Bacterial Nucleoid-Associated Protein Uncouples Transcription Levels from Transcription Timing
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ABSTRACT The histone-like nucleoid-structuring (H-NS) protein binds to horizontally acquired genes in the bacterium Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, silencing their expression. We now report that overcoming the silencing effects of H-NS imposes a delay in the expression of genes activated by the transcriptional regulator PhoP. We determine that PhoP-activated genes ancestral to Salmonella are expressed before those acquired horizontally. This expression timing reflects the in vivo occupancy of the corresponding promoters by the PhoP protein. These results are surprising because some of these horizontally acquired genes reached higher mRNA levels than ancestral genes expressed earlier and were transcribed from promoters harboring PhoP-binding sites with higher in vitro affinity for the PhoP protein. Our findings challenge the often-made assumption that for genes coregulated by a given transcription factor, early genes are transcribed to higher mRNA levels than those transcribed at later times. Moreover, they provide a singular example of how gene ancestry can impact expression timing.

IMPORTANCE We report that gene ancestry dictates the expression behavior of genes under the direct control of the Salmonella transcriptional regulator PhoP. That is, ancestral genes are transcribed before horizontally acquired genes. This reflects both the need to overcome silencing by the H-NS protein of the latter genes and the architecture of the corresponding promoters. Unexpectedly, transcription levels do not reflect transcription timing. Our results illustrate how a bacterium can exhibit an elaborate temporal expression behavior among genes coregulated by a transcription factor even though the products encoded by the target genes do not participate in a morphological or developmental pathway.

The primary mechanism governing inducible gene expression operates at the level of transcription initiation, whereby a DNA binding protein recognizes specific sequences in promoters to activate or repress gene transcription (1, 2). For genes under the control of a transcriptional activator, the affinity of the transcription factor for its target sequences often dictates recruitment of RNA polymerase (RNAP) and the resulting transcriptional output (i.e., mRNA levels). However, this is not always the case because nucleoid-associated proteins can hinder access to the sequences recognized by a transcriptional activator (3, 4).

In the enteric bacteria Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (5, 6) and Escherichia coli (7), the histone-like nucleoid-structuring (H-NS) protein (4) binds preferentially to AT-rich DNA sequences in their genomes, silencing expression of the corresponding genes. H-NS appears to impact preferentially horizontally acquired genes because they tend to have a higher AT content than ancestral genes (4, 8). Inactivation of the gene specifying H-NS derepresses expression of horizontally acquired genes (9). Therefore, the specific growth conditions and/or regulatory proteins that trigger expression of horizontally acquired genes function, at least in part, by overcoming silencing by H-NS. However, it is presently unknown whether and how overcoming silencing by H-NS affects the expression kinetics of horizontally acquired genes.

The PhoP/PhoQ regulatory system is a master regulator of virulence and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis in S. enterica serovar Typhimurium and other enteric species (10). The sensor PhoQ responds to low Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (11), acidic pH (12), and antimicrobial peptides (13) by promoting the phosphorylated state of the regulatory protein PhoP (PhoP-P). In vivo, PhoP-P is the PhoP form that binds to target promoter sequences (14) and recruits RNAP (15) to initiate gene transcription.

The phoP and phoQ genes are ancestral to enteric bacteria (16, 17). In contrast, the majority of PhoP-activated genes in Salmonella and Yersinia pestis appear to have been acquired by horizontal gene transfer (17). Interestingly, within Salmonella, the pro-
moter architecture of PhoP-activated ancestral genes differs from the promoter architecture of PhoP-activated horizontally acquired genes (18). (We refer to promoter architecture as the combination of the number, location, and orientation of binding sites for a transcription factor in a given promoter. Assignment of PhoP-activated genes as ancestral versus horizontally acquired was based on their phylogenetic conservation and GC content [18].) Furthermore, the promoter architecture of PhoP-activated ancestral genes is conserved between Salmonella and Yersinia, whereas species-specific promoter architectures drive transcription of horizontally acquired genes in these two species (19).

