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Resumen: En 1588 y 1595 se descubren en Granada un número de textos, llamados Los libros plúmbeos, que demostraban aparentemente una contribución más o menos islámica en la temprana historia de la cristiandad en general y de la historia de España en particular. Basándonos en las fuentes con las que contamos se puede inferir claramente que los acontecimientos de Granada sirvieron como base a la posterior creación de nuevas falsificaciones en árabe. Después de 1783, las traducciones basadas en fuentes árabes sobre la historia de Sicilia que hizo el abad Vella fueron tan aclamadas que amenazaron con cambiar muchas de las nociones históricas establecidas. La finalidad de este artículo es arrojar una nueva luz sobre los acontecimientos que proporcionaron a Vella la base de sus actividades y algunas de sus consecuencias.

Abstract: In 1588 and again in 1595 a number of texts, the so-called ‘books of lead’ (Los libros plúmbeos), were discovered at Granada which seemingly threw a more or less Islamic light on the early history of Christianity in general and on the history and role of Spain in particular. From the sources it might be safely inferred that these events in Andalusia served as a backdrop for another spectacular forgery of Arabic documents: after 1783 the Maltese abate Giuseppe Vella’s ‘translations’ of Arabic sources of the history of Sicily were so highly acclaimed that they threatened to change many a cherished historical notion. This paper aims to throw fresh light on events which provided the backdrop for Vella’s activities and some of their consequences.
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It is interesting to observe that in the last decades of the 18th century a similar development of a cautious ‘discovery’ of Arabic roots did take place in Andalusia as well as in Sicily. Even more curious is the fact that both in Andalusia and in Sicily the new fascination with Arabic history and culture resulted in some notorious forge-
ries. Almost at the same time of the activities of some anonymous forgers at Granada and of the Spanish ‘scholar’ Conde, the Maltese cleric Giuseppe Vella rose to fame as translator of kufic medieval texts which were aimed to have a considerable impact on the restructuring of the contemporary legal and political system of the Kingdom of Naples. When one delves deeper into the activities of Vella one wonders whether the Maltese chaplain and his Sicilian supporters and ‘benefactors’ were not encouraged or inspired by the events in Granada and Andalusia. As will be shown later on it can be taken for granted that some of the main actors of the Vella affair knew perfectly what had been going on in Andalusia. This was already indicated by the most obstinate detective on the trails of the abate Vella, the professor from Vienna Joseph Hager. In his book *Nachricht von einer merkwürdigen litterarischen Betrügerey* (1799) and the expert report on Vella’s translation of Kufic and Arabic documents which he presented to the Neapolitan court, Hager compares Vella’s works with the Arabic documents which had been found at Granada. In fact Hager had a profound knowledge of this subject as the Austrian scholar had been in Madrid in 1790 to study Arabic manuscripts in the library of the Escorial and in other Spanish archives. He assumed that the masterminds of the Sicilian forgeries were influenced by what had happened in Andalusia, namely in the circles of some Morisco scholars. As is well-known, the Moriscos were Moors who stayed on and had been baptized against their free will after the fall of Granada in 1492. Their forced conversion had started after 1500. Many of them had continued, however, to adhere secretly to Islam. The last of these crypto-Muslims (as they were also called) were eventually expelled from Spain between 1609 and 1614.

Thus, to unravel the underlying forces which led to these forgeries in Palermo in the 1780s, it is imperative to keep in mind significant events which date from earlier centuries. On 18th March 1588 workers discovered a leadbox under the ruins of a minaret in Granada, containing relics of St. Stephen, a painting and a parchment supposedly written by the patron saint of Granada, St. Cecilio. The parchment contained a text in Latin, Arabic and Castillian. The interpreter of the Spanish king, Miguel de Luna, and the scholars Luis Farjado, Francisco López Tamarid, and Alonso del

---

1. Cf. the examination report which Hager sent to King Ferdinand IV on 7. February 1795, Archivio di Stato, Palermo; R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination.
4. On the scenery of these events cf. Luis de la Cueva, *Diálogos de las cosas notables de Granada y lengua española y algunas cosas curiosas*. Sevilla, 1603.
5. On Miguel de Luna cf. Darío Cabanelas Rodríguez, “Cartas del morisco granadino Miguel de Luna”.
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Castillo were asked to translate the Arabic text. The archbishop of Granada, Don Juan Méndez de Salvaterra, was immediately thrilled because the discoveries would increase the prestige of his see. Archbishop Don Juan Méndez de Salvaterra and his successor Pedro de Castro ignored warnings regarding the involvement of the Moriscos Miguel de Luna and Alonso del Castillo as possible forgers. Pope Sixtus V gave permission to continue the investigations on the authenticity. But more mysterious things were to come. In February 1595 twenty-two so-called ‘books of lead’ (Los libros plúmbeos) were found at the Sacromonte at Granada. The documents recount the arrival of St. James in Spain with his disciples among whom the above mentioned St. Cecilio.

Only many generations later it was found out that these documents were forgeries. Miguel de Luna’s and Alonso del Castillo’s activities had political and ideological motives. The Morisco Miguel de Luna and his friends wanted to ‘prove’ an uninterrupted line of guarantee of the rights of the Moorish Christians –the ‘Mudejars’– in the kingdom of Granada. The ‘books of lead’ were meant to show that the Arabs were the first real Christians in Andalusia.

At the end of the 16th century these findings found a considerable echo in Spain as well as in other European countries. The subject of the finding of the ‘books of lead’ was taken up by Cervantes in his Don Quijote. Cervantes had visited Granada in 1594. Also the great poet Góngora in 1598 wrote a sonet Al monte santo de Granada which recalls the findings. Even the mapmakers Braun and Hogenberg in 1598 in their map of Granada included an illustration of them. A few years later Miguel de Luna made up a life of the legendary Visigoth King Roderich from ‘old Arabic manuscripts’. In this work the Muslimis are portrayed as the true liberators from barbarism. This book was highly successful and by 1650 seven editions of the Historia

verdadera del Rey Don Rodrigo, en la qual se trata la causa principal de la perdida de España, y la conquista, que della hizo Miramamolin Almansor, Rey que fue de Africa, y de las Arabias, y vida. Compuesta por el sabio alcalde Abulcacim Tarif Abentarique, de nacion Arabe8 were printed. In 1660 the book was translated into Italian, while in 1671 a French translation was published9.

