *et al.*, 2002). Nowadays, some strains are being used as base of biological

fertilizers and remediation treatments (Segura *et al.*, 2009; Segura and Ramos, 2012; Roca *et al.*, 2013).

# 2.2.1. Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1

The strain used in this study is *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, a recently sequenced PGPR strain (Matilla *et al.*, 2011), with genome sequence available at GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) under accession number CPOO2290. This strain's genome size is 5,731,541 bp without plasmid and has a 61.74 % G+C content. Its genome size is similar to that of *Pseudomonas putida* W619 (Wu *et al.*, 2011) and smaller than those of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 (Nelson *et al.*, 2002), *Pseudomonas putida* F1 and *Pseudomonas putida* GB-1. It comprises a series of genes encoding properties related to rhizosphere colonization and determinants for favouring plant growth. This strain is capable of solubilising inorganic phosphate through the production of gluconic acid, it can also solubilise iron through the synthesis of pyoverdines, it has the ability to synthesise plant hormones like indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) through two putative tryptophan-dependent pathways (Roca *et al.*, 2013) and it holds genes encoding proteins for the synthesis of salycilate.

Therefore, this strain has the genetic potential to promote plant growth through direct and indirect mechanisms, being object of interest for its potential use as part of biostimulants, biofertilizers and in other environmental treatments. Moreover, the use of Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1 has been protected through an international patent (Pizarro – Tobías and Ramos-Duque, 2008).

## 2.2.2. Pseudomonas putida KT2440

Another strain used in this study is *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440, a plasmid-free derivative of the strain *P. putida* mt-2, which was first isolated in Japan in 1963 by Hosakawa. *Pseudomonas putida* mt-2 bears the TOL plasmid (*pWWO*) that codifies for enzymes involved in toluene and xylenes degradation (Worsey and Williams, 1975). Later on 1981, *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 was constructed by curing mt-2 strain from the TOL plasmid (Bagdasarian et al., 1981). KT2440 is inefficient in foreign DNA restriction, a trait that has made this strain largely studied in the expansion of degradative catabolic pathways (Bagdasarian and Timmis, 1982; Ramos *et al.*, 1994), as host in the cloning and expression of heterologous genes to be used in biotransformation processes of chemical (Delgado *et al.*, 1992; Kraak *et al.*, 1997; Kellerhals *et al.*, 1999) or pharmacologic compounds (Tan *et al.*, 1997).

Pseudomonas putida KT2440 genome has been sequenced (Nelson *et al.*, 2002). This strain's genome size is 6,18 Mp and has a 61.6 % G+C content. Within its genome it has been identified 804 copies of a repetitive extragenic palindromic sequence, of 35 bp known as REP (Aranda-Olmedo *et al.*, 2002). It has 105 genomic islands with distinct G+C content, which are implied in the uptake and degradation of organic compounds, ion transport, synthesis and secretion of secondary

metabolites, being responsible of the metabolic versatility of *Pseudomonas putida*. This feature has also been attributed to plasmids, which bear genes that canalise substrates into central metabolism. Examples of these are the TOL plasmid involved in the catabolism of toluene and xylenes (Williams and Murray, 1974), the NAH? plasmid involved in the catabolism of naphthalene (Dunn and Gunsalus, 1973) and the CAM plasmid involved in the catabolism of camphor (Rheinwald *et al.*, 1973).

This strain has the ability to cope with toxic compounds through the use of extrusion pumps, like the RND pumps and the MFS transporters (Ramos *et al.*, 2002). Is has also acquired mono- and di-oxygenase systems, oxidoreductases, ferredoxins and cytochromes, dehydrogenases, proteins involved in sulphur metabolism and glutation-S-transferases, alternative sigma factors and stress response regulators (Nelson *et al.*, 2002). It has also have genes involved in plant-bacteria interactions in the rhizosphere (Matilla *et al.*, 2007).

# 3. Solubilisation of low-availability nutrients mediated by *Pseudomonas* in the rhizosphere.

#### **3.1.** Solubilisation of phosphate

Phosphorous (P), as well as nitrogen, is an essential nutrient for plant development. Although abundant in soil, is usually in unavailable forms for plants, since soluble P concentrations in soil are under 1 mg/Kg (10 M  $H_2PO_4$ ) (Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999). Therefore, it is often added to agricultural soils through phosphate fertilizers to promote high crop yields by increasing its bioavailability. Nevertheless, its bioavailability is highly dependent on soil type and pH, soon being immobilised in acid soils by oxides and hydroxides of aluminium and iron, and by calcium in alkaline soils (Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999), being in consequence inorganic phosphates commonly associated with aluminium and iron compounds in acid soils, whereas calcium phosphates are predominant in calcareous soils (Gyaneswar *et al.*, 2002). Thus, agricultural soils are an important sink of P due to low efficiency of chemical fertilizers, making the increase of P availability a target for new forms of sustainable agriculture.

Phosphate-solubilising microorganisms (PSMs) have the ability to solubilise immobilised P in soil into bioavailable forms for plant uptake, taking part in the soil phosphorous cycle (Richardson *et al.*, 2009). PSMs are ubiquitous in soil and their proportion vary in the case of bacteria from 1% to 50% and in the case of fungi from 0.1% to 0.5% (Gyaneswar *et* 

al., 2002). A variety of microorganisms can solubilise different forms of phosphate in soil and in the rhizosphere of plants (Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999); most of them are able to solubilise calcium-phosphate complexes, being fewer the capable of solubilising aluminium or iron phosphate complexes (Gyaneswar *et al.*, 2002). The solubilisation of soil inorganic phosphate has commonly been attributed to fungi, *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus*, among others, which solubilise insoluble phosphate through the excretion of acids (Pea *et al.*, 1981; Vassileu *et al.*, 1995). This process has also been described in several bacteria either in symbiotic relationship with plants belonging to *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* genera, among others (Halder *et al.*, 1990: Halder and Chakrabarty, 1993), or free-living like *Bacillus*, *Burkholderia*, *Flavobacterium*, *Erwinia*, etc. (Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999).

Strains belonging to the *Psedudomonas* genus have also been reported to be efficient phosphate solubilisers through the synthesis of organic acids (Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999; Vyas and Gulati, 2009), specifically gluconic and 2-ketogluconic acids. These are produced via the metabolism of glucose, which is a carbon source present in root exudates (Table 1) (Ahmad *et al.*, 2011), and is catalysed by the pyrroloquinoline-dependent glucose dehydrogenase enzyme (del Castillo et al., 2007). The releasing of acids to the cell external medium generates a decrease of the surrounding soil pH, making phosphorous available by mobilising phosphate from insoluble forms such as di- and tri- calcic phosphate and rock phosphate, among others (Figure 3) (Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999; Roca *et al.*, 2013).



**Figure 3:** Pikovskaya test with *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 (A) and mutants in the Entner-Doudoroff (B, C) pathway. Clear halos indicate solubilisation of tricalcium phosphate, extracted from Roca *et al.*, 2013.

Organic forms of P are also commonly found in soil; due to the accumulation of plants rests, in the form of organophosphonates, several forms of phosphoesters and phytates, the latter being the main form of P stored in plants (Gyaneswar *et al.*, 2002). Enzymes like phytases (Richardson and Hadobas, 1997), phosphatases (Burini *et al.*, 1994), phosphohydrolases (Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999), phosphocenate hydrolases (McGrath et al., 1998), D-alphaglycerophosphatases (Skrary and Cameron, 1998) and C-P lyases (Ohtake *et al.*, 1996), are responsible for insoluble organic phosphate solubilisation. As plants are poorly capable of obtaining P through soil phytate, the bacterial production of phytases is an interesting trait in PGPR strains, since it increases the available P to plants (Aeron *et al.*, 2011). *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 has been reported use solubilise phytate (Figure 4) (Roca *et al.*, 2013).



**Figure 4:** Phytase agar test with *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1. Clear halo indicate solubilisation of phytate.

# 3.2. Solubilisation of iron

Iron is also an essential nutrition element usually found in insoluble oxide hydrate complexes that are not bioavailable for microorganisms, and has been described to be limiting for their growth. It is involved in several enzymatic processes that comprise oxygen metabolism, electron transfer and RNA and DNA synthesis (Schalk *et al.*, 2011).

Some microorganisms have the ability for producing siderophores (Figure 5) that are molecules with a high affinity for iron (van Loon, 2007), capable of chelating Fe(III) and other metals with lower affinity (Schalk *et al.*, 2011). These complexing molecules can also be used by plants (Lemanceau *et al.*, 2009; Gamalero and Glick, 2011), making this feature an interesting trait commonly found in PGPR strains (Schalk *et al.*, 2011). These chelating agents are excreted to the cell external milieu

and form the siderophore-iron complex, which is then internalized by the cell through TonB-dependent transporters (Molina *et al.*, 2006). This process is also an indirect mechanism for suppressing plant pathogens growth, since siderophore-producing bacteria lower the availability if this essential nutrient for less efficient competing microorganisms (Visca *et al.*, 2007).



**Figure 5:** CAS agar test with *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1. Yellow halo indicate solubilisation of iron.

There are a wide variety of siderophores structures, being the catecholates most common in bacteria and the hydroxamates usually produced by fungi. Mixed siderophores with both, catecholates and hydroxamates groups, like pyoverdine and pseudobactin, are usually produced by *Pseudomonas* (Schalk *et al.*, 2011). It has been described that several *Pseudomonas putida* strains have outer membrane receptors that allows them to internalize heterologous siderophores (Martínez-Bueno *et al.*, 2000), which could be a key feature for rhizosphere success.

Pyoverdines (Figure 6) are green-fluorescent pigments that are produced by the Pseudomonas rRNA homology group I, which includes Pseudomonas Pseudomonas putida, aeruginosa, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Pseudomonas chlororaphys and Pseudomonas syringae, in low-iron conditions (Meyer, 2000). The study of different pyoverdines from various strains of *Pseudomonas* has showed that they share three structural components: i) a conserved fluorescent dihydroxyquinoline chromophore, ii) an acyl side chain bound to the amino group of the chromophore, and iii) a variable peptide chain linked by an amide group bound to the Cl carboxyl group of the chromophore, whereas the catecholate and hydroxamate groups provide high affinity binding sites for Fe(III) (Visca *et al.*, 2007).



Figure 6. Example of pyoverdine structure. Adapted from Schalk et al., 2011.

Studies to discern the ecological role and various functions of these compounds have been performed, revealing that, apart from iron acquisition and an indirect mechanism of biocontrol of pathogens, they could be related with helping bacteria to cope with heavy metals toxicity (Schalk *et al.*, 2011). Thus, the versatile functions of these compounds contribute to the colonisation and survival efficiency of *Psedumonas* in the rhizosphere.

#### 4. Production of compounds with plant growth-promoting properties

As said before, the biosynthesis of phytohormones, vitamins and other beneficial compounds for plant development is a feature of PGPR strains. Several microorganisms, like *Pseudomonas putida*, have been found to be efficient producers of phytohomones, like indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) (Dangar and Basu 1987; Patten and Glick 2002; Roca *et al.*, 2013) and capable of synthesising 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase, which promotes the correct development of plants by reducing levels of ethylene (Li *et al.*, 2000; Penrose and Glick 2001).

# 4.1. Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA)

Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is an endogenous auxin present in plants and one of the best-characterized phytohormones. Auxins, from the Greek *auxein* meaning "to grow", are a group of compounds involved in plantsdevelopment, directly influencing growth, cellular division and root formation (Cleland, 1990; Spaepen *et al.*, 2007). A variety of bacteria belonging to *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*, *Rhizobium*, *Pseudomonas*, etc. have been described to produce this auxin, especially in the presence of tryptophan (Patten and Glick, 1996). Tryptophan is a compound common found in root exudates (Table 1) (Ahmad *et al.*, 2011), and is also released by microbial and plant decomposing tissues (Spaepen *et al.*, 2007).

The study of the different IAA biosynthetic pathways has revealed that there is a high degree of similarity between bacterial and plant pathways. Six different IAA biosynthetic bacterial pathways have been identified, being five of them tryptophan-dependent (Figure 7) (Spaepen *et al.*, 2007).



**Figure 7.** Different bacterial IAA biosynthetic pathways. The intermediate referring to the name of the pathway or the pathway itself is underlined with a dashed line. IAAld, indole-3-acetaldehyde; IAM, indole-3-acetamide; IPDC, indole-3-pyruvate decarboxylase; Trp, tryptophan. Extracted from Spaepen *et al.*, 2007.

- The indole-3-acetamide pathway (IAM) is a two-step pathway in which tryptophan is converted into IAM through the action of a monooxygenase, and then into IAA via a hydrolase.
- ii. The indole-3-pyruvate (IPyA) pathway is a thee-step pathway in which tryptophan is converted into IPyA through the action of an aminotransferase, then IPyA is decarboxylated into indole-3acetaldeyde (IAAld), and finally IAAld is oxidised into IAA.

- iii. The tryptamine (TAM) pathway is a three-step pathway in which tryptophan is converted in TAM through the action of a decarboxylase, then in IAAld through an amine-oxidase, and finally into IAA through the action of a dehydrogenase.
- iv. The tryptophan side-chain oxidase pathway is a two-step pathway in which tryptophan is converted into IAAld, and the oxidised into IAA.
- v. The indole-3-acetonitrile (IAN) pathway has been preferentially studied in plants, but some bacteria have been found to have enzymes with activity to convert IAN into IAA through IAM (Spaepen *et al.*, 2007).
- vi. Tryptophan-independent pathways have been proposed, for both bacteria and plants, but have yet further to be studied and proven.

The study of biosynthesis of IAA has showed that bacteria may have more than one pathway, as has recently described for *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 (Roca et al., 2013).

# 4.2. 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase

1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) is found in plant root exudates (Reid, 1987) and is the biosynthetic precursor of ethylene in plants (Yang and Hoffman, 1984). Ethylene is a plant-signaling molecule implicated in seed germination, seedlings root-elongation, root nodulation, plant development, flower senescence, leaf abcision and fruit ripening that in high concentrations has deleterious effects (Glick *et al.*, 1998; Steenhooudt and Vanderleyden 2000; Saleem *et al.*, 2007).

ACC is synthesised through a two-step process in which S-adenosyle methionine is cinverted into ACC, and then ACC is oxidised into ethylene (Arshad and Frankenberger, 2008). It has been reported that plants synthesise ACC and secrete it into the rhizosphete under different types of biotic and abiotic stresses, like pathogen infections, flood, cold, draught, etc.; then some of the ACC may be reabsorbed by the plant and converted into ethylene, promoting a negative feedback due to the accumulation of this plant-growth regulator (Yang *et al.*, 2008; Martínez-Viveros *et al*, 2010). Some bacteria have been reported to enhance plant growth by lowering the levels of ethylene through the activity of the ACC deaminase, which hydrolyses ACC intro ammonia and  $\alpha$ -ketobutyrate. These compounds can be used by bacteria as nitrogen and carbon sources, respectively (Klee *et al.*, 1991).

It has been reported that IAA and ACC deaminase are directly related and collaborate in plant development. Exogenous IAA has been described to increase ACC synthase activity in plants, catalizing ACC production that stimulates ACC deaminase activity in bacteria (Patten and Glick, 2002). Although it has been described that PGPR bacteria mutants without the capacity of producing ACC deaminase aren't able to stimulate root elongation (Glick *et al.*, 1994; Li *et al.*, 2000), it has recently been reported a case in which *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 ACC deaminase

minus mutants did not negatively influenced root development in maize

(Roca *et al.*, 2013).

#### 5. Uses and applications of PGPR microorganisms in the environment

The increased knowledge about rhizosphere management and the potential benefits of microorganisms gives biotechnology the capacity of reducing fertilizers and agrochemicals in agriculture and contribute to pollutants removal. PGPR microorganisms are becoming a common ingredient of biofertilizers, bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments in agricultural practices and environmental treatments (Vessey *et al.*, 2003; Nakkeeran *et al.*, 2005; Berg, 2009; Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009; Segura *et al.*, 2009; Kroll *et al.*, 2010; Segura and Ramos, 2012).

#### 5.1. PGPRs in agriculture

For enhancing crop yields there already are PGPR commercial formulations, implicating that a variety of PGPR strains have been characterised and described as suitable for their use in modern sustainable agriculture (Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2011). There are several categories of PGPRs based on their mechanism of action, which comprise i) biofertilizers, ii) phytostimulators, and iii) biopesticides (Table 2); moreover, PGPR may enhance plant development through the combination of more than one of the cited properties (Martínez-Viveros *et al*, 2010). Apart from these traits, an important feature for a potential PGPR inoculant is the ability for maintaining the sufficient population levels in the rhizosphere to exert its beneficial effect. **Table 2.** PGPR forms and their mechanism of action stimulating plant growth. Adapted from Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2011.

| PGPR forms      | Definition                                     | Mechanism of action        |
|-----------------|--|----------------------------|
| Biofertilizer   | A substance that contains live microorganisms  | Biological nitrogen        |
|                 | which, when applied on the seed, plant surface | fixation                   |
|                 | or soil, colonizes the rhizosphere and promote | Utilization of insoluble   |
|                 | plant growth through increased supply of       | phosphorus                 |
|                 | primary nutrientsfor the host plant            |                            |
| Phytostimulator | Microorganisms with the ability to produce     | Production of              |
|                 | phytohormones such as indole acetic acid,      | phytohormones              |
|                 | gibberellic acid, cytokinins and ethylene      |                            |
| Biopesticide    | Microorganisms that promote plant growth by    | Production of antibiotics, |
|                 | controlling phytopathogenic agents             | siderophores, HCN          |
|                 |  | Production of hydrolytic   |
|                 |  | enzymes                    |
|                 |  | Acquired and Induced       |
|                 |  | systemic resistance        |

As a critical step in PGPRs efficiency in the field is the establishment of an effective initial population, it is of great importance to assess inoculation doses. Inoculation methods vary depending on the PGPR strain used and the field conditions, from irrigation to low-cost carriers to achieve homogeneous mixing with soil, like peat or clay, among others (Martínez-Viveros *et al*, 2010). In general, bacterial suspensions for soil inoculation and/or root dipping are prepared with high cell densities between 10<sup>8</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup> UFC mL<sup>-1</sup>, as initial population may decline by orders of magnitude due to competition with other microorganisms for certain time until it reaches an equilibrium with its environment. Thus, to maintain effective and viable PGPR populations under field conditions may be necessary to

reinoculate after different periods of time depending on the strains and the environmental influence towards them (Martínez-Viveros *et al*, 2010).

Further, specific monitoring strategies for the PGPR strains may be developed to assess how density of introduced cells fluctuates under the desired population levels. These methods can vary from classic culture on specific media for the target microorganism, which at times may difficult differentiate morphological characteristics, to other direct mechanism like the use of marker genes. The use of strains resistant to certain antibiotics like rifampicin is most suitable, as the background level of indigenous microbiota resistant to this antibiotic is low. Nonetheless, although this method has limitations, it is frequently used due to its simplicity and relatively low cost (Ahmad *et al.*, 2011).

Direct monitoring methods comprise the use or marker genes encoding biocontrol traits, like the production of antibiotics, like 2,4-DAPG (diacetylphloroglucinol) or general biocides like hydrogen cyanide (Haas and Défago, 2005). Other PCR approaches comprise the use of DNA fingerprintings, which allow differentiating up to the strain level, as reported for *Pseudomonas putida* by Aranda-Olmedo and colleagues (2002). The use of reporter genes like green fluorescent protein (GFP), LacZ, Lux and Luciferase gene have been developed, as they allow to study PGPRs in theyr natural environment through the use of fluorescence microscopes (Ahmad *et al.*, 2011). Nonetheless, these

techniques aren't economically viable monitoring methods to be used in a basis for field treatments.

In summary, biotechnology is already been used to improve the efficacy of PGPRs in agricultural uses, although the economical success of these potential industrial products will also depend on business management, product marketing, extension education and extensive research (Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2011).

# 5.2. PGPRs in bioremediation and rhizoremediation

The release of toxic compounds for the environment and human health is a direct consequence of the industrialization. Organic contaminants are persistent in the environment comprising compounds released by the consumption of fossil fuels, like polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) among other chlorinated aromatics, halogenated compounds like perchloroethylene (PCE) and trichloroethylene (TCE), and pesticides; inorganic pollutants are usually heavy metals (Zhuang et al., 2007). Assessing new techniques of remediation of polluted soils and waters have led to the development of physical, chemical and biological treatments. Biological treatments are beginning to gain importance due to several advantages over other methods, like cost-effectiveness, convenience, the complete degradation of organic pollutants and no collateral destruction of the site or its native flora and fauna (Timmis and Pieper, 1999).

As said before, PGPR have plenty of uses for enhancing plant yield of plants of agricultural interest, and also some may be used for biocontrol of pathogens; nevertheless, some of these strains are able to degrade and mineralize toxic compounds, pointing out their prospect usage in remediation techniques (Segura *et al.*, 2009). For this reason, they are interesting to complement phytoremediation techniques by enhancing plant growth, since the use of plants in remediation treatments has the main disadvantage of the low tolerance plants have to soil contaminants, limiting the efficiency of this technology (Huang *et al.*, 2004).

Nonetheless, understanding the mechanisms of how PGPR strains interact with indigenous microbiota, and their pollutant tolerance has to be assessed for each specific situation, since few field-scale studies have been performed. To this matter, proper plant development through establishing the best plant-PGPR combination is quite essential for it has been described that plant exudates promote the proliferation of native organic-pollutants degraders (Segura *et al.*, 2009; Segura and Ramos, 2012). Singer and colleagues (2003) reported that several aromatic compounds contained in root exudates, like flavonoids, terpenes or ligninderived components (Table 1), have similar chemical structures as some pollutants and can act as inducers of catabolic pathways (FIGURE). Besides, the degradation of the aromatic compounds released by roots leads to the formation of intermediates like protocatechuate, which is an intermediate in the degradation of PAHs; salycilate, which apart from inducing systemic acquired resistance in plants, also induces PAHs

degradation patways (Figure 8). These compounds along with phloroglucinol phenylacetic acid, substituted cinnamic acids, and others, are mineralized through the  $\beta$ -ketoadipate pathway, which is active in the catabolism of several aromatic pollutants (Segura *et al.*, 2009).



In summary, it has to be taken into account that preliminary studies of the polluted area to design the correct strategy and plant-microbe combination have to be made. Nevertheless, rhizoremediation proposes itself as an efficient strategy in polluted scenarios where the need of action needs not to be urgent, for this technology works at slower pace than physic-chemical treatments.

3.4dihydroxy cinnamic acid

В

# Hims of the Thesis

Environmental application of microorganisms is limited by the lack of knowledge about the effects that the different environmental stressors exert over their survival and metabolic activity, which condition their ability for colonizing different niches. Moreover, it is unknown how microorganisms react to stress conditions when they are used in formulations for environmental applications.

This thesis is focused on the characterization of a rhizospheric microorganism, *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, isolated from environmental samples, and that has the ability for solubilising soil unavailable nutrients and produce phytohormones that promote plant growth.

The general aim of this thesis is to overcome limitations in this knowledge and improve the technology of application of microbial inoculants in diverse environmental conditions, which are adverse for the correct development of vegetable species of interest.

Specific aims are:

1. Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, a strain with potential to be used in the development of agricultural and environmental microbial inoculants.

Aims of the Thesis

2. Categorize the effect of different environmental conditions over the performance this bacteria in the rhizosphere of plants, on its own and in consortium with other microorganisms of interest.

3. Assess the potential and effectiveness of this strain as plant growthpromoting agent in agricultural, bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments.

4. Identify *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 mechanisms involved in its ability for surviving, colonizing and proliferating in the rhizosphere.


# Chapter 1

Analysis of the plant growth-promoting properties encoded by the genome of the rhizobacterium

Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1

This chapter was published as:

Roca A, Pizarro-Tobías P, Udaondo Z, Fernández M, Matilla MA, Molina-Henares MA, Molina L, Segura A, Duque A, Ramos JL (2012). Analysis of the plant growth-promoting properties encoded by the genome of the rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1. Environ Microbiol 15: 780-794.

#### Abstract

*Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 is a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium whose genome size is 5.7-Mbp. It efficiently adheres to plant roots and colonizes the rhizosphere of plants to high cell densities even in soils with only 2% moisture. This property seems to be linked to its ability to synthesise trehalose, as deduced from a mutant deficient in the synthesis of trehalose who exhibited less tolerance to desiccation than the parental strain. The genome of BIRD-1 also encodes a wide range of proteins that help it to deal with reactive oxygen stress generated in the plant rhizosphere. BIRD-1 PGPR properties derive from its ability to enhance phosphorous and iron solubilisation and to produce phytohormones. BIRD-1 is capable of solubilising insoluble inorganic phosphate forms through acid production. In addition, the genome of BIRD-1 encodes at least 5 phosphatases related to phosphorous solubilisation; one of them being a phytase that facilitates the utilization of phytic acid, the main storage form of phosphorous in plants. Pyoverdine is the main siderophore produced by this strain, a mutant in the FvpD siderophore synthase failed to grow on liquid medium without supplementary iron; however, in soils the FvpD mutant was as competitive as the parental strain because it has a number of receptors that capture the siderophores produced by other microbes, allowing iron acquisition and survival. BIRD-1 overproduces indole-3-acetic acid through convergent pathways, which influences its ability to stimulate seed germination and plant growth.

# Introduction

The rhizosphere is a very dynamic environment in which complex interactions take place between plant roots and microorganisms (Molina *et al.*, 2000; Uroz *et al.*, 2010; Blom *et al.*, 2011). Management of the rhizosphere represents a genuine opportunity for biotechnology since it can increase the levels of crop production while reducing the use of fertilizers and agrochemicals in agriculture.

Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) efficiently colonise the rhizosphere of a wide range of plants and stimulate plant growth through direct or indirect mechanisms (Kloepper et al., 1980; Glick, 1995; Somers and Vanderleyden, 2004; Lugtemberg and Kamilova 2009; Matilla et al., 2010; Blom et al., 2011). Direct plant growth promotion is often mediated by the synthesis of phytohormones or enhanced nutrition; e.g. by increasing the bioavailability of mineral nutrients such as phosphorous, nitrogen and iron (Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009), or by providing amino acids and other nutritional factors (Simmons et al., 1997; Compant et al., 2010; Vial et al., 2011). Many PGPR produce siderophores, that are small metal-binding molecules which mainly chelate Fe(III) and other metals with lower affinity (Schalk et al., 2011). The siderophore-metal complexes are preferentially used by microbes (van Loon, 2007), but they can also be taken up by plants (Lemanceau et al., 2009; Gamalero and Glick, 2011). Plant growth promotion by PGPR can also be mediated by a number of indirect effects: (i) by avoiding the action of soil-borne pathogens through competition for iron, (ii) through the synthesis of

antibiotics, antifungals and pesticides (Hammer *et al.*, 1997; Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009; Pérez *et al.*, 2011; Ahemad and Khan, 2012), and (iii) through the production of biocides such as hydrogen cyanide and fungal cell wall degrading enzymes, e.g., chitinase and &pmale3-1,3-glucanase (Zhang and Yuen, 2000; Haas and Keel, 2003; Meschke and Schrempf, 2010; Malfanova *et al.*, 2011). Some non-pathogenic rhizobacteria have been reported to suppress diseases in plants by triggering induced systemic resistance (ISR), a plant response that depends on jasmonic acid and on the plant's ethylene signalling pathways (van Loon and Bakker, 2005; Bakker *et al.*, 2007). Several PGPR are commercially available for application as crop inoculants and for biofertilization, phytostimulation, biocontrol and rhizoremediation (Nakkeeran *et al.*, 2005; Berg, 2009; Segura *et al.*, 2009; Kroll *et al.*, 2010).

Bacteria of the genus *Pseudomonas* are frequent rhizosphere colonizers and some strains of the species *P. fluorescens*, *P. putida*, *P. mendocina* and *P. stutzeri* have been shown to possess PGPR capabilities (reviewed by Wu *et al.*, 2010). Strains of the species *Pseudomonas putida* are acknowledged as one the most efficient phosphate-solubilising cultivable bacteria (Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999; Vyas and Gulati, 2009; Daniels *et al.*, 2010), and among them, the BIRD-1 strain is particularly efficient. This strain was isolated from a garden soil in a culture medium without iron addition and with insoluble inorganic phosphate as a source of phosphorous (Matilla *et al.*, 2011). The genome sequence of *P. putida* BIRD-1 was obtained using the 454 pyrosequencing technology (Matilla

et al., 2011). The complete genome sequence of *P. putida* BIRD-1 is made up of a 61.74%-GC circular chromosome of 5,731,541 bps with no plasmid. Its genome size is similar than that of *P. putida* strain W619 (5,774,330 bps) (Taghavi *et al.*, 2009; Wu *et al.*, 2010), but significantly smaller than that of *P. putida* strains KT2440 (6,181,860 bps) (Nelson *et al.*, 2002), F1 (5,959,964 bps) (GenBank accession CP000712), and GB-1 (6,078,430 bps) (GenBank accession CP000926). The genome of BIRD-1 shows high homology and synteny with that of KT2440 and that of the F1 strain. All three strains share around 80% of all of their genes.

In this study we analysed the genome of *P. putida* BIRD-1 in relation to its PGPR properties and the most relevant data are presented.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### Strains, plasmids and culture media

The bacterial strains, cloning vectors, and plasmids used in this study have been described before (Matilla *et al.*, 2010; Molina-Henares *et al.*, 2009). *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 and its mutant derivatives were routinely grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with glucose (0.5% [w/v]) as the carbon source (Abril *et al.*, 1989). Cultures were incubated at 30°C and shaken on an orbital platform operating at 200 strokes per minute. When required, antibiotics were used at the following final concentrations (in µg per mL): ampicillin, 100; chloramphenicol, 30; kanamycin, 50; and tetracycline, 20. *Escherichia coli* strains were grown at 37°C in LB broth (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989) with shaking.

When indicated *P. putida* cells were grown on modified A medium (Nautilaya *et al.*, 1999) that lacks a phosphorous source and whose specific composition per liter was NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 267 mg; MgSO<sub>4</sub> × 7H<sub>2</sub>O, 410 mg; KCl, 300 mg; NaCl, 200 mg, 1 mL of an aqueous solution of iron citrate [6 g/L] and 0.5% (w/v) glucose, or 10 mM sodium benzoate as the carbon sources. As a phosphorous source we added either phosphate rock [5 g/L] or a mixture of insoluble inorganic phosphates made up of CaHPO4 ×  $2H_2O$  and  $Ca_5(OH)(PO_4)_3$ .

To screen the ability of *Pseudomonas putida* strains to use inorganic and organic insoluble phosphate as a phosphorous source Pikovskaya agar

plates (Naik *et al.*, 2008), and PSM (Phytate Screening Medium) agar solid medium were used (Jorquera *et al.*, 2008). The reaction was positive when a clear halo surrounding the bacterial colonies was formed after 3-7 days of incubation at 30°C.

CAS agar solid medium was used to screen siderophore production (Alexander and Zuberer, 1991). The reaction was considered positive when an orange halo surrounding the bacterial colony was formed after 3-7 days of incubation at  $30^{\circ}$ C.

To screen the ability of *Pseudomonas putida* strains to produce indole-3acetic acid (IAA) on solid medium, amended LB and the Salkowski's reagent were used (Naik *et al.*, 2008). The composition of the amended LB per liter was 10 g bactotryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 10 g NaCl, 5 mM *L*tryptophan, 600 mg sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 10 mL glycerol and 15 g agar. The composition of the Salkowski's reagent per liter was 2% v/v of 0.5M FeCl<sub>3</sub> dissolved in 35% perchloric acid. Quantification of IAA in liquid medium was performed after growth of the strains for 24 h at 30°C with different concentrations of *L*-tryptophan (0, 100, 250, 500 and 1,000 mg/L, as described) (Pattem and Glick, 2002).

#### Quantification of soluble phosphate in culture medium

Determination of soluble phosphate in solution was performed as described by Murphy and Riley (1958). Supernatants at 0, 2, 4, 8, 24, 48 and 72h from *P. putida* BIRD-1 cultures grown in phosphate rock (5 g/L) were mixed with a reagent whose composition per 250 mL was 125 mL  $H_2SO_4$  (5N), 37.5 mL of 4% ammonium molybdate solution and 75 mL of 0.1 M ascorbic acid. Forty milliliters of each supernatant were mixed with 8 mL of the reagent solution and incubated for 30 minutes in a 60°C water bath. After cooling, absorbance at 620 nm was measured. The amount of soluble phosphate (mg/L) present in the culture supernatants was determined by comparing absorbance measures to a  $PO_4^{3-}$  standard curve.

#### Siderophore production

Strains were inoculated in M9 minimal medium supplemented with glucose (25 mM). Iron citrate (6 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) was added to the control cultures (plus iron) but not to the iron-deprived ones. When the cultures reached an OD at 660 nm of around 1, they were illuminated with ultraviolet light in a LKB 2011-002 MacroVue transilluminator (LKB Bromma, Sweden) and photographed (GelDoc 2000, Bio-Rad). To record the UV-visible spectrum (220-700 nm) of the culture supernatant, 1 ml of culture was withdrawn, cells removed by centrifugation (14 000  $g \times 5$  min) and the supernatant used to measure the absorption spectrum.

#### Toxic compound resistance assays

Individual colonies of *P. putida* BIRD-1 and mutant strains were picked from freshly cultured LB plates, streaked onto LB medium plates, supplemented with the suitable antibiotic, and grown overnight at 30°C. The biomass of this overnight plate was recovered from the plate surface

and resuspended in 15 ml of LB liquid medium to an OD<sub>660</sub> of 0.1. Microwell plate wells were filled with 180  $\mu$ l of the above cell suspension and with 20  $\mu$ l of the different 10× concentrated solutions of the stressors. Samples were incubated and data recordings were processed using a Bioscreen C MBR analyzer as described before (Daniels *et al.*, 2010). Toxic compounds tested were: K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (12.5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.004%), Methyl viologen (100  $\mu$ M), Tert-butyl hydroperoxide (0.00078%), KCN (0.325 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>), ampicillin (100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>).

#### Surface sterilization, germination of seeds and root colonization assay

These assays were performed as described by Ramos-González *et al* (2005). Corn seeds were surface-sterilized by rinsing with sterile deionized water, washing for 10 minutes with 70% (v/v) ethanol, then for 15 minutes with 10% (v/v) bleach, and followed thorough rinsing with sterile deionized water. Surface sterilized seeds were pre-germinated on water-agar (2.25% agar [w/v]) at 30°C in the dark for 48 h.

For root colonization assays seeds were inoculated with approximately 5  $\times 10^6$  CFU/mL from an LB medium overnight culture and suspended in M9 salts medium (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989). After incubation without shaking for 30 minutes at 30°C, seeds were washed in sterile distilled water and planted in 50 mL Falcon tubes containing 35 mL of a mixture of sterile peat and washed sand (3:1 v/v) and were then maintained in a controlled chamber at 24°C and 55-65% humidity with a daily light period of 16 h. At the indicate times plants were collected, shoots discarded and the roots placed in 50 mL Sterilin tubes containing 10 mL

of M9 minimal salts medium (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989) and 4 g of glass beads (0.3 mm diameter). Tubes were vortexed for 1 minute and the number of CFU attached to the surface of the root were estimated by dropplating serial dilutions of the supernatant in selective media.

#### Corn seeds adhesion assays

Strains were cultured overnight at  $30^{\circ}$ C in LB broth and culture turbidity was adjusted to  $OD_{660}=1$  in a final volume of 1 mL M9 minimal salts and the assays done as described by Espinosa-Urgel *et al.* (2004).

#### Corn seeds growth on agar plates

Seeds were sterilized, as described above, and submerged for 30 minutes without shaking on overnight cultures  $(10^8 \text{ CFU/mL})$  of the different strains under test. Seeds were rinsed with sterile distilled water to wash out non-attached cells, and then placed on water-agar plates (agar 2.25% w/v). Growth was checked after 7 days of incubation in the dark at 28°C. Roots were then weighed, for fresh weight, and stored in a stove at 90°C for 48 hours.

#### **Competitive root colonization assays**

Surface sterilization, germination of seeds and bacterial inoculation were performed as described above, except that seedlings were inoculated with a mixture of a Sm<sup>R</sup> BIRD-1 strain, as the wild type, and the mutant strain in the specified gene. Inocula size differences between the wild-type and mutant strains were less than 2%. At the indicated times, bacterial cells were recovered from the rhizosphere, as specified above. LB-agar supplied with rifampin and streptomycin (or kanamycin) was used to select BIRD-1 or the mutant strains, respectively.

#### Microcosm Assays

Sterile maize seedlings were either inoculated or not with approximately  $10^6$  *P. putida* BIRD-1 cells per entire seedling (Espinosa-Urgel *et al.*, 2000). The seeds were then planted in sterilized potting material (Compo Sana Universal) and grown for 10 days. Then the plantlets were transplanted into plant pots containing 600 g of sterilized loamy silt soil classified as Humic Haploxerept with the following characteristics: 38% sand, 43% silt, and 19% clay; pH 7.9, the organic matter content was 2.1%, and its CaCO<sub>3</sub> content was 8%. To determine drought tolerance of wild type and mutant strains, soil water content was adjusted to different degrees. Viable microorganisms in the rhizosphere soil (soil closely associated to roots) and bulk soil from four selected plants were determined, as described above.