We now report that the timing of transcription of PhoP-activated genes following a switch to inducing conditions for the PhoQ protein correlates well with the timing of promoter occupancy by the PhoP-P protein in vivo. In contrast, the mRNA levels of PhoP-dependent genes, especially those acquired horizontally, reflect neither the degree of occupancy of the corresponding promoters by PhoP-P in vivo nor the affinity of the purified PhoP-P protein for the PhoP binding sites in vitro. We demonstrate that most of the horizontally acquired genes are transcribed after ancestral genes despite often reaching higher mRNA levels. Furthermore, we establish that the expression delay exhibited by horizontally acquired genes is due to the need to overcome H-NS-mediated silencing. Our findings provide a singular example of gene ancestry dictating expression behavior among genes controlled by a given transcription factor.

RESULTS

Rationale. According to the law of mass action, and in the absence of additional factors, one would expect that promoters with high affinity for PhoP-P would be bound by PhoP-P at higher levels, and earlier, than promoters with low affinity for PhoP-P. Once PhoP-P is bound, it recruits RNAP to initiate transcription. If RNAP recruitment does not differ substantially between the promoters, one would then anticipate that genes driven by promoters with high affinity for PhoP-P would also be transcribed to higher levels and at earlier times than those driven by promoters with low-affinity PhoP-P sites. To test this particular hypothesis and thus to determine how the transcriptional activator PhoP differentially controls its target genes, we investigated both the mRNA levels of 20 genes directly activated by the PhoP protein and the occupancy of the corresponding promoters by the PhoP-P protein at different times after Salmonella experienced inducing conditions for the PhoQ protein. The analyzed genes differ in both the function of the encoded products and their ancestry, which was assigned based on their conservation among enteric bacteria harboring the PhoP/PhoQ system and also on their GC content (18).

PhoP-activated genes are transcribed at different times and to different levels. We examined the mRNA levels of 20 genes directly activated by the PhoP protein at six times after shifting bacteria from medium containing repressing (10 mM) Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations for PhoP’s cognate sensor PhoQ (11, 20), as well as before the shift to inducing conditions. This approach enabled us to examine how mRNA levels change over time in a set of coregulated genes that differ in their ancestry and physiological functions of the encoded gene products.

The mRNAs for all investigated transcripts increased, reached a peak, and then decreased to steady-state levels that were ~30% of the maximum (Fig. 1A), which we refer to as “surge.” This behavior reflects the dynamic changes in the amount of phosphorylated PhoP protein (20) that results from both positive feedback of PhoP-P on the phoPQ promoter (20, 21) and intrinsic negative feedback whereby the sensor PhoQ dephosphorylates PhoP-P sometime after the onset of inducing conditions (20, 22).

PhoP-activated transcripts during the surge differed both in the levels (i.e., the degree by which individual mRNAs were induced relative to the constitutively expressed PhoP-independent rrs mRNA used as the control) (Fig. 1A; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material) and in the time required for an mRNA to reach 50% of its maximum value (Fig. 1B; see also Table S1) (23, 24). Notably, transcription timing is not well correlated with mRNA levels (see Fig. S1A; F-statistic $P$ value of $>0.1$). For example, yrbL was the first gene to be transcribed despite being expressed at the lowest levels from all 20 targets. By contrast, mig-14 was the last gene to be transcribed even though its mRNA level was the fifth highest of the investigated targets (Fig. 1A and B). These results indicate that for genes coregulated by the PhoP-P protein, the time at which the corresponding mRNAs are produced is not necessarily correlated with the levels that are achieved.