At about the same time of the finding of the ‘books of lead’ an anonymous Morisco author compiled the so-called Evangelio de San Bernabé. This document shows how cleverly the author had combined Christian and Muslim notions about what makes a true gospel. In fact modern scholars, like Luis F. Bernabé Pons, have analysed this text, and have concluded it to be a Spanish endeavour to invent an ‘evangelical’ text which conforms to Islam10. The original but lost Gospel could then be made to pass as a predecessor of the Qur’án. That is why Jesus returns to earth to assign to Barnabas, his most reliable disciple, the task to write down what he manages to recall. Jesus somewhat is reduced to the status of a forerunner and messenger, and is assigned the role of John the Baptist, who disappeared from the gospel. The anonymous forger of the Evangelio de San Bernabé opts for a ‘Christian’ solution. The form of his gospel tallies with the traditional Christian one. The message is clear: by choosing a Christian model and retouching a great part of the material drawn from the four gospels, and describing it from the Islamic point of view, the author tried to demonstrate how close the Christian church and Islam are. So the text was meant to help Moriscos to find a legitimate place next to Christians in Spain.

In the meantime the Curia had ordered an investigation of the authenticity of the discoveries at Granada. In 1642 the ‘books of lead’ were transferred to Rome. The commission was headed by the great Jesuit scholar, Athanasius Kircher. However, no definite conclusion was reached. Only in 1682 the Curia in Rome passed negative judgement on these documents because of Islamic ideas found in them. History repeated itself: also in Granada, this time in the Alhambra, in 1764 another sensational discovery was made, consisting of ‘numerous inscriptions written on ore, lead and stone’11. The discovery roused great interest all over Europe, although many, ‘tried

in vain to decipher these rare and unknown script like Court de Gebelin found no clue to read the inscriptions at Mount Sinai; finally in 1777, these Granada inscriptions were classified as having been falsified.\textsuperscript{12}

But other forgeries were already in the making. Then the Spanish scholar Conde compiled some ‘authentic’ Arabic documents. Most of his contemporaries believed they were authentic. Only a few decades later suspicion arose. In the middle of the 19\textsuperscript{th} century Adolf Friedrich von Schack, who more or less out of Romantic motives interested himself in everything Arabic in Spain and Sicily, commented thus on the works of Conde in his book \textit{Poesie und Kunst der Araber in Spanien und Sicilien}:

“If one considers that until very recently even the political history of the Arabs in Spain was hardly known, one tends to question many thinks. As the great Dutch Oriental scholar Dozy has proven, Conde, who for so long was regarded as the main authority on this field, presented just fragments of Latin chroniclers as translations of Arabic historians. Where Conde had to rely on authentic Arabic texts, he did not understand them at all. Sometimes he even made two or three individuals out of one name or misunderstood infinitives as personal names. In his so-called translations some personalities die several times over or die before their official birth. He also invented people who never existed. Still his book was until recently the authoritative source for everything written about the Arabs in Spain. In every university in Europe this medieval period was read according to the work of Conde. All studies on Spain written by Germans, Englishmen, Americans, Frenchmen orSpaniards have taken their information on this splendid period of the Iberian peninsula from Conde. That means that these false facts and information are to be found in universal histories, in studies on the medieval times, and in travelogues.”\textsuperscript{13}

Only a few years after the ‘sensational’ discoveries at the Alhambra in 1764, Palermo became the scene of another famous ‘Arabic’ forgery. As in the case of the activities of Miguel de Luna, the scene was also set by the hard facts of politics. The main protagonist of the events in Palermo was the Maltese chaplain Giuseppe Vella\textsuperscript{14}. The archival sources housed in the Archivio di Stato of Palermo, the Biblioteca Comunale of Palermo and the National Library of Malta (Valletta) allow us to throw some light on Vella’s involvement in contemporary politics. To understand better the complicated story of Vella’s fantasies and false trails, one has to start by outlining

\textsuperscript{12} Hager, \textit{Nachricht}, 39 \textit{et seq.}, 78.
\textsuperscript{13} Adolf Friedrich von Schack. \textit{Poesie und Kunst der Araber in Spanien und Sicilien}. Berlin, 1865, V \textit{et seq.}
some basic events and place them in the framework of their period. Early in 1783 the news spread in Palermo that an ambassador from Morocco, Ibn Uthmân, who had spent a couple of weeks in the city had found a Kufic codex which contained most precious first-hand information about the hardly-known Arabic period of Sicilian history and which became known as Codex Martinianus because of the place where the manuscript had been discovered.

That Vella and his patron, bishop Alfonso Airoldi, must have been well-informed about the archival situation in Spain is shown by their claim that also the Codex Martinianus originally had come to Sicily from the Iberian peninsula. According to them around 1640 Don Martino La Farina, Marchese di Madonia, the librarian of the Escorial, had brought many Arabic manuscripts on his return to his native Sicily. One of Don La Farina’s heirs is supposed to have sold these Arabic manuscripts in 1744. A few years later five of these Arabic manuscripts were bought by the librarian of the monastery of San Martino, amongst which there was also a manuscript in quarto size with 279 linen sheets. This was supposedly the so-called Codex Martinianus.

The Maltese chaplain Giuseppe Vella subsequently translated these documents. The ambitious publication of the manuscript in six volumes entitled Codice diplomatico di Sicilia sotto il governo degli Arabi dall’anno DCCCXXVII al MLXXII brought Vella not only great local fame and styled him as an absolute expert in Kufic and medieval Arabic script but also a great social reputation and considerable financial benefits. Vella was promoted to an abbot with a huge villa to his disposal. He became even more famous when he announced to have discovered an Arabic translation of 17 lost books of Livy and to have translated the official correspondence between the Norman rulers of Sicily and the caliphs in Cairo. This correspondence, which was published under the name of Libro del Consiglio di Egitto contained several documents which seemed to confirm the absolute power of medieval rulers over the rights


16. The manuscripts which were described by Vella as Kufic and which supposedly contained important information on Arabic Sicily including a life of the prophet Mohammed are today preserved in the Biblioteca Comunale of Palermo.


and prerogatives of the nobility. It therefore became an important matter in the efforts of Francesco Maria Venanzio d’Aquino, principe di Caramanico, the viceroy of Sicily, and his lawyers and civil servants to justify and legitimize the pruning of the rights and power of the mighty Sicilian barons. In a spectacular trial most of Vella’s works were finally unveiled as forgeries.