#### Germination of vegetable seeds

Non-sterile vegetable seeds (>30 per treatment) were sown in seedbeds previously filled with a mixture of non-sterile peat and washed sand (3:1 v/v) for control treatments. For the treated seeds, a 10<sup>9</sup> CFU/mL culture of the assayed strain was diluted (1:10 v/v), and mixed (1:250 v/wt) with the peat-sand mixture; vegetable seeds were then sown and watered with distilled water to achieve appropriate substrate moisture. Seedbeds were covered to allow germination in the dark. Approximately 72 hours after, when germination was accomplished and germination rate was calculated, seedbeds were uncovered to allow stem development, and watered, with tap water, when necessary.

## Greenhouse assays

Vegetable seeds of horticultural importance in the Mediterranean area (corn, cucumber, zucchini, lettuce, chard, pepper, melon, onion, tomato and bean) were chosen for greenhouse assays. Seeds were germinated as described above, and plants were watered with tap water when necessary along the assay. Monitoring of growth was performed every month by measuring 30 plants of each treatment; stem length and gauge, when necessary. The root length was measured after 3 months, and dry weight was calculated for each treatment. Survival of inoculated cells was screened every 15 days, by drop-plating serial dilutions of rhizosphere soil (soil closely associated to roots) in selective media, as described before.

#### Measurement of phosphatase activity in soil

Phosphatase activity was determined as described by Antolín *et al.* (2005). The amount of p-nitrophenol (PNP) released from 0.5 g soil from

each treatment (bulk soil and non- inoculated corn rhizosphere soil taken as controls versus BIRD-1 inoculated corn rhizosphere soil) was measured after incubation at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 120 min with 0.115 M 4nitrophenyl phosphate-disodium (PNPP) as substrate for the enzymatic reaction, in 2 ml of maleate buffer (0.1 M, pH 6.5). Then, samples were cooled at 2°C for 15 minutes to stop enzymatic reaction, and 0.5 mL of 0.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 2 mL of 0.5 M NaOH were added and well-mixed. Each sample was centrifuged at 2000×g for 10 minutes. A blank experiment was performed for each assay, in which the substrate was added to the soil sample after incubation and before stopping the reaction. The amount of PNP per hour released from each soil sample (µg PNP g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was determined by comparing absorbance measures to a PNP standard curve.

#### In vitro nucleic acid techniques

Total DNA extraction was performed as described before (Rodríguez-Herva *et al.*, 2007). Plasmid DNA was isolated using the Qiagen spin miniprep kit. For DNA digestion, the manufacturer's instructions were followed (Roche and New England Biolabs). Ligation of DNA and Southern blots were performed following the standard protocols (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989). Highly electro-competent cells were prepared as previously reported (Choi *et al.*, 2006) and transformed using an EC100 electroporator according to the manufacturer's instructions. Expand high fidelity Taq polymerase was used in the amplification of PCR fragments for cloning.

#### **Construction of mutants**

For the construction of mutants, gene fragments between 400 and 1000 bp were amplified with the appropriate oligonucleotides and cloned into (Dominion MBL). Plasmids pMBL1-T plasmid containing the corresponding inserts were then cut with BamHI (that cuts into the polylinker of the vector, but not iton the insert sequence), and the  $\Omega$ Km resistance cassette of plasmid pHP45 $\Omega$ -Km (Prentki and Kirsch, 1984), previously excised with BamHI, was ligated into the BamHI restriction site. Approximately 600 ng of the resulting plasmid was electroporated into P. putida BIRD-1 (Enderle and Farwell, 1998) to allow a single recombination event between the cloned DNA fragment and its homologous sequence. The resulting kanamycin (25 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) resistant clones were selected and analyzed by Southern blot. Clones that contained the insertion in the appropriate location were kept for further analysis.

#### Nucleotide sequence accession number

The complete genome sequence of *P. putida* BIRD-1 is available in GenBank under accession number CP002290.

## **Results and Discussion**

#### Adhesion and colonisation of plant roots

An early event in the process of plant root colonization by bacteria is their ability to adhere to biotic surfaces - either to the seed surface or to the root. With BIRD-1 our assays showed that approximately  $10^4$  to  $10^5$ CFU of *P. putida* BIRD-1 cells adhered per corn seed, a similar amount to that reported for the KT2440 strain (Espinosa-Urgel *et al.*, 2000; Weinel *et al.*, 2002; Molina-Henares *et al.*, 2006; Yousef-Coronado *et al.*, 2008). Eleven genes found to be involved in adhesion to abiotic and biotic surfaces in *P. putida* KT2440 (Duque *et al.*, 2012) were identified in BIRD-1 (Suppl. Table 1); their degree of identity was in the range of 40 to 100%. Mutants of BIRD-1 in LapA were 10-fold less efficient in adhesion to seeds than the parental strain, and exhibited decreased ability in rhizosphere colonization in competition with the wild-type strain.

Proliferation of BIRD-1 in the plant rhizosphere is favoured by the ability of the strain to use compounds secreted by the plant, among which are the twenty proteinogenic amino acids, organic acids (citric, lactic), sugars (glucose, fructose) and flavonoids. Phenomic assays with a wide battery of carbon sources revealed that *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 is able to use a wide range of amino acids as carbon or nitrogen sources and has the complete set of genes required for the metabolism of proline, glutamate, glutamine, asparagine, tyrosine, valine, branched amino acids, serine and alanine among the amino acids. The strain can use citrate and succinate,

chemicals also present in root exudates, as well as a wide range of ligninderived compounds including hydroxylated aromatic acids and methoxylated compounds. All of these pathways are common to KT2440 and gene analysis showed sequence and gene order conservation; an issue revised before by Jiménez *et al.* (2002) and Bielecki *et al.* (2011).

PGPR properties are particularly relevant in marginal soils or when plants are exposed to abiotic or biotic stresses. Water scarcity is a common problem in soils in the Mediterranean basin. We tested the survival of Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1 in soils with different degrees of humidity using Pseudomonas putida KT2440 as a reference strain for these studies. We introduced approximately 5×107 CFU BIRD-1 or KT2440/g soil and soil moisture was kept at 2%, 10%, 25% and 50%. At a moisture level of >25% survival of both strains over a 16-day period was similar with a slight increase in CFU/g reaching levels in the order of 2 to 4×10<sup>8</sup> CFU/g soil. When the soil moisture was kept at 2%, BIRD-1 survived at a higher density than KT2440 (Figure 1). Therefore, BIRD-1 is more tolerant to desiccation than *P. putida* KT2440 (Muñoz-Rojas et al., 2006). Trehalose has been suggested to be important for the survival of P. putida at low humidity rates. Biosynthesis of trehalose in Pseudomonas can take place through two pathways that involve the conversion of maltose into trehalose via TreS (trehalose synthases (PPUBIRD1\_1765) and PPUBIRD1\_2817 or from maltodextrine catalysed by the TreY (PPUBIRD1\_1772) / TreZ (PPUBIRD1\_1774) proteins). To test the role of

trehalose production in resistance to desiccation in BIRD-1 we generated mutants in treZ and trezS.



**Figure 1.** Viable *P. putida* KT2440, BIRD-1 and a TreZ mutant in rhizosphere soil. Soils were inoculated with  $^{5\times10^{7}}$  CFU/g and sterile pre-germinated corn seeds sown. At the indicated time CFU/g of rhizosphere soil was determined using 3 different pots. Black bars (BIRD-1), light grey (KT2440), dark grey (*treZ* BIRD1 mutant). Other conditions as described in Materials and Methods.

In the low humidity soil the initial survival of the *treZ* mutant was clearly lower than that of the parental strain or that of mutants in the *treS* gene (Figure 1), suggesting that the maltodextrine pathway for the production of trehalose is relevant for colonization of soils by *P. putida*.

Matilla *et al.* (2007) showed that reactive oxygen species are produced in the plant rhizosphere as a consequence of plant root cell respiration, and that root colonization by bacteria requires the ability to overcome oxidative stress. To test resistance to oxidative stress in BIRD-1, a series of phenomic analyses were carried out in *P. putida* BIRD-1 with methylviologen,  $H_2O_2$ , chromate, arseniate and antibiotics such as  $\beta$ - lactams that induce the formation of reactive oxygen species (Sandermann, 2004). The results showed that P. putida BIRD-1 reached similarly high cell densities in cultures without the stressor or with 1 mM methylviologen, 4 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> and 100  $\mu$ g/ml ampicillin. The genome of BIRD-1 was then analyzed in relation to the set of genes involved in oxidative stress responses, and it was found to encode 2 ironmanganese SodA superoxide dismutases (PPUBIRD1\_0966 and PPUBIRD1 0999), and 4 catalases (PPUBIRD1 0143, 0518, 2060 and 2848). In addition we searched for the presence of alkylhydroperoxidases, cytochrome-c peroxidase and glutathione peroxidase. We found 6 homologs for the first group (PPUBIRD1\_1273, 2010, 2150, 2706, 2708, 3265 and 3243), a single cytochrome (PPUBIRD1\_2732) and ຂ peroxidase glutathione peroxidases (PPUBIRD1\_3741, and 3931). The redundancy of the genes encoding different isoenzymes related to oxidative stress in the genome of P. putida BIRD-1 probably points towards the importance of these proteins and their likely synergic action in the removal of active oxygen species.

#### Evidence of plant-growth promoting activities encoded by BIRD-1

In another set of assays we tested the stimulatory effect of BIRD-1 on growth of maize roots on agar plates as a model. To this end sterilized corn seeds were deposited on the surface of a water-agar plate either inoculated or not with *P. putida* BIRD-1 ( $10^6$  CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> of surface plate). Germination of corn seeds was monitored over time and the size of the primary root was observed to be larger for seeds on agar surfaces with

bacteria than in the absence of microbes at any incubation time (Figure 2), and that secondary roots also developed more regularly in seeds germinated with bacteria than without.



**Figure 2.** Root development of corn seeds germinated on agar plates. Water-agar plates were prepared, one plate was kept as a control (A) and 0.1 ml of a suspension containing 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml was spread on top of the other plate (B). Once the surfaces were dry, a single sterile corn seed was deposited per agar plate and root development monitored over time. The picture corresponds to a typical situation after 1 week incubation at 22°C.

To further confirm these results different plant seeds were also submerged in water with  $10^6$  CFU of BIRD-1 per ml and after 1 h, 100 seeds of each treatment were sown in seedbeds. We found that the germination rate of all tested plants was higher than in the untreated control (Figure 3A). In addition, we also determined the growth of the plants' aerial part by measuring the size of the plants growing in pots with and without >10<sup>6</sup> CFU BIRD-1/g soil. We observed that the plants growing in soils inoculated with *P. putida* were larger than those growing in non-inoculated soils (Figure 3B).



**Figure 3. A:** Germination rate of seeds of plants of agronomical interest. The assay was performed as described in Matherials and Methods. We used 100 seeds of each plant per assay.. Germination took from 3 days for corn seeds to 10 days for strawberry seeds. The assay was repeated three times and the standard error was in the range of 5 to 15% of the mean values. **B:** Height of plants after 30 days. The seeds of plants were sown in a mixture of pit: sand and a fluvisol soil (1:1:1) and watered twice a week to keep soil water capacity in the range of 30 to 50%. Plants were incubated for 30 days under light-dark (12h:12h) conditions at 22°C in a plant growth chamber.

This series of assays supported that BIRD-1 exhibited PGPR properties, and based on the reported PGPR traits for *Pseudomonas*, one or several of the following explanations is possible: (i) increased solubilisation and mineralization of nutrients, particularly insoluble phosphates (de Freitas *et al.*, 1997; Richardson 2001; Miller *et al.*, 2010); (ii) facilitation of iron uptake by plants by producing higher amounts of siderophores (Cattelan *et al.*, 1999; Pal *et al.*, 2001; Glick and Pasternak 2003; Bakker *et al.*, 2007; Fernández-Piñar *et al.*, 2011); (iii) the ability to produce higher levels of indole acetic acid (IAA) (Dangar and Basu 1987; Patten and Glick 2002; Dobbelaere *et al.* 2003; Dey *et al.* 2004; Viruel *et al.*, 2011); (iv) the ability to produce, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase to reduce the level of ethylene in the root of developing plants thereby increasing the root length and growth (Li *et al.* 2000; Penrose and Glick 2001); (v) the ability to cope with oxidative stress generated in the rhizosphere of plants (Stajner *et al.*, 1995, 1997; Matilla *et al.*, 2010). We then designed specific experiments to test these alternative mechanisms.

#### Solubilisation of inorganic phosphate

The phosphate solubilisation trait of Pseudomonas putida has been attributed to the production of organic acids (Jones and Darrah, 1994; Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999; Shekar and Nautiyal, 1999; Miller et al., 2010). To test BIRD-1's ability to solubilise different phosphorous sources we cultured the strains on modified medium A with various insoluble inorganic phosphate sources (tricalcium phosphate, dicalcium phosphate and phosphate rock) in the presence of different C-sources and monitored growth as CFU/mL. We found that growth occurred with the three P sources with glucose, fructose, glycerol and aromatic acids such as benzoate, although growth with glucose led to higher cell densities (Suppl. Figure 1). Figure 4 shows the results with phosphate rock as a source of phosphorous, and 5 mM sodium benzoate as a C-source. We found that cells can grow exponentially and that phosphate in solution reached a concentration of 0.5 mg/L, what indicated that the strain solubilized phosphate (Figure 4); the pH throughout the experiment was in the range of 5.8 to 7.0.



**Figure 4.** Solubilisation of phosphate and growth of *P. putida* BIRD-1. We used Amedium with 5 g phosphate rock per 100 mL as a source of inorganic phosphorous, and inoculated cultures with an initial cell density of  $2 \times 10^6$  CFU/ml. At the indicated times phosphate in solution (O) and CFU/ml ( $\blacktriangle$ ) were determined as described in Materials and Methods.

The production of organic acids especially that of gluconic acid and 2ketogluconic acid appears to be the most frequent agent of mineral phosphate solubilisation by bacteria such as Pseudomonas sp., Erwinia herbicola, Burkholderia sp., Rhizobium leguminosarum, Bacillus firmus, and other soil bacteria (Duff and Webley 1959; Banik and Dey 1982; Halder et al., 1990; Halder and Chakrabarty 1993; Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999). Pseudomonas BIRD-1 produces gluconic acid in the periplasmic space after the oxidation of glucose. In this study we have identified a BIRD-1 mutant that fail to use glucose as a C-source and that exhibits a knockout Entner-Doudoroff pathway  $\Delta$ (2-dehydro-3in eda deoxyphosphogluconate aldolase). This mutant converted most glucose to 2-ketogluconate (50% of glucose was converted into the acid), and as a consequence, in the Pikovskaya's assay the mutant strain exhibited

increased ability to solubilise insoluble tricalcium phosphate (Suppl. Figure 2).

Several enzymes have also been shown to be involved in making insoluble phosphorous compounds available for cell growth. These processes are achieved via the action of phosphatases, such as phosphohydrolases (Gügi et al., 1991; Rodríguez and Fraga 1999), phytases (Richardson and Hadobas 1997), phosphonoacetate hydrolases (McGrath et al., 1998), D- $\alpha$ -glycerophosphatases (Skrary and Cameron 1998) and C-P lyases (Ohtake et al., 1996). We searched the genome of P. putida BIRD-1 to identify genes encoding these activities. We found that 5 potential phosphatases involved in making phosphorous available are encoded by genome of BIRD-1, namely a 4-phytase the family member (PPUBIRD1\_5077), a phosphonoacetate hydrolase of the PhnA family (PPUBIRD1 0727), two PAP2 acid phosphatases (PPUBIRD1 2395 and PPUBIRD1\_0951), an alkaline phosphatase (PPUBIRD1\_0932), and an exopolyphosphatase (PPUBIRD1 5012). In agreement with P. putida BIRD-1 encoding a phytase is the fact that the strain is able to grow reaching high cell densities with phytate, the main form of phosphorous stored in plants, as a source of phosphorous (Suppl. Figure 3). We have also determined the total phosphatase activity of BIRD-1 in the rhizosphere of corn plants, and in bulk soil following the protocol described by Antolín et al. (2005). At all time points assayed the phosphatase activity was higher in cells recovered from the rhizosphere than in cells from bulk soil (Figure 5), which suggests that plant root

exudates may enhance the expression of one or more of the indicated enzymes. These results are in agreement with an earlier observation by Ramos-González *et al.*, (2005), using IVET technology, which revealed that phosphorous uptake and phosphorous solubilisation genes were induced in *P. putida* KT2440 growing in the rhizosphere of plants.



**Figure 5.** Phosphatase activity in bulk soil and rhizosphere soil. Plants were grown for 25 days in pots with 1 kg soil. At the indicated time 3 plants were removed, and the soil attached to roots was sieved through a 2 mm mesh, this was considered the rhizosphere soil. The rest of soil (bulk) was also sieved in the same way. Triplicate assays were run using one g soil per assay to determine total phosphatase activity, as described in Materials and Methods. O, Rhizosphere soil;  $\blacktriangle$ , bulk soil.

#### **Production of siderophores**

Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1 secretes pyoverdine when grown under irondeficient conditions. As a step towards understanding the molecular events involved in pyoverdine synthesis we screened the BIRD-1 mini-Tn5 mutant library to identify genes involved in pyoverdine biosynthesis by selecting clones unable to grow on liquid minimal medium without supplemented iron. A single clone with an insertion in the *pvdD* gene (PPUBIRD1\_1630) was found. Some genes related to pyoverdine synthesis were grouped (i.e. PPUBIRD1\_1630 through to 1634) while other *pvd* genes for pyoverdine synthesis were found to be scattered throughout the *P. putida* BIRD-1 genome (e.g. *pvdA* which corresponded to PPUBIRD1\_1983).

The genome of BIRD-1 encodes a TonB-dependent pyoverdine-iron receptor, FpvA, which is highly specific for the pyoverdine produced by this microorganism. The *fvpA* gene is in an operon with *pvdS* which encodes a sigma-24 family factor and its corresponding anti-sigma (Matilla *et al.*, 2011). Expression of this cluster is known to be under the control of the PvdS and FpvR genes both of which are present in the genome of BIRD-1 and which guarantee the regulated expression of siderophore production. Transport of iron from the periplasm to the cytoplasm is most likely mediated by a three-component ABC transporter made up of the FecBCE proteins (PPUBIRD1\_3269/3271) whose genes form an operon, although we cannot rule out the possibility of other ABC transporters playing this role.

Many *Pseudomonas putida* strains are capable of using a wide range of structurally unrelated exogenous siderophores by means of multiple receptors that are located in the outer membrane. In the genome of the KT2440 strain Martínez-Bueno *et al.* (2002) identified 10 TonBdependent siderophore receptors (FecA-like) for which the strain lacked the corresponding biosynthetic genes for the siderophore, although the

strain had its corresponding adjacent FecI/FecR control system (Table 1). This was taken as evidence that KT2440 was an efficient iron sequestering strain. We carried out a similar bioinformatics analysis with the BIRD-1 genome and found that BIRD-1 also possesses these 10 TonB-like iron receptors (Table 1) as well as 15 other FecA-like proteins that were not linked to *fecI/fecR*-like genes (Table 1). Eleven of these 15 FecA-like proteins have a best hit in BLAST analysis with the corresponding protein system of KT2440 while the others exhibited >95% identity with iron-receptors of *P. putida* F1.

The unusual systems of iron acquisition probably contribute to broaden the ecological competence of *Pseudomonas putida* in terms of colonization and persistence in the rhizosphere. Since BIRD-1 has multiple ironreceptors we hypothesized that the FvpD mutant will grow and survive in soils through the acquisition of iron via the series of alternative receptors encoded in its genome. We established competition assays with the parental strain and the FvpD mutant in the rhizosphere of corn plants and, as expected, we found that both colonised and grew at equivalent cell densities.

| Receptor                          | Gene ID P. putida BIRD-1 | SWISSPROT/TrEMBL blast hit   | Identity % | Organism<br>( <i>P. putida</i> ) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|------------|----------------------------------|
| FecA-like associated to FecI/FecR |                          |  |            |                                  |
| Pp-rec-5                          | PPUBIRD1_4301            | Probable TonB-dependent receptor                                       | 89         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-7                          | PPUBIRD1_0385            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 95         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-8                          | PPUBIRD1_0190            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 98         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-14                         | PPUBIRD1_1056            | TonB-dependent haemoglobin/<br>transferrin/lactoferrin family receptor | 95         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-17                         | PPUBIRD1_2217            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 98         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-18                         | PPUBIRD1_0918            | FecA-like outer membrane receptor                                      | 99         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-19                         | PPUBIRD1_3458            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 99         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-20                         | PPUBIRD1_3580            | Ferric-pseudobactin M114 receptor pbuA                                 | 97         | F1                               |
| Pp-rec-21                         | PPUBIRD1_3262            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 89         | GB1                              |
| Pp-rec-22                         | PPUBIRD1_0868            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 99         | KT2440                           |
| FecA solo                         |                          |  |            |                                  |
| Pp-rec-23                         | PPUBIRD1_1698            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 99         | F1                               |
| Pp-rec-24                         | PPUBIRD1_2432            | Outer membrane ferric siderophore receptor, putative                   | 99         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-25                         | PPUBIRD1_2577            | Outer membrane ferric siderophore receptor, putative                   | 98         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-26                         | PPUBIRD1_3090            | Outer membrane ferric siderophore receptor                             | 99         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-27                         | PPUBIRD1_3412            | Ferric enterobactin receptor   | 98         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-28                         | PPUBIRD1_2426            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 97         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-29                         | PPUBIRD1_0575            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 98         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-30                         | PPUBIRD1_3267            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 97         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-31                         | PPUBIRD1_0912            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 99         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-32                         | PPUBIRD1_0300            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 98         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-33                         | PPUBIRD1_0294            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 99         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-34                         | PPUBIRD1_3765            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 99         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-35                         | PPUBIRD1_2177            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 99         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-36                         | PPUBIRD1_2178            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 99         | KT2440                           |
| Pp-rec-37                         | PPUBIRD1_3161            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 98         | F1                               |
| Pp-rec-38                         | PPUBIRD1_4461            | TonB-dependent siderophore receptor                                    | 95         | F1                               |

## **Table 1.** FecA-like receptors in the genome of *P. putida* BIRD-1

The top box shows *fecA* genes physically linked to *fecI/fecR* regulatory systems. The bottom box shows non-linked FecA-like. The PPUBIRD1\_xxxx number locates the corresponding receptor in the genome of BIRD-1 strain. The annotation refers to the best blast hit with sequences deposited in the SWISSPROT/TrEMBL database and we indicate the degree of identity and the microorganism source that gave the best hit.

#### **Biosynthesis of IAA**

The most physiologically active auxin in plants is indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), which is known to stimulate both rapid (e.g. increases in cell elongation) and long-term (e.g. cell division and differentiation) responses in plants (Cleland 1990; Spaepen *et al.*, 2007). IAA is the most common and best characterized phytohormone. It has been estimated that 80% of bacteria isolated from the rhizosphere can produce IAA, particularly in medium with tryptophan (Patten and Glick 1996). We carried out an assay to test IAA production in DF minimal salt medium based on the Salkowski reagent, as described by Patten and Glick (2002). We found that *P. putida* BIRD-1 produced and excreted IAA to the outer medium (up to 120 ppm/unit of DO<sub>660</sub>) and that production was at least 2-fold higher when the medium was supplemented with 3 mM tryptophan (Suppl. Figure 4).

In BIRD-1, indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is produced through at least two putative tryptophan-dependent IAA-biosynthetic pathways that are also present in other *P. putida* strains (Wu et al., 2010) (Figure 6). In one of the IAA biosynthesis pathways BIRD-1 possesses two copies of tryptophan 2-monooxygenase which is involved in the conversion of tryptophan into indole-3-acetamide (PPUBIRD1\_0418 and PPUBIRD1\_1202), while in the other pathway, a single gene is present in the initial step that involves the conversion of tryptophan into tryptamine (PPUBIRD1\_3125). Regarding the secretion of IAA it should be noted that BIRD-1 possesses three genes encoding putative auxin

efflux carriers (PPUBIRD1\_2233, PPUBIRD1\_2634 and PPUBIRD1\_0977), similar to those which have been shown to facilitate the excretion of the plant hormone to the surrounding medium or soil when the plant/microbe interaction is studied in sown plants.



Figure 6. Synthesis of IAA based on the annotation of the BIRD-1 genome.

Since two potential IAA pathways were present in the genome of BIRD-1 we decided to individually inactivate each of the pathways through the generation of mutants by site-directed mutagenesis. For the tryptophan 2-monoxygenase single and double mutants were generated using pCHESI-Km and pCHESI-Gm. A single mutant in tryptophan decarboxylase was also constructed using pCHESI-Km and a double mutant inactivating tryptophan decarboxylase and one of the tryptophan 2-monooxygenases was constructed. We then measured the capacity of the mutants to produce IAA using the quantitative assay. The results showed that after 24 h incubation in DF minimal medium the single and double mutants produced amounts that were about a half of that produced by the wild-type strain. These IAA levels were still high enough to allow for a faster growth of maize roots than in the absence of bacteria (See Suppl. Figure 5). These results are intriguing and thus we are further analysing IAA biosynthesis and IAA metabolism in this strain.

#### Inactivation of the ACC deaminase

Ethylene is a plant-growth regulator that affects plant growth, development and senescence. AAC is present in plant root exudates (Reid 1987) and some PGPR have been proposed to promote plant growth by lowering the levels of ethylene through the activity of the enzyme 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase, which hydrolyzes ACC, the immediate biosynthetic precursor of ethylene in plants (Yang and Hoffman 1984). The products of this hydrolysis, ammonia and  $\alpha$ ketobutyrate, can be used by the bacterium as a source of nitrogen and carbon for growth (Klee *et al.* 1991). In this way, the bacterium act as a sink for ACC and thus lower ethylene levels in plants, preventing some of the potentially deleterious consequences of high ethylene concentrations (Glick *et al.*, 1998; Steenhooudt and Vanderleyden 2000; Saleem *et al.*, 2007). We found that BIRD-1 has an ORF (PPU\_BIRD\_3642) that exhibits high homology to the ACC deaminase of different microorganisms. We inactivated the PPU\_BIRD\_3642 allele using site-directed mutagenesis

and found that its absence did not influence plant root development under standard growth conditions in soil in controlled chambers (Suppl. Figure 6), what indicated that ACC deaminase did not play a significant role in the development of maize roots.

#### **Concluding remarks**

Several mechanisms by which PGPR promote plant growth have been described in the literature. In the genome of *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 we have identified many of the genes that are involved in this phenotype. Concordantly, BIRD-1 is able to solubilise organic and inorganic phosphate, to synthesize plant hormones, to produce siderophores and to survive the oxidative stress imposed by the rhizosphere. Furthermore, the BIRD-1 genome also encodes all of the functions required to adhere to seeds and to colonize roots, we have been shown that it is a good colonizer of maize, tomato, pepper, zucchini and strawberry roots. BIRD-1 possesses a complete set of chemotaxis genes (our unpublished results) and responds to amino acids in root exudates as a signal (our unpublished results). The combination of all of these properties makes it an excellent microbe for utilization in agriculture. BIRD-1 promotes the growth of the plant by synthesizing IAA and other chemicals. Furthermore, its ability to survive dessicating conditions, grants this strain an additional property of exceptional utility for its use in non-irrigated farming. We view the Pseudomonas-plant pair as a mutualistic interaction in which the microorganism solubilises P and Fe and also produces plant growth hormones that favour plant growth; while the plants release up to 20% of

fixed  $CO_2$  as root exudates that can be used by *Pseudomonas* to proliferate, so that at the end a beneficial interaction is established due to the concomitant high microbial cell densities in the plant rhizosphere.

*P. putida* BIRD-1 possesses an exceptional arsenal of mechanisms to flourish in the rhizosphere of plants and to promote plant growth. More studies are necessary to firmly establish these abilities in field tests and to determine the PGPR efficiency in a less controlled environment.

#### Acknowledgments

Work was supported by Fondo Social Europeo and FEDER Funds through Programa Campus of Junta de Andalucía (Project SV40), awarded by Agencia IDEA to Bio-Iliberis R&D, and by project BIO2010-17227 from the Ministry of Science and Innovation awarded to CSIC.

# Chapter 1

# Supplementary information



Supplementary Figure 1. Growth of *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 with different sources of inorganic phosphorous. We used A-medium with the indicated C source and insoluble tricalcium phosphate ( $500 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$ ) as a source of phosphate. Viable cells at the beginning of the assay were 2 to  $3 \times 107 \text{ CFU } \text{mL}^{-1}$ . After 24 h incubation at  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$  the CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> was determined after spreading serial dilutions on LB medium. The control is M9 medium with 50 mM soluble phosphate.



**Supplementary Figure 2.** Pikovskaya test with BIRD-1 and mutants in the Entner-Doudoroff pathway. Plates were prepared as described in Matherials and methods. We inoculated cells using a toothpick in a single point on the agar surface. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 30°C and then the solubilization halo was visualized. (A) Wild-type; (B) *zwf* mutant and (C) *eda* mutant.

# Chapter 1



**Supplementary Figure 3.** Growth of *P. putida* BIRD-1 with phytate. Assays were performed with A-medium containing  $1 g l^{-1}$  phytate. At the indicated times cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> were determined. As a control, cells growing on M9 minimal medium were used.  $\circ$ , growth in M9 medium;  $\bullet$ , growth with phytate.


**Supplementary Figure 4.** Production of IAA by wild-type BIRD-1 and different isogenic mutants. IAA concentration was determined as described in Materials and methods. The solid back bar represents the wild-type strains and the other bars the indicated mutants.



**Supplementary Figure 5.** Corn root development with mutants in the IAA biosynthetic pathways. The picture shows controls without bacteria (A), with wild-type BIRD-1 (B) and KT2440 (C) strains, and three isogenic mutants of BIRD-1 with knockouts in PPUBIRD1\_0418, 1202 and 3125 genes (D, E and F respectively).



**Supplementary Figure 6.**Corn root development in a control seed without bacteria (A), and inoculated seeds with BIRD-1 (B) and a mutant deficient in the ACC deaminase gene (C). Conditions were as described for Figure 2 except that a knockout (PPUBIRD1\_3642) ACC mutant was used.

**Supplementary Table 1.** Genes involved in adhesion to biotic and abiotic surfaces in *Pseudomonas putida* strain BIRD-1 deduced from the identification of reciprocal genes in *P. putida* KT2440.

|                   | P. puti      | da KT244 | łO  | <i>P. putida</i><br>BIRD1 |  |           |
|-------------------|--------------|----------|---|---------------------------|--|-----------|
| Group             | Locus        | Gene     | <b>Product Name</b>                                 | Locus                     | e-                                     | Identity  |
|                   | (PP_)        | Name     |   | (PPUBIRD1_)               | value                                  | %         |
| Large<br>adhesion | 0168         | lapA     | Surface adhesion<br>protein                         | 0199                      | 0                                      | 91        |
| proteins          | 0806         | lapF     | Surface adhesion<br>protein                         | 0852                      | 0                                      | 87        |
|                   | 0805         | lapH     | Component of an<br>ABC transport<br>system for LapF | 0851                      | 0                                      | 90        |
| Regulators        | 0952         | rpoN     | RNA polymerase<br>factor sigma-54                   | 1005                      | 0                                      | 98        |
|                   | 1650         | gacS     | Global sensor<br>kinase GacS                        | 3966                      | 0                                      | 90        |
| Flagella          | 4352         | flhB     | Flagella protein<br>FlhB                            | 1506                      | le <sup>-180</sup>                     | 84        |
|                   | 4369         | fliF     | Flagella MS-ring<br>protein                         | 1490                      | 0                                      | 88        |
|                   | 4376         | fliD     | Flagellar hook-<br>associated<br>protein            | 1467                      | 2e <sup>-86</sup>                      | 40        |
| Others            | 4378<br>1633 | fliC<br> | Flagellin<br>Hypothetical<br>secreted protein       | 1465<br>3983              | 2e <sup>-55</sup><br>2e <sup>-66</sup> | 65<br>100 |
| _                 | 5329         | pstS     | PstS  | 5121                      | le <sup>-170</sup>                     | 89        |

Duque *et al.* (2013) using different approaches identified 11 genes whose products were involved in attachment to biotic and abiotic surfaces. Reciprocal genes were identified in the *P. putida* genome by BLAST search. The corresponding PP numbers in the genome of KT2440 and BIRD-1 are given together with the identity of the corresponding proteins in the two strains. The genes are named as annoted in the KT2440 genome.



# Restoration of a Mediterranean forest after a fire: bioremediation and rhizoremediation field-scale trial

This chapter will be submitted as:

Pizarro-Tobías P, Roca A, Fernández M, Niqui JL, Solano J, Duque A, Ramos JL. Restoration of a Mediterranean forest after a fire: bioremediation and rhizoremediation field-scale trial

# Abstract

Forest fires pose a serious threat to countries in the Mediterranean basin, often razing large areas of land each year. After fires, soils are more likely to erode and resilience is inhibited in part by the toxic aromatic hydrocarbons produced during the combustion of cellulose and lignings. In this study we explored the use of bioremediation and rhizoremediation techniques for soil restoration in a field-scale trial in a protected Mediterranean ecosystem after a controlled fire. Our bioremediation strategy combined the use of *Pseudomonas putida* strains, indigenous culturable microbes and annual grasses. After eight months of monitoring soil quality parameters, including the removal of monoaromatic and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) as well as vegetation cover, we found that the site had returned to pre-fire status. Microbial population analysis revealed that fires induced changes in the indigenous microbiota, and that rhizoremediation favours the recovery of soil microbiota in time. The plant-microbe combination analysed in this study represents an effective strategy for the restoration of soils after a forest fire.

# Introduction

During high temperatures and lack of rainfall, forest fires represent the most frequent perturbation within Mediterranean ecosystems (Vila-Escalé *et al.*, 2007; Hernández *et al.*, 1997). Loss of forest mass is an extended concern throughout the Mediterranean basin; in 2012 almost 200,000 hectares of forests were affected by fire in Spain. This amount was three times the land area affected in 2011, making it one of the most devastating years for forest biomass in the Iberian Peninsula (http://www.magrama.gob.es). While drought and heat are natural causes of wildfires, many occur due to incorrect agricultural management, negligence or as the result of economic interests (Olivella *et al.*, 2006; Vergnoux *et al.*, 2011).

Fire-induced perturbations comprise changes in the physical, mineralogical, chemical and biological properties of soil (Certini, 2005), with levels of severity depending on the intensity and duration of combustion (Campbell et al., 1994; Franklin et al., 1997; DeBano et al., 1998). The immediate effects of fire on soil include: (i) the incineration of associated vegetation cover, which changes nutrient availability and surface organic matter content (Vázquez et al., 1993); (ii) a significant decrease in microbial cell density per gram of soil (DeBano, 1998; Certini, 2005); (iii) compositional changes in soil microbial populations (Torres et al., 1997; Smith et al., 2008); (iv) reduction of water infiltration and rainfall retention, which is required to support plants and, thus, important for resisting erosion (DeBano, 2000; González-Pérez et al.,

2004); and (v) the release of several pyrolytic substances as PAHs, which are toxic and have a tendency to bioaccumulate (Vila-Escalé *et al.*, 2007). Soil dynamics depend not only on physicochemical properties, but also on microbiological health since the return of vegetation after a fire is directly impacted by the metabolic activity of microorganisms, which facilitate nutrient cycling (Certini, 2005).

Technology for the remediation of PAH-polluted sites has traditionally been centred on physicochemical treatments (Fernández *et al.*, 2012); however, more recently, the use of microorganisms for *in situ* degradation of pollutants has gained popularity as a bioremediation process (Kuiper *et al.*, 2004; Segura *et al.*, 2009). In these processes either native degraders or exogenous microorganisms with appropriate metabolic traits are used. When indigenous microbes are used, the process is known as bioaugmentation (Andreoni *et al.*, 2004; Segura *et al.*, 2009).

Due to the slow natural recovery of soil after a fire, bioremediation techniques have been developed combining microorganisms with plants to accelerate the recovery of soil properties, increase microbial biomass and accelerate plant recolonisation. The general process is referred to as phytoremediation, whereas the process is known as rhizoremediation when plants with root-associated microorganisms are used (Kuiper *et al.*, 2001; Kuiper *et al.*, 2004, Wood, 2008, Segura *et al.*, 2009, Segura and Ramos, 2012). Hence, in a broad sense, the term bioremediation

encompasses rhizoremediation; however, in this article we will refer to the use of microorganisms alone (without plants) as "bioremediation" to distinguish it from rhizoremediation (joint plant-microbe processes).