PhoP-activated promoters are bound by PhoP-P at different times and to different levels. To explore whether the expression behavior described above reflected binding of the PhoP-P protein to its target promoters in vivo, we carried out chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and real-time PCR measurement of the recovered DNAs at different time points after organisms experienced the same induction conditions used for the transcription experiments discussed above. PhoP-P bound to all investigated promoters, indicative that PhoP-P plays a direct role in their control. In agreement with the transcription data (Fig. 1A and B), the PhoP-P protein occupied PhoP-activated promoters only transiently (Fig. 1C and D). As with expression timing, promoter occupancy timing was not well correlated with promoter occupancy levels (see Fig. S1B; F-statistics $P$ value of $>0.1$).

A comparison of the binding (Fig. 1C and D; see also Table S1) and transcription (Fig. 1A and B) data sets demonstrated that promoter occupancy timing does correlate well with the timing of appearance of the corresponding mRNAs (see Fig. S1C; F-statistics $P$ value of $<1E-04$). By contrast, promoter occupancy levels are only partially correlated with the mRNA levels (see Fig. S1D; F-statistics $P$ value of $>0.1$). The lack of correlation for a few PhoP-activated genes appears to reflect the involvement of additional factors. For example, the regulatory protein PmrA represses transcription of the yrbL and pmrD genes (25, 26), the latter specifying a product that posttranslationally activates the PmrA protein (27). The mgtA transcript includes a leader region that controls transcription elongation into the coding region in response to the cytoplasmic levels of Mg$^{2+}$ (28) and proline-charged tRNA$^{Pro}$ (29). The rstA promoter harbors both activation and repression sites for the PhoP-P protein (data not shown). When these additional factors were accounted for, there was excellent correlation between promoter occupancy and mRNA levels in the pmrD, yrbL, rstA, and mgtA genes. Thus, these corrections can account for the differences observed in all examined targets (F-statistics $P$ value of $<7.53E-5$).

Promoters harboring a single PhoP box are bound by PhoP-P at early times and to high levels. To explore the relationship between promoter occupancy by PhoP-P in vivo and the affinity of the purified PhoP-P for the PhoP boxes of the corresponding promoters in vitro, we performed electrophoretic
mobility shift assays (EMSAs) on 34 synthetic oligonucleotides, each harboring a single PhoP box originating from the 20 investigated promoters (see Fig. S2 and Table S2). The PhoP-P protein recognizes a hexanucleotide direct repeat separated by five nucleotides termed the PhoP box [30]. Seven of the 20 promoters harbor a single PhoP box whereas the remaining 13 promoters contain at least two PhoP boxes [18].

The PhoP-P protein displayed the highest affinity for the PhoP boxes of promoters with a single PhoP box (see Table S2). These promoters were occupied early and to high levels by the PhoP-P protein in vivo (Fig. 1C and D), resulting in early expression for all seven of these genes (Fig. 1B). The affinity of PhoP-P for the PhoP box in the early genes appears to control transcription timing because replacing the PhoP box from the early phoP promoter (driving expression of a promoterless gfp gene) by 17 nucleotides corresponding to a PhoP box from the late pagK promoter, which is bound less avidly by the PhoP-P protein in vitro (see Fig. S2), delayed its expression in vivo (see Fig. S3).