The details of the events read like a detective story: In 1788 Vella and his benefactor bishop Alfonso Airoldi reported that Ibn Uthmân’s brother had sent them a new manuscript from Fez on medieval Sicily. This manuscript covered 45 years of official correspondence between the sultans of Egypt and the Norman princes Robert Giuscard, Count Roger, and his son King Roger II of Sicily. This codex (Kitāb dīwān Misr) contained exact information on the prerogatives of the Norman rulers and kings, the right to keep mills, enfeoffment of rivers and land, decrees on salt pans, fishing, and hunting and the rights over the county of Benevent: “After a while Mustapha ben Ausman (sic), in a most gentle manner, also sent us information on the period of the Normans. He presented to Abate Vella the whole manuscript which contains numerous copied letters of the Egyptian Divan and of the business reports and orders of the government of the sultans directed to the African dynasties. It also contains many other things which refer to the history of our Sicily.” Important documents (‘tutto epistolare, tutto diplomatico, tutto originale’) on the Norman period of Sicily, Southern Italy, and Malta which were regarded by the locals as so prestigious for their country were bound to attract even more attention than unknown material on the Arabic period. Until then the Norman history of these regions was known only through some fragmentaric chronicles (‘sospette cronache di alcuni pochi’) and the older work by A. Kehr. Die Urkunden der normannisch-sizilischen Könige. Innsbruck, 1902.

23. So Vella writes in the preface of the Libro del Consiglio di Egitto tradotto da Giuseppe Vella cappe...
llano del sacra ordine gerosolimitano, abate di S. Pancrazio. Palermo, 1793.


27. On the background of the then political events in Sicily is still useful to consult I. Rinieri. Della rovina di una monarchia. Torino, 1901.

lla describes them. Joseph Hager sums up: “[Vella] maintained he had received from Morocco a manuscript which contained the correspondence between the Norman princes Robert Guiscard and Roger and their African neighbours Almostanser Billah (sic). He called this manuscript Kitabū Divani Misri or Book of the Council of Egypt because in those days the Fatimides ruled over this country”24.

Vella’s work on this so-called Norman Codex coincided with a general change in his company and personal horizons. The background of this metamorphosis requires a more detailed investigation. While the abate worked on the material of the Norman Codex, he had drifted closer to leading representatives of the administration of the new viceroy of Sicily, Francesco Maria Venanzio d’Aquino, principe di Caramanico (1738-1795, viceroy 1786-1795)25. Caramanico was a Freemason and a widely-travelled man. Before he took up his post in Palermo, he had served as ambassador of the kingdom of Naples in London and Paris. His vision of politics was influenced by physiocratism, secularism, and reformism just like that of his predecessor Domenico Caracciolo (1715-1789, viceroy 1780-1786)26. However, he adapted more to the factual Sicilian situation in his political actions and he was therefore more diplomatic and cautious than Caracciolo27. When Caramanico arrived in Sicily in 1786 the strong antagonism between the government and the powerful feudal Sicilian barons who owned most of the lands still existed. His position became even more difficult when the court of Naples, after the events in France in July 1789, followed up an increasingly conservative and anti-liberal policy. Caramanico’s primary aim was the diminution of the rights and prerogatives of the Sicilian aristocrats. A look at the numbers is enough to give a brief impression of the phenomenon of ref feudalisation which Sicily had undergone in previous generations. At the end of the eighteenth century, there were 142 Principi, 95 Duchi, 788 Marchese, 59 Conti, and 1274 Baroni in Sicily. An important aspect of Caramanico’s policies was the legal struggle against the feudal rights. He established new professorships for state law and civil law at the universities of Palermo and Catania where he only employed lecturers who had proven their loyalty towards monarchy and government. In subsequent years
there appeared a series of treatises and pamphlets on state law fully in accordance with Caramanico’s vision. The authors included such personages as Marino Guarani, Angelo Masci, Giacinto Dragonetti, Saverio Simonetti, and Francesco Rossi. Even the lawyer Francesco Paolo Di Blasi, who for some time was suspected of being a Jacobine, was commissioned to edit a new series of Prammatiche. In 1785 the French traveller Charles Dupaty commented: “The barons are, especially in Sicily, real tyrants. A couple of years ago it was preached from the pulpit that the barons were the true lords of the country. The ‘Marchese Caraccili’ (sic), the actual viceroy of Sicily, works with considerable success to transfer the old power of the nobility to the domain of the government. This undertaking is not without some danger and trouble”.

Many of these loyal lawyers tried to document the prerogative power of the state and the monarchy over the Sicilian nobility by historic documents. Giacinto Dragonetti, for example, based his treatise Origine dei feudi nei regni di Napoli e Sicilia (1788) on Caruso’s Anonymi historia Sicula, a Normannis ad Petrum Aragonensem, ex bibliotheca Vaticana (1723), the chronicle of Abulfeda, and the first volume of Vella’s Codice diplomatico. These sources should refute both the idea that there had been a feudal system in Sicily under the Arabs and that later, with the Norman conquest, the land had been distributed to the barons as commilitones. Already Vella’s Codice diplomatico therefore—most probably unintentionally—provided the loyal lawyers and historians with good material in their fight against the barons. Vella’s patron, bishop Airoldi, in his preface to the Codice diplomatico, had made some references with regards to this when he indicated that the book contained some material ‘for those wise and distinguished men’ who ‘are responsible for the political rule of our country’. The documentary ‘treasures’ of the historians, therefore, became treasures for the politicians and the leaders. A letter from the former Viceroy Caracciolo to his successor Caramanico of 9 September 1786 documents how well the political leaders of the country were informed about the activities of the historians. Caracciolo

34. Here quoted from the German translation by Philipp Wilhelm Gottlieb Hausleutner in Geschichte der Araber in Sicilien, I, introduction, LXI.
informed his successor Caramanico in great detail about the momentary situation of the historic research on Arab Sicily. The importance the viceroys attributed to the historians’ research and the strict policies they followed are documented in a contemporary description of some of Caracciolo’s measures. In December 1785 the Danish scholar Friedrich Münter wrote: “The reason why the viceroy did not give his permission for the printing of Abate Di Blasi’s first part of his history of Sicily which covers the period until the beginning of the Arabic rule is presumably caused by fears it would do harm to the government’s policy. (...) The king has given his permission and even wanted to pay the printing but Caracciolo interfered and stopped the whole process. Most likely the printing will not be continued until there is a new viceroy.” On the other hand, the Sicilian nobility was also not shy to suppress information which did not fit in their vision. Very often old documents and sources simply disappeared. Münter quotes the example of the private library of the Marchese Giaratana: “The reason [for the disappearance of documents] lies in the general distrust of the nobility of the government of the Kingdom of Naples. Marchese Giaratana is afraid that the government might use some manuscripts which are in his possession which might show some new light on the rights of some Sicilian noble families. In fact, some families think that, by means of old tradition and the transfer of prerogatives, they are in a position to call some pieces of land their own as if they possessed this land through medieval legal enfeoffment. (...) Don Francesco Daniele, the royal historiographer in Naples, who has long worked on a history of the family of the Hohenstaufen tried in vain to consult this codex (with the letters of Petrus de Vineis). It is denied that the codex is still there, although it is known that this is not true.”