There have been few studies that tackle field-scale bioremediation and/or rhizoremediation assays without using physicochemical treatments (Huang *et al.*, 2004; Bamforth and Singleton, 2005); in addition, these technologies had not been tested in ecosystems affected by fire, emphasizing the importance of results of the current study. The aims of this current field-scale study were to assess bioremediation and rhizoremediation techniques for the recovery of soil health to pre-fire levels. The chosen methods involved the use of rapidly-growing pasture seeds to curb erosion; the addition of exogenous growth-promoting (PGPR) microbes and degradative bacteria and/or indigenous culturable microbiota to restore pristine soil properties, induce the degradation of PAHs, and to promote seed germination, plant recolonisation and vegetation growth. The results suggest that Mediterranean ecosystems affected by fire can be restored to pre-fire conditions within months via rhizoremediation technology.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Field experiment site description

The protected Parque Natural de los Montes de Málaga (www.juntadeandalucia.es/medioambiente) served as the Mediterranean ecosystem in this study. Located in the south of Spain in the province of Málaga, the park occupies an area close to 5,000 Ha (Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** Location of the Parque Natural de los Montes de Málaga (green region) at the south of Spain. The red spot in the map corresponds to the location of the burnt site object of this study.

This ecosystem was declared protected in 1989 and comprises *Pinus Halepensis*, *Quercus ilex*, *Quercus suber* and *Quercus faginea* forests. The park is also home of endangered species, such as the *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* (chameleon). The southern Mediterranean region of Spain is heavily affected by fire (http://www.magrama.gob.es), so fireguard training and testing of new materials for use in fire extinction is considered to be of great importance (Pausas, 2012). An experimental fire, reaching 450 °C, was induced under the strict supervision of the Andalusian Forest Fire Brigade (INFOCA, <u>http://www.juntadeandalucia.es</u>) in April 2008, allowing us to use it afterwards for this study.

The burnt plot (N 36° 52.804' - W 004° 21.013') was located on a hill with a high slope that was subdivided into 15 terraces (subplots), each with an area of approximately 100 m<sup>2</sup>, which were cleared of calcined vegetation before setting the assay. Different treatments were tested on different terraces to ease the leaching effect from adjacent conditions. To avoid contamination between inoculated subplots, non-inoculated ones were set up at the top part of the hill. A non-burnt plot was established nearby, which was also divided into terraces (comprising four subplots), for control treatments in pristine soil to be applied in parallel. A preliminary soil analysis was performed and monoaromatic and PAHs were measured. The assay was initiated on October 2008.

Bioremediation treatments were designed to analyse *in situ* the role of indigenous microbiota and introduced microbes with or without plants on hydrocarbon removal and soil restoration. The exogenous microbes chosen were two wild-type strains of *Pseudomonas putida* harbouring the catabolic plasmid pWWO (Ramos *et al.*, 1991), the KT2440 (Bagdasarian *et al.*, 1981) and BIRD-1 strains (Matilla *et al.*, 2011, Roca *et al.*, 2012).

Rhizoremediation assays were run with two kinds of plants, white clover (*Trifolium repens*) and Avex III, which is a commercial pasture seed mixture, composed of annual ryegrass, annual legumes, *Avena strigosa* and annual vetches. The applied treatments are summarized in Table 1.

| Burnt Soil       |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Treatment        | Composition                           | Microorganisms<br>applied  | <b>Plant seeds mixture</b><br>None   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control          | Untreated bare<br>soil                | None   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plants control   | Non-inoculated<br>plants              | None   | Peat<br>AVEXIII<br>( <i>Avena strigosa</i> ,<br>vetches, ryegrass,<br>annual legumes)<br><i>Trifolium repens</i> |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bioremediation   | Microbial<br>consortium               | <i>P. putida</i> BIRD-1<br>(pWWO)<br><i>P. putida</i> KT2440<br>(pWWO)<br>Indigenous bacterial<br>consortium | None   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhizoremediation | Plants and<br>microbial<br>consortium | P. putida BIRD-1<br>(pWWO)<br>P. putida KT2440<br>(pWWO)<br>Indigenous bacterial<br>consortium               | Peat<br>AVEXIII<br>( <i>Avena strigosa</i> ,<br>vetches, ryegrass,<br>annual legumes)<br><i>Trifolium repens</i> |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  | Pr                                    | ristine Soil   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment        | Composition                           | Microorganisms<br>applied  | Plant seeds mixture  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control          | Untreated                             | None   | None   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treated          | Plants and<br>microbial<br>consortium | P. putida BIRD-1<br>(pWWO)<br>P. putida KT2440<br>(pWWO)<br>Indigenous bacterial<br>consortium               | Peat<br>AVEXIII<br>( <i>Avena strigosa</i> ,<br>vetches, ryegrass,<br>annual legumes)<br><i>Trifolium repens</i> |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table 1.** Composition of treatments and strains applied to burnt and pristine soil.

Characteristics that were assayed include bacterial survival, impact on indigenous microbial populations, soil restoration parameters, hydrocarbon analysis and evolution of the landscape.

# Treatments procedure

An organic solid vegetable support was used (commercial peat) as a carrier for microorganisms.. Treatments applied are summarized in Table 1.

i) Control: The control bulk soil subplots remained untreated.

ii) Control plants: Avex III seeds  $(10 \text{ g/m}^2)$  and Trifolium repens  $(5 \text{ g/m}^2)$  were spread over the soil surface, then, a slight topsoil work was made to promote seed germination.

iii) *Bioremediation:* 1L of each microorganism/consortium was mixed with 1OL of tap water and then mixed with 8OL of peat. After spreading the mixture over the soil surface, topsoil was slightly worked to achieve a homogeneous mixture of the peat with the soil.

iv) *Rhizoremediation:* For each treated plot, 80 L of peat was homogeneously mixed with Avex III (10 g/m<sup>2</sup>) or clover (5 g/m<sup>2</sup>), then, 1L of each microorganism in 10L of tap water was added to the peat-seed mixture. After spreading the mixture over the soil surface, the topsoil was slightly worked to promote seed germination.

During the study, three samples of topsoil (10 cm) of each subplot were collected.

#### Strains and culture media

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are shown in Table 1. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 was grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with sodium benzoate (10 mM) as the carbon source (Abril *et al.*, 1989). The catabolic plasmid pWWO was transferred to *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 by conjugation, as described by Ramos *et al.* (1991). *P. putida* BIRD-1 harbouring the catabolic plasmid pWWO was grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with methyl benzoate as carbon source, and supplemented with spectinomycin (100µg/mL) and rifampicin (20 µg/mL). *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 (pWWO) was grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with toluene and rifampicin (10 µg/mL). Cultures were incubated at 30°C and shaken on an orbital platform operating at 200 strokes per minute. Monitoring of survival of each strain in soil was performed by drop-plating dilution series in solid M9 minimal medium supplemented with the required carbon sources and antibiotics, as described previously.

Indigenous culturable microorganisms were isolated by enrichment from the superficial layer of burnt soil (5 cm depth) using M9 minimal medium supplemented with diesel fuel as a carbon source. Monitoring of survival of soil microorganisms was performed by drop-plating dilution series in solid M9 minimal medium supplemented with diesel fuel, as described above.

Identity verification, in soil, of the introduced *P. putida* strains was performed using REPc fingerprinting, as described by Aranda-Olmedo *et al.* (2002), combined with colony hybridization, as described by Sambrook *et al.* (1989) using DNA probes corresponding to the *xyls* gene (to identify the pWWO catabolic plasmid) or the PP\_0314 gene (to identify *P. putida* KT2440).

#### Library construction and biodiversity analysis

Total DNA was extracted from approximately 0.5 g of each soil sample using the FastDNA kit (Qbiogene Inc CA, USA) and purified on agarose The Eubacterial gels. universal primers GM3F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGC-3') and GM4R (5'-TACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') were used for amplifying the 16S rDNA gene (Muyzer et al., 1993). Each PCR reaction was performed in 50µl reaction volume containing 5µl of reaction buffer, 0.2 mM of primers, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphates, and 2.5 U DNA polymerase. The PCR conditions was as follows: 5 min of denaturation at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 95°C, 1 min for primer annealing, 2 min at 72°C for primer extension, and a final cycle at 72°C for 10 min. The products of two consecutive PCRs were then pooled and purified through extraction from agarose gels prior to cloning into pGEM-T vectors. The resulting plasmids were transformed in competent Escherichia coli DH5a cells and positive transformants were color-screened on LB plates supplemented with  $(100 \mu g/ml),$ ampicillin (80 µ g/ml), X-Gal and isopropyl-β-Dthiogalactopyranoside (IPTG;20 mM). Clones with the correct insert were

sequenced using the vector primers M13 F (5'-GGAAACAGCTATGACCATG-3') and M13 R (5'-GTTGTAAAACGACGGCCAGT-3').

The quality of the obtained sequences was manually checked using DNA Baser (http://www.dnabaser.com/download/download.html) and verified with Bellerophon (Huber *et al.*, 2004) and CHECK\_CHIMERA (Maidak *et al.*, 1996), and all chimeric sequences were discarded. These sequences were then compared with those in the GenBank database using the BLASTn tool and the RDP database with classifier tool and aligned using ClustalW (Thompson *et al.*, 1994). DNA aligned of 16S rDNA gene sequences were used to construct a DNA distance matrix and rarefaction matrices with DOTUR package (Schloss and Handelsman, 2005). FASTUNIFRAC (Hamady *et al.*, 2010) was used to produce Principal Coordinate Analyses (PCoA) comparing all samples.

# Hydrocarbons measurement

To perform the PAH analysis, soil samples were dried at 40°C and frozen. Defrosted samples were dried completely in a second step using an equivalent weight of mortar-ground anhydrous sodium sulfate. For PAH extraction, approximately 45 g of soil was placed inside a cellulose extraction thimble (Filtros ANOIA, Barcelona, Spain) and extracted with a mixture of dichloromethane:acetone (1:1) for 15 hours. Once the extraction was completed, the organic solvents were evaporated and the remaining residue was re-dissolved in a small volume of dichloromethane (4-5 mL). To remove polar compounds, clean up of the organic extract was performed using Sep-Pak<sup>®</sup> Plus Florisil cartridges (WATERS Corp., Milford, MASS, USA), previously conditioned with 10 mL of dichloromethane. For the next step, dichloromethane was evaporated and the residue was resuspended in 2 mL of acetone. Finally, samples were filtered through a nylon Minisart syringe filter of NY (0,45 µm, 13 mm Ø, Sartorius Stedim Biotech, GmbH, Goettingen, Germany). Analysis of PAH was carried out using an Agilent Technologies HPLC system 1200 Series, equipped with a photodiode array detector (DAD, G1315D) and a scanning fluorescence detector (FLD, G1321A). The column used was a ZORBAX Eclipse PAH (Agilent Technologies, 5µm, 4.6 I.D. x 150 mm). The mobile phase used was an acetonitrile-milli Q water gradient comprising 40% (v/v) acetonitrile from 0 to 1.25 minutes, programmed 100 % (v/v) acetonitrile between 1.25 to 18 minutes. The initial solvent composition (60% milli Q water, 40% acetonitrile) was then maintained for further 3.5 minutes.

#### Measurement of soil quality parameters

# Measurement of pH in soil

The pH values were measured in air-dried soil, sieved through 2 mm, using a glass combination electrode (soil: water ratio, 1:2.5 w:v), as described by Acosta-Martínez *et al.* (2003).

#### *Measurement of phosphatase activity in soil*

Phosphatase activity was determined as described by Antolín *et al.* (2005). The amount of *p*-nitrophenol (PNP) released from 0.5 g soil from three samples from each subplot, by triplicate, was measured after incubation, in the dark at 37 °C for 2 hours with 0.115 M 4-nitrophenyl phosphate-disodium (PNPP) as substrate for the enzymatic reaction, in 2 ml of maleate buffer (0.1 M, pH 6.5). Samples were cooled at 2 °C for 15 minutes to stop the enzymatic reaction and 0.5 mL of 0.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 2 ml of 0.5 M NaOH were added and well-mixed. Each sample was centrifuged at 2000 × g for 10 minutes. A blank experiment by duplicate was performed for each assay, in which the substrate was added to the soil sample after incubation and before stopping the reaction. The amount of PNP per hour released from each soil sample (µg PNP/gh) was determined by comparing absorbance measures to a PNP standard curve. Rhizosphere soil was considered to be soil closely attached to roots, sieved through a 2 mm mesh.

# Measurement of $\beta$ -glucosidase activity in soil

β-glucosidase activity was determined as described by García *et al.* (1994). The amount of 4-nitrophenol (PNP) released from 0.5 g of soil from three samples from each subplot, by triplicate, was measured after incubation in the dark, at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 2 hours with 0.5 mL of 50 mM 4-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucopiranoside (PNG) as substrate for the enzymatic reaction, in 2 ml of maleate buffer (0.1 M, pH 6.5). Then, samples were cooled at 2 °C for 15 minutes to stop the enzymatic reaction, and 0.5 mL

of 0.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 2 ml of 0.5 M NaOH were added and mixed well. Each sample was centrifuged at  $3,500 \times g$  for 10 minutes. A blank experiment, by duplicate, was performed for each assay, in which the substrate was added to the soil sample after incubation and before stopping the reaction. The amount of PNP per hour released from each soil sample (µg PNP/gh) was determined by comparing absorbance values to a PNP standard curve.

# Measurement of dehydrogenase activity in soil

Dehydrogenase activity was determined as described by García et al. (1994). The amount of iodonitrotetrazolium violet-formazan (INTF) released from 1 g soil from three samples from each subplot, by triplicate, was measured after incubation in the dark, at 37 °C for 20 hours with 0.2 mL of 0.4% 2-(4-iodophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-phenylteytrazolium chloride hydrate (INT) as substrate for the enzymatic reaction, and 2 ml of distilled water. Then, 5 mL of extracting an mixture (tetrachloroethylene: acetone (1:1.5 v:v) was added and well-mixed for 2 min. Each sample was centrifuged at 1,000 × g for 10 min. A blank experiment, by duplicate, was performed for each assay, without substrate, in which the extracting mixture was added after incubation. The amount of INTF per hour released from each soil sample (µg INTF/gh) was determined by comparing absorbance measures to an INTF standard curve.

# Plant biomass monitoring

Five samples of clover and pasture plants were harvested from each plot at 4, 8 and 14 weeks sampling times. Plants were the manually separated into shoots and roots; fresh weight and length were recorded, and samples were dried in a stove at 90 °C for 48 hours. Samples were then allowed to cool to room temperature and dry weight was measured.

The visual aspect of the area and each plot was photographed at each sampling time.

# Results

# **Bacterial survival**

The survival of exogenous *P. putida* strains was determined by plate counting on selective medium and verified by either PCR or colony hybridization. Both strains, *P. putida* BIRD-1 and KT2440, were able to survive and maintained a population size around 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/g soil for about six months, and then dropped below detection limits during the aestival season (July 2008) (Figure 2a,b).



**Figure 2.** Viable *P. putida* BIRD-1 (A), *P. putida* KT2440 (B) and indigenous culturable bacteria (C) in rhizosphere of introduced plants in treated pristine soil (filled circle) and rhizoremediation (square), *versus* bioremediation (triangle). No significant differences were detected in the survival of these strains in burnt soil compared to non-burnt pristine soil. However, survival improved when the strains, especially *P. putida* BIRD-1, were associated to plants. Cell densities were two orders of magnitude higher in the rhizosphere than in bulk soil. As expected, the mixture of culturable indigenous microbiota, monitored by plate counting with diesel fuel as a sole carbon source, revealed a population size of around  $5\cdot10^6$  CFU/g soil. These levels remained quite constant until the end of the study regardless of whether the soil was unburnt or burnt or whether exogenous *P. putida* strains and/or plants were present (Figure 2c).

#### Metagenomic analysis of soil microbial population

In order to determine the consequences of fire on soil microbiota, as well as to study the effect of rhizoremediation treatments over the spectrum of indigenous microbial populations, a metagenomic analysis of 16S RNA for bacterial biodiversity was carried out at month 1 (autumn, November 2008) and 6 months after the introduction of microorganisms (spring, April 2008).

Rarefaction analyses were performed to compare bacterial richness between pristine, burnt bulk soil and soil undergoing rhizoremediation. Analyses were based on a minimum of 125 sequences and the number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) was estimated using a cut-off of 97%

for sequence similarity, a generally accepted level for comparative analysis of whole and partial 16S rRNA sequences (Konstantinidis *et al.*, 2006). The rarefaction curve (Figure 3) showed a similar number of OTUs in all soil samples, indicating similar bacterial richness.



**Figure 3.** Rarefaction analysis for pristine soil (P), bulk burnt soil (B) and rhizoremediation treatment (R) at two different times of the assay 1 month (1: autumn) and 6 months (6: spring). Rarefaction curves were constructed with DOTUR software.

The analysis of relative abundance at phylum level (Figure 4) showed changes in the bacterial community distribution and proportion. Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria and Bacteriodetes were the predominant phyla in all the cases we studied; combined, these three phyla constituted 80% of the total. Specifically Acidobacteria, which was the prevailing phylum in pristine soil and 46% of the total, experienced a remarkable population reduction to 29% of the total in burnt soil. In contrast to Acidobacteria, an increase in the proportion of Proteobacteria and Bacteriodetes was observed in burnt soil. Less common phyla exhibited significant changes in their relative abundance: Verrucomicrobia, which made up 5.3% of the total in pristine soil, dropped to under detection limits in untreated burnt soil. On the other hand, Actinobacteria was more abundant in burnt (8.6%) than in pristine samples (3.8%) at the first sampling time point; similarly, Gemmatimonadetes was also more predominant in burnt (4.2%) than in pristine (undetectable) soil at the last sampling time point. No bacterial phylum was reduced exclusively in soil under rhizoremediation treatment.



**Figure 4.** Relative abundance of phylogenetic groups at phylum level based on 16S rRNA genes. Pristine soil, bulk burnt soil and rhizoremediation treatment at two different times of the assay 1 month (autumn) and 6 months (spring).

A principal component analysis was performed to compare genetic distance matrix between groups (Figure 5). Statistical differences were observed in the phylogenetic composition of microbial populations between burnt and pristine soil, with intermediate values observed for sites that were treated using rhizoremediation. Moreover, burnt and pristine soil microbial populations showed season-induced variations while those for rhizoremediation were not affected by climate.



**Figure 5.** Principal component analysis (PCoA) performed to compare sample groups from phylogenetic distance-matrix, determining similarity between or differences among pristine soil (P), bulk burnt soil (B) and rhizoremediation treatment (R) at two different times of the assay 1 month (1: autumn) and 6 months (6: spring).

# Monitoring of pyrolytic substances in soil

At the beginning of the assay a number of monoaromatic hydrocarbons (BTEX: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene) and PAHs that had been generated during the fire were detected (Table 2); accordingly, these compounds were below detection limits in pristine soil. An average concentration of 149  $\mu$ g/kg soil for BTEX and 387  $\mu$ g/kg soil for PAHs were measured in burnt plots. After 2 months of treatment, BTEX concentrations in the burnt plot dropped below detection limits. **Table 2.** Concentration of pyrolytic hydrocarbons ( $\mu$ g per Kg of soil) generated after fire, *versus* the concentration of the same substances measured after 2 months of treatment (Control burnt, bioremediation, untreated plants and rhizoremediation treatments). ND: below detection limits.

| Pyrolitic  |       |                        | Initial concentration | % of total | Two months after the outset of the study |                |                       |                  |
|------------|-------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| substances | Rings | Compounds              |                       |            | Control burnt                            | Bioremediation | <b>Plants Control</b> | Rhizoremediation |
| BTEX       |       | Benzene                | 38                    | 25.5       | ND                                       | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            | 1     | Toluene                | 62                    | 41.6       | ND                                       | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            |       | Ethylbenzene           | 17                    | 11.4       | ND                                       | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            |       | Xylene                 | 32                    | 21.5       | ND                                       | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
| Σ ΒΤΕΧ     |       | 149                    | 100                   | ND         | ND                                       | ND             | ND                    |                  |
|            | 2     | Naphthalene            | 118                   | 30.5       | 31.6                                     | 43.2           | 34.0                  | 26.8             |
| PAHs       |       | Acenaphthene           | ND                    | 0          | ND                                       | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            | 3     | Fluorene               | 48.3                  | 12.5       | 1.9                                      | 1.7            | 1.7                   | ND               |
|            |       | Phenanthrene           | 121                   | 31.3       | 26.9                                     | 11.5           | 15                    | 9.46             |
|            |       | Anthracene             | 1.6                   | 0.4        | 1.6                                      | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            |       | Fluoranthene           | 26                    | 6.7        | 6.4                                      | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            | 4     | Pyrene                 | 29.6                  | 7.6        | 8.4                                      | 0.97           | 1.7                   | 0.5              |
|            |       | Benzo(a)Anthracene     | 12.5                  | 0.4        | 1.2                                      | ND             | 1.5                   | ND               |
|            |       | Crysene                | 8.6                   | 2.2        | 6.9                                      | ND             | 0.3                   | ND               |
|            |       | Benzo(b)Fluoranthene   | 1.5                   | 0.4        | 2.0                                      | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            | 5     | Benzo(k)Fluoranthene   | 0.8                   | 0.2        | 0.9                                      | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            |       | Benzo(a)Pyrene         | 17                    | 4.4        | 1.9                                      | ND             | 0.6                   | ND               |
|            | _     | Dibenzo(a,h)Anthracene | 8,1                   | 2.1        | ND                                       | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            | 6     | Benzo(g,h,i)Perylene   | 2.0                   | 0.5        | 3.9                                      | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
|            |       | Indene                 | 3.0                   | 0.8        | ND                                       | ND             | ND                    | ND               |
| Σ PAHs     |       | 387                    | 100                   | 94         | 56.4                                     | 54.7           | 37                    |                  |

Low molecular PAHs, comprising 2 to 4 carbon rings, made up the main fraction of the total PAHs ( $\approx$  95%) in burnt plots. The dominant PAH compounds were naphthalene and phenanthrene, which each made up  $\approx$ 30% of the total. Two months after the beginning the assay, the concentration of total PAHs on the treated plots was at least 40% lower than in non-treated burnt soil.

# Soil quality indicators

Soil quality indicators, such as soil pH and enzyme activity, can be used as an indirect measure of soil quality changes or as indexes of soil disturbance or restoration (Karaca *et al.*, 2011).

# pH

Soil alkalynization (from pH 6.5 to 7.5) was observed in burnt samples versus control soil (Figure 6). Untreated burnt soil showed no significant change in pH (over 7.5) throughout the study, whereas plots treated with rhizoremediation experienced a pH decrease after about four months. Nevertheless both soils treated with bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments experienced a decrease in pH to 6.5, reaching pristine soil pH levels after five months.



**Figure 6.** pH measurements performed along the study during 8 months, which are described in material and methods section. The results are the average of three independent assays, assessed on pristine soil (P) (filled circle), burnt bulk soil (C) (filled diamond), bioremediation (B) (triangle) and rhizoremediation (R) (square), and plants control (CP) (circle).

#### Enzymatic activity assays

In soil ecotoxicology, soil enzyme activities are used as indexes of soil disturbance or restoration due to their sensitivity to natural and anthropogenic induced stresses. These indexes are easy to measure and can detect the impact of microbial activities on nutrient cycling (Nannipieri *et al.*, 2002; Gianfreda *et al.*, 2005, Karaca *et al.*, 2011). In this study, three different enzymatic activities were monitored (Figure 7): (i) dehydrogenases, which take part in reactions involved in energy transfer in microbial metabolism (Karaca *et al.*, 2011); (ii) phosphatases, which hydrolyse organic phosphorous compounds into different forms of inorganic phosphorous (Karaca *et al.*, 2011); and (iii)  $\beta$  -glucosidases, which are involved in the saccharification of cellulose.  $\beta$ -glucosidases and





Figure 7. Enzymatic activities measurements performed along the study during 8 months, which are described in material and methods section. The results are the average of three independent assays performed by duplicate. Figure 5a shows dehydrogenase activity, figure 6b shows phosphatase activity and figure 6c shows βglucosidase activity assessed on pristine soil (filled circle), burnt bulk soil (filled diamond), bioremediation (triangle) and rhizoremediation (square), and plants control (circle).

Dehydrogenase activity (DHA) (Figure 7a) showed that levels were around 10 to  $15 \ \mu g \ INTFg^{-1}h^{-1}$ , and unchanged between burnt and pristine soil at the beginning of the assay. Bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments steadily raised DHA levels for about 15 weeks, leading to total increases of 3- and 4-fold, respectively, over burnt soil, reaching 27  $\mu g$ INTF g<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> in rhizoremediation plots.

Phosphatase activity (Figure 7b) was found to be 2,000 µg  $\rho$ NP g<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> in pristine soil. Fire led to a decrease in activity by about 50%. These values returned to levels found in pristine soil after four weeks with rhizoremediation treatment; two months with non-inoculated plants; and remained unaltered at 1,000 µg  $\rho$ NP g<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> with bioremediation, showing only a slight increase after five months of treatment.

 $\beta$ -glucosidase activity (Figure 7c) was also affected by fire, decreasing by 30 to 45% after a fire (from 800 down to 500 µg  $\rho$ NP g<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>). These levels were restored after four weeks with rhizoremediation (fluctuating between 700 to 900 µg  $\rho$ NP g<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>), whereas bioremediation required 3 months to achieve restoration parameters.

#### Plant fitness and effect on the landscape

To evaluate plant fitness, we measured weight, length of roots and aerial parts of plants between the various conditions. Both plants used on this study showed increased size and dry weight when inoculated with bacteria (Table 1). Length increases were 25% for clover and 58% for Avex III plants; average dry weight increases were 43% for clover and 67% for Avex III, after fourteen weeks of treatment. It should be noted that 4 weeks after the beginning of the assay the plant growth-promoting effect of the artificial consortium over introduced vegetation development and soil coverage could be perceived in rhizoremediation *versus* non-inoculated plant subplots (Figure 8).



**Figure 8**. Introduced plants growth and soil coverage after 4 weeks of treatment on burnt soil. A) shows non-inoculated plants and B) shows plants on rhizoremediation treatment.

Finally, the visual impact of bioremediation and rhizoremediation processes on the landscape was documented by a series of photographs (Figure 9).



**Figure 9.** General evolution of the treated burnt parcel along the study (8 months, from October 2008 to June 2009). Clockwise: before treatment (A), four weeks after treatment (B), eight weeks (C), fourteen weeks (D), twenty-two weeks (E), thirty-three weeks (F).

# Discussion

# Bacterial performance in bioremediation and rhizoremediation technologies

The ability to survive in harsh, polluted environments is one of the key requirements of the microorganisms selected for bioremediation processes. For survival, microorganisms need to show evidence of: a) enhanced adaptation to the particular environment undergoing remediation and b) mechanisms to overcome the deleterious effects caused by the pollutant(s).

Pseudomonas putida strains are excellent candidates for soil restoration, especially in rhizoremediation processes because they are good rhizosphere colonizers (Molina *et al.*, 2000; Lugtenberg *et al.*, 2001; Ramos-González *et al.*, 2005; Matilla *et al.*, 2011; Wu *et al.*, 2011; Roca *et al.*, 2012) and metabolically versatile (Palleroni 1992, 2010). Apart from these important traits, our results revealed that the *Pseudomonas* strains used in this study can overcome the toxic effects of hydrocarbon compounds produced during the combustion of organic matter. Microbial tolerance to hydrocarbons has been linked to the strains' ability to degrade these compounds (Park *et al.*, 2004); nevertheless, tolerance is a relatively complex process involving the activation of extrusion mechanisms, the establishment of oxidative stress responses and an overall fitness programme (Silby *et al.*, 2011; Krell *et al.*, 2012). *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 and BIRD-1 lack the metabolic potential for hydrocarbon degradation; however, the transfer of the pWWO plasmid endows them with the ability to degrade some BTEX compounds.

From the multiple parameters presented in this field assay, only drought conditions showed a clear negative effect on introduced *P. putida* strains; in fact, the decrease in exogenous *Pseudomonas* populations concurred with the wilting of plants during the summer season when lack of precipitation and high temperatures converge.

As Mediterranean ecosystems are constantly affected by fires (Vila-Escalé *et al.*, 2007; Hernández *et al.*, 1997), indigenous microbial adaptation to this condition is expected (Fonturbel *et al.*, 1995; Choromanska and DeLuca, 2001; Smith *et al.*, 2008). Monitoring of native culturable hydrocarbon degraders showed that these populations remained unaltered through fires and landscape and climate variations, whereas introduced strains failed to survive through climate variations.

# Impact of fire and rhizoremediation treatments on soil microbial populations

Fires have been studied for their ability to change soil properties (Vázquez *et al.*, 1993; Certini, 2005) and disrupt indigenous microbial populations (Torres *et al.*, 1997; DeBano, 1998; Certini, 2005; Smith *et al.*, 2008). We carried out biodiversity analysis, which corroborated the resilience of native Mediterranean microbiota since only changes in the bacterial community distribution were observed, with no population loss

(Fig. 3). These findings reinforce the hypothesis that indigenous microorganisms in Mediterranean ecosystems exhibit a high level of adaptation to fires (Fonturbel et al., 1995; Choromanska and DeLuca, 2001; Smith et al., 2008; Vila-Escalé et al., 2007; Hernández et al., 1997). Nevertheless, changes in the composition of microbial populations had been previously observed in connection with soil deterioration/pollution generated by fire: a) increasing soil pH values due to soil organic matter denaturation lead to a decrease in Acidobacteria and to an increase in Bacteroidetes populations (Certini, 2005; Smith et al., 2008; Lauber et al., 2009); b) the ratio of Proteobacteria increased in burnt plots, a phylum where strains with enhanced abilities for PAH metabolism can be found (Mueller et al., 1997; Watanabe, 2001), whereas microbial populations that lack this ability do not proliferate in these soils due to the selective pressure these compounds exert (Martínez et al., 2000); c) the relative abundance of Gemmatimonadetes is increased in burnt soils and is also modulated by soil aridness (DeBruyn et al., 2011), pH (Lauber et al., 2009) and the presence of pyrogenic carbon (Khodadad et al., 2011).

The rhizoremediation treatment may introduce factors that can affect the structure of soil microbial communities, including the introduction of exogenous bacteria, the introduction of exogenous vegetal species and enrichment in indigenous culturable bacteria. Nevertheless, as we mentioned above, the relative abundance of most of the bacterial groups in soils undergoing rhizoremediation was intermediate between burnt and pristine forest soil, which suggests that the main perturbation on
indigenous microbial populations was fire. This also suggests that the rhizoremediation treatment tended to restore the original structure of microbial communities, probably due to the observed restoration of soil characteristics such as pH, vegetal cover and decreases in PAHs.

#### Rhizoremediation enhances hydrocarbon degradation

One of the main consequences observed after a fire is the generation of new, toxic and recalcitrant forms of carbon (González-Pérez *et al.*, 2004), such as PAHs (Vila-Escalé *et al.*, 2007), as well as associated volatile hydrocarbons such as BTEX (Bamforth and Singleton, 2005). The PAH profiles observed in the current study comprised 60% of naphthalene and phenanthrene, which is consistent with reported profiles corresponding to wood combustion (Xu *et al.*, 2006; Kim *et al.*, 2011) and, in particular, to pine needles and wood (Conde *et al.*, 2005).

The lower complexity of these monoaromatic chemical structures (Bamforth and Singleton, 2005), as well as the increase in the proportion of bacterial populations with degrading potential, rapidly cleared these compounds from burnt soils, regardless of applied treatment. Furthermore, bio-attenuation mediated decreases in PAHs in untreated soil due to the presence of native degraders.

Nevertheless, rhizoremediation treatments promoted the almost complete removal of heavier pyrolytic hydrocarbons in a relatively short time, which indicates that the combination of microorganisms, introduced

PGPR, native degraders and plants was the most effective method for remediation. Since introduced *P. putida* strains were not PAHs degraders, native microorganisms played a central role in PAH elimination. Because native populations were not significantly increased, it appears that the rhizosphere exerts a direct effect on stimulating the expression and/or activity of bacterial catabolic pathways, as was proved recently for naphthalene degradation by *P. putida* (Fernández *et al.*, 2012).

# Soil quality parameters indicated best restoration through rhizoremediation

The first, and most noticeable, consequence of a forest fire is the black ash coat (Knicker, 2007) generated by the combustion of the vegetation layer, which leads to the release of cations (Certini, 2005; Smith *et al.*, 2008). This explains the increase in soil pH observed after the burning. Since changes in pH can negatively affect microbial populations and their ability to degrade toxic compounds (Leahy and Colwell, 1990), the stabilization of pH to pre-fire levels is vital in remediation strategies. Our study revealed that bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments were equally effective at restoring pH levels to pre-fire levels. This is also apparent, as non-inoculated plants were less capable of restoring pH levels *versus* inoculated plants.

Our results support the notion that soil enzymatic activities are reliable indicators of the health and functionality of microorganisms in response to fire stress (Fioretto *et al.* 2005; Cetin *et al.* 2009) and that

hydrocarbon levels can exert negative effects, to varying degrees, on these activities al., 1998). Dehydrogenase (Kiss et activity measurements showed that soil microbiota was not severely affected by fire, as it is a direct indicator of respiration of viable cells (García et al., 1997). This could be due to the positive "fertilizing effect" that nutrients from charred necromass provide (Baath and Arnebrant, 1994), as well as to the previously discussed adaptations to fire of native strains. Nevertheless, bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments showed improvements over untreated plots due to the bioaugmentation of native and exogenous microorganisms, added organic substrates and the development of a vegetation cover.

Phosphatase and  $\beta$ -glucosidase activities were, as expected, severely affected by fire (Saa *et al.*, 1993; Eivasi and Bayan, 1996; Boerner *et al.*, 2000; Boerner and Brinkman, 2003). During the study period, phosphatase activity was clearly related to the presence of vegetation and only reached pristine levels with the rhizoremediation treatment—as a result of the secretion of this enzyme by root exudates (Tarafdar and Claasen, 1988). Changes in phosphatase and  $\beta$ -glucosidase activities were similar, remediation hastened recovery to levels found in pristine soils due to the positive effect of the rhizosphere on microbial activity (Valé *et al.*, 2005) and the provision of substrates from rhizodeposition (Morgan and Whipps, 2001) leading to improved enzyme synthesis (Turner *et al.*, 2002).

In all cases, the use of bioremediation processes enhanced soil quality parameters. Burnt soils reached pristine parameters faster with rhizoremediation providing the most remarkable benefits due to the greater microbial activity provided by the release of enzymes and substrates in root exudates (Badalucco and Kuikman, 2001).

#### Landscape restoration

Germination and growth of inoculated plants was rapid in comparison to non-inoculated plants, which were negatively affected by the presence of pyrolytic pollutants. Furthermore, higher degradation rates and microbial activities were observed for inoculated plants. These results emphasize the important link between pollutant removal and the generation of adequate niches for native degraders (Aprill and Sims, 1990; Segura *et al.*, 2009; Segura and Ramos, 2012). Furthermore, the use of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria has shown to alleviate pollutant-induced plant stress (Qiu *et al.*, 1994; Kuiper *et al.*, 2001; Zhuang *et al.*, 2007). On this issue, *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 presents itself as an interesting strain in rhizoremediation due to its robust PGP properties (Matilla *et al.*, 2011; Roca *et al.*, 2012) and proved tolerance to soil hydrocarbons.

The use of PGPR- and native degraders-inoculated pasture plants in this study provided remediation advantages due to (a) the rapid ease of the visual impact through rapidly growing aerial plants; (b) enhanced soil coverage; (c) the added substrate and reduced erosion provided by large

root surfaces with extensive soil penetration; (d) the establishment of suitable niches for enhanced degradation processes based on the establishment of microbial consortia; (e) the increase of microbial activity.

#### Conclusions

The use of bioremediation and rhizoremediation strategies in the current trial did not harm indigenous microbiota, and the release of non-native microbes only remained detectable in the soil for about six months after inoculation. Rhizoremediation treatments improved ecosystem resilience, accelerating its natural ability to return to the initial pre-fire state. The strains used in this study have proved their ability to survive in burnt soils, while exhibiting and a strong capacity to promote plant growth and development, making them suitable candidates for future use in the restoration of ecosystems affected by fires.

#### Acknowledgments

Work in our laboratory was supported by Fondo Social Europeo and Fondos FEDER from the European Union, through several projects (BIO2010-17227, Consolider-Ingenio CSD2007-00005, Excelencia 2007 CVI-3010, Excelencia 2011 CVI-7391 and EXPLORA BIO2011-12776-E). We thank Ben Pakuts for editing of the manuscript for English and M. Mar Fandila for secretarial assistance.