**FIG 1** mRNA levels of PhoP-activated genes and occupancy by PhoP-P of the corresponding promoters. Ancestral genes are indicated in boldface. (A) Clustering of the mRNA levels for PhoP-dependent genes harvested at 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, and 90 min (triangles) after *Salmonella* (EG13918) was shifted from medium containing repressing (10 mM) to activating (50 μM) Mg²⁺ concentrations (triangles; only the first 70 min are shown). The data were smoothed by polynomial interpolation and clustered (see Materials and Methods). The range of mRNA levels is illustrated by the color bar. (B) Clustering of the mRNA levels obtained in panel A normalized to the maximum values for each gene. Grouping was performed by emphasizing the first 40 min to uncover clusters based on the mRNAs onset times (51). (C) Clustering of the *in vivo* promoter occupancy by the PhoP-P protein determined by ChIP assays using *Salmonella* (EG13918) grown in 10 mM Mg²⁺ and then switched to 50 μM Mg²⁺ and harvested at 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, and 90 min (triangles, only the first 70 min are shown). Bacterial growth, data preprocessing, and clustering were performed as described for panel A. (D) Clustering of the normalized promoter occupancy by the PhoP protein corresponding to the data presented in panel C.
Promoters harboring two PhoP boxes are bound by PhoP-P at late times and/or to low levels. The PhoP-P protein bound with high affinity to some of the promoters in this group in vivo (see Fig. S4A and Table S2). For example, the virK promoter harbors two PhoP boxes, both of which are required for PhoP-dependent gene transcription (18). One of the virK PhoP boxes has higher affinity for PhoP-P than the single PhoP box in the early PhoP promoter (see Fig. S4A and Table S2). Correspondingly, the in vivo occupancy level of the virK promoter by PhoP-P was the second highest of the 20 investigated promoters (Fig. 1C), as expected for a promoter with high-affinity PhoP boxes (see Fig. S4B and Table S2). Anomalously, the PhoP-P protein occupied the virK promoter later than the phoP promoter in vivo (Fig. 1D).

Similarly, PhoP-P has a higher affinity for the PhoP boxes in the virK promoter than for those in the pcgL promoter in vivo (see Fig. S4A and B and Table S2). If binding of PhoP-P in vivo reflected the affinities of the purified PhoP-P for its binding sites in vitro, then PhoP-P binding to the virK promoter should reach higher levels than and precede binding to the pcgL promoter. Even though PhoP-P binding to the virK promoter did reach higher levels than to the pcgL promoter (Fig. 1C), it took place at later times (Fig. 1D). The pcgL promoter has PhoP boxes of intermediate in vitro affinity for PhoP-P (see Fig. S4A and B and Table S2) and displays intermediate binding time in vivo. However, the in vivo occupancy level by the PhoP-P protein was the lowest of the 20 investigated promoters (Fig. 1C).

The anomalously late PhoP-P binding to the virK promoter and the anomalously low binding to the pcgL promoter suggest that there is a factor(s) that delays PhoP-P binding to the virK promoter and/or dampens binding to the pcgL promoter in vivo. This factor(s) might also affect the expression timing and expression level of other promoters harboring two PhoP boxes as all 13 PhoP-activated promoters that harbor two PhoP boxes were bound late and/or to low levels by PhoP-P in vivo (Fig. 1C and D).

The promoter architectures of PhoP-activated genes correlate with PhoP-P binding behavior. The profiles of promoter occupancy timing by the PhoP-P protein in vivo are correlated with the five promoter architectures driving transcription of PhoP-activated genes (18). Specifically, early promoter occupancy was displayed by promoters activating transcription of ancestral genes (e.g., phoP; see Table S2), whereas late promoter occupancy corresponded to architectures promoting transcription of horizontally acquired genes (e.g., pcgL, virK; see Table S2). By contrast, there was no link between the time a promoter was occupied and the function of the transcribed gene product. For instance, the promoters for genes specifying different Mg²⁺ transporters (i.e., mgtA and mgtB) were occupied both early and late, and the same was true for promoters controlling expression of proteins that modify the lipopolysaccharide (i.e., pagP and csgE).

H-NS dampens PhoP-activated gene expression. Because some PhoP-activated horizontally acquired genes were previously shown to be bound by H-NS (5, 6, 15), we wondered whether H-NS might be responsible for the decreased occupancy of horizontally acquired gene promoters by the PhoP-P protein when Salmonella experiences inducing signals for the PhoQ protein. To carry out these experiments, we compared the mRNA levels produced by isogenic rpoS and rpoS hns mutants at different times after organisms grown in LB broth containing 10 mM Mg²⁺ were switched to LB broth without added Mg²⁺ to induce the PhoP/PhoQ system (Fig. 2). It was necessary to carry out these experiments in an rpoS genetic background and LB medium because (i) hns is an essential gene in Salmonella, and the rpoS mutation suppresses lethality (5, 6), and (ii) the rpoS hns mutant failed to grow in defined medium.