So it was only too understandable that Vella’s announcement of being in possession of new material on the history of Sicily under Roger I and II created great interest and also preoccupations among many. The first indications of the contents of the letters, diplomata, bulls and orders of the so-called Norman Codex made the representatives of the government rejoice for the news fitted their programme exactly. The sensible and delicate reactions to these subjects in those times of emerging central


power of the state is also shown in the comments of foreign observers. It is exactly
the political implication of the *Norman Codex* which interested from the beginning
several European intellectuals and travellers. Count Carl Ulysses von Salis und
Marschlin, who in the summer of 1788 undertook a tour of Sicily with his uncle who
was a general in the army of Naples, discussed this subject quite deeply: “To get the
correct impression of the situation of the Sicilian nobility one has to see its roots in
the old times. When Roger distributed the land to his warriors to reward them for
their brave services, he never granted them prerogatives or rights over the inhabitants
of this land. Therefore the lords promised to everyone who wanted to settle in their
lands, fields or pieces of arable lands against payment of rent. This rent was someti-
mes fixed for a certain period of time sometimes in perpetuity. If the local Moors or
Saracens had quarrels or disputes, they had to turn to a royal judge who was placed
in every big town. The barons saw that their power was considerably limited by this
situation and their authority suffered as a result. So they keenly waited for the time
when the power of the kings was weakened and then forced them to allow to act as
judges over their respective lands. But de facto their legal power never went so far
and the right to appeal was always open to everyone who wanted to use it”38. So al-
ready in 1788 amateurs could foresee the importance of the documents which had
allegedly been sent from Fez to Palermo. In the spring of 1794 Joseph Hager discus-
sed the contents of the *Norman Codex* at the court in Naples. He observed that:
“[King] Ferdinand, who was very enthusiastic about this discovery, showered Vella
with gifts. He ordered that the Italian translation of this African manuscript should
be published in a most prestigious way. He even thought this manuscript had the sa-
me importance as the discoveries of Herculaneum and Pompeii”39.

Is the appearance of the *Norman Codex* and its obvious relevance to the visions
of the viceroy’s policy a coincidence or part of a clever plan? Who are the people of
the court of the viceroy and the royal administration with whom Vella had contact
after 1788? The key figure in the story seems to have been Francesco Carelli segreta-
rio di questo Governo di Sicilia since 178840. Carelli who had come to Palermo with
Caracciolo’s administration was a learned *dilettante* with a special interest in legal
history41. Count Friedrich Leopold von Stolberg who had paid Carelli a visit in sum

38. Carl Ulysses von Salis und Marschlin. *Beiträge zur natürlichen und ökonomischen Kenntniß des
role in the Vella case cf. also M. E. Alaimo. “Gli ineffabili codici del Vella non si trovano a San Martino”.
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mer 1792 in his private diary had even written that Vella is supposed to have told him that the translation of the *Libro del Consiglio di Egitto* had been carried out as a royal commission. Another learned visitor to Palermo, Johann Heinrich Bartels from Hamburg, accused Vella’s critics of having ‘political purposes’ in their attacks of the *Norman Codex*. The historian Adelaide Baviera Albanese has shown that Carelli had really studied the legal history of the feudal system in Sicily during his service at the court of the viceroy. To go more deeply in the matter Carelli had asked for sources and documents from Naples. Together with his friends and colleagues, he discussed how to harmonize the historical features and structures with the modern treatises of Guarani and Dragonetti. Significantly the almighty Neapolitan minister John (‘Giovanni’) Acton was always very well informed about the outcome of this historical research in Palermo. The eyewitness Léon Dufourny documents in his private journal that Vella and Carelli met often between 1791 and 1792. Even another of Vella’s acquaintances, the poet Giovanni Meli, is convinced that the lawyers and officers Saverio Simonetti and Francesco Carelli had their share behind the idea of the *Libro del Consiglio d’Egitto*. That the monarchy was immediately only too ready to offer generous funds for the prestigious edition of Vella’s *Consiglio d’Egitto* is another indication that this was a joint work. Vella dedicated his work to the king. How far the abate himself was aware of the political implications of his ‘translations’ is documented by his introduction to the *Libro del Consiglio di Egitto*: “Grandi cose ed assai rilevanti notizie a me parve che questo codice contenesse (...) ma diffidando del mio giudizio ben mi avvisai di sottoporgli all’alto discernimento del principe di Caramanico (...) ed egli conosciuto il pregio dell’opera (...) mi incoraggiò al compimento della medesima (...), ma quel che più mi fa sperare che debba renderlo meritevole della Vostra Augusta protezione egli è, o Sire, che i supremi diritti della Regalia non altrove quanto in esso ampiamente rilucono; conciossiaché nelle due legislazioni che vi sono inserite

Mainz, 1877, I, 207.
42. Stolberg, *Reise in Deutschland*, I, 205.
45. So John Acton was immediately informed in 1795 when there was definite proofs of Vella’s forgeries. Cf. Carlo Castone della Torre di Rezzonico, *Opere de Cavaliere Carlo Castone Conte della Torre di Rezzonico*. Ed. by Francesco Mocchetti. vol. 5. Como, 1817, here V, 99 annotation.
46. Dufourny is here quoted by Cederna. *Imposture littérale*, 137.
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(...) tutto ciò che al fiero ed inalterabile dominio dei reggitori di questa Monarchia fu riservato partitamente si legge49. Vella explicitly refers to the good ‘collaboration’ with Carelli, expressing his gratitude for the ‘gentilezza che accompagna la molta dottrina e l’indefesso studio di don Francesco Carelli (...) che io vanto per mio singolare amico, come egli lo è volentieri di tutti quelli che negli studi e nelle arti singolarmente si affaticano’50.

It is doubtful if Vella was aware of the whole dimension and long-term consequences of this ‘collaboration’ with some members of the viceroy’s administration. With this new step he moved on to the stage of a political game which was so different from scholarly discussions and the silent atmosphere of monastic studies to which he had been used to. But it seems that the abate with the Libro del Consiglio di Egitto really wanted to change his ‘monastic’ environment for a place in the distinguished circles of the theatrum politicum. After he finished the translation of the Norman Codex, he was granted an audience at the court of King Ferdinand IV in Naples, ‘salutò il re, come faceano i suoi Emiri scrivendo a` Califi, baciando cioè colla fronta per terra le mani alla sua grandezza’51.