# Chapter 3

# Field trial on removal of petroleum-hydrocarbon pollutants using a microbial consortium for bioremediation and rhizoremediation

This chapter will be submitted as:

Pizarro-Tobías P, Niqui JL, Roca A, Solano J, Fernández M, Bastida F, García C, Ramos JL. Field trial on removal of petroleum-hydrocarbon pollutants using a microbial consortium for bioremediation and rhizoremediation

## Abstract

Petroleum waste sludges are toxic and dangerous which is why Environmental Protection Agencies have declared their treatment top priority. Physico-chemical treatments are expensive and environmentally unfriendly, while alternative biological treatments are less costly but, in general, work at a slower pace. An in situ bioremediation and rhizoremediation field-scale trial was performed in an area contaminated with oil refinery sludge under semiarid climate. The bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments included the use of an artificial consortium made up of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) degrading bacteria; and the combined use of the mentioned consortium along with pasture plants, respectively. Rhizoremediation revealed that the development of vegetation favoured the evolution of indigenous microbiota with potential to remove petroleum wastes. This was inferred as the decline of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) seven months after the biological treatment.

# Introduction

Petroleum-derived hydrocarbons are a widespread concern due to their deleterious effect on the environment and human health (Hutcheson *et al.*, 1996; Samanta *et al.*, 2002). Oil refinery sludges are complex wastes generated by petrochemical industries during petroleum refining processes. These wastes include different length chains aliphatic hydrocarbons along with volatile compounds (BTEX) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Overcash and Pal, 1979),that contain a wide range of harmful substances. These compounds are persistent in the environment and bring about changes in soil properties (Fine *et al.*, 1997) altering indigenous microbial community (Aislabie *et al.*, 2004; Katsivela *et al.*, 2004; Militon *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, more suitable treatments are necessary (Khan *et al.*, 2004) beyond the currently used more expensive and environmentally deleterious *ex situ* techniques (i.e. burning, dumping, etc.).

Several studies have pointed towards microorganisms being an important mean of removing hydrocarbons (Leahy and Colwell, 1990; Harayama *et al.*, 1999; Mishra *et al.*, 2001; Röling *et al.*, 2002; Ros *et al.*, 2010). Bacteria from different phyla are known for their capacity to degrade a wide range of chemicals derived from petrochemical activities (Prince *et al.*, 2010). Single species, however, are only able to degrade a limited number of petroleum-derived compounds and the true potential of biodegradation derives from the coordinated action of microbes in consortia, making it possible to deal with a wider range of pollutants at one go. In situ degradation rates and the extent of removal depend on nutrient availability, physico-chemical characteristics and relative soil humidity (Atlas and Bartha, 1972); therefore, enhancement of bacterial biodegradative activity through the management of suitable soil conditions is vital when applying bioremediation treatments.

The association between plants and microorganisms makes an effective feasible clean-up technology (van der Lelie et al., 2001, Segura et al., 2009) called rhizoremediation (Yee et al., 1998) since it takes advantage of the nutrients exudated by plants which are used by the microorganisms to proliferate in the rhizosphere (Morgan and Whipps, 2001), and of the development of adequate "in situ" reactors for the degradation of pollutants (Aprill and Sims, 1990; Gaskin and Bentham, 2010). Bioremediation and rhizoremediation are also great options for hydrocarbon remediation because of their relatively low-cost, energy efficiency, prospect usage on a wide range of pollutants and the minimal or close to null generation of secondary wastes (Khan et al., 2004). The effectiveness of indigenous pollutant-degrading microbial populations (Leahy and Colwell, 1990; Militon et al., 2010; Ros et al., 2010) together with the enhancement of degradation processes and the subsequent appearance of plants (Shaw and Burns, 2005), contribute to the resulting visual impact of environmental improvement (Kamath et al, 2004); nonetheless, remediation is to be prospected in the long term.

There are several reports on the use of bioremediation techniques for the removal of *in situ* field-scale petroleum-derived hydrocarbons using microorganisms combined with organic amendments, nutrients and/or added soil (Jørgensen et al., 2000; Mishra *et al.*, 2001; Katsivela *et al.*, 2005; Marin *et al.*, 2005; Ros *et al.*, 2010). One of the most frequently used processes for remediating petroleum sewages *in situ* is landfarming (Kuyukina *et al.*, 2003; McCarthy *et al.*, 2004; Marin *et al.*, 2005; Ros *et al.*, 2010), which is an efficient-cost option compared to other remediation technologies; nonetheless, large amounts of soil are needed along with the maintenance of soil nutrients, oxygen levels, degree of moisture and pH (Khan *et al.*, 2004).

Rhizoremediation provides a yet further step in bioremediation processes through the introduction of plants in the equation; plants which improve and support soil texture. aeration. the development of soil microorganisms while enhancing their catabolic metabolism (Segura et al., 2009; Segura and Ramos, 2012). Besides the use and stimulation of degrading microbiota, the use of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) complement this technology for the alleviation of pollutantinduced plant stress favouring the development of vegetation (Zhuang et al., 2007). There are few examples of in situ field-scale trials using this technology (Gurska et al., 2009), and which stress the need to further develop this strategy in the field, particularly under semiarid conditions.

The objective of this study is to assess bioremediation and rhizoremediation technologies using plant growth-promoting (PGPR) and degradative bacteria combined with a mixture of leguminous plants and pastures at a field-scale under semiarid climate conditions. We aim at evaluating the survival of microbial consortia, the success of plant restoration, and variations in microbial communities and activity in a soil that has been affected by hydrocarbon contamination for years.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Field experiment and soil sampling

The experimental area (900 m<sup>2</sup>) was located in Murcia (N37°34'38" – W10°53'46"), Spain. The area was divided into several plots that had been used for years for the nonspecific deposition of hydrocarbons and different wastes from petrochemical processes. The studied terrain was rectangular shaped with a total area of 900 m<sup>2</sup> (15 m x 60 m). Preliminary data provided by the refinery management, indicated that the average concentration of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) in the plot reached a 3% (w:w), which is equivalent to 30,000 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>.

The experimental area was divided in 16 plots (3.50 m x 8 m). Each side of each plot was separated from the adjacent one by 0.5 m to minimize cross-contamination from adjacent treatments. Four replicates of four different treatments were randomly established (Table 1, Figure 2). Before setting the treatments, the entire plot was cleared from vegetation rests and lightly ploughed to facilitate seeding. The soil was classified as loam, Aridic calcisol (FAO-ISRIC-ISSS, 1998) with an average of 15 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> of total organic C and 1.8 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> of total N. Soil samples were taken during the 33 weeks of experiment, starting in March 2011.

For each sampling, five subsamples per plot were collected from the upper 10 cm of soil and then were sieved at < 2mm.

| Treatment        | Composition              | Microorganisms<br>applied                   | Plants seeds<br>mixture   |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Control          | Untreated bare soil      | None  | None  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |                          |   | Peat  |  |  |  |  |
| Plants control   | Non incordated           |   | AVEXIII   |  |  |  |  |
|                  | Non-inoculated<br>plants | None  | (Avena strigosa,<br>vetches, ryegrass,<br>annual legumes)<br>Trifolium repens |  |  |  |  |
| Rhizoremediation | Plants and               | BIRD-1, DOT-T1E,                            | Peat  |  |  |  |  |
|                  | microbial<br>consortium  | EAF11-2, RNM-2<br>LH128                     | (Avena strigosa,<br>vetches, ryegrass,<br>annual legumes)<br>Trifolium repens |  |  |  |  |
| Bioremediation   | Microbial<br>consortium  | BIRD-1, DOT-T1E,<br>EAF11-2, RNM-2<br>LH128 | None  |  |  |  |  |

# **Table 1.** Summary of treatments applied in the field study.

Treatments were set as follows:

i) Control treatment: The control bulk soil, which remained untreated.

ii) *Control plants treatment:* For the Avex III plus Clover plant control treatment 1 Kg of the seeds mixture was spread over the soil surface, then, topsoil work was performed to allow seed germination in the dark.

iii) *Inoculated peat-microorganisms treatments:* For each treated plot, 1 L of each microorganism was mixed with 120 L of peat. After spreading the mixture over the soil surface, topsoil work was performed to achieve a homogeneous mixture of the peat with the soil.

iv) Inoculated seed-peat-microorganisms treatments: For each treated plot, 120 L of peat were homogeneously mixed with Avex III (1 Kg) or

Clover (500 g), then, 1 L of each microorganism was mixed with the peatseeds mixture. After spreading the mixture over the soil surface, topsoil work was performed to allow seed germination in the dark.

#### Organic carrier for microorganisms and seeds.

An organic solid vegetable support was used as carrier for microorganisms (commercial peat). The selected seeds to be used in the rhizoremediation procedure were *Trifolium repens* (white clover) and a commercial pasture seed mixture called Avex III that consisted of annual ray grass, legumes and vetches, and *Avena strigosa*. These plants are commonly growing under semiarid climate. Treatments applied are summarized in Table 1.

#### Strains and culture media

A consortium of hydrocarbons degrading and PGPR bacteria were used for different remediation strategies in a field area contaminated with oil refinery sludges. The bacterial strains used in this study are shown in Table 2. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 (Matilla *et al.*, 2011; Roca *et al.*, 2012) was grown in MM9 minimal medium supplemented with sodium benzoate (10 mM) as the sole carbon source (Abril *et al.*, 1989); *Pseudomonas putida* DOT-T1E (Ramos *et al.*, 1995) was grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with toluene as the carbon source; *Pseudomonas putida* RNM2 was isolated from burnt forest soil and was grown in LB broth (Maniatis *et al.*, 1982) supplemented with naphthalene crystals; *Pseudomonas putida* EAF11-2 was isolated from burnt forest soil and was grown in LB broth (Maniatis *et al.*, 1982) supplemented with phenanthrene crystals; *Sphingomonas* sp. LH128 (Bastiaens *et al.* 2000) was grown in LB broth (Maniatis *et al.*, 1982) supplemented with phenanthrene crystals.

| Introduced strains                   | Properties                                   | Selective<br>medium                         | <b>References / source</b>  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| <i>Pseudomonas putida</i><br>BIRD-1  | PGPR   | MM9 agar<br>Sodium benzoate<br>Cm30 Irgasan | Matilla <i>et al</i> ., 2011<br>Roca <i>et al</i> ., 2013                     |  |  |  |  |
| <i>Pseudomonas putida</i><br>DOT-T1E | BTEX   | MM9 agar<br>Toluene<br>Rif <sub>10</sub>    | Ramos <i>et al</i> ., 1995  |  |  |  |  |
| <i>Pseudomonas putida</i><br>EAF11-2 | Naphthalene and<br>Phenanthrene<br>degrading | LB agar<br>Indole crystals                  | Isolated within Bio-<br>Ilíberis R&D premises<br>from a burnt forest<br>soil  |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudomonas putida<br>RNM-2          | Naphthalene<br>degrading                     | LB agar<br>Catechol (0.1M)                  | Isolated within Bio-<br>Ilíberis R&D premises<br>from a PAHs polluted<br>soil |  |  |  |  |
| <i>Sphingomonas</i> sp.<br>LH128     | Phenanthrene<br>degrading                    | LB agar<br>Rif <sub>50</sub>                | Bastiaens <i>et al.</i> , 2000  |  |  |  |  |

**Table 2.** Strains used in this study and their properties.

Cultures were incubated at 30°C and shaken on an orbital platform operating at 200 strokes per minute. Monitoring of survival of *P. putida* BIRD-1, DOT-T1E and *Sphingomonas* sp. LH128 strains in soil was performed by drop-plating of dilution series on solid selective media supplemented with the required carbon sources and antibiotics, as described in Table 2. Chapter 3

For monitoring, *P. putida* EAF11-2 drop plating of dilution series (from soil/rhizosphere samples) in LB agar medium (Maniatis *et al.*, 1982) were incubated at 30 °C for 12-16 hours. Then, indole crystals were added to the lid of Petri plates and incubated for 15 days, monitoring the emergence of blue colonies every day. This procedure was performed to identify dioxygenase activity, which converts indole into blue indigo (Ensley *et al.* 1983; Ahn *et al.* 1999).

For assessing RNM-2 cell density changes in soil, drop plating of dilution series (from soil/rhizosphere samples) in LB agar medium (Maniatis *et al.*, 1982) were incubated at 30 °C for 4 days. Then, colonies were transferred to Whatman paper n°1 and moistened with a catechol solution (0.1M) and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. A yellow halo surrounding the colonies indicated a positive reaction. This procedure was performed to identify catechol-2,3 dioxygenase activity through the formation of hydroxymuconic semialdehyde from catechol (Pankhurst *et al.*, 1965; Sandhu *et al.*, 2009).

#### Chemical and biochemical analysis

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) measurement.

Previously to TPH analysis, soil samples were air-dried and sieved (2 mm mesh). Approximately 2.5 g of dry soil was placed, by triplicate, in 50 mL Falcon tube and mixed with 4 g of anhydrous sodium sulphate, previously dried at 105°C to remove residual water from the salt. A second

subsample of soil was used to determine soil moisture. Then, 15 mL of dichloromethane was added to a Falcon tube and the mixture was vigorously shaken for 1 minute using a vortex apparatus. The extract was then centrifuged for ten minutes at 8000 rpm and the supernatant was decanted and saved for ulterior analysis. To perform a new extraction cycle, a second 15 mL aliquot of clean solvent was added to the tube and the extraction process was repeated three more times. The successive extraction solutions were combined with the first one in a 250 mL spherical flask. Finally, the solvent, around 60 mL of dichloromethane, was evaporated to dryness using a rotary evaporator, and the 250 mL flask was totally dried and weighed until constant weight. TPH content was expressed as g TPH Kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil.

#### Measurement of dehydrogenase activity (DHA) in soil

Dehydrogenase activity was determined as described by García et al. The amount of iodonitrotetrazolium violet-formazan (INTF) (1997).released from 1 g soil from each treatment was measured after incubation in the dark at 22 °C for 20 hours with 0.2 mL of 0.4% 2-(4-iodophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-phenylteytrazolium chloride hydrate (INT) as substrate for the enzymatic reaction, and 2 ml of distilled water. Then, 5 mL of an extracting mixture (ethylene: chloride acetone (1:1.5 v:v)) were added and well-mixed for 1 minute and filtered through a Whatman No. 5 filter paper. A blank experiment was performed for each assay, without substrate, in which the extracting mixture was added after incubation. The amount of INTF per hour released from each soil sample (µg INTF/gh) was determined by comparing absorbance measures at 490 nm to an

# Chapter 3

INTF standard curve. Rhizosphere soil is considered soil closely attached to roots, sieved through a 2 mm mesh.

#### Measurement of soil pH

The pH values were measured in air-dried soil, sieved through a 2 mm sieve, using a glass combination electrode (soil: water ratio, 1:2.5 w:v), as described by Acosta-Martínez *et al.* (2003).

## Plant biomass measurement

Five replicates of plants were picked from every subplot. Shoots and roots were weighted, for fresh weight, and stored in a stove at 90 °C for 48 hours. Then, roots were allowed to cool down to room temperature and weighed again for dry weight.

## **Bacterial Community analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted from approximately 0.5 g, of a composed soil sample from each plot, using the FastDNA kit (Qbiogene Inc CA, USA), according to instructions from the manufacturer. The DNA was extracted immediately after sampling. The DNA concentration was determined NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer using and confirmed by electrophoresis on a 0.8% (w/v) agarose gel. Partial sequences of the 16S rRNA gene including the variable V3 region the 570 bp region of 16S rDNA genes, were amplified from the DNA using the universal 5'-Eubacterial primers BSF8,

NNNNNNNNNNNNTCAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' and the USR515 5'-NNNNNNNNNNNNNCACCGCCGCKGCTGGCA-. Each PCR reaction was performed in 50 µL reaction mixture containing 25 ng of DNA, 0.2 µM of dNTP, 0,2 µM of each primer, 1 X High Fidelity PCR buffer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA), 2.5 U Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase High Fidelity (invitrogen) and using the following condition: 94 °C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s 56 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 90 s and final elongation step 72 °C for 5 min. Each PCR product was visualized on 1% agarose gel to confirm DNA amplicone size and purified by gel electrophoresis and QIAquicK Gel extraction kit (Qiagen CA USA). Amplicon pyrosequencing was performed with a 454/Roche Titanium instrument (Roche NJ USA) according to standard protocols. All of the sequences from the pyrosequencing reads were processed using QIIME (Quantitative insights Into Microbial Ecology) software pipeline. Sequences were sorted based on sample specific barcode and primers. Low quality sequences were filtered out to remove sequences shorter that 200 bp and with an average quality score lower than 25. After quality checking, these sequences were used to identify the most likely match of each sequence using Riosomal Data Project (RDP). The taxonomic sequence identification was also crosschecked against BLASTn database. QIIME was used also to produce the rarefaction plots and the taxa assignments chart.

# Statistical analyses

A descriptive statistical analysis (the mean and absolute error) was calculated for each parameter. Also, we performed some inferential statistical analyses, such as analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) within treatments, assuming a normal distribution of the data and homoscedasticity. For *post-hoc* analysis we used the Tukey test (p<0.05) to determine changes in the analysed parameters for each treatment.

# **Results and Discussion**

The study focused on the analysis of the effects of bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments in a polluted site with oil refinery sludges. Combinations of pasture seeds and/or a microbial consortium were arranged in order to assess the survival and efficiency of the introduced microbes (Table 2), and to compare the effect of bioremediation without plants and that of the rhizoremediation process. Along seven months, the general appearance of the landscape, the evolution of the introduced plants, the survival of introduced bacteria, the impact on indigenous microbial populations, TPHs analysis, pH, and dehydrogenase activity in soil, were measured.

#### Proliferation of introduced consortium population

Several *Pseudomonas putida* strains with the ability to degrade different chemicals or exhibiting PGPR properties, as well as a *Sphingomonas* sp. strain (Table 2) were selected to create an artificial consortium to be used in this study. *P. putida* DOT-T1E degrades several aromatic compounds such as BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes) (Ramos *et al.*, 1995); PAHs (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) are degraded by *P. putida* EAF11-2, *P. putida* RNM-2 and *Sphingomonas* sp. LH128 (Bastiaens *et al.*, 2000), and *P. putida* BIRD-1 enhances plant growth (Matilla *et al.*, 2011; Roca *et al.*, 2013).

Survival of each introduced strain, both in soil and in the rhizosphere, was determined by plate counting on selective media (Table 2). Monitoring of each strain revealed that in general survival of the introduced strains was highly dependent on their initial association to the plants (Figure 1). Most strains inoculated in rhizoremediation treatments increased their initial cell density and remained at high levels during the first twenty weeks of the treatment following a steady decrease of cell numbers at the beginning of the dry season (Figure 1a). Thereafter, some strains lost viability and only P. putida BIRD-1 and DOT-T1E remained at high cell densities 33 weeks after their introduction. *Pseudomonas putida* RNM-2 was detected in the rhizosphere for only 13 weeks, before dropping below detection limits. In bare soil (bioremediation treatments) (Figure 1b) no increase in the initial population of any of the strains was found, and in the case of *P. putida* RNM-2 and *Sphingomonas* sp. LH128 both remained undetected 24h after the inoculation. Therefore, the establishment of microbiota in soil seems to be influenced by rhizodeposition of nutrients by plants that provide microenvironments with different chemical, physical and biological conditions than bare soil, these results are in agreement with those by Foster (1998) and Lambers *et al.*, (2009).



**Figure 1.** Survival of introduced strains. Viable *P. putida* BIRD-1 (filled circle), *P. putida* DOT-T1E (square), *P. putida* RNM-2 (diamond), *P. putida* EAF11-2 (filled triangle) and *Sphingomonas* sp. LH128 (circle) in rhizosphere of introduced plants (rhizoremediation treatments) (1a) and bulk soil (bioremediation treatments) (1b). Data showed as mean (n=5) and error bars refer to standard deviation.

It should be mentioned that in all cases (Figure 1a), cell density of most of the introduced strains, excepting *P. putida* BIRD-1 and DOT-T1E, decreased below detection limits during the aestival season because of the lack of precipitations, high temperatures and the wilting of planted pasture in rhizoremediation treatments. The *P. putida* strains BIRD-1 and DOT-T1E showed higher survival capability as evidenced by the population levels of both bacteria remaining at about  $10^5$  CFU/g soil at the end of the assay. In the case of *P. putida* BIRD-1, this result confirms its ability to survive at low soil moisture, as reported by Roca *et al.* (2013). *Pseudomonas putida* DOT-T1E bears the pGRT1 plasmid that carries the *ttgGHI* operon, which encodes an efflux pump for the extrusion of aromatic hydrocarbons, which confers this strain the ability to proliferate in the presence of these toxic chemicals (Rodríguez-Herva *et al.*, 2007).

#### Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHs)

For years the plot used for this study received deposits from hydrocarbon wastes, composed mainly by alkanes, asphaltenes, resins, bitumens, etc., which are sludges derived from petroleum refining processes (Overcash and Pal, 1979). These kinds of compounds are considered toxic, mutagenic, carcinogenic, persistent, pernicious and can be accumulated through the trophic chain (Kamath et al., 2004). Due to the complex nature of these wastes it is not practical to monitor compounds separately. Therefore to assess the potential variations in the total concentration of petroleum wastes Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHs) were measured along the assay. Initial TPH concentrations before the treatment, that the pollutants were unevenly distributed along the plot, in a range between 20 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> to 125 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> of soil, as shown in Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Distribution treatments and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) in the plots at the beginning of the assay. Numbers correspond to treatments: control/untreated (1), plants control (2), rhizoremediation (3) and bioremediation (4). Letters correspond to average TPHs concentration (n=5) in plots: <25 g/Kg (a), 25 - 50 g/Kg (b), 50 - 75 g/Kg (c), 75 - 100 g/Kg (d), 100 - 125 g/Kg (e).

In spite of the complexity observed in TPHs distribution in the studied parcels, four months after the outset of the assay a decrease trend was observed in the parcels were plants have been introduced, whether inoculated or not (Figure 3). This could be explained by variations on indigenous microbial populations related to the generation of ecological niches and the exudation of nutrients in the rhizosphere, also supporting the establishment and survival of the inoculated consortium in soils polluted with petroleum hydrocarbons, as previously reported by Kozdrój and van Elsas (2000). At the end of the trial, we observed that the TPHs decrease in rhizoremediation treatments was of about a 15%, when compared to the control treatments.



**Figure 3.** Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) measured along the study. Vertical boxes show the median (solid line), mean (dash line) and the 5th/95th percentiles (n=5). Sampling times: TO) beginning of the assay (March 2011), T13) 13 weeks (June 2011), T9) 19 weeks (August 2011) and T33) 33 weeks (October 2011).

# **Microbial activity**

Microorganisms influence ecosystems because they are responsible of the biochemical cycles of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous and sulphur through soil microbial activities (Karaca *et al.*, 2011) and soil structure (Harris and Birch, 1989). The study of enzymatic activities in soils is considered a good indicator of soil quality since enzymatic activities are responsive to natural and anthropogenic induced stresses and soil degradation (Nannipieri *et al.*, 2002; Gianfreda *et al.*, 2005, Karaca *et al.*, 2011).

Therefore, monitoring the evolution of soil enzymatic activities helps to evaluate soil recuperation.

Dehydrogenase activity (DHA) is used as an indicator of oxidative metabolism linked to respiration of viable cells (Skujins, 1973; García *et al.*, 1994; Quilchano and Marañón, 2002; Makoi and Ndakidemi, 2008) and it is considered a good index of soil status in semiarid Mediterranean areas (García *et al.*, 1997). Dehydrogenase activity was monitored to assess the effect of soil pollutants and remediation treatments on microbial activity. DHA measurements revealed that after the first 13 weeks of treatment activity had increased in soils undergoing rhizoremediation (Figure 4) indicating the enhancement of microbial activity derived from this treatment, whereas no differences were observed between control and bioremediation treatments.



**Figure 4.** Dehydrogenase activity (DHA) measured along the study. Vertical boxes show the median (solid line), mean (dash line) and the 5th/95th percentiles (n=5). Sampling times: T5) 5 weeks (April 2011), T13) 13 weeks (June 2011), T9) 19 weeks (August 2011) and T33) 33 weeks (October 2011).

After 8 months of treatment, DHA activity in soils under rhizoremediation was 40% higher than in other treatments. Overall, control soil tended to show lower values of DHA than the rest of treatments. These assays suggest that the combined effect of the introduction of plants and microbes with PGPR and biodegradation properties had a beneficial effect on soil enzymatic activities.

In parallel with DHA evolution, monitoring of pH in soil was performed along the trial (Figure 5), as changes in this parameter can have a negative effect on microbial populations and, therefore, in their ability for degrading recalcitrant compounds (Leahy and Colwell, 1990). Most adequate condition for bacteria and fungi metabolism is neutrality, being the latter more tolerant of acidic conditions (Atlas, 1988). An optimal pH range from 7.4 to 7.8 has previously been reported for the mineralization of oily sludge in soil (Verstraete *et al.*, 1976; Dibble and Bartha, 1979).



**Figure 5.** pH measured along the study. Vertical boxes show the median (solid line), mean (dash line) and the 5th/95th percentiles (n=5). Sampling times: TO) beginning of the assay (March 2011), T13) 13 weeks (June 2011), T9) 19 weeks (August 2011) and T33) 33 weeks (October 2011).

At the beginning of the trial, pH was around neutrality, between 7.3 and 7.8, in all the treatments (Figure 5). Similar values maintained along the assay, no statistical differences (p>0.05) were observed among plots, which made an optimal environment for degradation of hydrocarbons pollutants as described before.

#### Microbial diversity and community composition

To assess the effects of bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments on soil microbiota in soil polluted with petroleum hydrocarbons, barcoded amplicon pyrosequencing of the hypervariable V3 region of the 16S rDNA gene was performed. Microbial diversity was studied before setting the treatments and during the remediation process at 30, 90 and 150 days in control, non-inoculated plants, rhizoremediation and bioremediation treatments. The raw sequence data obtained from 454 pyrosequencing were analyzed using QIIME software after sorting trimming and processing through chimeric analysis.

Rarefaction analysis (Figure 6) showed that 90 days from the start of the assay, treatments where plants had been introduced (non-inoculated and rhizoremediation) exhibited an increase in the number of OTUs.



**Figure 6.** Rarefaction analysis. Control soil (C), plants-control (P), rhizoremediation (R) and bioremediation (B) at different times in the assay: at the beginning of the treatment (0), 30 days (30), 90 days (90) and 150 days (150).

However, it was previously reported that diversity in the rhizosphere differs significantly from that of bulk soil, being higher in the latter case (Marilley *et al.*, 1999; Weisskopf *et al.*, 2005; García-Salamanca *et al.*, 2012). Thus, this could be explained by the deleterious effect that hydrocarbons pollution exerts over the indigenous microbial population of a formerly pristine soil, and the selection of certain microbial groups in the rhizosphere of plants, as recently reported by Solano and colleagues (submitted). The increase of biodiversity associated to introduced plants, could be related to the generation of ecological niches and the exudation of nutrients in the rhizosphere, promoting variations on indigenous microbial population and supporting the establishment and survival of the inoculated consortium in soils polluted with petroleum hydrocarbons, as previously reported by Kozdrój and van Elsas (2000).

The prevailing bacterial *phyla* in pre-treated polluted soil were Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria, along with Choroflexi Gemmatimonadetes, Bacteroidetes and Planctomycetes; however, changes in bacterial community distribution were noticed along the remediation assay (Figure 7).



**Figure 7.** Relative abundance of phylogenetic groups at the phylum level based on 16S rDNA genes. Control (C), plants-control (P), rhizoremediation (R) and bioremediation (B) at four different times in the assay: before treatment, 30 days, 90 days and 150 days.

Actinobacteria have been described as an important group in soils under hard environmental conditions (Fierer *et al.*, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2012). After 30 days of treatment, the Proteobacteria *phylum* increased in all plots while the relative abundance of Actinobacteria decreased; the change in the population distribution of these predominant *phyla* could come as consequence of soil tillage performed before setting the assay, as had already been reported in semiarid conditions by Acosta-Martínez and colleagues (2010). Nevertheless, the increase of Proteobacteria in bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments is more remarkable (over 30% in both cases), compared to non-inoculated treatments (around 20% in both cases). This could be due to the addition, and therefore enrichment, of bacteria belonging to this *phylum* contained in the applied remediation treatments.

After 90 days of treatment an increase in Actinobacteria, specially in the rhizoremediation treatment, and that of unclassified bacteria was recorded, as well as a significant decrease in Bacteroidetes in the inoculated plots (bioremediation and rhizoremediation) (Figure 7), which is consistent with results by Bouchez-Naitali and colleagues (1999) who reported the prevalence of Actinobacteria in hydrocarbon polluted soils from different geographical locations. This phylum comprises the Actinomycetales order, which consists of several species belonging to the Mycobacterium, Microbacterium and Nocardia genera, which have been reported to act as petroleum hydrocarbons degraders (Margesin et al., 2003; Kloos et al., 2006; Ros et al., 2010), and the Georgenia genus that has been related to degradation processes in soils contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons (Militon et al., 2010, Woo et al., 2011). These genera are among the main 16 genera (with a relative abundance  $\geq 1\%$ ) identified in our assays (Table 3). Therefore, the increase in degradative microbial population belonging to Actinobacteria could be related to rhizoremediation treatments favouring the activation of degradation processes and leading to a decrease in TPHs, as described before, through the creation of adequate niches for the proliferation of indigenous bacteria, as previously reported for ryegrass species by Kirk and colleagues (2005).

After 150 days of treatment (Figure '7), a significant change in bacterial community distribution occurred, leading to a strong reduction of the relative abundance of several *phyla*, which was especially notorious (around 50%, compared to the beginning of the assay) for the Proteobacteria *phylum* in non-inoculated plots (untreated and non-inoculated plants), whereas reduction of this *phylum* in inoculated plots (bioremediation and rhizoremediation) was around 30% which could due to the persistence at high population levels of introduced microbiota (Figure 1), specially when associated to plants; whereas the unclassified bacteria and Acidobacteria *phyla* increased. This considerable change within the microbial population could be ascribed to the inception of the aestival season, where the lack of precipitations and higher temperatures concur, as recently reported for Mediterranean ecosystems by Pizarro-Tobías and colleagues (unpublished reults).

**Table 3.** Bacterial relative abundance at genus level  $(\geq 1\%)$  along the assay. Control (C), plants control (P), rhizoremediation (R) and bioremediation (B), at four different times in the assay: before treatment, 30 days, 90 days and 150 days.

| Dharles m        | Comme             | C   | P   | R   | В   | C   | P   | R   | B   | C   | P   | R   | B   | C   | P   | R   | B   |
|------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Phylum           | Genus             | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 30  | 30  | 30  | 30  | 90  | 90  | 90  | 90  | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Actinobacteria   | Georgenia         | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 2.8 |
|                  | Gordonia          | 0.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
|                  | Agromyces         | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
|                  | Microbacterium    | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 6.6 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.6 |
|                  | Mycobacterium     | 4.5 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 5.2 |
|                  | Nocardia          | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
|                  | Williamsia        | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
|                  |                   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Bacteroidetes    | Flavobacterium    | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
|                  | Cytophaga         | 5.3 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
|                  |                   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Gemmatimonadetes | Gemmatimonas      | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
|                  |                   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Proteobacteria   | Balneimonas       | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|                  | Hyphomicrobium    | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
|                  | Phaeospirillum    | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|                  | Skermanella       | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.5 |
|                  | Massilia          | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 |
|                  | Methyloversatilis | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
As described above, Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria were the predominant *phyla*, and had already been described as key in the bioremediation of alkane-polluted semiarid soils (Pucci *et al.*, 2000), and reported to be an important part of microbial population in several oil-polluted scenarios (Watanabe, 2001), also pointing out the selective pressure of pollutants over indigenous microbial populations. Bioremediation and rhizoremediation treatments showed a tendency towards the stimulation of petroleum-degrading bacterial communities, aimed at accelerating the recovery of the polluted soil in terms of biodiversity.

# Introduced plant development and landscape evolution

To assess and compare the evolution of plant biomass generation along the assay in the different treatments, dry weight of shoots and roots in each plot were measured. Three months after the beginning of the assay, plants in the plots undergoing rhizoremediation treatments exhibited an increase of about a 30% in shoot dry weight (Figure 8a and 8b) comparing to plants-control treatments; no significant differences were found in terms of percentage of root dry weight (data not shown) among them. Since the introduced bacterial consortium contained *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, we ascribed the plant-growth promoting effects to BIRD-1, which is a PGPR strain that efficiently colonizes plants roots while solubilising iron, organic and inorganic phosphate, and synthesising phytohormones (Matilla *et al.*, 2011; Roca *et al.*, 2012).





**Figure 8.** Introduced plant fitness at different times in the study. Figure 8a shows percentage of shoot dry weight (n=5) of inoculated plants in rhizoremediation treatments compared to non-inoculated plants in plant-control treatments three months after the beginning of the trial. Different letters mean statistical differences according to the Tukey test (p<0.05). Figure 8b shows growth of introduced plants and vegetation coverage after 1 month from the beginning of the trial on plant control treatment (A), introduced plants in rhizoremediation treatments (B), control treatment/untreated (C) and bioremediation treatment (D).

The effect of introduced plants on the landscape, in general, and on each plot in particular, was recorded photographically (Figure 9). Visually, the positive impact of the rhizoremediation processes could be appreciated from the first month after the beginning of the assay: higher germination and plant coverage could be observed in plots were plants had been introduced in association with microorganisms. No germination was observed for *Trifolium repens* in any of the plots, which could be due to this specific sensitivity of plants to the concentration of petroleum hydrocarbons, a phenomenon recently reported in soil contaminated with 12,000 ppm of diesel (Barrutia *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, the choice of plants in rhizoremediation is highly relevant and in the case of removal of hydrocarbons, pasture grasses are good candidates for rhizoremediation treatments since they are not affected by hydrocarbons when associated to PGPR and degradative bacteria consortium.

Four months after the beginning of the assay, in the aestival season (july 2011), introduced flora had completed its phenological cycle, and total wilt of the plants was observed. Bioremediation plots presented no visual differences with those that remained untreated along the assay, and no vegetation germination was recorded in any of these plots.

# Chapter 3









**Figure 8.** General evolution of the treated plots along the study (8 months): before the treatment (A, March 2011), one month of treatment (B, April 2011), three months of treatment (C, June 2011), end of trial (D, October 2011).

#### **Concluding remarks**

We found out that none of three of the introduced P. putida strains (BIRD-1, DOT-T1E and EAF11-2) and, Sphingomonas sp. LH128 were affected by pollutant concentrations in soil as high as 30,000 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and had the ability to survive, particularly associated to plants, for at least, 20 weeks. This made the established artificial consortium a good candidate to be used in rhizoremediation treatments. Plant growth-promoting effects were observed in plots where pasture plants had been associated to the introduced artificial consortium, favouring also the growth of indigenous microbiota with the ability to degrade hydrocarbons, in particular Actinobacteria, which comprise genera related to petroleumhydrocarbons degradation processes. As expected from the complex chemical composition of pollutants, the decrease in total TPH concentrations was modest in the period under study; however longer assays should provide solid support on the effectiveness of the approach adopted for removal of recalcitrant compounds.

# Acknowledgments

Work in this study was supported by grants from Fondo Social Europeo and Fondos FEDER. Work at Bio-Iliberis R&D was supported by the EU BACSIN grant, work at CSIC in Murcia was supported by REPSOL YPF and work at CSIC in Granada was supported by grant BIO-2010-17227. F.

Bastida is grateful to CSIC and FSE for his JAE-Doc Grant.

General Discussion

The rhizosphere, as first defined as the area influenced by the root system (Hiltner, 1904), is a complex environment with longitudinal and radial gradient variations in its physico-chemical and biological properties (Brimecombe et al., 2007; Ahmad et al., 2011); where exudation, nutrient and water uptake take place and microbial population and activity have been reported to be higher than in bulk soil (Molina et al., 2000; Morgan et al., 2005). Root exudates comprise amino acids, organic acids, sugars, vitamins, fatty acids and sterols, nucleotides, proteins and volatile compounds such as carbon dioxide and molecular hydrogen, etc. (Ahmad et al., 2011). Although nutrient-rich, the rhizosphere is a changing environment where its inhabitants are exposed to multiple carbon sources, decomposing aromatic compounds derived from ligning, secondary metabolites derived from plants and microbes and signalling molecules among others, all of which exert a selective pressure over them (Matilla et al., 2007; Attila et al., 2008). Thus, diversity of microbial populations colonizing the root vicinity has been reported to differ not only significantly from that of bulk soil (Marilley et al., 1999; Weisskopf et al., 2005; García-Salamanca et al., 2012), but also its composition is affected by soil characteristics, plant species and root area (Chen et al., 2006). Nonetheless, microorganisms inhabiting the rhizosphere can act as beneficial or detrimental to plant growth.

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) constitute a fraction of bacterial populations that favour seed germination and, then, plant growth and development by increasing the availability or producing

specific nutrients and direct or indirect antagonism of plant deleterious microbes. One of the most important traits for PGPR strains to be effective, once they are released in the environment, is the capacity to colonize and survive in the rhizosphere.