We chose the early gene phoP, the middle gene pcgL, the late gene virK, and the late gene pagC as representatives of the four behaviors that exemplify expression timing and amounts: early and high, early and low, late and high, and late and low, respectively (Fig. 1A and B). We determined that the phoP, pcgL, virK, and pagC genes were transcribed in the rpoS mutant grown in LB broth at times and levels proportional to those displayed by wild-type Salmonella grown in N-minimal medium (Fig. 1A and 2). These results validated the use of the rpoS genetic background and growth conditions.

Inactivation of the hns gene dramatically derepressed middle and late genes (i.e., 3- to 20-fold) without much of an effect (i.e., ~50% increase) on the early gene phoP (Fig. 2A and D). These results are in agreement with previous findings showing that middle and late genes are silenced by H-NS (15, 31, 32). The virK mRNA levels were higher than those corresponding to the phoP and pcgL genes in the rpoS hns mutant (Fig. 2A and C). Given that PhoP-P exhibits higher affinity for the PhoP boxes in the virK promoter than for the PhoP box in the phoP promoter (Table S2), these results indicate that if H-NS is absent, the mRNA levels of middle and late genes are dictated primarily by the affinity of PhoP-P for the PhoP boxes in the corresponding promoters (see Fig. S2). There was no transcription of PhoP-activated genes in the rpoS hns phoP triple mutant (data not shown), indicating that PhoP-P is essential for transcription of the investigated promoters even in the absence of H-NS.

A requirement for the slyA gene delays expression among H-NS-silenced genes. Both pcgL and virK are silenced by H-NS (Fig. 2C and D). Why, then, is the pcgL gene transcribed before the virK gene (Fig. 1C) when PhoP-P has higher in vitro affinity for the PhoP boxes in the virK promoter than for those present in the pcgL promoter (see Fig. S4A and Table S2)? We hypothesized that the distinct expression timing might reflect a differential requirement for the DNA binding protein SlyA. This is because (i) SlyA antagonizes H-NS silencing (9, 15, 33) and is required for transcription of several horizontally acquired PhoP-dependent late genes (32, 34), and (ii) PhoP-P is necessary for SlyA activation (34) and/or expression via a feed-forward loop circuit (31), which typically imposes expression delays on target genes (24).

We determined that the mRNA levels corresponding to the early phoP gene (Fig. 2A) and the middle pcgL (Fig. 2B) gene were similar in the rpoS hns slyA triple mutant and the rpoS hns double mutant. This was also the case when we compared the rpoS slyA double mutant to the rpoS single mutant. Thus, pcgL does not require SlyA for expression. This was in contrast to expression of the late virK and pagC genes, which was reduced in the rpoS slyA double mutant relative to that in the rpoS mutant (Fig. 2C and D). These results demonstrated that SlyA is dispensable for transcription of the phoP and pcgL genes but necessary for transcription of the virK and pagC genes.

SlyA’s role in transcription of the pagC gene is not limited to overcoming silencing by H-NS because deletion of the slyA gene compromised pagC transcription even when H-NS was absent (Fig. 2D) whereas it had a minimal effect on the virK mRNA levels (Fig. 2C). In an rpoS hns slyA genetic background, the expression behavior of the virK gene resembles that of the middle pcgL.
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FIG 2  H-NS and SlyA influence the levels and timing at which mRNAs for PhoP-activated genes are produced. mRNA levels for PhoP-dependent genes after bacteria were shifted from LB broth containing 10 mM Mg\(^{2+}\) to LB broth. We investigated the H-NS and SlyA-independent phoP gene, the H-NS-dependent and SlyA-independent pcgL gene, and the virK, mig-14, and pagC genes, which are H-NS- and SlyA-dependent. mRNA in the rpoS strain (MJC140), rpoS hns strain (MJC138), rpoS hns slyA strain (MJC139), and rpoS slyA strain (MJC141) displaying four different expression behaviors in terms of timing and levels at which the mRNAs are produced: early and high (phoP) (A), middle and low (pcgL) (B), late and high (virK) (C), and late and low (pagC) (D). (E to H) H-NS and SlyA influence the timing at which mRNAs for PhoP-activated genes are produced. Normalized mRNA levels corresponding to panels A to D displaying differential onset times.
Relationship between ancestral (green line) and horizontally acquired (red line) genes is indicated.