If the version of the events around the genesis of the Libro del Consiglio di Egitto as presented by Vella during his trial is close to reality or not is difficult to find out. In this protocol drawn up during the trial Carelli appears as the actual instigator behind the fraud: “Quel manoscritto [the Norman codex] era scritto in modo epistolare; il confitente cominciò a tradurlo per la premura che gliene faceva don Francesco Carelli (...). Quando il confitente tradusse la prima legislazione (...) la presentò al riferito Carelli il quale (...) gli disse che quella legislazione era necessario che si fusse accomodata; il confitente gli rispose che non si poteva accomodare giacché il manoscritto così diceva e che portando qualche pregiudizio non si avrebbe data alla stampa. A tal proposizione gli rispose il detto del Carelli in questa maniera: –Senti, abate Vella, tu ami il nostro sovrano? –e il detto confitente gli rispose: –Quanto me stesso perché Iddio cossì comanda. –Dunque –rispose il segretario– bisogna rendere un servizio al nostro padrone. Bisogna che tu scrivessi ciò che ti detto io’52. This sta-

49. Libro del Consiglio di Egitto tradotto da Giuseppe Vella capellano del sacra ordine gerosolimitano, abate di S. Pancrazio. Palermo, 1793, IV-VI.


52. Here quoted by Baviera Albanese. Imposture littéraire, 121.
tement alleges that Carelli soon involved a discrete expert in medieval law and old literature, Giovanni Battista Fidotta, in the proceedings. Together Carelli and Fidotta developed the ideas of the contents which Vella had to ‘translate’: “Il confitente [Vella] gli rispose che trattandosi di servire il padrone faceva tutto (...) Soggiunse il Carelli: –Io dunque ti do queste carte; le dovrai trascrivere al tuo stile e quando saranno terminate le porterai in palazzo insieme con quelle che tu scriverai per io farle leggere al Vicerè. –Il confitente obbedì al Carelli a fare ciò che gli ordinò perché gli fece credere che non si faceva pregiudizio a persona alcuna che anzi del bene e che le di lui fatiche erano molto gioevoli alla nazione e se non l’avrebbe obbedito avrebbe incontrata l’indignazione del detto Carelli. (...) Dopo alcuni giorni (...) le consegnò al Carelli il quale dopo due giorni andò a ritrovare il confitente dicendogli che il Vicerè ne restava contento, animandolo ed avvertendolo di non farne motto con persona alcuna. Il confitente continuava le sue fatiche nella trattazione delle carte che gli venivano sommistrate da Carelli (...) e nel tempo che (...) faceva quella fatica gli andava in casa di seri don Giovanni Battista Fidotta mandato da Carelli per osservare se il confitente traslava bene le seconda legislazione”.

That Carelli or one of his confidants had already noticed some ‘irregularities’ in Vella’s work on the *Codex Martinianus* and had used this information to force the abate to support their political aims is an interesting hypothesis. What is strange is that Carelli was not surprised at all when Vella later confessed to him that the Arabic letter chronicle which he published as *Codice diplomatico* is not identical with the *San Martino* codex. Carelli answered: “Senti abbate Vella per questa cosa non ti dovrai turbare. Portami tutti li manoscritti al Palazzo; parleremo al Vicerè e si accomoderà tutto”. To the public these deeper coherences and connections were of course unknown. The European gazettes and journals kept on announcing that the *Norman Codex* would be published soon.

As discussions went on in Europe, Vella kept on working to finalize the ‘translation’ of the codex. Without further consulting the experts, the viceroy and the court in Naples gave permission for the printing of the *Libro del Consiglio di Egitto* in three folio volumes on 18 January 1790. The costs were to be footed by the royal treasury. Before it had been planned to use this money for the publication of the 12
volumes of Rosario Gregorio’s history of Sicily. Now this project was abandoned in favour of Vella’s. In all the royal treasury estimated a cost of 1,600 Neapolitan ounces or 8,000 guilders⁵⁷. Despite of all the hidden criticism the first volume of the Libro del Consiglio di Egitto was published in folio in Palermo in 1793. It was announced as ‘tradotto da Giuseppe Vella cappellano del sacra ordine gerosolimitano, abate di S. Pancrazio, professore di Lingua Araba nella Reale Accademia di Palermo, e Socio nazionale della Reale Accademia delle Scienze, Belle Lettere, ed Arti di Napoli’. Once again the importance of the sources which were brought to light for the first time is stressed in the preface: “Never before had the legitimacy of the royal prerogatives been better documented than here. In the two law codes which are included in this manuscript, one finds all that what created and creates the basis of the legal power of the rulers of this monarchy: The direct and permanent patronship over all churches in the kingdom and the right to elect bishops. This was acted out in those days without any opposition. The bitter conflict of the supreme rule over the county of Benevent and so many other discussions and antagonism will be solved on the basis of this codex”⁵⁸. The publication of the first volume was followed by several announcements and reviews in European scientific magazines⁵⁹. The publication of the second volume was announced for the spring 1794⁶⁰.

Vella knew his enemies. To counteract the expected criticism from the barons and some European experts, he included in the preface some positive comments on his
work by the Dutch scholars Schultens and Meerman and by Professor Olaus Gerhard Tychsen from Rostock. Vella maintained he had provided these scholars with excerpts and passages of the manuscript himself for their opinion long before the publication was in the pipeline. Vella after the publication of the first volume of the *Libro del Consiglio di Egitto* was at the peak of his fame, financial wellbeing, and social position. But in the explosive contents of the *Libro del Consiglio di Egitto* there were the seeds which would soon cause the abate’s fall. Vella’s career up till then was never really harmed by the critical comments of learned sceptics. It is the political element of the *Libro del Consiglio di Egitto* which would lead to the disaster. The administration was very much pleased with the work of the Maltese abate but now Vella had made bitter enemies: The mighty Sicilian magnates and the Church. Was it not Vella himself—although surely instructed by Carelli—who had pointed out that the publication of the translation of his *Norman Codex* would solve the century-old conflict of the prerogatives over the county of Benevent? For centuries the Roman curia had struggled with the Kingdom of Naples over the possession of this county. The curia always believed itself to be the legal successor of the Langobardian and Norman feudal rights but Vella’s ‘documents’ seemed to place such rights entirely in the hands of the Sicilian Monarchy. Even more than the curia, the Sicilian nobility was embittered over the publication of the *Norman Codex*. This discussion had started before 1793. Long before publication, Vella or Carelli must have delivered information from the codex to loyal lawyers and councillors who made use of it for their own purposes. Already in his treatise of 1791 the royal officer and lawyer Don Donato Tommasi had referred to the invalidity of church property sold without the permission of the crown on the legal basis promulgated in the codex. In 1794 after the publication of the first volume of the *Libro del Consiglio di Egitto* Don Giovanni d’Angelo, a member of the Palermitan Accademia del buon gusto, edited a summary.