*Pseudomonas,* which are considered ubiquitous inhabitants of the environment, have been reported as the most effective root-colonizing bacteria (Lugtenberg *et al.*, 2001) as members of this genus often live in mutualistic association with plants in the rhizosphere, where rhizodeposits are exchanged for phytohormones precursors, solubilisation of low-available nutrients like iron and phosphate, compounds with antifungal activity and chemicals that promote induced systemic resistance. Not all *Pseudomonas* are PGPR strains, but those with these properties are suitable for agriculture and environmental uses.

To assess genes for competence, proliferation and stress adaptation of *Pseudomonas putida* in the rhizosphere we carried out a literature search, that gave as a result 180 genes involved in rhizosphere fitness (Table 1). Identified traits included 25 proteins related with the ability to attach to biotic surfaces and biofilm genesis, 13 related with flagella biosynthesis, 2 involved in chemotaxis responses, 14 regulators and sensor proteins, 64 proteins involved in stress adaptation and detoxification, 8 proteins involved in DNA repair mechanisms, 8 proteins involved in transport and secretion processes, 30 related to metabolism,

7 involved in protein synthesis and 9 hypothetical proteins with unknown functions.

# 1. Attachment, biofilm formation and fagella

A critical issue in root colonization is the ability of *Pseudomonas putida* to recognize plant-root exudates. Espinosa-Urgel and colleagues (2002), in a video accompanying the referred article, showed how Pseudomonas cells recognize corn seeds and move towards the seeds. Later, a research article by Lacal and colleagues (2010) revealed that all TCA cycle intermediates present in root exudates are recognized by the McpS chemoreceptor, and these are among others signalling molecules that promote motility towards the source of chemicals. Different approaches have been used to identify these features, with the initial and most highlighting technique, which is the generation of random mini-Tn5 mutants to assess attachment to seed and root surfaces. Espinosa-Urgel and colleagues (2000) obtained eight Pseudomonas putida KT2440 random transposon mutants with diminished ability in attachment to corn seeds. Sequencing of the DNA adjacent to the insertions and later characterization of mutants suggested that initial steps in attachment and biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas putida* share certain similarities with pathogenic microorganisms infecting host tissues.

The analysis of these mutants (Yousef-Coronado *et al.*, 2008; Martínez-Gil *et al.*, 2010; Duque *et al.*, 2013), revealed that two functions are essential in attachment and biofilm formation that involves two large

General Discussion

surface adhesion proteins influencing plant colonization: i) LapA (Table 1). and genes related to its synthesis and transport (LapB, LapC, LapD), which is the most essential trait in the initial steps of attachment to plants surfaces and biofilm formation, and therefore success in the rhizosphere; and ii) LapF, which plays a significant role at later stages than LapA in the maintenance of the biofilm structure at the sessile stages of the colonizing Pseudomonas. Further analysis of mutants revealed that flagella itself and sigma factors that control its biogenesis, FliA and RpoN, were also affected in the early steps of attachment. These results are in accordance with a study on early biofilm formation by Escherichia coli (Domka et al., 2007), and a more recent visual analysis of flagella role and surface colonization published recently (Wood, 2013). Reciprocal adhesion genes (Table 1) with a high degree of identity ( $\approx$ 90%) were also identified in the PGPR strain *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 (Roca et al., 2013), which has showed to be efficient colonizer of several plants of agronomical and environmental interest (Roca et al., 2013; Pizarro-Tobías and colleagues, unpublished results).

Moreover, Reva and colleagues (2006) when assessing important features for *Pseudomonas putida* to cope with environmental stresses through transcriptome analysis of random transposon mutants found that LapA (Table 1) was involved in coping with urea-induced stress (chaotropic solute), by generating a hydrophilic micromilieu suitable for the incorporation of urea into its mesh, avoiding the water stress.

An important trait described for PGPR bacteria is their ability to produce siderophores, which increase iron uptake by the microbes and even by the host plants (Gamalero and Glick, 2011); besides, iron constitutes an important element involved in attachment and biofilm formation (O'Toole and Kolter, 1998). It has been described that *Pseudomonas putida* strains have multiple iron acquisition systems, which enables them to acquire complexed iron through self-produced and exogenous siderophores, aiding in being efficient rhizosphere colonizers (Martínez-Bueno et al., 2002). To elucidate to what extent is iron involved in seed attachment, colonization and, therefore, in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 rhizosphere fitness, Molina and colleagues (2005) performed corn-seeds attachment assays. As corn seeds are rich in ferrous iron the assay was carried out with iron chelators-pretreated seeds, resulting in a diminish in the number of seed-attached cells, which could indicate that iron could play a role as chemo-attractant and/or the establishment of bacteria on the surface of the seed. To further assess which is seed-borne iron part in attachment and biofilm formation, mutants in the TonB system gene cluster (exbB/exbD/tonB, PP\_5306-5308) (Table 1), which is essential for siderophore iron uptake, were assayed for corn seed adhesion, resulting in an increased unattachment of bacterial cells. Competition assays in unsterile corn rhizospheric soil of the mutant versus the wild type strain resulted in the displacement of the mutant, pointing out the importance of the TonB system, which is relevant for bacterial fitness in the rhizosphere. An in silico analysis performed on the Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1 genome revealed that it has 25 iron receptors with over

# General Discussion

95% identity to their reciprocal *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 gene products (Roca *et al.*, 2013), which is consistent with the capacity of this strain to attach to seeds and survive and colonize the rhizosphere of maize and several plants of agronomical and environmental importance (Roca *et al.*, 2013, Pizarro-Tobías *et al.*, unpublished results). The TonB/ExbD/ExbB cluster was found to be highly conserved in all *Pseudomonas putida* whose genome has been sequenced, with >73% identity (Table 2).

Exopolysaccharides (EPS) like alginate, are molecules involved in biofilm formation and stability, and have been found to play an important role in the ability of *Pseudomonas putida* to cope with desiccation, which is an often-adverse agent to cope with in the environment (Ramos et al., 2001). A gene related to alginate biosynthesis, *algD* (PP\_1288), was identified being induced in the rhizosphere by Ramos-González and colleagues (2005), which also had previously been related to water stress (van de Mortel and Halverson, 2004) and involved in root colonization success. An orthologue gene was found in *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 (Table 1), with a degree of identity of 99.77%; further, this strain showed an enhanced capacity to overcome water stress in soil, when compared to P. putida KT2440 (Roca et al., 2013). This gene was also found to be highly conserved in all sequenced Pseudomonas putida strains, with >85% identity (Table 2). Chang and colleagues (2007) reported that alginate takes part in biofilm structure maintenance in water scarcity conditions, increasing survival capacity of *P. putida* cells within the matrix, pointing

out the importance of exopolysaccharides in Pseudomonas environmental fitness and stress tolerance. Nielsen and colleagues (2011) studied the role of two other putative exopolysaccharides found in Pseudomonas putida mt2, namely, the Pea exopolysaccharide (pea) and a cellulose-like polymer (bcs) (Table 1), and they studied their role(s) in biofilm formation and environmental stress tolerance through directed mutagenesis and subsequent phenotype assays. They reported the importance of Bcs and alginate in maize rhizosphere colonization; also that both, Bcs and Pea, promote the retention of water within the biofilm matrix during water scarcity. In a parallel study using analogous techniques, Nilsson and colleagues (2011) reported the existence of an additional putida exopolysaccharide (peb) gene cluster (PP\_1789 -PP\_1791, PP\_1792 - PP\_1795) (Table 1), which is also involved in biofilm stability in addition to the large cell surface proteins LapA and LapF, which are key factors in biofilm formation as described before. The bcs and pea clusters are conserved with a degree of identity 95% in Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1 (Table 1). Regarding to the rest of sequenced Pseudomonas putida, we found that the bcs cluster was less conserved in UW4, W619, S16 and PC9 strains (<45% identity) (Table 2); the pea cluster was also found to be less conserved in UW4 (<50% identity) (Table 2). In the case of *peb* cluster, the orthologue genes showed a low degree of identity (<30%), and within the cluster only the ORF PP\_1792 was predicted as orthologue for P. putida BIRD-1 (Table 1). This cluster was found being relatively unpreserved among the sequenced also Pseudomonas putida, except for P. putida S16 (>74% identity) (Table 2).

General Discussion

Matilla and colleagues (2011a) identified two gene clusters coding for surface polysaccharides, through the insertion of a plasmid harbouring *rup4959* (locus PP\_4959) in miniTn5 derivatives of *P. putida* KT2440:, i) putida exopolysaccharide (pea, PP\_3133-3141) (Nielsen *et al.*, 2011; Nilsson *et al.*, 2011), and ii) putida exopolysaccharide (peb, PP\_1789-1791) (Nilsson *et al.*, 2011), related to increased levels of cyclic diguanylate; and LapA was also found to be essential for biofilm formation (Yousef-Coronado *et al.*, 2008), as described before.

#### 2. Regulators and sensor proteins

Regulator proteins involved in fitness in the rhizosphere have been identified through the analysis of mutants with enhanced sensitivity of stress conditions as well as through transcriptomic analysis. The work of Reva and colleagues (2006) identified the CbrAB two-component response regulator system to be involved in coping with abiotic stresses; they found that *cbrAB* mutants were more sensitive than the parental strain to cold stress (4°C). Amador and colleagues (2010) have also reported the importance of CbrB for *Pseudomonas putida* rhizosphere fitness. They have identified a variety of functions unrelated to carbon metabolism subjected to CbrB regulation, such as chemotaxis, stress tolerance and biofilm formation. The CbrAB two-component response regulator system is well conserved in all sequenced *P. putida* strains (Table 2), for which *P. putida* BIRD-1 has reciprocal genes, with a percentage of identity over 99% (Table 1).

Some global stress response genes were identified during the study of Reva and colleagues (2006) (PP\_0063, PP\_0816, PP\_4646, PP\_5322) (Table 1), for which orthologues were found in *P. putida* BIRD-1 genome with a percentage of identity over 98%; we also found that these are also highly conserved in the rest of sequenced Pseudomonas (>72% identity) (Table 2). Besides, several genes were found to be redundant in coping with one of more of the stresses assessed, pointing out that the stress response machinery of *Pseudomonas putida* is relatively small but efficient, being the maintenance of intracellular pH, redox status and metabolism key factors in environmental fitness.

A gene coding for a response regulator (PP\_4959; Table 1), which is implicated in the regulation of the second messenger c-di-GMP (cyclic diaguanylate), was found being induced in the rhizosphere by Matilla and colleagues (2007). Cyclic diguanylate has been reported to be involved in bacterial shifting from planktonic to sessile state (Römling and Amikam, 2006). Matilla and colleagues (2011a) used transcriptional fusions to reporter genes to confirm the activation of this gene (PP\_4959) in maize rhizosphere. They also found that is activated in microaerobiosis conditions and in the combination of maize root exudates, conditions often found in the vicinity of roots. An orthologue of PP\_4959 for *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 was found (PPUBIRD1\_4743) with a 99.87% of identity (Table 1). It should be noted that ORF PP\_4959 is cotranscribed with 4958 and 4957, and these are also conserved in BIRD-1 (PPUBIRD1\_4742 and PPUBIRD1\_4741, with 97.77% and 98.98%

identity, respectively). We found that the c-di-GMP response regulator (PP\_4959) is also highly conserved in all sequenced *Pseudomonas putida* strains (>70% identity) (Table 2).

# **3. Metabolism**

Another method used for identifying bacteria promoters induced in the rhizosphere is the *in vivo* expression technology (IVET), which allows identifying upregulated genes in particular conditions by generating transcriptional fusions of genomic sequences to a reporter gene encoding an enzymatic activity. Ramos-González and colleagues (2005) found 28 genes of Pseudomonas putida in a non-saturating screen that were induced in maize rhizosphere, related to the biosynthesis of cell envelope components, nutrient acquisition, energy metabolism, DNA metabolism and defense mechanisms, stress and detoxification, chemotaxis and motility, among others (Table 1). Several genes related to amino acid acquisition where induced, including *putP* a proline permease previously reported to be induced in the presence of maize exudates (PP\_4946) (Vilchez et al., 2000), a gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) permease (PP\_2543), and an amino oxidase involved in lysine catabolism (PP\_0383) (Revelles et al., 2004) (Table 1). Reciprocal genes for these three KT2440 proteins were found in Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1 genome, with over 98% of identity, confirming that the ability for amino acid metabolism is conserved in this strain; as well as highly conserved for the rest of the sequenced *P. putida* (>79% identity), with the exception of the GABA permease in *P. putida* UW4 (55% identity) (Table 2). As was

expected, an essential gene in central metabolism, *aceE* (PP\_0339) encoding for a pyruvate dehydrogenase, was induced; as presumed, this gene was found in BIRD-1 genome with a 99.77% identity (Table 1), and in the rest of sequenced *P. putida* (>93% identity) (Table 2).

The study of protein expression profiles of bacteria in the presence of root exudates constitutes an interesting approach for identifying essential traits in rhizosphere colonization and fitness. Cheng and colleagues (2009) performed functional studies with Pseudomonas putida UW4, comparing the wild-type strain with an ACC deaminase mutant, in the presence of Brassica napus (canola) root exudates to identify key proteins implicated in the interactions of the bacteria root-influenced environment. They identified that a large proportion of proteins expressed were related to the utilization of nutrients present in root exudates (Table 1), as previously reported by Mark and colleagues (2005) for Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1; also, several proteins involved in cell envelope components biosynthesis. like exopolisaccharides, chaperones, proteins involved in DNA repair or modification, etc. (Table 1). Nonetheless, it was found that some essential proteins involved in the mutualistic bacteria-plant interaction were down regulated, which could suggest that in the presence of root exudates bacteria have enough nutrients to avoid the protein-expression machinery needed when absent in free-living conditions.

# 4. Stress adaptation

One of the most realistic and complete approaches for unravelling gene expression of rhizospheric bacteria was accomplished with *Pseudomonas* putida KT2440 in maize rhizosphere by performing a genomic-wide analysis using microarrays, later validated by the performance of real time RT-PCR (Matilla et al., 2007). To obtain a better picture of specific induced genes in the rhizosphere, controls in rich medium with planktonic cells growing exponentially and planktonic cells in stationary phase, and sessile cells in sand microcosms were used. Over 90 genes were found to be differentially induced in the rhizosphere, noting that most of them were related to the uptake of specific carbon and nitrogen sources, such as amino acids, catabolic pathways for the degradation of aromatic compounds, stress response and detoxification, signal transduction sensors and response regulators. It is worth mentioning the up-regulation of the sensor histidine kinase PhoR (PP 5321) (Table 1), which is involved in the response to inorganic phosphate limitation; an orthologue for this gene was found in *P. putida* BIRD-1 (PPUBIRD1\_5113) with a 99.08% identity (Table 1); we found that *phoR* is highly conserved in all sequenced strains of *Pseudomonas putida* (>81% identity) (Table 2). The induction of this Pho system had previously been reported being modulated by the cell redox state (Schau et al., 2004), which implies that terminal oxidases are required for this system induction. As expected, two genes involved in cytochrome biosynthesis were induced in this study (PP\_0109 and PP\_0110). Reciprocal genes in P. putida BIRD-1 were found for both (PPUBIRD1\_0137 and PPUBIRD1\_0138) with ≈98%

of identity; further, we found that both genes were highly conserved among the sequenced *P. putida* strains (>72% identity), except for UW4 (<67% identity) (Table 2).

The search in the genome of Pseudomonas putida BIRD-1 for genes involved in oxidative stress led to the identification of 16 related genes, including several superoxide dismutases, catalases, alkylhydroperoxidases, cytochrome peroxidases and glutathione peroxidases (Roca et al., 2013). The redundancy of genes to deal with oxygen active species points out the importance of these enzymes for rhizosphere fitness. Two of the genes involved in coping with oxidative stress found BIRD-1 genome (PPUBIRD1\_3741 and PPUBIRD1\_2060) were reported to be induced in the rhizosphere in Matilla and colleagues (2007) (PP\_1874) and Cheng and colleagues (2009) (PP\_3668) studies, respectively (Table 1). These genes were also found being highly conserved among sequenced P. putida strains, with >71% identity and >76% identity, respectively.

Matilla and colleagues (2007) also constructed *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 mutants for 9 genes from the over 90 upregulated genes they found being induced in the rhizosphere, which were representing every group of rhizosphere expressed traits. When assayed in competition with the wild type strain in maize rhizosphere, mutants were less efficient colonizers than the wild type to different extents. Results indicated that

essential traits for rhizosphere fitness are stress adaptation mechanisms and ability for metabolize a variety of nutrients composing root exudates.

As presented above, numerous studies with varied approaches have been performed to elucidate the molecular basis of essential traits involved in rhizosphere fitness of bacterial strains when in mutualistic relationship with different plant species. Barret and colleagues (2011) reviewed the topic, pointing out that, in the case of the *Pseudomonas* genus, the essential features induced in different mutualistic interactions within the rhizosphere comprise stress response and secretion systems.

# Degree of conservation of rhizosphere competence features among sequenced *Pseudomonas putida*

Overall, we found orthologues in *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 genome for most of the described genes involved in rhizosphere fitness; with an exception of 18 genes, which comprise 10% of the described traits (Table 1). Thus, it can be said that BIRD-1 has the genomic machinery to be a successful and a competent strain to be used with plants of agronomical and environmental interest, as previously indicated an ample study performed on this strain (Roca *et al.*, 2013), which revealed the genome of this strain encodes a number plant growth-promoting traits (Matilla *et al.*, 2011b). Field-scale trials performed in a burnt protected Mediterranean environment (Pizarro-Tobías *et al.*, unpublished results) and in a pretroleum-hydrocarbon polluted site (Pizarro-Tobías *et al.*, unpublished results) using *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 as a PGPR strain, in consortium with other bacterial strains, showed the ability of this strain to adapt and survive to harsh and polluted environments for a sufficient period of time to exert its beneficial effects. To assess whether these traits are equally conserved in other strains of *Pseudomonas putida*, an *in silico* analysis was performed to find the presence of these genes in the genome of 8 other sequenced *P. putida* strains, being: F1, UW4, W619, DOT-T1E, S16, PC9 and Idaho (Table 2).

Of the 25 genes identified as being involved in adhesion, attachment and biofilm formation processes, *lapA*, *lapF* and *lapH* have been identified as key determinants of attachment in P. putida (Yousef-Coronado et al., 2008; Martínez-Gil et al., 2010; Duque et al., 2013). We found that these three genes are highly conserved in most of the sequenced P. putida strains with >70% of identity, except for UW4 and PC9 (<48%). The LapA and LapF proteins were found being worse conserved in W619 (36% and 65% identity, respectively) when comparing to LapH (80% identity) (Table 2). LapBC cluster was found being conserved in all 10 strains, with over a 78% identity in all the cases. The algD, galU, hemN and PP\_4934 genes were also found being conserved in all the strains (>85%, >91%, >83% and >89% identity, respectively) (Table 2). The KT2440 gene clusters related to the synthesis of surface exopolysaccharides, Pea and Bcs, were found to be reciprocal in BIRD-1, F1, DOT-T1E, GB-1 and Idaho strains (Table 2). All of the KT2440 adhesion determinants that were not found to be reciprocal in BIRD-1 (PP\_1789, PP\_1790, PP\_1791, PP\_1793

and PP\_1795), as said before (Table 1), were only found being conserved in the S16 strain, a microbe isolated from plant roots with the ability to degrade nicotine (Wang *et al.*, 2007) Nonetheless, a gene identified in *Pseudomonas putida* W619 to be involved in attachment of endophytes to higher plants (Wu *et al.*, 2011), was found to have an orthologue only in BIRD-1 (73% of identity) (Table 1, 2). Further research would have to be performed to assess whether this strain behaves as an endophyte, though it is unlikely that a single gene is determinant for such a complex process as endophytism.

Regarding to the 13 genes related to flagella biosynthesis and assembly, which have been above described as determinant for attachment and rhizosphere colonization in *Pseudomonas putida* (Yousef-Coronado *et al.*, 2008; Duque *et al.*, 2013), overall it was found that all of them have a relatively good degree of conservation in the sequenced strains (Table 2); except for the *fliD* gene, with <50% of identity in the 9 *P. putida* strains compared to KT2440.

The two genes found to be involved in rhizosphere chemotaxis processes (PP\_4331 and PP\_4988) (Table 1) were found to be highly conserved in most of the strains screened (75% to 92% identity), except for UW4 (66% and 58% identity, respectively) and Idaho (38% for PP\_4331) (Table 2).

With regards to the 14 regulators and sensor proteins found to be involved in rhizosphere fitness (Table 1), Duque and colleagues (2013)

remarked the importance of the RNA polymerase sigma factor RpoN, along with the transcriptional regulator FleQ, for the expression of *fliD*, encoding for a flagellar cap protein. In other microorganisms such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa rpoN* mutants were shown being hampered in adhesion. The RpoN was found being conserved in all sequenced *P. putida* strains (>89% identity) (Table 2).

The sensor histidine kinases PhoR, involved in the response to inorganic phosphate limitation as described above (Matilla *et al.*, 2007), ColS (Ramos-González *et al.*, 2005; Barret *et al.*, 2011), and GacS (Duque *et al.*, 2013), were found being relatively conserved in all 9 sequenced *P. putida* strains (Table 2). The transcriptional regulators encoded by PP\_2070, PP\_4424 (Ramos-González *et al.*, 2005), and PP\_3640 (Matilla *et al.*, 2007), were found to be less conserved among the *P. putida* strains (Table 2).

One third of the described proteins involved in rhizosphere competence are related to stress adaptation and detoxification mechanisms (Table 1), which is consistent with the results showed by Matilla and colleagues (2007), who described nutrient acquisition and stress response as the major opposite forces acting on bacterial adaptation in the rhizosphere. Only 4 of the identified genes in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 didn't have orthologues in BIRD-1 genome (Table 1), which shows that these strains have similar machinery to overcome rhizosphere stress. It can also be said for the rest of the *Pseudomonas putida* strains (Table 2), since most of the described genes were relatively conserved among them.

Eight proteins were found to be involved in DNA replication, recombination, repair and defense mechanisms (Table 1). Among them, it is interesting to note that a putative gene named *traX* encoding a protein involved in conjugal DNA transfer/uptake of *Pseudomonas putida* W619, which was induced in the rhizosphere (Wu *et al.*, 2011), is relatively well conserved (69% identity) only in BIRD-1, S16 and PC9 strains (Table 2). *hsdM* gene encoding a protein with endodeoxyribonulease activity was found being well conserved only in BIRD-1 and F1 strains, with 100% and 89% of identity, respectively (Table 2).

Eight transport and secretion proteins were described to be involved in rhizosphere fitness of *Pseudomonas putida* (Table 1). It is worth metioning that the *tonB* gene cluster (Table 1) involved in iron uptake and adhesion to seeds in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 as described above (Molina *et al.*, 2005), is found being highly conserved in all the sequenced *P. putida* strains (Table 2). The rest of genes are well conserved in most of the strains, except for UW4 in which the *hlpAB* cluster and PP\_4541 encoding an esterase has <33% of identity (Table 2).

A significant proportion of the genes involved in rhizospheric competence (17%), is related to metabolism (Table 1), as described by Matilla and colleagues (2007). When comparing to *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440,

BIRD-1 has reciprocal genes for all the described proteins, except for an arylsulfatase (PP\_3352), an aminotransferase (PP\_3786) and an endolysin (PP\_3854) (Table 1). It is worth noting that the aminotransferase was not conserved in any of the strains apart from KT2440 (Table 2). The rest of the metabolism-related genes were well conserved in all of the strains, which is consistent with the metabolic versatility commonly attributed to the *Pseudomonas* genus (Wu *et al.*, 2011).

Seven genes were found to be induced in the rhizosphere related to protein synthesis, folding and degradation (Table 1), which are highly conserved among the sequenced *P. putida* strains (Table 2).

Of the 9 hypothetical proteins, with unknown function, described as putatively involved rhizosphere competence by *Pseudomonas putida* (Table 1), only 3 didn't have an orthologue in BIRD-1 (PP\_2076, PP\_3855 and PP\_5390).

Overall, it can be said that the genomic machinery necessary for *Pseudomonas putida* to accomplish survival and maintenance in the rhizosphere when a plant-bacteria mutualistic relationship is ongoing, is well conserved within the species *P. putida*. This is consistent with *Pseudomonas putida* being a frequent rhizosphere colonizer and, in some cases, plant growth-promoting bacteria (Wu *et al*, 2011; Roca *et al.*, 2013).

In summary, *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 has been found to be an excellent candidate for agronomical uses (Roca *et al.*, 2013) and as part of rhizoremediation treatments for burned ecosystems (Pizarro-Tobías *et al.*, unpublished results) and petroleum-hydrocarbons polluted sites (Pizarro-Tobías *et al.*, unpublished results), for it has the genomic machinery to overcome the different stressors and use nutrients present in the rhizosphere and the ability to survive in soil and rhizosphere in consortium and in competence with other indigenous and introduced strains, as well as in the presence of a variety of toxic compounds.

| Group     | Gene | <b>Ref.</b> strain | Operon <sup>a</sup>              | BIRD-1                  | Product <sup>o</sup>  | Protein  | E-         | Referenced          |
|-----------|------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------|------------|---------------------|
|           |      | ORF ID             |                                  | ORF ID                  |   | Identity | value      |                     |
|           |      |                    |                                  | (PPUBIRD1) <sup>b</sup> |   | (%)      |            |                     |
| Adhesion  | lapD | PP_0165            | PP_0164-PP_0165                  | 0195                    | Diguanylate cyclase   | 98.92    | 0.0        | 20                  |
| Biofilm   | lapC | PP_0166            | PP_0166-PP_0167                  | 0196                    | HlyD family type I secretion membrane<br>fusion protein                             | 99.78    | 0.0        | 20                  |
| formation | lapB | PP_0167            |                                  | 0197                    | Secretion ATP-binding protein   | 99.86    | 0.0        | 20                  |
|           | lapA | PP_0168            | -                                | 0199                    | Surface adhesion protein  | 99.97    | 0.0        | 3, 8, 14, 16,<br>20 |
|           | lapH | PP_0805            | PP_0803-PP_0806                  | 0851                    | TolC family type I secretion outer membrane<br>protein                              | 99.13    | 0.0        | 3, 16               |
|           | lapF | PP_0806            |                                  | 0852                    | Putative surface protein  | 96.67    | 0.0        | 3, 5, 16            |
|           | algD | PP_1288            | PP_1281-PP_1288                  | 4273                    | GDP-mannose 6-dehydrogenase   | 99.77    | 0.0        | 14                  |
|           | -    | PP_1789            |                                  | 0454*                   | HAD superfamily Hydrolase   | 22.17    | 0.009      | 8,12                |
|           | -    | PP_1790            | PP_1789-PP_1791                  | 3811*                   | Acylneuraminate cytidylyltransferase  | 43.48    | 1.1        | 8,12                |
|           | -    | PP_1791            |                                  | 0016*                   | Aldolase  | 20.81    | 1e-04      | 8,12                |
|           | -    | PP_1792            |                                  | 1485                    | Glycosyl transferase family protein   | 30.18    | 2e-23      | 8,12                |
|           | -    | PP_1793            | בסטו ממ_פסטו ממ                  | 2597*                   | Glycosyl transferase family protein   | 26.55    | 3e-08      | 8,12                |
| -         | -    | PP_1794            | 11_1/98 11_1/90<br>-             | -                       | Hypothetical protein  | -        | -          | 8,12                |
|           | -    | PP_1795            |                                  | 0194*                   | Hypothetical protein  | 22.78    | 0.21       | 8,12                |
|           | bcsQ | PP_2634            |                                  | 3057                    | Cellulose synthase containing putative<br>MinD/ParA-binding domain                  | 98.72    | 1e-<br>132 | 8,12                |
|           | bcsA | PP_2635            | PP_2629-PP_2638                  | 3056                    | Cellulose synthase catalytic subunit  | 98.21    | 0.0        | 8, 11, 12           |
|           | bcsB | PP_2636            |                                  | 3054                    | Cellulose synthase regulator protein BscB   | 98.55    | 0.0        | 8,11,12             |
|           | bscC | PP_2637            |                                  | 3053                    | Endo-1,4-D-glucanase/cellulase  | 99.19    | 0.0        | 8,11,12             |
|           | peaG | PP_3139            |                                  | 2593                    | Glycoside hydrolase family protein  | 96.50    | 0.0        | 8,11,12             |
|           | peaH | PP_3140            | PP_3133-PP_3141                  | 2592                    | Glycosyl transferase family protein   | 98.73    | 0.0        | 8,11,12             |
|           | peaI | PP_3141            |                                  | 2591                    | WecB/TagA/CpsF family glycosyl transferase  | 95.82    | 7e-14      | 8,11.12             |
|           | galU | PP_3821            | -                                | 1959                    | UTP-glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase   | 99.64    | 0.0        | 20                  |
|           | hemN | PP_4264            | -                                | 1592                    | Coproporphyrinogen III oxidase  | 99.78    | 0.0        | 20                  |
|           | -    | PP_4934            | -                                | 4717                    | Bifunctional heptose 7-phosphate<br>kinase/heptose 1-phosphate<br>adenyltransferase | 100      | 0.0        | 2                   |
|           | ndvB | PputW619_2133      | PputW619_2132 -<br>PputW619_2133 | 3043                    | Glycosyltransferase - Cellobiose<br>phosphorylase                                   | 79.49    | 0.0        | 19                  |

**Table 1**. Pseudomonas putida genes encoding traits involved in rhizosphere competence. In silico pairing with reciprocal genes found in P. putidaBIRD-1 genome.

Table 1. Continued

| Group      | Gene  | Ref. strain | <b>Operon</b> <sup>a</sup> | BIRD-1      | Product <sup>c</sup>  | Protein  | E-value | Referenced |
|------------|-------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|---|----------|---------|------------|
|            |       | ORF ID      |                            | ORF ID      |   | Identity |         |            |
|            | fl; A | ו איזיא ממ  |                            | (PPUBIRDI)" | DNA directed DNA relymoners   | (%)      | Fo 164  | 20         |
| Flagella   | ША    | FF_4341     | PP_4341-PP_4344            | 1510        | specialized sigma subunit   | 100      | 96-104  | <u>۵</u> 0 |
|            | flhB  | PP 4352     |                            | 1506        | Flagellar biosynthesis protein FlbB   | 99 74    | 0.0     | 3 16       |
|            | fliO  | PP 4356     | -                          | 1502        | Flagellar assembly protein FliO   | 100      | 2e-64   | 13         |
|            | fliN  | PP 4357     | - PP_4352-PP_4361          | 1501        | Flagellar motor switch protein  | 99.38    | 6e-87   | 20         |
|            | fliL  | PP 4359     | -                          | 1499        | Flagellar basal body protein FliL   | 100      | 1e-84   | 6          |
|            | fliI  | PP_4366     | PP_4365-PP_4367            | 1493        | Flagellum-specific ATP synthase   | 99.78    | 0.0     | 20         |
|            | fliF  | <br>PP_4369 | PP_4368-PP_4370            | 1490        | Flagellar MS-ring protein – flagellar hook                                  | 99.49    | 0.0     | 3,16       |
|            | fleQ  | PP_4373     | -                          | 1470        | Fis family transcriptional regulator  | 100      | 0.0     | 20         |
|            | fliD  | PP_4376     | -                          | 1467        | Flagellar cap protein FliD  | 46       | 5e-96   | 3,16       |
|            | fliC  | PP_4378     | PP_4377-PP_4378            | 1465        | Flagellin FliC  | 65.09    | 1e-57   | 3,16       |
|            | flgL  | PP_4380     | PP_4380-PP_4386            | 1462        | Flagellar hook-associated protein FlgL                                      | 67.95    | 0.0     | 20         |
|            | flgD  | PP_4389     | וסדע ממ אפדע ממ            | 1453        | Flagellar basal body rod modification protein                               | 99.57    | 2e-132  | 20         |
|            | flgB  | PP_4391     | - FF_4307-FF_4391          | 1451        | Flagellar basal-body rod protein FlgB                                       | 93.43    | 2e-81   | 6          |
| Chemotaxis | -     | PP_4331     | PP_4331-PP_4334            | 1520        | Hypothetical protein  | 99.24    | 9e-73   | 6          |
|            | -     | PP_4988     | PP_4987-PP_4992            | 4775        | Chemotaxis protein CheA   | 98.91    | 0.0     | 6          |
| Regulators | -     | PP_0700     | -                          | 0741        | FecR anti-FecI sigma factor   | 99.39    | 0.0     | 6          |
| Sensor     | colS  | PP_0902     | PP_0901-PP_0902            | 0953        | Integral membrane sensor signal transduction histidine kinase               | 99.33    | 0.0     | 1,13       |
| proteins   | rpoN  | PP_0952     | PP_0948-PP_0952            | 1005        | RNA polymerase factor sigma 54  | 99.80    | 0.0     | 3,16       |
|            | -     | PP_1066     | PP_1065-PP_1070            | 1116        | Fis family transcriptional regulator  | 100      | 0.0     | 6          |
|            | gacS  | PP_1650     | PP_1647-PP_1650            | 3966        | Sensor protein GacS - multi-sensor<br>hybrid histidine kinase               | 99.35    | 0.0     | 3, 16      |
|            | -     | PP_2070     | -                          | 3583        | AraC family transcriptional regulator                                       | 90.25    | 2e-141  | 13         |
|            | -     | PP_2127     | PP_2126-PP_2127            | 3526        | Sensor histidine kinase (signal transduction)                               | 97.44    | 0.0     | 6          |
|            | -     | PP_3640     | -                          | 2149        | AraC family transcriptional regulator                                       | 92.08    | 0.0     | 6          |
|            | -     | PP_4295     | -                          | 1561        | TetR family transcriptional regulator                                       | 99.51    | le-137  | 6          |
|            | pfrI  | PP_4424     | -                          | 4559*       | AsnC family transcriptional regulator                                       | 34.21    | 1e-25   | 13         |
|            | -     | PP_4508     | -                          | 2668        | AraC family transcriptional regulator                                       | 99.71    | 0.0     | 6          |
|            | -     | PP_4959     | PP_4957-PP_4959            | 4743        | Response regulator receiver modulated diguanylate cyclase/phosphodiesterase | 98.87    | 0.0     | 6, 8       |

| Group                | Gene       | Ref.    | Operon <sup>a</sup> | BIRD-1                  | Product <sup>c</sup>   | Protein  | E-     | Referenced |
|----------------------|------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|----------|--------|------------|
|                      |            | strain  |                     | ORF ID                  |  | Identity | value  |            |
|                      |            | ORF ID  |                     | (PPUBIRD1) <sup>b</sup> |  | (%)      |        |            |
| Regulators           | -          | PP_4966 | PP_4966-PP_4967     | 4750                    | ArsR family transcriptional regulator                          | 100      | 0.0    | 13         |
| Sensor<br>proteins   | phoR       | PP_5321 | PP_5320-PP_5321     | 5113                    | PAS/PAC sensor signal transduction histidine kinase            | 98.85    | 0.0    | 6          |
| Stress<br>adaptation | -          | PP_0063 | PP_0062-PP_0063     | 0092                    | Lipid A biosynthesis lauroyl<br>acyltransferase                | 99.32    | 0.0    | 14         |
|                      | -          | PP_0109 |                     | 0137                    | Cytochrome oxidase assembly                                    | 98.33    | le-175 | 6, 20      |
| Detoxification       | cyoE-<br>l | PP_0110 | PP_0103-PP_0111     | 0138                    | Protoheme IX farnesyltransferase –<br>polyprenyltransferase    | 98.57    | 2e-131 | 6, 20      |
| Transporters         | -          | PP_0196 | PP_0196-PP_0197     | 0225                    | ABC transporter ATP-binding protein                            | 99.37    | 0.0    | 6          |
|                      | -          | PP_0296 | -                   | 0325                    | Glycine/betaine ABC transporter substrate-binding protein      | 99.31    | 5e-172 | 8          |
|                      | -          | PP_0368 | -                   | 0405                    | Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase   | 100      | 0.0    | 14         |
|                      | -          | PP_0373 | -                   | 0401                    | Hypothetical protein   | 100      | 3e-19  | 6          |
|                      | -          | PP_0383 | PP_0382-PP_0383     | 0418                    | Amine oxidase  | 99.82    | 0.0    | 13         |
|                      | -          | PP_0486 | -                   | 0523                    | Transcriptional regulator, GntR family                         | 98.52    | 0.0    | 14         |
|                      | -          | PP_0670 | -                   | 0710                    | Bile acid/Na+ symporter family protein                         | 95.58    | 3e-166 | 6          |
|                      | -          | PP_0721 | PP_0719-PP_0725     | 0767                    | 50S ribosomal protein L25                                      | 92.31    | 0.0    | 13         |
|                      | cyoE-<br>2 | PP_0816 | PP_0809-PP_0816     | 0860                    | Protoheme IX farnesyltransferase                               | 100      | 5e-177 | 14         |
|                      | -          | PP_0906 | PP_0906-PP_0907     | 0957                    | Multidrug efflux protein                                       | 99.50    | 0.0    | 6          |
|                      | phoP       | PP_1186 | PP_1186-PP_1187     | 1227                    | Winged helix family two component transcriptional regulator    | 98.67    | le-115 | 14         |
|                      | oprD       | PP_1206 | -                   | 1242                    | Porin  | 82.20    | 0.0    | 2          |
|                      | -          | PP_1271 | PP_1271-PP_1273     | 4290                    | Major facilitator superfamily<br>transporter                   | 98.93    | 0.0    | 6,14       |
|                      | aapJ       | PP_1297 | PP_1297-PP_1300     | 4263                    | Amino acid ABC transporter substrate-<br>binding protein       | 99.68    | 0.0    | 2          |
|                      | fpr        | PP_1638 | -                   | 3978                    | oxidoreductase FAD/NAD(P)-binding<br>domain-containing protein | 100      | 5e-177 | 2          |
|                      | relA       | PP_1656 | PP_1654-PP_1656     | 3961                    | (p)ppGpp synthetase I SpoT/RelA                                | 99.73    | 0.0    | 14         |
|                      | -          | PP_1874 | PP_1873-PP_1874     | 3741                    | Glutathione peroxidase   | 99.38    | 3e-108 | 6          |
|                      | oprF       | PP_2089 | -                   | 3563                    | OmpF family protein  | 100      | 0.0    | 2          |