Among horizontally acquired genes, those requiring the PhoP-activated SlyA protein for expression (e.g., material). Among horizontally acquired genes, those requiring the PhoP-activated SlyA protein for expression (e.g., virK, mig14, pagC) are transcribed after SlyA-independent genes (e.g., pgcl) because the peak times for the early phoP gene and the middle pgcl gene were similar in the two strains (Fig. 2E and F). These results imply that, when H-NS is absent, SlyA interferes with PhoP-dependent activation of virK and potentially of other late genes.

**DISCUSSION**

We have now established that the expression kinetics of PhoP-activated genes displayed during the first 90 min postinduction is unusual in that it is dictated by gene ancestry: ancestral genes are expressed before horizontally acquired genes (Fig. 1B and D, Fig. 2E to H, and Fig. 3; see also Fig. S1A and C in the supplemental material). Among horizontally acquired genes, those requiring the PhoP-activated SlyA protein for expression (e.g., virK, mig14, pagC) are transcribed after SlyA-independent genes (e.g., pgcl) (Fig. 1B and D, Fig. 2E to H; see also Fig. S1A and C). The observed behavior does not reflect the affinity of PhoP-P for its target sequences determined in vitro (see Fig. S2), but it is correlated with the distinct architectures of the promoters driving transcription of ancestral versus horizontally acquired genes (18), some of the latter requiring SlyA to antagonize H-NS silencing. Moreover, we determined that the time at which a PhoP-activated gene is transcribed does not necessarily correspond to the mRNA levels that are produced (i.e., a late gene may be transcribed at higher levels than an early gene). This behavior is in contrast to that described for other regulons where early genes are transcribed to higher mRNA levels than those transcribed at later times (35) (Fig. 4). We showed that the delay is caused by the need to overcome H-NS-mediated silencing of horizontally acquired genes (Fig. 2E to H).

It is generally assumed that the affinity of a transcriptional activator for its target sequence in a promoter directs the expression levels and expression timing (36). Differential affinity for genes coregulated by a transcription factor can drive distinct developmental pathways. For example, expression of the genes necessary for biofilm formation in *Bacillus subtilis* requires lower levels of the master regulator Spo0A than expression of those responsible for sporulation (37). This behavior reflects the affinity of the active Spo0A protein for its binding sites in Spo0A-activated promoters. This appears to also be the case for PhoP-activated ancestral genes because replacing a high-affinity PhoP box with a low-affinity PhoP box in an ancestral gene promoter delayed expression (see Fig. S3).

By measuring mRNA levels and promoter occupancy at multiple times after induction (Fig. 1) and determining the affinity of PhoP-P for the individual PhoP boxes in vitro (see Fig. S2 and Table S2), we were able to evaluate these features independently. Our analysis revealed that the kinetics of promoter occupancy and transcription of PhoP-activated horizontally acquired genes observed in vivo cannot be explained by the affinity of PhoP-P for its binding sites. This is because the promoters driving transcription of the horizontally acquired late-expressing virK and mig-14 genes have high-affinity sites for PhoP-P, which are higher than those present in the promoters of genes that are expressed earlier (determined in vitro and inferred from in vivo binding; see Fig. S2 and Table S2). Thus, we considered several possibilities to account for this unusual behavior.