61. Tychsen’s answer (in Latin) dated from 4 February 1793. The letter from Johan Meerman dated from 28 April 1793. Professor Schultens ‘Professore delle lingue orientali in questa Università [Leiden]’ because of a grave sickness however was not anymore in the position to write an opinion himself. The subject then was taken up on behalf of Schultens by Vella’s friend Johan Meermann. Cf. *Libro del Consiglio di Egitto*, preface.


of the contents aimed for students of law and history. As d’Angelo’s work was published, the star of Giuseppe Vella was, however, in decline. His enemies had started their direct attacks.

One of these critics was the director of the cabinett of coins at the court of Naples, abate Zarillo. For years he had been kept informed about Vella’s translations through his correspondence with the French philologist and member of the Académie Française, Abbé Barthélemy. Zarillo shared Barthélemy’s scepticism but never dared to speak out. Even at this stage now Zarillo only kept referring to grave mistakes in Vella’s translations but he never went so far to accuse the abate as a forger. The anonymous author of a review of the Libro del Consiglio di Egitto in the Gazette di Mantua used some irony when he commented on the sequence of the Norman Codex: “We voluntary would like to know (...) if there is another man in all of Europe who has the talent to develop a historical novel which contains the history of centuries carefully listed day for day and week by week and interlinked so artistically that the reader has a full picture of the time of the Saracens in Sicily from their arrival to their disappearance?”

In the spring 1794 the Oriental scholar from Vienna Joseph Hager arrived in Naples and later travelled to Palermo full of curiosity to ‘throw a glance on the newly found books of Livy’ – or at least so he said. In Sicily, he also took a keen interest in the Arabic period of the country. Before he had arrived in Palermo, Hager had studied Vella’s Codice diplomatico and the first volume of the Libro di Egitto. He was of the opinion that both works were not authentic translations from the Arabic or the Kufic. In Palermo Hager also met with Vella’s old enemy Rosario Gregorio and seemed to have discussed with him how the abate could be unmasked. Hager and Gregorio however found great difficulties to communicate the results of their research.

68. Hager. Nachricht, 63.
69. On Hager cf. Constant von Wurzbach (Ed.). Biographisches Lexikon des Kaiserthums Oesterreich. Vienna, 1861, VII, 196-199; On the life of Hager cf. also Biographie des hommes vivants. Paris, 1817, III, 356. In Wurzbach’s Biographisches Lexikon Hager’s involvement in the Vella case is described wrongly. The Austrian professor was not called to Naples and Palermo to examine the so ‘lost books of Livy’ but because of the documents on the Arabic history of Sicily. For a list of the works Hager had published up to 1809 cf. Georg Christoph Hambenger a. o. (Ed.). Das Gelehrte Deutschland oder Lexikon der jetzt lebenden Deutschen Schriftsteller. Lemgo, 1810, XIV (=Supplement, II), 18 et seq.; XVIII (1821) (=Supplement, VI), 20. In the latter volume the date of Hager’s death is wrongly given as 1815.
70. For Gregorio’s notes on the unveiling of Vella cf. Biblioteca comunale di Palermo, Qq F. 60. Partly published in V. Di Giovanni. Rosario Gregorio e le sue opere. Palermo, 1871, 50 et seq.
Not too surprisingly Viceroy Caramanico did not react to the –most unwillcome– heavy accusations against Vella. The viceroy just told Hager he should communicate his doubts to Minister Acton in Naples\textsuperscript{71}. Hager did not hesitate to do so. Together with the historian Rosario Gregorio, the Austrian composed a detailed expertise\textsuperscript{72} which declared the \textit{Libro di Consiglio di Egitto} and the \textit{Codice diplomatico} as forgeries\textsuperscript{73}. But for more exact proofs and details a more thorough investigation of the original manuscripts was required. Minister Acton, then possibly the most powerful man in the court of Naples, received this opinion on 22 May 1794 and presented it soon after to King Ferdinand IV\textsuperscript{74}. Already on 31 May Acton sent a response to Caramanico and Airoldi. In his letter he reported how embarrassed the king had been when he had heard about Hager’s accusations against Vella\textsuperscript{75}. But Carelli and Caramanico could for the moment prevent an open action against Vella and his \textit{Libro del Consiglio di Egitto} which was so useful for their policy\textsuperscript{76}. So Hager, who was on his way back to Vienna, was ordered by Ferdinand IV. to keep silent about the Vella case\textsuperscript{77}.

But the circle around Gregorio, encouraged and supported by the Sicilian barons, in the meantime had become too powerful to be ignored. Indeed the \textit{Libro del Consiglio di Egitto} threatened to become too explosive for the political situation in the kingdom. The members of the Sicilian nobility protested strongly against the use of the \textit{Norman Codex} in the actual law. In the November 1794 session of the Sicilian

\textsuperscript{72} Hager. \textit{Nachricht}, 68. The French original of Hager’s memoire of May 1794 is preserved in the Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination. In the same file there is also an Italian translation. For a German translation cf. Hager. \textit{Nachricht}, 68-78.
\textsuperscript{73} Cf. Gregorio’s ‘Carteggio’ in the Biblioteca comunale di Palermo, Qq F. 60; Cf. also V. Di Giovanni. \textit{Rosario Gregorio e le sue opere}. Palermo, 1871, 52 et seq.; Scinà. \textit{Prospetto della Storia}, III, 359.
\textsuperscript{74} Cf. Scinà. \textit{Prospetto della Storia}, III, 344.
\textsuperscript{75} Cf. Acton’s letter to Caramanico dated 31 May 1794 in which he refers to Hager’s doubts concerning the medieval origin of the Arabic documents in Vella’s possession, the wrong calculations of the calendar and sequence of years in Vella’s works and the parallel text of the books of Inveges and Caruso, ‘sono tutti fondamenti secondo i quali crede il Sig. Hager (di poter asserire) che manchino i detto codici di autenticità...’. All these news ‘ha posto in qualche inquietudine il suo Real animo’. Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination. Cf. also Scinà. \textit{Prospetto della Storia}, III, 344 et seq. For this letter of Acton cf. Baviera Albanese. \textit{L’arabica impostura}, 119, who gives a wrong date.
\textsuperscript{76} Cf. also A. A. Caruana (\textit{Frammento Critico della Storia Fenicio-Cartaginese, Greco-Romana e Bisantina. Musulmana e Normanno-Aragonese delle Isole di Malta}. Malta, 1899, 45) who mainly follows the text of Scinà.
\textsuperscript{77} In his \textit{Nachricht von einer merkwürdigen literarischen Betrügerey} Hager indicates this censorship ‘durch deren Sorgfalt er [sein Reisebericht von 1795] noch um ein merkliches kürzer ward’. Hager. \textit{Nachricht}, 66. Cf. also Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination; letter from Acton to Caramanico dated 31 May 1794.
parliament, the barons applied to ‘your majesty that the Norman Codex should not be incorporated into the legal codex of the kingdom before its absolute authenticity is proven and attested’78. Secretary of state Carelli is accused of instrumentalizing the codex against the nobility and threatening the internal peace of the kingdom79.