| Group                | Gene  | Ref.    | Operon <sup>a</sup> | BIRD-1                  | Product <sup>o</sup>                                    | Protein  | E-     | Referenced |
|----------------------|-------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|----------|--------|------------|
|                      |       | strain  |                     | ORF ID                  |   | Identity | value  |            |
|                      |       | ORF ID  |                     | (PPUBIRD1) <sup>b</sup> |   | (%)      |        |            |
| Stress<br>adaptation | lolD  | PP_2155 | PP_2154-PP_2156     | 3499                    | Lipoprotein releasing system ATP-<br>binding protein    | 100      | 2e-152 | 6          |
|                      | speB  | PP_2196 | PP_2195-PP_2196     | 3455                    | Agmatinase  | 99.69    | 0.0    | 14         |
| Detoxification       | fepA  | PP_2242 | PP_2242-PP_2243     | 3412                    | Outer membrane receptor FepA                            | 98.52    | 0.0    | 14         |
|                      | cti   | PP_2376 | PP_2376-PP_2378     | 3309                    | Fatty acid cis/trans isomerase                          | 98.56    | 0.0    | 6          |
| Transporters         | azlC  | PP_2385 | PP_2383-PP_2385     | 3299                    | AzlC family protein)                                    | 99.57    | 2e-131 | 6          |
|                      | -     | PP_2543 |                     | 3134                    | Amino acid ABC transporter permease                     | 99.36    | 0.0    | 13         |
|                      | -     | PP_2561 | -                   | 0199*                   | Heme peroxidase   | 50.00    | 1e-12  | 6,7        |
|                      | -     | PP_2669 | PP_2667-PP_2669     | 3007                    | Hypothetical protein                                    | 98.48    | 0.0    | 6          |
|                      | mexC  | PP_2817 | PP_2817-PP_2819     | 2337*                   | Multidrug efflux RND<br>membrane fusion protein         | 50.15    | 6e-76  | 6          |
|                      | proP  | PP_2914 | -                   | 2820                    | Proline/glycine betaine transporter                     | 99.60    | 0.0    | 14         |
|                      | -     | PP_3132 | -                   | 2601                    | Polysaccharide biosynthesis protein                     | 99.34    | 0.0    | 6          |
|                      | -     | PP_3183 | PP_3183-PP_3184     | 2306*                   | Electron transport protein SCO1/SenC                    | 37.18    | 8e-29  | 6          |
|                      | -     | PP_3210 | PP_3208-PP_3201     | 2543                    | ABC transporter permease                                | 98.88    | le-133 | 6          |
|                      | -     | PP_3223 | PP_3220-PP_3226     | 0936*                   | ABC transporter substrate-binding protein               | 25.10    | 1e-18  | 6          |
|                      | ggt-1 | PP_3535 | PP_3534_PP_3535     | 2258                    | Gamma-glutamyltransferase                               | 96.81    | 0.0    | 6          |
|                      | -     | PP_3583 | PP_3582-PP_3583     | 2209                    | Acriflavin resistance protein                           | 99.71    | 0.0    | 6          |
|                      | -     | PP_3668 | -                   | 2060                    | Catalase/peroxidase HPI                                 | 99.07    | 0.0    | 22         |
|                      | gloA  | PP_3766 | -                   | 1996                    | Lactoylglutathione Lyase                                | 98.29    | 4e-117 | 13         |
|                      | -     | PP_3802 | PP_3797-PP_3804     | 1979                    | Cation ABC transporter<br>ATP-binding protein           | 98.39    | 4e-160 | 6          |
|                      | -     | PP_4002 | PP_3999-PP_4004     | 1812                    | Recombination factor protein RarA                       | 100      | 0.0    | 14         |
|                      | -     | PP_4034 | PP_4034-PP_4035     | 1786                    | Allantoate amidohydrolase                               | 98.13    | 0.0    | 14         |
|                      | treZ  | PP_4051 | PP_4050-PP_4054     | 1774                    | Malto-oligosyltrehalose trehalohydrolase                | 97.59    | 0.0    | 16         |
|                      | nuoL  | PP_4129 | PP_4119-PP_4131     | 1721                    | NADH dehydrogenase subunit L                            | 99.50    | 0.0    | 14         |
|                      | -     | PP_4305 | -                   | 1552                    | Sulfate ABC transporter substrate-binding protein       | 99.10    | 0.0    | 13         |
|                      | -     | PP_4483 | PP_4483-PP_4485     | 1418                    | Basic amino acid ABC transporter<br>ATP-binding protein | 99.61    | le-162 | 6          |
|                      | -     | PP_4615 | -                   | 4309                    | Phosphate-starvation -inducible E                       | 99.36    | 3e-90  | 13         |

| Group                | Gene | Ref. strain   | Operon <sup>a</sup>             | BIRD-1                  | Product <sup>c</sup>   | Protein  | E-         | Referenced |
|----------------------|------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------|------------|------------|
|                      |      | ORF ID        |                                 | ORF ID                  |  | Identity | value      |            |
|                      |      |               |                                 | (PPUBIRD1) <sup>b</sup> |  | (%)      |            |            |
| Stress<br>adaptation | -    | PP_4646       | -                               | 4342                    | Oxidoreductase FAD/NAD(P)-binding<br>domain-containing protein   | 99.61    | 4e-<br>173 | 14         |
| Detoxification       | cbrA | PP_4695       | PP_4695-PP_4696                 | 4396                    | Multi-sensor signal transduction<br>histidine kinase             | 99.90    | 0.0        | 14         |
|                      | cbrB | PP_4696       |                                 | 4397                    | Fis family transcriptional regulator                             | 99.58    | 0.0        | 14         |
| Transporters         | pcnB | PP_4697       | PP_4697-PP_4698                 | 4398                    | Poly(A) polymerase   | 98.91    | 0.0        | 14         |
|                      | omlA | PP_4731       | -                               | 4434                    | SmpA/OmlA domain-containing<br>protein                           | 99.36    | 6e-87      | 14         |
|                      | vacB | PP_4880       | PP_4879-PP_4880                 | 4669                    | Ribonuclease R   | 99.88    | 0.0        | 14         |
|                      | putP | PP_4946       | -                               | 4729                    | Sodium/proline symporter   | 99.80    | 0.0        | 13, 17     |
|                      | putA | PP_4947       | -                               | 4730                    | Pproline dehydrogenase/pyrroline-5-<br>carboxylate dehydrogenase | 99.62    | 0.0        | 17         |
|                      | pstP | PP_5145       | PP_5145-PP_5146                 | 4936                    | Protein PtsP   | 99.87    | 0.0        | 14         |
|                      | -    | PP_5278       | -                               | 5072                    | Aldehyde dehydrogenase   | 100      | 0.0        | 22         |
|                      | -    | PP_5297       | PP_5297-PP_5299                 | 5090                    | Amino acid transporter   | 99.81    | 0.0        | 6          |
|                      | spoT | PP_5302       | PP_5301-PP_5304                 | 5095                    | (p)ppGpp synthetase I SpoT/RelA                                  | 99.72    | 0.0        | 14         |
|                      | -    | PP_5311       | -                               | 5104                    | Signal transduction protein                                      | 99.79    | 0.0        | 19         |
|                      | -    | PP_5322       | -                               | 5114                    | Metal ion transporter, putative                                  | 99.55    | 0.0        | 14         |
|                      | pstA | PP_5327       | PP_5325-PP_5328                 | 5119                    | Phosphate ABC transporter permease                               | 99.82    | 0.0        | 14         |
|                      | pstS | PP_5329       | -                               | 5121                    | Phosphate ABC transporter substrate-binding protein              | 98.79    | 0.0        | 3, 14, 16  |
|                      | atpA | PP_5415       | PP_5412-PP_5418                 | 0061                    | ATP synthase FOF1 subunit alpha                                  | 99.61    | 0.0        | 22         |
| DNA<br>replication   | recJ | PP_1477       | PP_1476-PP_1477                 | 4082                    | Single-stranded-DNA-specific<br>exonuclease RecJ                 | 98.95    | 0.0        | 6          |
|                      | -    | PP_2565       | -                               | 3114                    | UvrD/REP helicase  | 99.16    | 0.0        | 6          |
| recombination        | xthA | PP_2890       | -                               | 2845                    | Exonuclease III  | 98.89    | 0.0        | 22         |
|                      | -    | PP_3966       | PP_3964-PP_3966                 | 0990*                   | ISPpu14, transposase Orfl  | 33.33    | 0.011      | 6          |
| repair               | hsdM | PP_4741       | PP_4741-PP_4742                 | 4450                    | Type I restriction-modification<br>system, M subunit             | 99.80    | 0.0        | 13         |
|                      | purA | PP_4889       | PP_4889-PP_4890                 | 4676                    | Adenylosuccinate synthetase                                      | 100      | 0.0        | 2          |
|                      | parC | PP_4912       | PP_4912-PP_4915                 | 4699                    | DNA topoisomerase IV subunit A                                   | 99.73    | 0.0        | 13         |
|                      | -    | PputW619_2699 | PputW619_2699-<br>PputW619_2670 | 2563                    | TraX family protein  | 72.49    | 4e-96      | 19         |

| Group      | Gene | Ref. strain | Operon <sup>a</sup>    | BIRD-1 | Product <sup>c</sup>                                  | Protein  | E-           | Referenced |
|------------|------|-------------|------------------------|--------|---|----------|--------------|------------|
|            |      | ORF ID      |                        |        |   | Identity | value        |            |
| Secretion  | yidC | PP_0006     | PP_0006 - PP_0008      | 0071   | Inner membrane protein<br>translocase component YidC  | 100      | 0.0          | 13         |
|            | hlpA | PP_1449     | PP_1449 - PP_1450      | 4110   | Filamentous hemagglutinin                             | 97.45    | 0.0          | 10         |
|            | hlpB | PP_1450     | PP_1449 - PP_1450      | 4109   | TPS family activation/<br>secretion protein           | 97.88    | 2e-131       | 10, 14     |
|            | -    | PP_4514     | -                      | 1386   | Patatin   | 99.20    | 0.0          | 1          |
|            | secB | PP_5053     | PP_5053 - PP_5055      | 4841   | Preprotein translocase<br>subunit SecB                | 99.38    | le-106       | 13         |
|            | exbB | PP_5306     |                        | 5099   | Ferric siderophore transport<br>system protein ExbB   | 95.68    | 6.0E-<br>154 | 9          |
|            | exbD | PP_5307     | -<br>PP_5306 - PP_5308 | 5100   | Biopolymer transport<br>protein ExbD                  | 100      | 1.0E-<br>88  | 9          |
|            | tonB | PP_5308     | -                      | 5101   | TonB family protein                                   | 100      | 7.0E-<br>53  | 9          |
| Metabolism | gabT | PP_0214     | -                      | 0237   | 4-aminobutyrate<br>aminotransferase                   | 100      | 0.0          | 2, 4, 15   |
|            | soxG | PP_0326     | PP_0322-PP_0327        | 0357   | Sarcosine oxidase subunit gamma                       | 99.52    | 3e-139       | 6          |
|            | aceE | PP_0339     | -                      | 0372   | Pyruvate dehydrogenase<br>subunit E1                  | 99.77    | 0.0          | 13         |
|            | glcB | PP_0356     | -                      | 0392   | Malate synthase G                                     | 99.59    | 0.0          | 2          |
|            | pykA | PP_1362     | -                      | 4200   | Pyruvate kinase                                       | 100      | 0.0          | 2          |
|            | bglX | PP_1403     | -                      | 4156   | Beta-glucosidase                                      | 99.18    | 0.0          | 6          |
|            | lecA | PP_1661     | PP_1659-PP_1661        | 3956   | LecA - dehydrogenase subunit                          | 98.80    | 0.0          | 3,16       |
|            | serC | PP_1768     | PP_1678-PP_1679        | 3845   | Phosphoserine aminotransferase                        | 99.45    | 0.0          | 2          |
|            | -    | PP_2009     | -                      | 3642   | l-aminocyclopropane-1-<br>carboxylate (ACC) deaminase | 93.60    | 3e-149       | 2          |
|            | -    | PP_2624     | PP_2616-PP_2624        | 3066   | Hypothetical protein                                  | 98.20    | 2e-106       | 6          |
|            | phaE | PP_3279     | -                      | 2493   | Phenylacetate-CoA ligase                              | 99.09    | 0.0          | 6          |
|            | phaB | PP_3283     | PP_3280-PP_3284        | 2489   | Enoyl-CoA hydratase                                   | 98.48    | 2e-163       | 6          |
|            | -    | PP_3352     |                        | 0106*  | Arylsulfatase   | 24.90    | le-21        | 6          |
|            | hpd  | PP_3433     | PP_3433-PP_3434        | 2355   | 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate<br>dioxygenase                | 99.44    | 0.0          | 2          |

| Group       | Gene | Ref. strain | <b>Operon</b> <sup>a</sup> | BIRD-1                  | Product <sup>c</sup>                         | Protein  | E-value | Referenced |
|-------------|------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------|---------|------------|
|             |      | ORF ID      |                            | ORF ID                  |  | Identity |         |            |
|             |      |             |                            | (PPUBIRD1) <sup>b</sup> |  | (%)      |         |            |
| Metabolism  | ilvE | PP_3511     | -                          | 2284                    | Branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase   | 99.41    | 0.0     | 22         |
|             | glcE | PP_3746     | PP_3745-PP_3749            | 2016                    | Glycolate oxidase FAD binding subunit        | 96.86    | 0.0     | 6          |
|             | -    | PP_3786     | PP_3781-PP_3788            | 0861*                   | Aspartate/tyrosine/aromatic aminotransferase | 26.23    | le-34   | 6          |
|             | -    | PP_3854     | -                          | 4480*                   | Endolysin - Muramidase                       | 43.48    | 1.3     | 13         |
|             | -    | PP_3923     | -                          | 1884                    | Phosphoglycerate mutase                      | 98.58    | 7e-145  | 6          |
|             | icd  | PP_4011     | -                          | 1803                    | Isocitrate dehydrogenase                     | 99.28    | 0.0     | 22         |
|             | gnd  | PP_4043     | PP_4041-PP_4043            | 1777                    | 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase             | 99.39    | 0.0     | 13         |
|             | aceA | PP_4116     | -                          | 1734                    | Isocitrate lyase                             | 99.55    | 0.0     | 13         |
|             | sucC | PP_4186     | PP_4185-PP_4186            | 1666                    | Succinyl-CoA synthetase subunit beta         | 100      | 0.0     | 2,14       |
|             | -    | PP_4588     | -                          | 1310                    | Nitroreductase                               | 99.49    | le-131  | 6          |
|             | thiD | PP_4782     | PP_4782-PP_4784            | 4565                    | Phosphomethylpyrimidine kinase               | 99.25    | 5e-151  | 6          |
|             | fda  | PP_4960     | -                          | 4744                    | Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase           | 100      | 0.0     | 22         |
|             | hutU | PP_5033     | -                          | 4821                    | Urocanate hydratase                          | 99.64    | 0.0     | 1,2        |
|             | pgm  | PP_5056     | -                          | 4844                    | Phosphoglyceromutase                         | 100      | 0.0     | 13         |
|             | gltB | PP_5076     | -                          | 4865                    | Glutamate synthase subunit alpha             | 99.80    | 0.0     | 6          |
|             | uhiF | ליסום סס    | פחפש מת מחוש ממ            | 4002                    | 2-octaprenyl-3-methyl-6-methoxy-             | 00 75    | 0.0     | 6          |
|             | ubli | 11_0197     | 11_0197-11_0808            | 4998                    | 1,4-benzoquinol hydroxylase                  | 99.15    | 0.0     | 0          |
| Protein     | surA | PP_0403     | PP_0401-PP_0403            | 0441                    | Survival protein SurA                        | 99.09    | 0.0     | 2          |
| synthesis   | tyrS | PP_0436     | -                          | 0473                    | Tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase                      | 99.75    | 0.0     | 2          |
| folding     | clpB | PP_0625     | -                          | 0677                    | ATP-dependent Clp protease                   | 99.77    | 0.0     | 2          |
| degradation | -    | PP_0893     | PP_0887-PP_0893            | 0944                    | Pfpi family intracellular protease           | 99.48    | 2e-118  | 8          |
|             | prc  | PP_1719     | PP_1718-PP_1719            | 3938                    | Carboxyl-terminal protease                   | 99.72    | 0.0     | 2          |
|             | gltX | PP_1977     | -                          | 3692                    | Glutamyl-tRNA synthetase                     | 100      | 0.0     | 13         |
|             | typA | PP_5044     | -                          | 4833                    | GTP-binding protein TypA                     | 99.83    | 0.0     | 2,14       |
| Other       | fstA | PP_1341     | PP_1328 - PP_1342          | 4222                    | Cell division protein FtsA                   | 99.77    | 0.0     | 22         |
|             | -    | PP_1633     | -                          | 3983                    | Hypothetical protein                         | 100      | 9e-77   | 3,16       |
|             | -    | PP_2076     | PP_2075 - PP_2076          | 2172*                   | Hypothetical protein                         | 24.52    | 1e-04   | 6          |
|             | -    | PP_2298     | -                          | 3387                    | Hypothetical protein                         | 97.32    | 3e-67   | 13         |
|             | -    | PP_2550     | -                          | 3127                    | Hypothetical protein                         | 100      | 7e-144  | 22         |
|             | -    | PP_3855     | -                          | 4549*                   | Hypothetical protein                         | 56.25    | 2.9     | 13         |
|             | -    | PP_4306     | PP_4306 - PP_4307          | 1546                    | Hypothetical protein                         | 98.74    | 0.0     | 13         |
|             | -    | PP_4634     | -                          | 4330                    | Hypothecical protein                         | 96.82    | 0.0     | 13         |
|             | -    | PP_5390     | PP_5389 - PP_5392          | 3657*                   | Hypothetical protein                         | 40       | 0.96    | 13         |

The % identity column corresponds to the degree of identity obtained after pairing the protein sequence of the reference strain to the nucleotide sequence of *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 using TBlastn. \*Predicted operons, <sup>b</sup>orthologues and <sup>c</sup>products according to Pseudomonas Genome Database (http://www.pseudomonas.com/) (Winsor *et al.*, 2009). \*Non predicted as an orthologue. <sup>d</sup>References: 1) Barret *et al.*, 2011; 2) Cheng *et al.*, 2009; 3) Duque *et al.*, 2013; 4) Espinosa-Urgel and Ramos, 2001; 5) Martínez-Gil *et al.*, 2010; 6) Matilla *et al.*, 2007; 7) Matilla *et al.*, 2010; 8) Matilla *et al.*, 2011; 9) Molina *et al.*, 2005; 10) Molina *et al.*, 2006; 11) Nielsen *et al.*, 2011; 12) Nilsson *et al.*, 2011; 13) Ramos-González *et al.*, 2005; 14) Reva *et al.*, 2006; 15) Revelles *et al.*, 2004; 16) Roca *et al.*, 2013; 17) Vilchez *et al.*, 2000; 18) Winsor *et al.*, 2009; 19) Wu *et al.*, 2011; 20) Yousef-Coronado *et al.*, 2008.
| Group      | Gene | Ref. strain   | KT2440 | BIRD-1 | F1  | UW4 | W619 | DOT-T1E | <b>S16</b> | GB-1 | PC9 | Idaho |
|------------|------|---------------|--------|--------|-----|-----|------|---------|------------|------|-----|-------|
|            |      | ORF ID        |        |        |     |     |      |         |            |      |     |       |
| Adhesion   | lapD | PP_0165       | 100    | 98     | 93  | 70  | 86   | 93      | 89         | 91   | 90  | 93    |
|            | lapC | PP_0166       | 100    | 99     | 97  | 78  | 87   | 96      | 94         | 95   | 94  | 97    |
| Attachment | lapB | PP_0167       | 100    | 99     | 92  | 78  | 88   | 92      | 92         | 91   | 92  | 92    |
|            | lapA | PP_0168       | 100    | 99     | 82  | 39  | 36   | 70      | 68         | 72   | 48  | 86    |
| Biofilm    | lapH | PP_0805       | 100    | 99     | 87  | 24  | 80   | 91      | 23         | 84   | 23  | 87    |
| formation  | lapF | PP_0806       | 100    | 87     | 86  | 44  | 65   | 87      | 38         | 80   | 29  | 88    |
|            | algD | PP_1288       | 100    | 99     | 100 | 85  | 97   | 100     | 96         | 98   | 97  | 100   |
|            | -    | PP_1789       | 100    | 22     | 22  | 22  | 25   | 22      | 75         | 22   | 22  | 22    |
|            | -    | PP_1790       | 100    | 43     | 21  | 26  | 24   | 50      | 92         | 22   | 50  | 29    |
|            | -    | PP_1791       | 100    | 20     | 26  | 28  | 27   | 26      | 93         | 20   | 27  | 27    |
|            | -    | PP_1792       | 100    | 30     | 36  | 28  | 64   | 61      | 78         | 29   | 25  | 62    |
|            | -    | PP_1793       | 100    | 26     | 27  | 29  | 60   | 59      | 75         | 27   | 28  | 60    |
|            | -    | PP_1794       | 100    | 0      | 0   | 35  | 49   | 74      | 74         | 38   | 22  | 74    |
|            | -    | PP_1795       | 100    | 22     | 23  | 25  | 84   | 97      | 96         | 34   | 23  | 97    |
|            | bcsQ | PP_2634       | 100    | 98     | 90  | 24  | 38   | 90      | 38         | 79   | 28  | 88    |
|            | bcsA | PP_2635       | 100    | 98     | 95  | 29  | 27   | 95      | 27         | 90   | 27  | 95    |
|            | bcsB | PP_2636       | 100    | 98     | 93  | 34  | 28   | 91      | 45         | 87   | 45  | 91    |
|            | bscC | PP_2637       | 100    | 99     | 96  | 24  | 35   | 96      | 31         | 88   | 31  | 92    |
|            | peaG | PP_3139       | 100    | 96     | 91  | 34  | 79   | 91      | 87         | 89   | 87  | 92    |
|            | peaH | PP_3140       | 100    | 98     | 78  | 31  | 58   | 78      | 67         | 73   | 66  | 78    |
|            | peaI | PP_3141       | 100    | 95     | 92  | 50  | 68   | 93      | 80         | 86   | 80  | 40    |
|            | galU | PP_3821       | 100    | 99     | 100 | 92  | 96   | 100     | 97         | 91   | 97  | 100   |
|            | hemN | PP_4264       | 100    | 99     | 100 | 83  | 94   | 100     | 98         | 97   | 99  | 100   |
|            | -    | PP_4934       | 100    | 100    | 97  | 89  | 96   | 97      | 96         | 96   | 96  | 96    |
|            | ndvB | PputW619_2133 | 41     | 79     | 42  | 61  | 100  | 31      | 36         | 22   | 36  | 0     |
| Flagella   | fliA | PP_4341       | 100    | 100    | 100 | 90  | 96   | 100     | 99         | 99   | 99  | 100   |
|            | flhB | PP_4352       | 100    | 99     | 78  | 62  | 77   | 77      | 80         | 81   | 78  | 92    |
|            | fliO | PP_4356       | 100    | 100    | 79  | 70  | 90   | 78      | 96         | 74   | 95  | 78    |
|            | fliN | PP_4357       | 100    | 99     | 90  | 88  | 85   | 90      | 88         | 87   | 87  | 91    |
|            | fliL | PP_4359       | 100    | 100    | 82  | 64  | 76   | 81      | 79         | 81   | 79  | 91    |
|            | fliI | PP_4366       | 100    | 99     | 99  | 87  | 96   | 99      | 99         | 99   | 99  | 100   |
|            | fliF | PP_4369       | 100    | 99     | 88  | 77  | 84   | 88      | 87         | 87   | 86  | 90    |
|            | fleQ | PP_4373       | 100    | 100    | 100 | 93  | 96   | 100     | 97         | 99   | 98  | 100   |
|            | fliD | PP_4376       | 100    | 46     | 38  | 37  | 40   | 35      | 54         | 40   | 36  | 50    |

Table 2. Identity (%) of rhizosphere competence determinants identified in sequenced strains of *Pseudomonas putida*.

| Group          | Gene   | <b>Ref. strain</b> | KT2440 | BIRD-1 | F1   | UW4 | W619 | DOT-T1E | <b>S16</b> | GB-1 | PC9 | Idaho |
|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------|------|-----|------|---------|------------|------|-----|-------|
|                |        | ORF ID             |        |        |      |     |      |         |            |      |     |       |
| Flagella       | fliC   | PP_4378            | 100    | 65     | 62   | 61  | 57   | 65      | 54         | 66   | 68  | 44    |
| _              | flgL   | PP_4380            | 100    | 67     | 46   | 46  | 51   | 45      | 90         | 64   | 45  | 77    |
|                | flgD   | PP_4389            | 100    | 99     | 54   | 54  | 54   | 54      | 82         | 84   | 55  | 90    |
|                | flgB   | PP_4391            | 100    | 93     | 93   | 80  | 95   | 93      | 97         | 99   | 97  | 100   |
| Chemotaxis     | -      | PP_4331            | 100    | 99     | 89   | 66  | 81   | 87      | 75         | 75   | 76  | 38    |
|                | -      | PP_4988            | 100    | 98     | 91   | 58  | 80   | 91      | 87         | 88   | 87  | 92    |
| Regulators     | -      | PP_0700            | 100    | 99     | 86   | 27  | 63   | 89      | 81         | 80   | 80  | 86    |
|                | colS   | PP_0902            | 100    | 99     | 88   | 67  | 83   | 88      | 86         | 86   | 87  | 88    |
| Sensor         | rpoN   | PP_0952            | 100    | 99     | 98   | 89  | 96   | 98      | 97         | 97   | 97  | 98    |
| proteins       | -      | PP_1066            | 100    | 100    | 100  | 87  | 97   | 100     | 98         | 98   | 98  | 100   |
|                | gacS   | PP_1650            | 100    | 99     | 90   | 69  | 82   | 91      | 86         | 88   | 86  | 91    |
|                | -      | PP_2070            | 100    | 90     | 97   | 36  | 34   | 98      | 38         | 36   | 38  | 35    |
|                | -      | PP_2127            | 100    | 97     | 97   | 61  | 72   | 96      | 89         | 91   | 89  | 100   |
|                | -      | PP_3640            | 100    | 92     | 92   | 29  | 25   | 92      | 87         | 92   | 88  | 91    |
|                | -      | PP_4295            | 100    | 99     | 100  | 86  | 96   | 100     | 99         | 100  | 99  | 100   |
|                | pfrI   | PP_4424            | 100    | 34     | 34   | 33  | 34   | 34      | 35         | 40   | 35  | 34    |
|                | -      | PP_4508            | 100    | 99     | 96   | 79  | 92   | 29      | 93         | 94   | 29  | 98    |
|                | -      | PP_4959            | 100    | 99     | 98   | 70  | 88   | 98      | 92         | 94   | 92  | 99    |
|                | -      | PP_4966            | 100    | 100    | 89   | 69  | 83   | 89      | 86         | 87   | 86  | 89    |
|                | phoR   | PP_5321            | 100    | 98     | 97   | 81  | 92   | 97      | 95         | 96   | 95  | 97    |
| Stress         | -      | PP_0063            | 100    | 99     | 100  | 82  | 98   | 100     | 98         | 99   | 97  | 100   |
| adaptation     | -      | PP_0109            | 100    | 98     | 77   | 57  | 72   | 78      | 78         | 76   | 78  | 78    |
|                | cyoE-1 | PP_0110            | 100    | 98     | 77   | 67  | 74   | 77      | 76         | 76   | 76  | 78    |
| Detoxification | -      | PP_0196            | 100    | 99     | 95   | 82  | 92   | 95      | 94         | 94   | 93  | 95    |
|                | -      | PP_0296            | 100    | 99     | 91   | 75  | 88   | 91      | 89         | 90   | 89  | 90    |
| Transporters   | -      | PP_0368            | 100    | 100    | 100  | 94  | 98   | 100     | 99         | 99   | 99  | 100   |
|                | -      | PP_0373            | 100    | 100    | 7675 | 100 | 77   | 76      | 77         | 77   | 77  | 76    |
|                | -      | PP_0383            | 100    | 99     | 97   | 88  | 92   | 97      | 94         | 94   | 94  | 100   |
|                | -      | PP_0486            | 100    | 98     | 93   | 32  | 81   | 92      | 87         | 31   | 87  | 94    |
|                | -      | PP_0670            | 100    | 95     | 84   | 24  | 75   | 84      | 76         | 80   | 77  | 85    |
|                | -      | PP_0721            | 100    | 92     | 86   | 75  | 86   | 86      | 93         | 86   | 93  | 86    |
|                | cyoE-2 | PP_0816            | 100    | 100    | 92   | 72  | 90   | 91      | 91         | 91   | 91  | 92    |
|                | -      | PP_0906            | 100    | 99     | 91   | 79  | 86   | 91      | 89         | 90   | 89  | 91    |
|                | phoP   | PP_1186            | 100    | 98     | 81   | 72  | 79   | 81      | 81         | 80   | 81  | 82    |

| Group          | Gene  | <b>Ref. strain</b> | KT2440 | BIRD-1 | F1  | UW4 | W619 | DOT-T1E | <b>S16</b> | GB-1 | PC9 | Idaho |
|----------------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------|-----|-----|------|---------|------------|------|-----|-------|
|                |       | ORF ID             |        |        |     |     |      |         |            |      |     |       |
| Stress         | oprD  | PP_1206            | 100    | 82     | 96  | 44  | 82   | 95      | 93         | 80   | 93  | 96    |
| adaptation     | -     | PP_1271            | 100    | 98     | 86  | 61  | 83   | 87      | 85         | 85   | 85  | 87    |
|                | aapJ  | PP_1297            | 100    | 99     | 96  | 85  | 94   | 96      | 95         | 95   | 96  | 25    |
| Detoxification | fpr   | PP_1638            | 100    | 100    | 100 | 97  | 99   | 100     | 99         | 98   | 99  | 100   |
|                | relA  | PP_1656            | 100    | 99     | 100 | 90  | 99   | 100     | 99         | 100  | 99  | 34    |
| Transporters   | -     | PP_1874            | 100    | 99     | 97  | 71  | 90   | 98      | 94         | 93   | 94  | 100   |
|                | oprF  | PP_2089            | 100    | 100    | 97  | 83  | 81   | 97      | 83         | 96   | 83  | 97    |
|                | lolD  | PP_2155            | 100    | 100    | 100 | 84  | 98   | 100     | 99         | 99   | 99  | 100   |
|                | speB  | PP_2196            | 100    | 99     | 99  | 84  | 44   | 100     | 97         | 98   | 97  | 100   |
|                | fepA  | PP_2242            | 100    | 98     | 98  | 24  | 88   | 98      | 95         | 98   | 94  | 99    |
|                | cti   | PP_2376            | 100    | 98     | 99  | 74  | 93   | 99      | 96         | 98   | 95  | 99    |
|                | azlC  | PP_2385            | 100    | 99     | 91  | 71  | 86   | 90      | 88         | 89   | 88  | 90    |
|                | -     | PP_2543            | 100    | 99     | 98  | 55  | 95   | 98      | 97         | 97   | 97  | 98    |
|                | -     | PP_2561            | 100    | 50     | 88  | 78  | 52   | 88      | 49         | 83   | 81  | 88    |
|                | -     | PP_2669            | 100    | 98     | 93  | 90  | 29   | 94      | 89         | 91   | 89  | 94    |
|                | mexC  | PP_2817            | 100    | 50     | 48  | 44  | 48   | 48      | 48         | 75   | 91  | 98    |
|                | proP  | PP_2914            | 100    | 99     | 94  | 37  | 90   | 93      | 91         | 92   | 91  | 94    |
|                | -     | PP_3132            | 100    | 99     | 81  | 0   | 67   | 81      | 75         | 79   | 77  | 88    |
|                | -     | PP_3183            | 100    | 37     | 98  | 65  | 36   | 96      | 90         | 94   | 90  | 99    |
|                | -     | PP_3210            | 100    | 98     | 34  | 28  | 83   | 86      | 33         | 30   | 30  | 87    |
|                | -     | PP_3223            | 100    | 25     | 25  | 26  | 26   | 25      | 26         | 37   | 26  | 97    |
|                | ggt-1 | PP_3535            | 100    | 96     | 95  | 63  | 84   | 95      | 88         | 90   | 88  | 34    |
|                | -     | PP_3583            | 100    | 99     | 89  | 74  | 86   | 89      | 87         | 89   | 87  | 90    |
|                | -     | PP_3668            | 100    | 99     | 94  | 76  | 89   | 94      | 88         | 92   | 88  | 94    |
|                | gloA  | PP_3766            | 100    | 98     | 99  | 80  | 93   | 99      | 95         | 98   | 96  | 99    |
|                | -     | PP_3802            | 100    | 98     | 99  | 79  | 91   | 99      | 94         | 94   | 96  | 99    |
|                | -     | PP_4002            | 100    | 100    | 100 | 91  | 96   | 100     | 99         | 99   | 99  | 100   |
|                | -     | PP_4034            | 100    | 98     | 96  | 82  | 89   | 96      | 91         | 94   | 93  | 77    |
|                | treZ  | PP_4051            | 100    | 97     | 94  | 63  | 84   | 95      | 89         | 91   | 88  | 95    |
|                | nuoL  | PP_4129            | 100    | 99     | 94  | 86  | 93   | 94      | 93         | 94   | 93  | 93    |
|                | -     | PP_4305            | 100    | 99     | 94  | 87  | 92   | 94      | 93         | 93   | 93  | 95    |
|                | -     | PP_4483            | 100    | 99     | 96  | 90  | 95   | 96      | 94         | 94   | 94  | 96    |
|                | -     | PP_4615            | 100    | 99     | 92  | 65  | 65   | 92      | 88         | 91   | 88  | 92    |
|                | -     | PP_4646            | 100    | 99     | 99  | 79  | 95   | 99      | 98         | 99   | 98  | 100   |