The Neapolitan court, especially the active and clever Acton, decided to take the things in hand. To calm the situation down, the whole affair around the activities of the abate had to be cleared. What effect Acton’s resentments against Caramanico had on this development is difficult to say80. According to Domenico Scinà, it was Bishop Airoldi himself who had proposed to the government in Naples to commission Hager for a thorough investigation of the case81. It seems that Airoldi now found the whole affair as too politically dangerous to be kept quiet under the table. Furthermore, with the unmasking of the Libro del Consiglio di Egitto as a fake, even its antiscience contents could be declared invalid. The aim of Acton and King Ferdinand IV was to silence the protests and to calm down the nobility and the clergy of the kingdom. In a letter of 19 July 1794 which was handed over to Hager by the Marchese di Gallo, the Neapolitan ambassador at the imperial court in Vienna, the Austrian scholar was invited to return to Naples and Palermo82. Hager became even more ready to obey the call from Naples when it was announced to him that he also was to expect a monthly salary of 100 scudi83. On 27 July Hager communicated his agreement

78. Hager. Nachricht, 60.
79. Cf. ibid., 60 et seq.
83. Bartolomeo Lagumina. “Il falso codice arabo-siculo”. Archivio Storico Siciliano, new series, year V (1880), 233-314, here 242. On the payment of Hager cf. Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination. Hager had to remind the administration in Palermo several times to receive the promised money. On 23 and 27 January he had to write again to Viceroy Caramanico’s successor archbishop Lopez y Rojo to ask for his payment. At this moment his travel expenses from Naples to Palermo were still not refunded to him. On 2 February it was not Lopez y Rojo but Airoldi who ordered the officers to pay Hager. Cf. also Hager’s note from 3 March 1795. On 21 March 1795 Minister Acton confirmed that Hager should be payed 100 scudi monthly. The travel expenses were calculated ‘24 once, 12 carlini’. Cf. also the letter from the Palermitan chancery to the principe di Castelcica dated 13 October 1796.
to Acton. On 13 September Acton secretly informed Viceroy Caramanico and Airoldi about Hager’s coming mission.

Of course it had been planned that Hager’s return to Palermo should be kept secret from Vella and his friends. That, however, did not seem to have succeeded. Already in October, Hager has not yet arrived in Naples Vella and his confidant, the Maltese monk Giuseppe Camilleri, discussed what to do when Hager arrived in Palermo. Some manuscripts were carried to a secret place, some pages of an original codex were rewritten, and this time not ornamented with additions. Vella made Camilleri swear to keep their secret.

The subsequent unmasking of Vella’s forgeries is too complicated to be discussed in this short paper. In short, it did not take Hager long to discover that nearly everything what Vella had presented as translations of Arabic originals were forgeries. Vella’s falling from grace was complete when in the night from 8th to 9th January 1795 unexpectedly Viceroy Caramanico died. This was surely a disaster for the abate. Who else would now protect him against the growing number of critics? Minister Acton would surely willingly sacrifice the abate to restore peace and quiet to the hot atmosphere of Sicily. It was only too obvious that Acton had estimated the position of Vella and the Sicilian followers of a progressive filo-assolutismo as too weak to be supported by the monarchy. The effects of the French Revolution in Italy were a clear warning. It was no use risking a deep conflict between the social classes. Supporting Vella meant a permanent legal and intellectual struggle between the party of the barons and that of the progressive circles. Although the full background of the Vella case was surely known in Naples, it was decided to abandon the abate for the benefit of the country. Vella’s other conspirators, Carelli and Fidotta, did not have the power to keep the oncoming trouble away from the abate.

After Caramanico’s death, the government duties were provisionally taken over by Lopez y Rojo who was archbishop of Palermo and president of the viceroyalty. He had a much more moderate progressive policy and most of all he did not want a clash with the magnates of Sicily. That he, as a cleric, was sceptical of the Libro del Consiglio di Egitto does not need to be pointed out. Before long Carelli left Palermo. President Lopez y Rojo had been instructed by Naples to investigate the Vella case. Up to then the Corte Capitaniale officers were in charge of the investigations. At the

---

end of January 1795, responsibility was transferred to the Tribunale del Real Patrimonio. The president of the Tribunale del Real Patrimonio Grassellini now personally took over. Grassellini, who cannot be called a friend of Vella’s, immediately ordered an investigation of the villa of the abate and a new thorough interrogation of Vella and his confidant Camilleri. All Arabic manuscripts in their hands were confiscated. Further studies revealed that most of these ‘medieval’ manuscripts were written on a paper which could be bought in Palermo! The nicely-ornamented titles of these manuscripts had actually been produced by the Palermitan designer and engraver Gioacchino Giuffrida.

For the insider Rosario Gregorio and finally also for Hager, the role of Caramanico’s secretary Carelli was no secret any more (‘...la magagna del Vella e del Carelli fu messa in chiaro...’)90. Talking about the Vella case in the intellectual salons of Palermo, Gregorio was very clear in his comments. In his Nachricht von einer merkwürdigen literarischen Betrügerey, Joseph Hager more diplomatically just indicated that Carelli was ‘held as the mastermind behind this political novel’91 by many. The Marchese Villabianca in 1795 even knew about rumours in Naples, ‘che il Vella fosse stato indotto a fingere quel libro dal secretario del governo d. Francesco Carelli il quale come nemico giurato dei baroni siciliani volea con un colpo abatterli e farsi un merito presso la Corte’92. In his opinions and comments sent to the Neapolitan court, Joseph Hager, of course, did not dare touch this subject.

After the Neapolitan court had examined of Hager’s opinion and assessments, Minister Acton decided that Vella should be delivered to the lawcourts. So the fa-

87. Cf. the report of the robbery carried out by the officers of the Corte Capitaniale signed by Airoldi and sent to Naples on 31 January 1795. Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination. On the same day a report of the Vella case was handed over by the officers of the Corte Capitaniale to the Ministro Patrimoniale. This report later was reworked and augmented and on 5 February sent to Minister Acton in Naples. It contained a list of all the fragments of the Codex Martinianus and the Norman Codex which had up to this moment come to light. On 16 February 1795 the Consigliere Patrimoniali Michele Perramuto, Domenico Grasselino, Giovanni Gioeni, Antonio di Napoli, Ignazio Lucchese, Vitale Massa, Tommaso Mazzola, Gaspare Vanvitelli, Francesco Chirigu and Girolamo Pugliesi confirmed they had received the order that from then onwards the Tribunale Patrimoniale should be responsible for the investigation. On the same day a report on the ongoing investigations was sent to the royal chancery in Naples.


90. Here quoted by Canana. Frammento Critico, 46.


92. Biblioteca comunale di Palermo, Marchese di Villabianca, (Diarii inediti), Qq E 110. Here quoted by Baviera Albanese. L’arabica impostura,153. Cf. also Biblioteca comunale di Palermo, Qq D 111, t. XIX.
mous Vella trial started\textsuperscript{93}. That the lawcourts had some problems with this affair from the beginning is shown by the fact that the case was transferred from the \textit{Corte Capitaniale} of Palermo to the higher \textit{Tribunale del Patrimonio} (‘in considerazione dell’interesse, che vi ha il Regio erario’). This was explained by the national interest in the case and by the fact that Vella’s career and the printing of the \textit{Libro del Consiglio di Egitto} had been financed by the royal treasury\textsuperscript{94}. Finally it was decided by royal order that the \textit{Tribunale di Monarchia} with Vella’s old patron Alfonso Airoldi as one of its heads (!) should take over the case\textsuperscript{95}. But the officers of the \textit{Tribunale di Monarchia} were also not very enthusiastic to be involved in this fishy and complicated affair. It was just as difficult to find an advocate for Vella. The highly-reputed lawyers Paolo Leone and Francesco Baldanza refused the brief, ‘in coscienza e contro l’interno (...) sentimento’\textsuperscript{96}. Pressure by the Sicilian barons may also have made the lawyers hesitate to take on this case. Finally D. Michele Terilli took over Vella’s defense\textsuperscript{97}.

One of the primary aims of the lawcourt was to keep the government of Sicily and the royal court clean from any suspicion that they had been involved in Vella’s manipulations and forgeries\textsuperscript{98}. On 29 August 1796 the verdict was read. Vella was condemned to 15 years imprisonment\textsuperscript{99} and made to resign as abate of San Pancrazio. His belongings were sequestrated and he also lost the pension granted to him by the


\textsuperscript{94} The confusion as to which lawcourt should take over the case was already indicated in a report by the \textit{Consiglieri Patrimoniali} sent to Naples on 16 February 1795. Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination. Similar difficulties cropped up when the robbery of the Arabic documents was investigated. Cf. Airoldi’s letter to the royal chancery dated 21 February 1795. Cf. Adelaide Baviera Albanese. \textit{L’arabica impostura}, 135.

\textsuperscript{95} The confiscated documents were already in the hands of the officers of the \textit{Tribunale del R. Patrimonio} by mid-February 1795. Cf. the note of 16 February 1795. Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination. On the transfer of Vella’s papers and Arabic manuscripts to the \textit{Tribunale della Monarchia} cf. the report of the \textit{Corte Capitaniale} dated 30 March 1795. Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination. On the competence of the \textit{Tribunale della Monarchia}, cf. Airoldi’s letters to Naples dated 7 and 31 March 1795.


\textsuperscript{97} Cf. Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination; Vella’s supplication from 22 May 1796.

\textsuperscript{98} Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia; Incartamenti 5291, no pagination. Here quoted by Baviera Albanese. \textit{L’arabica impostura}, 136.

archbishop of Palermo. But the fallen hero did not give up. From his prison cell, Vella kept actively fighting for his reputation. From the new provisional ruler of the kingdom of Sicily Archbishop Lopez y Rojo Vella, however, could not expect any mildness or special treatment. Lopez y Rojo was keen to close this embarrassing case. The last thing he wanted to do was to resurrect the ghosts of the past and provoke again the tense atmosphere between the government and barons experienced under Viceroyys Caracciolo and Caramanico. These latter two might have had a good word for the ex-abate, but they were both dead now. Vella’s former collaborator and Spiritus rector of the Libro del Consiglio di Egitto, Francesco Carelli had long disappeared into anonymity in Naples. So Vella wrote most of his supplications directly to the King of Naples. In 1798 the supplications asking for his release from prison because of bad health showed some results. Bishop Airoldi, in his function as Giudice dell’Apostolica Legazione e della Regia Monarchia nel Regno di Sicilia, gave permission for a two-month stay in the monastery of the Capuchins or Benfratelli on a deposit of 400 Neapolitan ounces. Vella was indeed fortunate and subsequent events turned matters into his favour. 1799 was a very turbulent year for the kingdom of Naples. The French army’s successes in Italy and the threats of a conquering of Naples forced King Ferdinand IV to transfer his court temporarily from Caserta to Palermo. Vella used the presence of the king in Palermo to draw more attention on his case. He again sent several supplications to the court in which he styled himself as innocent and a victim of slander and blackmail. Surely Ferdinand had been well informed about the political background of the case. Otherwise it is hardly explicable why he now permitted Vella’s release from prison. This, however, was no official act of mercy. Vella was only unofficially released. A few months later, a royal order stipulated that Vella should be kept in his former country house in the near of Mezzomontareale. On 4 January 1803 his sequestrated goods and belongings were officially restituted. On 16 June 1803 even his income as abate of San Pancrazio was


101. For Vella’s supplications and justifications cf. the files in the Biblioteca comunale di Palermo, 3Qq.E.15 and 2Qq.C.159. Cf. also the Memoriale of the Vella case, compiled on 7 August 1798 and 29 June 1799. Archivio di Stato, Palermo, R. Segretaria di Sicilia, Incartamenti 5291, no pagination. On 5 September 1795 and 16 March 1799 the Rappresentazione del Tribunale del Patrimonio discussed the Vella case. Ibid. Further supplications of Vella date to 15 November 1797, 24 May 1798, 11 January 1799, and 10 March 1799. When Vella’s income as abate of San Pancrazio was restituted in June 1803 these supplications were compiled in one volume.
restituted and he could resume reading mass in the church of San Carlo in Palermo\textsuperscript{102}. In May 1814 Vella died at the age of 65 years. The cause of the death is unknown\textsuperscript{103}.