| Group          | Gene | <b>Ref.</b> strain | KT2440 | BIRD-1 | F1  | UW4 | W619 | DOT-T1E | <b>S16</b> | GB-1 | PC9 | Idaho |
|----------------|------|--------------------|--------|--------|-----|-----|------|---------|------------|------|-----|-------|
|                |      | ORF ID             |        |        |     |     |      |         |            |      |     |       |
| Stress         | cbrA | PP_4695            | 100    | 99     | 92  | 85  | 91   | 92      | 91         | 91   | 91  | 95    |
| adaptation     | cbrB | PP_4696            | 100    | 99     | 93  | 84  | 90   | 93      | 91         | 91   | 91  | 93    |
|                | pcnB | PP_4697            | 100    | 98     | 93  | 80  | 90   | 93      | 90         | 92   | 91  | 93    |
| Detoxification | omlA | PP_4731            | 100    | 99     | 87  | 62  | 83   | 83      | 82         | 86   | 81  | 83    |
|                | vacB | PP_4880            | 100    | 99     | 93  | 76  | 90   | 93      | 90         | 91   | 90  | 95    |
| Transporters   | putP | PP_4946            | 100    | 99     | 92  | 80  | 88   | 92      | 90         | 88   | 89  | 92    |
|                | putA | PP_4947            | 100    | 99     | 96  | 87  | 94   | 96      | 95         | 96   | 95  | 96    |
|                | pstP | PP_5145            | 100    | 99     | 98  | 91  | 96   | 98      | 97         | 97   | 97  | 38    |
|                | -    | PP_5278            | 100    | 100    | 96  | 84  | 94   | 96      | 95         | 96   | 95  | 96    |
|                | -    | PP_5297            | 100    | 99     | 85  | 33  | 79   | 84      | 81         | 83   | 81  | 86    |
|                | spoT | PP_5302            | 100    | 99     | 98  | 92  | 97   | 98      | 97         | 97   | 97  | 98    |
|                | -    | PP_5311            | 100    | 99     | 100 | 80  | 90   | 100     | 96         | 97   | 96  | 100   |
|                | -    | PP_5322            | 100    | 99     | 91  | 79  | 87   | 91      | 88         | 90   | 88  | 91    |
|                | pstA | PP_5327            | 100    | 99     | 86  | 72  | 82   | 86      | 83         | 84   | 84  | 86    |
|                | pstS | PP_5329            | 100    | 98     | 89  | 82  | 88   | 89      | 89         | 89   | 89  | 90    |
|                | atpA | PP_5415            | 100    | 99     | 97  | 93  | 96   | 98      | 96         | 97   | 96  | 98    |
| DNA            | recJ | PP_1477            | 100    | 98     | 92  | 82  | 90   | 92      | 91         | 91   | 91  | 92    |
| replication    | -    | PP_2565            | 100    | 99     | 93  | 65  | 85   | 94      | 91         | 92   | 91  | 94    |
| recombination  | xthA | PP_2890            | 100    | 98     | 99  | 90  | 96   | 99      | 96         | 96   | 96  | 99    |
| repair         | -    | PP_3966            | 100    | 33     | 29  | 29  | 99   | 27      | 89         | 34   | 31  | 58    |
|                | hsdM | PP_4741            | 100    | 99     | 89  | 44  | 27   | 30      | 23         | 28   | 28  | 25    |
|                | purA | PP_4889            | 100    | 100    | 100 | 88  | 99   | 100     | 99         | 100  | 99  | 100   |
|                | parC | PP_4912            | 100    | 99     | 96  | 85  | 93   | 97      | 94         | 95   | 94  | 97    |
|                | -    | PputW619_2699      | 28     | 72     | 29  | 37  | 100  | 48      | 69         | 27   | 69  | 33    |
| Secretion      | yidC | PP_0006            | 100    | 100    | 94  | 77  | 92   | 94      | 90         | 93   | 90  | 94    |
|                | hlpA | PP_1449            | 100    | 97     | 96  | 23  | 72   | 96      | 81         | 84   | 81  | 96    |
|                | hlpB | PP_1450            | 100    | 97     | 90  | 30  | 65   | 91      | 75         | 33   | 76  | 93    |
|                | -    | PP_4514            | 100    | 99     | 97  | 33  | 93   | 97      | 94         | 97   | 94  | 98    |
|                | secB | PP_5053            | 100    | 99     | 100 | 87  | 97   | 100     | 97         | 98   | 97  | 100   |
|                | exbB | PP_5306            | 100    | 95     | 77  | 78  | 73   | 79      | 75         | 77   | 75  | 79    |
|                | exbD | PP_5307            | 100    | 100    | 100 | 83  | 99   | 100     | 99         | 99   | 99  | 100   |
|                | tonB | PP_5308            | 100    | 100    | 73  | 62  | 87   | 73      | 72         | 72   | 72  | 73    |
| Metabolism     | gabT | PP_0214            | 100    | 100    | 100 | 85  | 97   | 100     | 99         | 99   | 100 | 100   |
|                | soxG | PP_0326            | 100    | 99     | 100 | 80  | 95   | 100     | 96         | 98   | 97  | 100   |

| Table 2. | Continued |
|----------|-----------|
|----------|-----------|

| Group       | Gene | Ref. strain | KT2440 | BIRD-1 | F1  | UW4 | W619 | DOT-T1E | <b>S16</b> | GB-1 | PC9 | Idaho |
|-------------|------|-------------|--------|--------|-----|-----|------|---------|------------|------|-----|-------|
|             |      | ORF ID      |        |        |     |     |      |         |            |      |     |       |
| Metabolism  | aceE | PP_0339     | 100    | 99     | 99  | 93  | 98   | 99      | 98         | 98   | 98  | 100   |
|             | glcB | PP_0356     | 100    | 99     | 96  | 81  | 93   | 96      | 96         | 95   | 96  | 97    |
|             | pykA | PP_1362     | 100    | 100    | 100 | 87  | 98   | 100     | 99         | 99   | 99  | 100   |
|             | bglX | PP_1403     | 100    | 99     | 99  | 76  | 95   | 100     | 97         | 98   | 98  | 28    |
|             | lecA | PP_1661     | 100    | 98     | 97  | 22  | 94   | 97      | 27         | 26   | 27  | 98    |
|             | serC | PP_1768     | 100    | 99     | 99  | 81  | 97   | 99      | 96         | 97   | 97  | 100   |
|             | -    | PP_2009     | 100    | 93     | 79  | 53  | 67   | 78      | 74         | 75   | 74  | 80    |
|             | -    | PP_2624     | 100    | 98     | 99  | 42  | 39   | 98      | 34         | 89   | 84  | 99    |
|             | phaE | PP_3279     | 100    | 99     | 98  | 24  | 94   | 98      | 96         | 98   | 96  | 100   |
|             | phaB | PP_3283     | 100    | 98     | 93  | 33  | 81   | 93      | 90         | 89   | 91  | 95    |
|             | -    | PP_3352     | 100    | 24     | 99  | 42  | 88   | 46      | 25         | 23   | 25  | 99    |
|             | hpd  | PP_3433     | 100    | 99     | 99  | 90  | 98   | 99      | 97         | 99   | 97  | 99    |
|             | ilvE | PP_3511     | 100    | 99     | 100 | 84  | 98   | 99      | 99         | 99   | 99  | 37    |
|             | glcE | PP_3746     | 100    | 96     | 94  | 69  | 82   | 94      | 86         | 89   | 87  | 95    |
|             | -    | PP_3786     | 100    | 26     | 26  | 27  | 26   | 26      | 26         | 26   | 26  | 26    |
|             | -    | PP_3854     | 100    | 43     | 45  | 26  | 28   | 59      | 91         | 39   | 72  | 74    |
|             | -    | PP_3923     | 100    | 98     | 92  | 62  | 81   | 93      | 88         | 89   | 88  | 94    |
|             | icd  | PP_4011     | 100    | 99     | 100 | 90  | 98   | 99      | 99         | 98   | 99  | 100   |
|             | gnd  | PP_4043     | 100    | 99     | 96  | 69  | 92   | 96      | 95         | 94   | 94  | 96    |
|             | aceA | PP_4116     | 100    | 99     | 100 | 95  | 98   | 100     | 99         | 98   | 99  | 100   |
|             | sucC | PP_4186     | 100    | 100    | 97  | 93  | 97   | 97      | 97         | 95   | 97  | 97    |
|             | -    | PP_4588     | 100    | 99     | 99  | 85  | 25   | 99      | 96         | 99   | 95  | 99    |
|             | thiD | PP_4782     | 100    | 99     | 91  | 83  | 88   | 90      | 89         | 89   | 89  | 44    |
|             | fda  | PP_4960     | 100    | 100    | 100 | 98  | 99   | 100     | 100        | 99   | 100 | 100   |
|             | hutU | PP_5033     | 100    | 99     | 95  | 88  | 94   | 95      | 94         | 95   | 95  | 95    |
|             | pgm  | PP_5056     | 100    | 100    | 94  | 81  | 89   | 94      | 91         | 92   | 91  | 95    |
|             | gltB | PP_5076     | 100    | 99     | 100 | 89  | 97   | 100     | 98         | 99   | 98  | 100   |
|             | ubiF | PP_5197     | 100    | 99     | 99  | 86  | 92   | 99      | 98         | 97   | 98  | 100   |
| Protein     | surA | PP_0403     | 100    | 99     | 96  | 80  | 92   | 96      | 92         | 95   | 92  | 96    |
| synthesis   | tyrS | PP_0436     | 100    | 99     | 89  | 81  | 86   | 89      | 87         | 87   | 87  | 89    |
| folding     | clpB | PP_0625     | 100    | 99     | 92  | 86  | 91   | 100     | 91         | 91   | 91  | 43    |
| degradation | -    | PP_0893     | 100    | 99     | 93  | 78  | 88   | 93      | 89         | 92   | 91  | 93    |
|             | prc  | PP_1719     | 100    | 99     | 97  | 85  | 95   | 97      | 95         | 95   | 95  | 96    |
|             | gltX | PP_1977     | 100    | 100    | 100 | 91  | 98   | 99      | 99         | 99   | 99  | 100   |

| Group | Gene | Ref. strain | KT2440 | BIRD-1 | F1 | UW4 | W619 | DOT-T1E | <b>S16</b> | GB-1 | PC9 | Idaho |
|-------|------|-------------|--------|--------|----|-----|------|---------|------------|------|-----|-------|
|       |      | ORF ID      |        |        |    |     |      |         |            |      |     |       |
|       | typA | PP_5044     | 100    | 99     | 96 | 91  | 95   | 96      | 92         | 95   | 92  | 40    |
| Other | fstA | PP_1341     | 100    | 99     | 98 | 92  | 97   | 98      | 97         | 98   | 97  | 98    |
|       | -    | PP_1633     | 100    | 100    | 99 | 63  | 88   | 100     | 95         | 93   | 95  | 100   |
|       | -    | PP_2076     | 100    | 24     | 89 | 35  | 31   | 86      | 38         | 81   | 45  | 25    |
|       | -    | PP_2298     | 100    | 97     | 98 | 45  | 94   | 98      | 94         | 95   | 93  | 100   |
|       | -    | PP_2550     | 100    | 100    | 92 | 71  | 86   | 92      | 86         | 90   | 86  | 92    |
|       | -    | PP_3855     | 100    | 56     | 28 | 43  | 68   | 70      | 42         | 35   | 36  | 28    |
|       | -    | PP_4306     | 100    | 98     | 99 | 66  | 94   | 100     | 96         | 95   | 95  | 99    |
|       | -    | PP_4634     | 100    | 96     | 97 | 65  | 86   | 98      | 90         | 90   | 91  | 99    |
|       | -    | PP_5390     | 100    | 40     | 74 | 0   | 74   | 41      | 44         | 74   | 44  | 40    |

The % identity columns corresponds to the degree of identity obtained after pairing the amino acid sequence of the reference strain (*P.putida* KT2440) to the amino acid sequence of other sequenced *Pseudomonas putida* using BlastP.



Results in this study allows us to present the subsequent conclusions:

1. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 is able to solubilise organic and inorganic phosphate through the production of phosphatases and inorganic acids, respectively, is able to solubilise iron through the production of siderophores and synthesises IAA. Moreover, is able to adhere to seeds and colonize roots efficiently.

2. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 is able to survive in the rhizosphere due to the ability to metabolize a wide range of compounds released by plant roots as carbon or nitrogen sources, such as amino acids, organic acids, sugars, flavonoids, citrate, succinate and lignin-derived compounds; and to overcome rhizosphere-induced stresses such as oxidative stress induced by reactive oxygen species, and its genome encodes for 16 genes involved in oxidative stress response. Reactive oxygen species are a product of root cells respiration, then redundancy of genes to deal with these compounds points out the importance of these enzymes for rhizosphere fitness.

3. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 is able to survive in soil at low humidity rates through the production of trehalose, compared to the reference strain *P. putida* KT2440, a relevance trait for surviving in the environment, especially in Mediterranean climate and semiarid conditions.

215

4. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 is able to survive, proliferate and exert its plant growth-promoting effects in harsh environments, such as burned ecosystems and petroleum-hydrocarbon polluted soils.

5. The use of *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, in consortium with other bacteria, in rhizoremediation treatments for restoring burned ecosystems improves ecosystem resilience and does no affect indigenous microbiota.

6. The use of *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, in consortium with other bacteria, in rhizoremediation treatments for restoring petroleum-hydrocarbon polluted soils enhances the establishment of vegetation, which favours the increase of bacterial populations with ability for degrading these complex toxic compounds.

7. The complex genomic machinery involved in survival and proliferation in the rhizhosphere is found being well conserved in *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, as well as among sequenced *Pseudomonas putida* strains. Los resultados de este estudio nos permiten presentar las siguientes conclusiones:

1. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 es capaz de solubilizar fosfato orgánico e inorgánico a través de la producción de fosfatasas y ácidos inorgánicos, respectivamente, es capaz de solubilizar hierro a través de la producción de sideróforos y sintetiza IAA. Por otra parte, es capaz de adherirse a semillas y de colonizar raíces de manera eficiente.

2. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 es capaz de sobrevivir en la rizosfera debido a la capacidad para metabolizar una amplia gama de exudados radiculares como fuentes de carbono o nitrógeno, tales como aminoácidos, ácidos orgánicos, azúcares, flavonoides, citrato, succinato y compuestos derivados de la lignina, y para superar estreses inducidos por la rizosfera, tales como el estrés oxidativo provocado por especies reactivas del oxígeno, y su genoma codifica 16 genes implicados en la respuesta al estrés oxidativo. Las especies reactivas de oxígeno son un producto de la respiración de las células radiculares, por lo que la redundancia de los genes para hacer frente a estos compuestos destaca la importancia de estos enzimas para la supervivencia en la rizosfera.

3. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 es capaz de sobrevivir en el suelo con niveles bajos de humedad a través de la producción de trehalosa, en comparación con la cepa de referencia *P. putida* KT2440, un rasgo de

217

importancia para sobrevivir en el medio ambiente, especialmente en el clima mediterráneo y condiciones semiáridas.

4. *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1 es capaz de sobrevivir, proliferar y ejercer su actividad promotora del crecimiento vegetal en ambientes hostiles, como ecosistemas quemados y los suelos contaminados con hidrocarburos de petróleo.

5. El uso de *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, en consorcio con otras bacterias, en tratamientos rhizoremediation para restaurar ecosistemas quemados mejora la resiliencia del ecosistema y no afecta a la microbiota indígena.

6. El uso de *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, en consorcio con otras bacterias, en tratamientos rhizoremediation para la restauración de suelos contaminados con hidrocarburos derivados del petróleo mejora la capacidad de establecimiento en el suelo de la vegetación, lo que favorece el aumento de las poblaciones de bacterias con capacidad para degradar estos compuestos tóxicos complejos.

7. La compleja maquinaria genómica implicada en la supervivencia y la proliferación en la rizosfera, se encuentra bien conservada en *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1, así como entre cepas secuenciadas de *Pseudomonas putida*.

218



Abril MA, Michán C, Timmis KN, Ramos JL (1989). Regulator and enzyme specificities of the TOL plasmid-encoded upper pathway for degradation of aromatic hydrocarbons and expansion of the substrate range of the pathway. J Bacteriol 171: 6782-6790.

Acosta-Martínez V, Zobeck TM, Gill TE, Kennedy AC (2003). Enzyme activities and microbial community structure in semiarid agricultural soils. Biol Fertil Soils 38:216–227.

Acosta-Martínez V, Dowd SE, Bell CW, Lascano R, Booker JD, Zobeck TM, Upchurch DR (2010). Microbial community composition as affected by dryland cropping systems and tillage in a semiarid sandy soil. Diversity 2: 910-931.

Aeron A, Kumar S, Pandey P, Maheshwary DK (2011). Emerging role of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in agrobiology. In: Maheshwary DK (ed.) Bacteria in Agrobiology: Crop Ecosystems. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 1-36.

**Ahn Y, Sanseverino J, Sayler GS (1999).** Analyses of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria isolated from contaminated soils. Biodegradation 10: 149-157.

**Ahemad M, Khan S (2012).** Evaluation of plant-growth-promoting activities of rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas putida* under herbicide stress. Annals Microbiol 62: 1531-1540.

Ahmad F, Husain FM, Ahmad I (2011). Rhizosphere and root colonization by bacterial inoculants and their monitoring methods: a critical area in PGPR research. In Ahmad I, Ahmad F, Pitchel J (eds.), Microbes and Microbial Technology. Springer, New York pp. 363-391.

Aislabie JM, Balks MR, Foght JM, Waterhouse EJ (2004). Hydrocarbon spills on Antarctic soils: effects and management. Environ Sci Technol 38: 1265-1274. **Alexander DB, Zuberer DA (1991).** Use of chrome azurol S reagents to evaluate siderophore production by rhizosphere bacteria. Biol Fert Soils 12: 39-45.

**Amador CI, Canosa I, Govantes F, Santero E (2010).** Lack of CbrB in *Pseudomonas putida* affects not only amino acids metabolism but also different stress responses and biofilm development. Environ Microbiol 12: 1748-1761.

Andreoni V, Cavalca L, Rao MA, Nocerino G, Bernasconi S, Dell Amico E, Colombo M, Gianfreda L (2004). Bacterial communities and enzyme activities of PAHs polluted soils. Chemosphere 57: 401-412

Antolín MC, Pascual I, García C, Polo A, Sánchez-Díaz M (2005). Growth, yield and solute content of barley in soils treated with sewage sludge under semiarid Mediterranean conditions. Field Crops Res 94: 224-237.

**Aprill W, Sims RC (1990).** Evaluation of the use of prairie grasses for stimulating polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon treatment in soil. Chemosphere 20: 253-265.

Aranda-Olmedo I, Tobes R, Manzanera M, Ramos JL, Marqués S (2002). Species-specific repetitive extragenic palindromic (REP) sequences in *Pseudomonas putida*. Nucleic Acids Res 30: 1826-1833.

**Arshad M, Shaharoona B, Mahmood T (2008).** Inoculation with *Pseudomonas* spp. containing ACC-deaminase partially eliminates the effects of drought stress on growth, yield, and ripening of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Pedosphere 18: 611-620.

**Atlas RM (1988).** Microbiology-fundamentals and applications, second ed. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York.

Atlas RM, Bartha R (1972). Degradation and mineralization of petroleum in seawater: limitation by nitrogen and phosphorus. Biotech Bioeng 14: 309–318.

Attila C, Ueda A, Cirillo SLG, Chen W, Wood TK (2008). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 virulence factors and poplar tree response in the rhizosphere. Microb Biotechnol 1: 17-29.

**Baath E, Arnebrant K (1994).** Growth rate and response of bacterial communities to pH in limed and ash treated forest soils. Soil Biol Biochem 26: 995–1001.

**Badalucco L, Kuikman PJ (2001).** Mineralization and immobilization in the rhizosphere. In: Pinton R, Varanini Z, Nannipieri P (eds) The rhizosphere. Biochemistry and organic substances at the soil-plant interface. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 141–196.

Bagdasarian M, Lurz R, Rückert B, Franklin FCH, Bagdasarian MM, Frey J, Timmis KN (1981). Specific-purpose plasmid cloning vectors II. Broad host range, high copy number, RSF 1010-derived vectors, and a host-vector system for gene cloning in *Pseudomonas*. Gene 16: fo237-247.

**Bagdasarian M, Timmis KN (1982).** Host: vector systems for gene cloning in *Pseudomonas*. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 96: 47-67.

**Bakker PA, Pieterse CM, van Loon LC (2007).** Induced systemic resistance by fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. Phytopathology 97: 239-243.

**Bamforth S, Singleton I (2005).** Bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: current knowledge and future directions. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 80: 723-736.

**Bandick AK, Dick RP (1999).** Field management effects on soil enzyme activities. Soil Biol Biochem 31: 1471-1479.

**Banik S, Dey BK (1982).** Available phosphate content of an alluvial soil as influenced by inoculation of some isolated phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms. Plant Soil 69: 353-364.

**Barret M, Morrissey JP, O'Gara F (2011).** Functional genomics analysis of plant growth-promoting rhizobacterial traits involved in rhizosphere competence. Biol Fertil Soils 47: 729-743.

**Berg G (2009).** Plant-microbe interactions promoting plant growth and health: perspectives for controlled use of microorganisms in agriculture. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 84: 11-18.

Bergey DH, Garrity GM, Krieg NR, Staley JT (2005). Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology. In Brenner DL, Krieg NR, Staley JT (eds.). Spirnger, New York, pp.15-19.

Bhattacharyya PN, Jha D K (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 28: 1327-1350.

Bielecki P, Puchalka J, Wos-Oxley ML, Loessner H, Glik J, Kawecki, M, Nowak M, Tümmler B, Weiss S, Martins dos Santos VAP (2011). *In vivo* expression profiling of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections reveals nichespecific and strain-independent transcriptional programs. Plos One 6: e24235.

Blom D, Fabbri C, Connor EC, Schiestl FP, Klauser DR, Boller T, Eberl L, Weisskopf L (2011). Production of plant growth modulating volatiles is widespread among rhizosphere bacteria and strongly depends on culture conditions. Environ Microbiol 13: 3047-3058.

**Boerner REJ, Decker KLM, Sutherland EK (2000).** Prescribed burning effects on soil enzyme activity in a Southern Ohio, harwood forest: a landscape-scale analysis. Soil Biol Biochem 32: 899–908.

**Boerner REJ, Brinkman JA (2003).** Fire frequency and soil enzyme activity in southern Ohio oak-hickory forests. Appl Soil Ecol 23: 137-146.

Bouchez-Naitali M, Rakatozafy H, Marchals R, Leveau JV, Van Beilendecasteele JP (1999). Diversity of bacterial strains degrading hexadecane in relation to the mode of substrate uptake. J Appl Microbiol 86: 421-428. **Brimecombe MJ, De Leij FAAM, Lynch J M (2007).** Rhizodeposition and microbial populations. In Pinton R, Varanini V and Nannipieri P (eds.), The rhizosphere biochemistry and organic susbstances at the soil-plant interface. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, pp.73-109.

Buell CR, Joardar V, Lindeberg M, Selengut J, Paulsen IT, Gwinn ML, Dodson RJ, Deboy RT, Durkin AS, Kolonay JF, Madupu R, Daugherty S, Brinkac L, Beanan MJ, Haft DH, Nelson WC, Davidsen T, Zafar N, Zhou L, Liu J, Yuan Q, Khouri H, Fedorova N, Tran B, Russell D, Berry K, Utterback T, Van Aken SE, Feldblyum TV, D'Ascenzo M, Deng WL, Ramos AR, Alfano JR, Cartinhour S, Chatterjee AK, Delaney TP, Lazarowitz SG, Martin GB, Schneider DJ, Tang X, Bender CL, White O, Fraser CM, Collmer A (2003). The complete genome sequence of the *Arabidopsis* and tomato pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 10181-10186.

Burini JF, Gügi B, Merieau A, Guespin-Michel JF (1994). Lipase and acidic phosphatase from the psycotrophic bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens*: two enzymes whose synthesis is regulated by the growth temperature. FEMS Microbiol Lett 122: 13-18.

Campbell GS, Jungbauer JD Jr, Bidlake WR, Hungerford RD (1994). Predicting the effect of temperature on soil thermal conductivity. Soil Sci 158:307-313.

**Cattelan AJ, Hartel PG, Fuhrmann JJ (1999).** Screening for plant growth rhizobacteria to promote early soybean growth. Soil Sci Soc Am J 63: 1670–1680.

**Certini G** (2005). Effects of fire on properties of forest soils: a review. Oecologia 143: 1-10.

**Cetin SC, Ekinci H, Kavdir Y, Yuksel O (2009).** Using soil urease enzyme activity as soil quality for reflecting fire influence in forest ecosystem. Fresen Environ Bull (communicated).

**Chang WS, van de Mortel M, Nielsen L, de Guzman GN, Li X, Halverson LJ** (2007). Alginate production by *Pseudomonas putida* creates a hydrated microenvironment and contributes to biofilm architecture and stress tolerance under water-limiting conditions. Journal of bacteriology, 189:8290-8299.

**Chen MM, Zhu YG, Su YH, Chen BD, Fu BJ, Marschner P (2006).** Effects of soil mixture and plant interactions on the soil microbial community structure. Eur J Soil Biol 43: 31–38.

**Cheng Z, Duan J, Hao Y, McConkey BJ, Glick BR (2009).** Identification of bacterial proteins mediating the interactions between *Pseudomonas putida* UW4 and *Brassica napus* (Canola). Mol Plant Microbe Interact 22: 686–694.

**Choi KH, Kumar A, Schweizer HP (2006).** A 10-min method for preparation of highly electrocompetent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells: application for DNA fragment transfer between chromosomes and plasmid transformation. J Microbiol Methods 64: 391–397.

**Choromanska U, DeLuca TH (2001).** Prescribed fire alters the impact of wildfire on soil biochemical properties in a ponderosa pine forest. Soil Sci Soc Am J 65: 232-238.

**Cleland RE (1990).** Auxin and cell elongation. In: Davies PJ (ed.) Plant hormones and their role in plant growth and development. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 132–148.

**Compant S, Clément C, Sessitsch A (2010).** Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo- and endosphere of plants: Their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization. Soil Biol Biochem 42: 669-678.

**Conde JC, Ayala JH, Afonso AM, Gonzalez V (2005).** Emissions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from combustion of agricultural and sylvicultural debris. Atmos Environ 39: 6654–6663.

**Dangar TK, Basu PS (1987).** Studies on plant growth substances, IAA metabolism and nitrogenase activity in root nodules of *Phaseolus aureus* Roxb. var. mungo. Biol Plant 29: 350–354.

Daniels C, Godoy P, Duque E, Molina-Henares MA, de la Torre J, del Arco JM, Herrera, C, Segura, A., Guazzaroni ME, Ferrer M, Ramos JL (2010). Global regulation of food supply by *Pseudomonas putida* DOT-T1E. J Bacteriol 192: 2169-2181.

**DeBano LF, Neary DG, Ffolliott PF (1998).** Fire effects on ecosystems. Wiley, New York.

**DeBano LF (2000).** The role of fire and soil heating on water repellency in wildland environments: a review. J Hydrology 231-232: 195-206.

**DeBruyn JM, Nixon LT, Fawaz MN, Johnson AM, Radosevich M (2011).** Global biogeography and quantitative seasonal dynamics of *Gemmatimonadetes* in soil. Appl Environm Microbiol 77: 6295-6300.

**De Freitas JR, Banerjee MR, Germida JJ (1997).** Phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria enhance the growth and yield but not phosphorus uptake of canola (*Brassica napus* L.). Biol Fertil Soils 24: 358–364.

Del Castillo T, Ramos JL, Rogríguez-Herva JJ, Fuhrer T, Sauer U, Duque E (2007). Convergent peripheral pathways catalyse initial glucose catabolism in *Pseudomonas putida*: genomic and flux analysis. J Bacteriol 189: 5142-5152.

**Delgado A, Duque E, Ramos JL (1992).** Behavior in agricultural soils of a recombinant *Pseudomonas* bacterium that simultaneously degrades alkyl-and haloaromatics. Microbial Releases 1: 23-28.

**Dey R, Pal KK, Bhatt DM, Chauhan SM (2004).** Growth promotion and yield enhancement of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L) by application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Microbiol Res 159: 371–394. **Dibble JT, Bartha R (1979).** Effect of environmental parameters on the biodegradation of oil sludge. Appl Environ Microbiol 37: 729-739.

**Dobbelaere S, Vanderleyden J, Okon Y (2003).** Plant growth promoting effects of diazotrophs in the rhizosphere. Crit Rev Plant Sci 22: 107–149.

**Domka J, Lee J, Bansal T, Wood TK (2007).** Temporal gene-expression in *Escherichia coli* K-12 biofilms. Environ Microbiol 9: 332–346.

**Duff RB, Webley DM (1959).** 2-Ketogluconic acid as a natural chelator produced by soil bacteria. Chem Ind 1376-1377.

**Dunn NW, Gunsalus IC (1973).** Transmissible plasmid coding early enzymes of naphthalene oxidation in *Pseudomonas putida*. J Bacteriol, 114: 974-979.

Duque E, de la Torre J, Bernal P, Molina-Henares MA, Alaminos M, Espinosa-Urgel M, Roca A, Fernández M, de Bentzmann S, Ramos JL (2013). Identification of reciprocal adhesion genes in pathogenic and nonpathogenic *Pseudomonas*. Environ Microbiol 15:36-48.

**Eivasi F, Bayan MR (1996).** Effects of long-term prescribed burning on the activity of selected soil enzymes in an oak-hickory forest. Can J Forest Res 26: 1799–1804.

**Enderle PJ, Farwell MA (1998).** Electroporation of freshly plated *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells. Biotechniques 25: 954–958.

Ensley BD, Ratzkin BJ, Osslund TD, Simon MJ, Wackett LP, Gibson DT (1983). Expression of naphthalene oxidation genes in *Escherichia coli* results in the biosynthesis of indigo. Science 222: 167–169.

Espinosa-Urgel M, Salido A, Ramos JL (2000). Genetic analysis of functions involved in adhesion of *Pseudomonas putida* to seeds. J Bacteriol 182: 2363-2369.

**Espinosa-Urgel M, Kolter R, Ramos JL (2002).** Root colonization by *Pseudomonas putida*: love at first sight. Microbiology 148: 341-3.

**Espinosa-Urgel M, Ramos JL (2004).** Cell density-dependent gene contributes to efficient seed colonization by *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Appl Environ Microbiol 70: 5190-5198.

**Fernández M, Niqui-Arroyo JL, Conde S, Ramos JL, Duque E (2012).** Enhanced tolerance to naphthalene and enhanced rhizoremediation performance for *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 via the NAH<sub>7</sub> catabolic plasmid. Appl Environm Microbiol 78: 5104–5110.

Fernández-Piñar R, Cámara M, Dubern JF, Ramos JL, Espinosa-Urgel M (2011). The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quinolone quorum sensing signal and the multicellular behaviour of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Res Microbiol 162: 773-781.

Fine P, Graber ER, Yaron B (1997). Soil interactions with petroleum hydrocarbons: Abiotic processes. Soil Technol 10: 133-153.

**Fioretto A, Papa S, Pellegrino A (2005).** Effects of fire on soil respiration, ATP content and enzymeactivities in Mediterranean maquis. Appl Veg Sci 8: 13–20.

Fonturbel MT, Vega JA, Bara S, Bernardez I (1995). Influence of prescribed burning of pine stands in NW Spain on soil microorganisms. Eur J Soil Biol 31:13-20.

**Foster RC (1988).** Microenvironments of soil microorganisms. Biol Fertil Soils. 6: 189-200.

**Franklin SB, Robertson PA, Fralish JS (1997).** Small-scale fire temperature patterns in upland *Quercus* communities. J Appl Ecol 34: 613–630.

**Gamalero E, Glick BR (2011).** Mechanisms used by plant growth-promoting bacteria. In: Maheshwari, DK Bacteria in Agrobiology: Plant nutriente management. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp17-46.

García C., Hernández T., Costa F (1994). Microbial activity in soils under Mediterranean environmental conditions. Soil Biol Biochem 26: 1185–1191.

García C, Hernandez T, Costa F (1997). Potential use of dehydrogenase activity as an index of microbial activity in degraded soils. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 28: 123-134.

García-Salamanca A, Molina-Henares MA, van Dillewijn P, Solano J, Pizarro-Tobías P, Roca A, Duque E, Ramos JL (2012). Bacterial diversity in the rhizosphere of maize and the surrounding carbonate-rich bulk soil. Microb Biotechnol 6: 36-44.

**Gaskin SE, Bentham RH (2010).** Rhizoremediation of hydrocarbon contaminated soil using Australian native grasses. Sci Total Environ 408: 3683–8.

**Gianfreda L, Rao MA, Piotrowska A, Palumbo G, Colombo C (2005).** Soil enzyme activities as affected by anthropogenic alterations: intensive agricultural practices and organic pollution. Sci Total Environ 341: 265–279.

**Glick BR, Jacobson CB, Schwarze MM, Pasternak JJ (1994).** 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid deaminase mutants of the plant growth promoting rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas putida* GR12-2 do not stimulate canola root elongation. Can J Microbiol 40: 911-915.

**Glick BR (1995).** The enhancement of plan growth by free-living bacteria. Can J Microbiol 41: 109-117.

**Glick BR, Penrose DM, Li J (1998).** A model for lowering plant ethylene concentration by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. J Theor Biol 190: 63-68.

**Glick BR, Pasternak JJ (2003).** Plant growth-promoting bacteria. In: Glick BR, Pasternak JJ (eds) Molecular biotechnology principles and applications of recombinant DNA, 3rd edn. ASM Press, Washington, pp 436–454.

González-Pérez JA, González-Vila FJ, Almendros G, Knicker H (2004). The effect of fire on soil organic matter—a review. Environ Intl 30: 855-870.

**Greated A, Lambertsen L, Williams PA, Thomas CM (2002).** Complete sequence of the IncP-9 TOL plasmid pWWO from *Pseudomonas putida*. Environ Microbial 4: 856-871.

Gügi B, Orange N, Hellio F, Burini JF, Guillou C, Leriche F, Guespin-Michel JF (1991). Effect of growth temperature on several exported enzyme activities in the psychrotropic bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. J Bacteriol 173: 3814–3820.

Gurska J, Wang W, Gerhardt KE, Khalid AM, Isherwood DM, Huang XD, Glick BR, Greenberg BM (2009). Three year field test of a plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria enhanced phytoremediation system at a land farm for treatment of hydrocarbon waste. Environ Sci Technol 43: 4472-4479.

**Gyaneshwar P, Kumar GN, Parekh LJ, Poole PS (2002).** Role of soil microorganisms in improving P nutrition of plants. Plant Soil 245: 83-93.

**Haas P, Keel C (2003).** Regulation of antibiotic production in root colonizing *Pseudomonas* spp. and relevance for biological control of plant disease. Ann Rev Phytopathol 41: 117-153.

**Haas D, Défago G (2005).** Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads. Nat Rev Microbiol 3: 307–319.

Halder AK, Mishra AK, Bhattacharya P, Chakrabarthy PK (1990). Solubilization of rock phosphate by *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium*. J Gen Appl Microbiol 36: 1–92.

Halder AK, Chakrabarty PK (1993). Solubilization of inorganic phosphate by *Rhizobium*. Folia Microbiol 38: 325–330.

**Hamady M, Lozupone C, Knight R (2010).** Fast UniFrac: facilitating highthroughput phylogenetic analyses of microbial communities including analysis of pyrosequencing and PhyloChip data. The ISME Journal 4:17-27.

Hammer PE, Hill DS, Lam ST, van Pée KH, Ligon JM (1997). Four genes from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* that encode the biosynthesis of pyrrolnitrin. Appl Environ Microbiol 63: 2147-2154.

Harayama S, Kishira H, Kasai Y, Shutsubo K (1999). Petroleum biodegradation in marine environments. J Molec Microbiol Biotechnol 1: 63-70.

Harris JA, Birch P (1989). Soil microbial activity in opencast coal mine restorations. Soil Use Mana. 5: 155–160.

Hawkes C V, DeAngelis K M, Firestone M K (2007). Root interactions with soil microbial communities and processes. In Cardon Z and Witbeck J (eds.), The rhizosphere-an ecological perspective. Elsevier Academic Press, New York pp. 1-31.

Hayat R, Ali S, Amara U, Khalid R, Ahmed I (2010). Soil beneficial bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion: a review. Annals Microbiol. 60: 579-598.

Hernández T, Garcia C, Reinhardt I (1997). Short-term effect of wildfire on the chemical, biochemical and microbiological properties of Mediterranean pine forest soils. Biol Fertil Soils 25: 109–116.

**Hiltner L (1904).** Uber neue erfahrungen und probleme auf dem gebiet der bodenbakteriolgie und unter besonderes berucksichtigung der grundugungen und brauche. Arb Dtsch Landwirt Ges Berl 98: 59–78.

Huang XD, El-Alawi Y, Penrose DM, Glick BR, Greenberg BM (2004). A multiprocess phytoremediation system for removal of polycyclic aromatichydrocarbons from contaminated soils. Environ Pollut 130: 465–76. **Huber T, Faulkner G, Hugenholtz P (2004).** Bellerophon: a program to detect chimeric sequences in multiple sequence alignments. Bioinformatics 20: 2317-2319.

Hutcheson MS, Pedersen D, Anastas ND, Fitzgerald J, Silverman D (1996). Beyond TPH: health-based evaluation of petroleum hydrocarbon exposures. Regul Toxicol Pharm 24: 85-101.

**Jiménez JI, Miñambres B, García JL, Díaz E (2002).** Genomic analysis of the aromatic catabolic pathways from *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Environ Microbiol 4: 824-841.

Jones DL, Darrah PR (1994). Role of root derived organic acids in the mobilization of nutrients from the rhizosphere. Plant Soil 166: 247-257.

Jørgensen KS, Puustinen J, Suortti AM (2000). Bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated soil by composting in biopiles. Environ. Pollut. 107: 245-254.

Jorquera MA, Hernández MT, Renger Z, Marschner P, Mora M (2008). Isolation of culturable phosphobacteria with both phytate-mineralization and phosphate-solubilization activity from the rhizosphere of plants grown in a volcanic soil. Biol Fertil Soils 44: 1025-1034.

Kamath R, Rentz JA, Schnoor JL, Alvarez PJ (2004). Phytoremediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated soils: principles and applications, in: Vazquez-Duhalt, R., Quintero-Ramirez, R. (Eds.), Petroleum Biotechnology – Developments and Perspectives. Studies in surface science and catalysis. Elsevier Science: Oxford, UK,. pp. 447–478.

Karaca A, Cetin SC, Can Turgay O, Kizilkaya R (2011). Soil enzymes as indication of soil quality. In: Soil Enzymology, Soil Biology Volume 22, 2011, pp 119-148.

**Katsivela E, Moore ERB, Kalogerakis N (2004).** Monitoring of the degradation activities and the diversity of the microbial community degrading petroleum waste sludge. Water Air Soil Poll Focus 4: 75–85.

**Kellerhals MB, Kessler B, Witholt B (1999).** Closed-loop control of bacterial high-cell-density fed-batch cultures: Production of mcl-PHAs by *Pseudomonas putida* KT2442 under single-substrate and cofeeding conditions. Biotechnol Bioeng 65: 306-315.

**Kennedy AC (1999).** The rhizosphere and spermosphere. In Sylvia DM, Furhrmann JJ, Hartel PG, and Zuberer DA (eds.), Principles and applications of soil microbiology. Prentice Hall, New Jersey, USA pp. 389-407.

Khan FI, Husain T, Hejazi R (2004). An overview and analysis of site remediation technologies. J Environ Manag 71: 95–122.

**Khodadad CLM, Zimmerman AR, Green SJ, Uthandi S, Foster JS (2011).** Taxa-specific changes in soil microbial community composition induced by pyrogenic carbon amendments. Soil Biol Biochem 43:385-392.

**Kim EJ, Choi SD, Chang YS (2011).** Levels and patterns of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in soils after forest fires in South Korea. Environ Sci Pollut Res 18:1508–1517.

**Kirk JL, Klironomos JN, Lee H, Trevors JT (2005).** The effects of perennial ryegrass and alfalfa on microbial abundance and diversity in petroleum contaminated soil. Environm. Poll. 133: 455–465.

**Kiss S, Pasca D, Dragan-Bularda M (1998).** Development in soil science 26: Enzymology of disturbed soils. Amsterdam, Elsevier.

Klee HJ, Hayford MB, Kretzmer KA, Barry GF, Kishore GM (1991). Control of ethylene synthesis by expression of a bacterial enzyme in transgenic tomato plants. Plant Cell 3: 1187–1193.

**Kloepper JW, Schroth MN (1978).** Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on radishes. In Station de Pathologie vegetale et phyto-bacteriologie. Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, Gilbert-Clarey, Tours, France, vol II, pp. 879-882.

**Kloepper JW, Schroth MN, Miller TD (1980).** Effects of rhizosphere colonization by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on potato plant development and yield. Phytopathol 70: 1078-1082.

**Kloepper JW (1994).** Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (other systems). In Okon Y (ed.), *Azospirillum* / Plant Associations. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA pp. 111-118.

**Kloos K, Munch JC, Schloter M (2006).** A new method for the detection of alkane-monooxygenase homologous genes (*alkB*) in soils based on PCR-hybridization. J Microbiol Methods 66: 486–496.

**Kozdrój J, van Elsas JD (2000).** Response of the bacterial community to root exhudates in soil polluted with heavy metals assessed by molecular and cultural approaches. Soil Biol Biochem 32: 1405–1417.

**Knicker H (2007).** How does fire affect the nature and stability of soil organic nitrogen and carbon? A review. Biogeochemistry 85: 91–118.

Konstantinidis KT, Ramette A, Tiedje JM (2006). The bacterial species definition in the genomic era. Phil Trans R Soc B 361:1929-1940.

**Kraak MN, Smits TH, Kessler B, Witholt B (1997).** Polymerase C1 levels and poly (R-3-hydroxyalkanoate) synthesis in wild type and recombinant *Pseudomonas strains.* J Bacteriol 179: 4985-4991.

Krell T, Lacal J, Guazzaroni ME, Busch A, Silva-Jiménez H, Fillet S, Reyes-Darías JA, Muñoz-Martínez F, Rico-Jiménez F, García-Fontana C, Duque E, Segura A, Ramos JL (2012). Responses of *Pseudomonas putida* to toxic aromatic carbon sources. J Biotechnol 160:25-32. **Kroll J, Klinter S, Schneider C, Vo\beta I, Steinbüchel A (2010).** Plasmid addiction systems: perspectives and applications in biotechnology. Microbial Biotechnol 3: 643-657.

**Kuiper I, Bloemberg GV, Lugtenberg BJJ (2001).** Selection of a plantbacterium pair as a novel tool for rhizostimulation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 14:1197-1205.

Kuiper I, Lagendijk EL, Bloemberg GV, Lugtenberg BJ (2004). Rhizoremediation: a beneficial plant-microbe interaction. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 17:6-15.

Kuyukina MS, Ivshina IB, Ritchkova MI, Philp JC, Cunningham CJ, Christofi N, (2003). Bioremediation of crude oil-contaminated soil using slurry-phase biological treatment and land farming techniques. Soil Sediment Contam. 1: 85-99.

Lacal J, Alfonso C, Liu X, Parales RE, Morel B, Conejero-Lara F, Rivas G, Duque E, Ramos JL, Krell T (2010). Identification of a chemoreceptor for tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates: differential chemotactic response towards receptor ligands. J Biol Chem, 285: 23126-23136.

Lambers H, Mougel C, Jaillard B, Hinsinger P (2009). Plant-microbe-soil interactions in the rhizosphere: an evolutionary perspective. Plant Soil 321,83-115.

Lauber CL, Hamady M, Knight R, Flerer N (2009). Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:5111-5120.

Leahy J, Colwell R. (1990). Microbial degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment. Microbiological Reviews 305-315.

**Lemanceau P, Bauer P, Kraemer S, Briat JF (2009).** Iron dynamics in the rhizosphere as a case study for analysing interactions between soils, plants and microbes. Plant Soil 321: 513-535.

Levchuck AA, Bulyga IM, Izmalkova Tlu, Sevastianovich laR, Kosheleva IA, Thomas CM, Titok MA (2006). Nah-plasmids of IncP-9 group from natural strains of *Pseudomonas*. Mol Biol 40: 835-843.

Li J, Ovakin DH, Charles TC, Glick BR (2000). An ACC deaminase minus mutant of *Entreobacter cloacae* UW4 no longer promotes root elongation. Curr Microbiol 41: 101–105.

Lugtenberg BJJ, Dekkers LC (1999). What makes *Pseudomonas* bacteria rhizosphere competent?. Environ Microbiol 1: 9–13.

Lugtenberg BJJ, Dekkers L, Bloemberg GV (2001). Molecular determinants of rhizosphere colonization by *Pseudomonas*. Annu Rev Phytopathol 39: 461-490.

**Lugtenberg BJJ, Kamilova F (2009).** Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol 63: 541-56.

Maidak BL, Olsen GJ, Larsen N, Overbeek R, McCaughey MJ, Woese CR (1996). The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP). Nucleic Acids Res 24:82-85.

Malfanova N, Kamilova F, Validov S, Shcherbakov A, Chebotar V, Tikhonovich I, Lugtenberg B (2011). Characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* HC8, a novel plant-beneficial endophytic strain from giant hogweed. Microbial Biotechnol 4: 523-532.

**Makoi JHJR, Ndakidemi PA (2008).** Selected soil enzymes: Examples of their potential roles in the ecosystem. Afr J Biotechnol 7: 181–191.

**Marilley L, Aragno M (1999).** Phylogenetic diversity of bacterial communities differing in degree of proximity of *Lolium perenne* and *Trifolium repens* roots. Appl Soil Ecol 13:127-136.

Martínez M, Díaz-Ferrero J, Martí R, Broto-Puig F, Comellas L, Rodríguez-Larena M (2000). Analysis of dioxin-like compounds in vegetation and soil samples burned in Catalan forest fire. Comparison with the corresponding unburned material. Chemosphere 41:1927-1935.

Martínez-Bueno M., Tobes R, Rey M, Ramos JL (2002). Detection of multiple extracitoplasmatic function (ECF) sigma factors in the genome of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 and their counterparts in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01. Environ Microbiol 4: 842-855.

Martínez-Gil M, Yousef-Coronado F, Espinosa-Urgel M (2010). LapF, the second largest *Pseudomonas putida* protein, contributes to plant root colonization and determines biofilm architecture. Molecular Microbiology 77:549-561.

Martinez-Viveros O, Jorquera MA, Crowley DE, Gajardo G, Mora ML (2010). Mechanisms and practical considerations involved in plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr 10:293–319.

Matilla MA, Espinosa-Urgel M, Rodríguez-Herva JJ, Ramos JL, Ramos-González MI (2007). Genomic analysis reveals the major driving forces of bacterial life in the rhizosphere. Genome Biology 8:R179.

Matilla MA, Ramos JL, Bakker PAHN, Doornbos R, Badri DV, Vivanco JM, Ramos-González MI (2010). *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 causes induced systemic resistance and changes in *Arabidopsis* root exudation. Env Microbiol Rep 2: 381–388.

Matilla MA, Travieso ML, Ramos JL, Ramos-González MI (2011a). Cyclic diguanylate turnover mediated by the sole GGDEF/EAL response regulator in *Pseudomonas putida*: its role in the rhizosphere and an analysis of its target processes. Environ Microbiol 13:1745-1766.

Matilla MA, Pizarro-Tobías P, Roca A, Fernández M, Duque E, Molina L, Wu X, van der Lelie D, Gómez MJ, Segura A, Ramos JL (2011b). Complete genome of the plant-growth promoting rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1. J Bacteriol 193: 1290. **Margesin R, Labbe D, Schinner F, Greer CW, Whyte LG (2003).** Characterization of hydrocarbon-degrading microbial populations in contaminated and pristine alpine soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 3085–3092.

**Marilley L, Aragno M (1999).** Phylogenetic diversity of bacterial communities differing in degree of proximity of *Lolium perenne* and *Trifolium repens* roots. Appl Soil Ecol 13: 127-136.

McCarthy K, Walker L, Vigoren L, Bartel J (2004). Remediation of spilled petroleum hydrocarbons by in situ landfarming at an arctic site. Cold Regi Sci Technol 40: 31-39.

**McGrath JW, Hammerschmidt F, Quinn JP (1998).** Biodegradation of phosphonomycin by *Rhizobium huakuii* PMY1. Appl Environ Microbiol 64: 356-358.

**Meschke H, Schrempf H (2010).** Streptomyces lividans inhibits the proliferation of Arabidopsis thaliana the fungus Verticillium dahliae on seeds and roots. Microbial Biotech 3: 428-443.

**Meyer JM (2000).** Pyoverdines: pigments, siderophores, and potential taxonomic markers of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* species. Arch Microbiol 174: 135-142.

**Migula W (1894).** Über ein neues System der Bakterien. Arb Bakteriol Inst Karlsruhe 1: 235–328.

Militon C, Boucher D, Vachelard C, Perchet G, Barra V, Troquet J, Peyretaillade E, Peyret P (2010). Bacterial community changes during bioremediation of aliphatic hydrocarbon-contaminated soil. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 74: 669–681.

Miller SH, Browne P, Prigent-Combaret C, Combes-Meynet E, Morrissey JP, O'Gara F (2010). Biochemical and genomic comparison of inorganic phosphate solubilization in *Pseudomonas* species. Environ Microbiol Reports 2: 403-411. Molina LA, Ramos C, Duque E, Ronchel MC, García JM, Wyke L, Ramos JL (2000). Survival of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 in soil and in the rhizosphere of plants under greenhouse and environmental conditions. Soil Biol Biochem 32:315-321.

Mishra S, Jyot J, Kuhad RC, Lal B (2001). In situ bioremediation potential of an oily sludge-degrading bacterial consortium. Curr Microbiol 43: 328-335.

Molina-Henares MA, Ramos JL, Espinosa-Urgel M (2006). A two-partner secretion system is involved in seed and root colonization and iron uptake by Pseudomonas putida KT2440. Environ Microbiol 8:639-647

Molina-Henares MA, García-Salamanca A, Molina-Henares AJ, de la Torre J, Herrera MC, Ramos JL, Duque E (2009). Functional analysis of aromatic biosynthetic pathways in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Microb Biotechnol 2: 91-100.

**Morgan JAW, Whipps JM (2001).** Methodological approaches to the study of rhizosphere carbon flow and microbial population dynamics. In: Pinton R, Varanini Z, Nannipieri P (eds) The rhizosphere. Biochemistry and organic substances at the soil-plant interface. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 373-40.

Morgan JAW, BendingGD, White PJ (2005). Biological costs and benefits to plant-microbe interactions in the rhizosphere. J Exp Botany 56:1729-1739.

Mueller JG, Devereux R, Santavy DL, Lantz SE, Willis SG, Pritchard PH (1997). Phylogenetic and physiological comparisons of PAH-degrading bacteria from geographically diverse soils. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek '71: 329-343.

**Muñoz-Rojas J, Bernal P, Duque E, Godoy P, Segura A, Ramos, JL (2006).** Involvement of cyclopropane fatty acids in the response of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 to freeze-drying. Appl Environ Microbiol 72: 472-477.

**Murphy J, Riley JP (1958).** A single-solution method for the determination of soluble phosphate in sea water. J Mar Biol Ass UK 37: 9-14.

Muyzer G, de Waal EC, Uitterlinden AG (1993). Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA. Appl Environ Microbiol 59:695-700.

Naik PR, Sahoo N, Goswani D, Ayyadurai N, Sakthivel N (2008). Genetic and functional diversity among fluorescent Pseudomonads isolated from the rhizosphere of Banana. Microb Ecol 56: 492-504.

**Nakkeeran S, Dilantha WG, Siddiqui ZA (2005).** Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria formulations and its scope in commercialization for the management of pests and diseases. In: Siddiqui Z (ed.) PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertizilization. Springer, pp: 257-296.

Nannipieri P, Kandeler E, Ruggiero P (2002). Enzyme activities and microbiological and biochemical processes in soil. In: Burns RG, Dick RP (eds) Enzymes in the environment. Activity, ecology and applications. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 1–33.

**Nautilaya CS (1999).** An efficient microbiological growth medium for screening phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol Lett 170: 265-270.

Nelson KE, Weinel C, Paulsen IT, Dodson RJ, Hilbert H, Martins dos Santos VAP, Fouts DE, Gill SR, Pop M, Holmes M, Brinkac L, Beanan M, DeBoy RT, Daugherty S, Kolonay J, Madupu R, Nelson W, White O, Peterson J, Khouri H, Hance I, Lee PC, Holtzapple E, Scanlan D, Tran K, Moazzez A, Utterback T, Rizzo M, Lee K, Kosack D, Moestl D, Wedler H, Lauber J, Stjepandic D, Hoheisel J, Straetz M, Heim S, Kiewitz C, Eisen J, Timmis KN, Düsterhöft A, Tümmler B, Fraser CM (2002). Complete genome sequence and comparative analysis of the metabolically versatile *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Environ Microbiol 4: 799–808.

**Nielsen L, Li X, Halverson LJ (2011).** Cell-cell and cell-surface interactions mediated by cellulose and a novel exopolysaccharide contribute to *Pseudomonas* 

*putida* biofilm formation and fitness under water-limiting conditions. Environ. Microbiol. 13:1342-1356.

Nilsson M, Chiang WC, Fazli M, Gjermansen M, Givskov M, Tolker-Nielsen T (2011). Influence of putative exopolysaccharide genes on *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 biofilm stability. Environ Microbiol 13: 1357–1369.

Ohtake H, WuH, Imazu K, Anbe L, Kato J, Kuroda A (1996). Bacterial phosphonate degradation, phosphite oxidation and polyphosphate accumulation. Resour Conserv Recy 18: 125-134.

Olivella MA, Ribalta TG, de Febrer AR, Mollet JM, de las Heras FXC (2006). Distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in riverine waters during Mediterranean forest fires. Sci Total Environ 355: 156–166.

**O'Toole GA, Kolter R. (1998).** Initiation of biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas* fluorescens WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis. Molecular microbiology, 28:449-461.

**Overcash MR, Pal D (1979).** Design of land treatment system for industrial wastes: theory and practice. Annu Arbor Sci 159–219.

**Pal KK, Tilak KVBR, Saxena AK, Dey R, Singh CS (2001).** Suppression of maize root diseases caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina, Fusarium moniliforme* and *Fusarium germinearum* by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Microbiol Res 156: 209-223.

**Palleroni NJ (1986).** Taxonomy of *Pseudomonas*. In The Bacteria. Academic Press, London. Vol. X, pp. 3-25.

**Palleroni NJ (1992).** Introduction to the Pseudomonadaceae. The Prokaryotes, A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria, Ecophysiology, Isolation, Identification and Applications, Vol. III, 2nd edn (Balows A, Truper HG, Dworkin M, Harder W & Schleifer KH, eds), pp. 3071–3085. Springer, New York.
**Palleroni NJ, Moore ER (2004).** Taxonomy of pseudomonads: experimental approaches. In Ramos JL (ed.) *Pseudomonas*. Springer US. Vol, pp. 3-44.

Palleroni NJ (2010). The Pseudomonas story. Environ Microbiol 12:1377-83.

**Pankhurst ES (1965).** A spot test for catechol 2:3-oxygenase in bacteria. J Appl Bacteriol 28: 309-315.

**Park W, Jeon CO, Cadillo H, DeRito C, Madsen EL (2004).** Survival of naphthalene-degrading *Pseudomonas putida* NCIB 9816-4 in naphthalene-amended soils: toxicity of naphthalene and its metabolites. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 64: 429-435.

**Patten CL, Glick BR (1996).** Bacterial biosynthesis of indole-3-acetic acid. Can J Microbiol 42: 207–220.

**Patten CL, Glick BR (2002).** Role of *Pseudomonas putida* indoleacetic acid in development of the host plant root system. Appl Environ Microbiol 68: 3795-3801.

Paulsen IT, Press CM, Ravel J, Kobayashi DY, Myers GSA, Mavrodi DV, DeBoy RT, Seshadri R, Ren Q, Madupu R, Dodson RJ, Durkin AS, Brinkac LM, Daugherty SC, Sullivan SA, Rosovitz MJ, Gwinn ML, Zhou L, Schneider DJ, Cartinhour SW, Nelson WC, Weidman J, Watkins K, Tran K, Khouri H, Pierson EA, Pierson LS, Thomashow LS, Loper JE (2005). Complete genome sequence of the plant commensal *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf-5. Nat Biotechnol 23: 873-878.

**Pausas JG (2012).** Incendios Forestales. Catarata y CSIC eds. ISBN: 978-84-8319-714-1.

**Pea A, Kucey RMN, Stewart JWB (1981).** Inorganic phosphate solubilization by two *Penicillum* species in solution culture and soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 20: 459-464.

**Penrose DM, Glick BR (2001).** Levels of ACC and related compounds in exudate and extracts of canola seeds treated with ACC deaminase-containing plant growth-promoting bacteria. Can J Microbiol 47: 368-372.

Pérez J, Muñoz-Dorado J, Braña AF, Shimkets LJ, Sevillano L, Santamaría RI (2011). *Myxococcus xanthus* induces actinorhodin overproduction and aerial mycelium formation by *Streptomyces coelicolor*. Microb Biotechnol 4: 175-183.

**Pizarro-Tobías P, Ramos-Duque E (2008).** Microorganism capable of solubilizing phosphate and iron and its applications. European accession number: 08380251.2-1212.

**Pollmann S, Neu D, Weiler EW (2003).** Molecular cloning and characterization of an amidase from *Arabidopsis thaliana* capable of converting indole-3-acetamide into the plant growth hormone, indole-3-acetic acid. Phytochemistry 62: 293–300.

**Prince RC, Gramain A, McGenity TJ (2010).** Prokaryotic hydrocarbon degraders, in: Timmis, K.N. (Ed.), Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 1669–1692.

Pucci OH, Bak MA, Peressutti SR, Klein I, Härtig C, Alvarez HM, Wünsche L (2000). Influence of crude oil contamination on the bacterial community of semiarid soils of Patagonia (Argentina). Acta Biotechnol 20: 129–146.

Qiu X, Shah SI, Kendall EW, Sorensen DL, Sims RC, Engelke MC (1994). Grass-enhanced bioremediation for clay soils contaminated with polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons. Pages 142- 157 in: Bioremediation Through Rhizosphere Technology. T. A. Anderson and J. R. Coats, eds. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.

Quilchano C, Marañón T (2002). Dehydrogenase activity in Mediterranean forest soils. Biol Fertil Soils 35: 102–107.

**Ramos JL., Duque E., Ramos-González MI (1991).** Survival in soils of an herbicide-resistant *Pseudomonas putida* strain bearing a recombinant TOL plasmid. Appl Environ Microbiol 54: 260-266.

Ramos JL, Díaz E, Dowling D, de Lorenzo V, Molin S, O'Gara F, Ramos C, Timmis KN (1994). The behaviour of bacteria designed for biodegradation. Biotechnology (NY) 12: 1349-1356.

**Ramos JL, Duque E, Huertas MJ, Haïdour A (1995).** Isolation and expansion of the catabolic potential of a *Pseudomonas putida* strain able to grow in the presence of high concentrations of aromatic hydrocarbons. J Bacteriol 177: 3911–3916.

Ramos JL, Gallegos MT, Marqués S, Ramos-González MI, Espinosa-Urgel M, Segura A. (2001). Responses of Gram-negative bacteria to certain environmental stressors. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 4:166-71.

Ramos JL, Duque E, Gallegos MT, Godoy P, Ramos-González MI, Rojas A, Teran W, Segura A (2002). Mechanisms of solvent tolerance in gram-negative bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol, 56: 743-768.

**Ramos-González MI, Campos MJ, Ramos JL (2005).** Analysis of **Pseudomonas putida** KT2440 gene expression in the maize rhizosphere: *In Vivo* Expression Technology capture and identification of root-activated promoters. J Bacteriol 187: 4033-4041.

**Reid M (1987).** Ethylene in plant growth, development and senescence. In Davies PJ (ed) Plant hormones and their role in plant growth and development. Martinus Nijhoff, Boston, pp 257–279.

Reva ON, Weinel C, Weinel M, Böhm K, Stjepandic D, Hoheisel JD, Tümmler B (2006). Functional genomics of stress response in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. J Bacteriol 188:4079-4092.

**Revelles O, Espinosa-Urgel M, Molin S, Ramos JL (2004).** The *davDT* pperon of *Pseudomonas putida*, involved in Lysine catabolism, is induced in

response to the pathway intermediate -Aminovaleric acid. J Bacteriol 186: 3439-3446.

**Rheinwald JG, Chakrabarty AM, Gunsalus IC. (1973).** A transmissible plasmid controlling camphor oxidation in *Pseudomonas putida*. Proc Nat Acad Sci, 70: 885-889.

**Richardson AE, Hadobas PA (1997).** Soil isolates of *Pseudomonas* spp. that utilize inositol phosphates. Can J Microbiol 43: 509–16.

**Richardson AE (2001).** Prospect for using soil microorganisms to improve to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by plants. Aust J Plant Physiol 28: 897-906.

**Richardson AE, Barea JM, McNeill AM, Pringent-Combarent C (2009).** Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. Plant Soil 321: 305–339.

Roca A, Pizarro-Tobías P, Udaondo Z, Fernández M, Matilla MA, Molina-Henares MA, Molina L, Segura A, Duque A, Ramos JL (2012). Analysis of the plant growth-promoting properties encoded by the genome of the rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas putida* BIRD-1. Environ Microbiol 15: 780-794.

**Rodríguez H, Fraga R (1999).** Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. Biotechnol Adv 17: 319-339.

**Rodríguez-Herva JJ, García V, Hurtado A, Segura A, Ramos JL (2007).** The *ttgGHI* solvent efflux pump operon of *Pseudomonas putida* DOT-T1E is located on a large self-transmissible plasmid. Environ Microbiol 9: 1550–1561.

Röling WFM, Milner MG, Jones DM, Lee K. Daniel F, Swannell RJP, Head IM, (2002). Robust hydrocarbon degradation and dynamics of bacterial communities during nutrient-enhanced oil spill bioremediation. Appl Environ Microbiol 68: 5537-5548.

**Römling U, Amikam D. (2006).** Cyclic di-GMP as a second messenger. Current opinion in microbiology 9:218-228.

**Ros M, Rodríguez I, García C, Hernández T (2010).** Microbial communities involved in the bioremediation of an aged recalcitrant hydrocarbon polluted soil by using organic amendments. Bioresour Technol 101: 6916–6923.

Saa A, Trasar-Cepeda MC, Gill-Sotres F, Carballas T (1993). Changes in soil phosphorus and acid phosphatase activity immediately following forest fires. Soil Biol Biochem 25:1223-1230.

Saleem M, Arshad M, Hussain S, Bhatti AS (2007). Perspective of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) containing ACC deaminase in stress agriculture. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 34: 635–648.

**Samanta SK, Singh OV, Jain RK (2002).** Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: environmental pollution and bioremediation. Trends Biotechnol 20: 243–248.

Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989). Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual 2nd edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: New York.

**Sandermann H (2004).** Molecular ecotoxicology of plants. Trends Plant Sci 9: 406-414.

Sandhu A, Halverson LJ, Beattie GA (2009). Identification and genetic characterization of phenol-degrading bacteria from leaf microbial communities. Microb Ecol 57: 276-85.

Schalk IJ, Hannauer M, Braud A (2011). New roles of bacterial siderophores in metal transport and tolerance. Env Microbiol 13: 2844-2854.

Schau M, Eldakak A, Hulett FM (2004). Terminal oxidases are essential to bypass the requirement for ResD for full Pho induction in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 186: 8424-8432.

**Schloss PD, Handelsman J (2005).** Introducing DOTUR, a computer program for defining operational taxonomic units and estimating species richness. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:1501-1506.

Segura A, Rodríguez-Conde S, Ramos C, Ramos JL (2009). Bacterial responses and interactions with plants during rhizoremediation. Microb Biotech 2: 452-464.

Segura A, Ramos JL (2012). Plant-bacteria interactions in the removal of pollutants. Curr Opin Biotechnol 24: 1-7.

**Shaw LJ, Burns RG (2005).** Rhizodeposits of *Trifolium pratense* and *Lolium perenne*: their comparative effects on 2,4-D mineralization in two contrasting soils. Soil Biol. Biochem 37: 995–1002.

**Shekar C, Nautiyal CS (1999).** An efficient microbiological growth medium for screening phosphorous solubilizing microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol Lett 170: 265-270.

Silby MW, Winstanley C, Godfrey SA, Levy S B, Jackson RW (2011). *Pseudomonas* genomes: diverse and adaptable. FEMS Microbiol Rev 35:652-680.

Simmons M, Permentier HP, de Weger LA, Wijffelman CA, Lugtenberg B (1997). Amino acid synthesis is necessary for tomato root colonization by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain WCS365. Mol Plant-Microb Interac 10: 102-106.

**Singer AC, Crowley DE, Thompson IP (2003).** Secondary plant metabolites in phytoremediation and biotransformation. Trends Biotech 21: 123–130.

**Skrary FA, Cameron DC (1998).** Purification and characterization of a *Bacillus licheniformis* phosphatase specific for D-alphaglycerophosphate. Arch Biochem Biophys 349: 27–35.

**Skujins J (1973).** Dehydrogenase: an indicator of biological activities in arid soils. Bull Ecol Res Commun 17: 235–241.

Smith NR, Kishchuk BE, Mohn WW (2008). Effects of wildfire and harvest disturbances on forest soil bacterial communities. Appl Environm Microbiol 74: 216-224.

Somers E, Vanderleyden J (2004). Rhizosphere bacterial signaling: A love parade beneath our feet. Crit Rev Microbiol 30: 205-240.

**Spaepen S, Vanderleyden J, Remans R (2007).** Indole-3-acetic acid in microbial and microorganism-plant signaling. FEMS Microbiol Rev 31: 425-48.

**Stajner D, Gasaic O, Matkovic B, Vargas SZI (1995).** Metolachlor effect on antioxidants enzyme activities and pigments content in seeds and young leaves of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Agr Med 125: 267-273.

**Stajner D, Kevreaan S, Gasaic O, Mimica-Dudic N, Zongli H (1997).** Nitrogen and *Azotobacter chroococcum* enhance oxidative stress tolerance in sugar beet. Biol Plant 39: 441-445.

**Steenhoudt O, Vanderleyden J (2000).** *Azospirillum*, a free-living nitrogenfixing bacterium closely associated with grasses: genetic, biochemical and ecological aspects. FEMS Microbiol Rev 24: 487–506.

Stover CK, Pham XQ, Erwin AL, Mizoguchi SD, Warrener P, Hickey MJ, Brinkman FS, Hufnagle WO, Kowalik DJ, Lagrou M, Garber RL, Goltry L, Tolentino E, Westbrock-Wadman S, Yuan Y, Brody LL, Coulter SN, Folger KR, Kas A, Larbig K, Lim R, Smith K, Spencer D, Wong GK, Wu Z, Paulsen IT, Reizer J, Saier MH, Hancock REW, Lory S, Olson MV (2000). Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01, an opportunistic pathogen. Nature 406: 959–964.

Taghavi S, Garafola C, Monchy S, Newman L, Hoffman A, Weyens N, Barac T, Vangronsveld J, van der Lelie D (2009). Genome survey and characterization of endophytic bacteria exhibiting a beneficial effect on growth and development of poplar trees. Appl Environ Microbiol 75: 748-757.

Tan Y, Xu M, Tan X, Wang X, Saikawa Y, Nagahama X, Sun M, Lenz M, Hoffman RM (1997). Overexpression and large-scale production of recombinant L-methionine-a-deamino-g-mercaptomethane-lyase for novel anticancer therapy. Protein Expr Purif, 9: 233-245.

**Tarafdar JC, Claassen N (1988).** Organic phosphorus compounds as a phosphorus source for higher plants through the activity of phosphatases produced by plant roots and microorganisms. Biol Fertil Soils 5: 308-312.

**Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994).** CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22: 4673-4680.

**Timmis KN, Pieper DH (1999).** Bacteria designed for bioremediation. Trends Biotechnol 17: 200–4.

**Torres P, Honrubia M (1997).** Changes and effects of a natural fire on ectomycorrhizal inoculum potential of soil in a *Pinus halepensis* forest. For Ecol Manag 96:189–196.

Turner B, Hopkins DW, Haygarth PM, Ostle N (2002).  $\beta$ -Glucosidase activity in pasture soils. Appl Soil Ecol 20: 157–162.

**Uren NC (2007).** Types, amounts, and possible functions of compounds released into the rhizosphere by soil-grown plants. In Pinton R, Varanini V and Nannipieri P (eds.) The Rhizosphere. Biochemistry and Organic Substances at the Soil-Plant Interface. Marcel Dekker inc: New York, USA.

**Uroz S, Buée M, Murat C, Frey-Klett P, Martin F (2010).** Pyrosequencing reveals a contrasted bacterial diversity between oak rhizosphere and surrounding soil. Environ Microbiol Rep 2: 281-288.

Valé M, Nguyen C, Dambrine E, Dupouey JL (2005). Microbial activity in the rhizosphere soil of six herbaceous species cultivated in a greenhouse is

correlated with shoot biomass and root C concentrations. Soil Biol Biochem 37: 2329-2333.

**Van De Mortel M, Halverson LJ (2004).** Cell envelope components contributing to biofilm growth and survival of *Pseudomonas putida* in low-water-content habitats. Molecular Microbiology 52: 735-750.

Van der Lelie D, Schwitzguebel J, Glass D, Vangronsveld J, Baker A (2001). Assessing phytoremediation's progress in the United States and Europe. Environ Sci Technol 35: 446–52.

Van Loon LC, Bakker PAHM (2005). Induced systemic resistance a mechanism of disease suppression by rhizobacteria. In Siddiqui Z.A. (Ed.), PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization. Dordrecht. The Netherlands: Springer Science. pp 39-66.

**Van Loon LC (2007).** Plant responses to plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Eur J Plant Pathol 119: 243-254.

**Vassileu N, Baca MT, Yassileva M, Franco I, Azcón R. (1995).** Rock phosphate solubilization by *Aspergillus niger* grown on sugar-beet waste medium. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 44: 546-549.

Vázquez FJ, Acea MJ, Carballas T (1993). Soil microbial populations after wildfire. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 13:93-104.

Vergnoux A, Malleret L, Laurence A, Doumenq P, Theraulaz F (2011). Impact of forest fires on PAH level and distribution in soils. Environm Res 111: 193–198.

Verstraete W, Vanloocke R, DeBorger R, Verlinde A (1976). Modelling of the breakdown and the mobilization of hydrocarbons in unsaturated soil layers, in Sharpley, J.M., Kaplan, A.M. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 3rd International Biodegradation Symposium. Applied Science Publishers Ltd., London, pp. 99-112.

**Vessey JK (2003).** Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant and soil, 255(2), 571-586.

Vial L, Chapalain A, Groleau MC, Déziel E (2011). The various lifestyles of the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex species: a tribute to adaptation. Environ Microbiol 13: 1-12.

Vila-Escalé M, Vegas-Vilarrúbia T, Prat N (2007). Release of polycyclic aromatic compounds into a Mediterranean creek (Catalonia, NE Spain) after a forest fire. Water Res 41:2171-2179.

Viruel E, Lucca ME, Sineriz F (2011). Plant growth promotion traits of phosphobacteria isolated from puna, Argentina. Arch Microbiol 193: 489-496.

Visca P, Imperi F, Lamont I L (2007). Pyoverdine siderophores: from biogenesis to biosignificance. Trends in microbiology, 15: 22-30.

**Vyas P, Gulati A (2009).** Organic acid production in vitro and plant growth promotion in maize under controlled environment by phosphate-solubilizing fluorescent *Pseudomonas*. BMC Microbiol 9: 174.

Watanabe K, Kodama Y, Harayama S (2001). Design and evaluation of PCR primers to amplify bacterial 16S ribosomal DNA fragments used for community fingerprinting. J Microbiol Meth 44: 253–262.

Weinel C, Nelson KE, Tümmler B (2002). Global features of the *Pseudomonas* putida KT2440 genome sequence. *Environ Microbiol* **4**: 809-818.

Weisskopf, L, Fromin N, Tomasi N, Aragno M, Martinoia E (2005). Secretion activity of white lupin's cluster roots influences bacterial abundance, function and community structure. Plant Soil 268: 181–194.

Williams PA, Murray K (1974). Metabolism of benzoate and the methylbenzoates by *Pseudomonas putida* (arvilla) mt-2: evidence for the existence of a TOL plasmid. J Bacteriol 120: 416-423.

Woo SG, Cui Y, Kang MS, Jin L, Kim KK, Lee ST, Lee M, Park J (2011). Georgenia daeguensis sp. nov. isolated from 4-chlorophenol enrichment culture. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62: 1703-1709.

**Wood TK (2008).** Molecular approaches in bioremediation. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 19:572-578.

Worsey M J, Williams P A (1975). Metabolism of toluene and xylenes by *Pseudomonas putida* (arvilla) mt-2: evidence for a new function of the TOL plasmid. J Bacteriol 124: 7-13.

Wu X, Monchy S, Taghavi S, Zhu W, Ramos JL, van der Lelie, D (2011). Comparative genomics and functional analysis of niche specific adaptation in *Pseudomonas putida.* FEMS Microbiology Rev 35: 299-323.

**Wubbolts MG, Timmis KN (1990).** Biotransformation of substituted benzoates to the corresponding cis-diols by an engineered strain of *Pseudomonas oleovorans* producing the TOL plasmid-specified enzyme toluate-1,2-dioxygenase. Appl Environ Microbiol 56: 569-71.

**Xu S, Liu W, Tao S (2006).** Emission of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in China. Environ Sci Technol 40: 702–708.

Yang SF, Hoffman NE (1984). Ethylene biosynthesis and its regulation in higher plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 35: 155–189.

Yang, J, Kloepper JW, Ryu CM (2008). Rhizosphere bacteria help plants tolerate abiotic stress. Trends Plant Sci 14: 1-4.

Yee DC, Maynard JA, Wood TK (1998). Rhizoremediation of trichloroethylene by a recombinant, root-colonizing *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain expressing toluene orthomonooxygenase constitutively. Appl Environ Microbiol 64: 112-118.

Yousef-Coronado F, Travieso ML, Espinosa-Urgel M (2008). Different, overlapping mechanisms for colonization of abiotic and plant surfaces by *Pseudomonas putida*. FEMS Microbiol Letters 288: 118-124.

**Zhang P, Yuen G (2000).** The role of chitinase production by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* strain C3 in biological control of *Bipolaris sorokiniasa*. Phytopathol 41: 117-153.

Zhuang X, Chen J, Shim H, Bai, Z (2007). New advances in plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria for bioremediation. Environ Intern 33: 406-413.