First, PhoP-dependent transcription of horizontally acquired genes may require an additional factor to operate together with the PhoP-P protein. For instance, inducible gene expression by a given transcription factor can be influenced by binding of other transcription factors to the same promoter, which may act as activators in one context and as repressors in a different context (38), activate transcription from promoters recognized by different forms of RNAP (e.g., the GalR [39] and the φ29 [40] proteins), and/or contact distinct subunits of RNAP (e.g., the αC protein [41]). However, the timing of PhoP-P promoter occupancy correlates well with the timing of mRNA production (F-statistic value of <1E−04), suggesting that PhoP-P binding per se directly leads to RNAP recruitment and transcription.

Second, the PhoP-P protein bound to all investigated promoters in vivo and in vitro (Fig. 1D; see also Fig. S2), thereby ruling out that the expression delay manifested by PhoP-activated horizontally acquired genes results from indirect regulation. Thus, PhoP is not operating through a classical cascade where delays result from sequential events in regulatory or metabolic pathways (23, 24). Such regulatory cascades are often observed in systems where the products of a set of coregulated genes function sequentially, such as the synthesis of proteins that participate in multistage processes, including morphogenic (e.g., flagellar assembly) and metabolic (e.g., nutrient biosynthetic) pathways (23, 42). By contrast, genes regulated by a transcription factor that do not function in the context of such a temporal program would have no reason, a priori, to exhibit an elaborate temporal expression pattern. Nonetheless, PhoP-activated targets, as well as genes directly regulated by Msn2 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (43), do display disparate expression timing.

And third, the expression kinetics of PhoP-activated genes reflects the need to overcome silencing of horizontally acquired genes by nucleoid-associated proteins. The effect that H-NS exerts on bacterial promoters (3, 8) is reminiscent of the consequences resulting from packaging of eukaryotic DNA by histones: it typically hinders gene transcription by blocking promoter accessibility by RNAP or transcription factors (TFs) (44, 45). Cells can
overcome the silencing effects of nucleoid-associated proteins with specialized factors that modify histones in eukaryotes (44, 46, 47) and that antagonize histone-like proteins in bacteria (33), which enables promoter access by the transcriptional machinery. This process imposes an expression delay in eukaryotic cells (24, 44, 47). This appears to be the case also in bacteria as PhoP-activated genes that are silenced by H-NS are expressed after those that are not silenced by H-NS (Fig. 2). In agreement with this notion, expression of late genes is sped up in the absence of H-NS (Fig. 2E to H). Those late genes that require the PhoP-activated SlyA protein for transcription display a further delay in gene expression (Fig. 2).

We determined that some of the PhoP-activated late genes, such as *virK* and *mig-14*, are expressed at higher levels than early genes (Fig. 1A and B; see also Fig. S1). This result indicates that once promoters are cleared of nucleoid-associated proteins, the mRNA levels are dictated by the affinity of RNAP and/or transcription factors for the individual promoter sequences and/or by the arrangement of these sequences (24, 45). The ability to regulate the expression levels independently of the expression timing would not be possible if the mechanism underlying the delay was based solely on the affinity of PhoP-P for its target sequences.

The complex expression program displayed by the *Salmonella* PhoP-P protein requires promoters with different properties as well as tight control over the levels of PhoP-P. The transcriptional behavior of PhoP-activated genes (Fig. 1) can now be linked to the five identified promoter architectures present in PhoP-activated promoters (18). *Salmonella* controls PhoP-P levels both by PhoP-P exerting positive feedback on the *phoP* promoter (20) and by PhoQ exercising negative feedback on the levels of PhoP-P (22). Finally, the participation of nucleoid-associated proteins in bacterial gene silencing highlights the difficulty in making accurate predictions on gene expression activities exclusively from genome sequence analysis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, primers, and growth conditions.** Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S3 in the supplemental material, and the primers used in this study are listed in Tables S4 to S6. All *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strains used in this study were derived from the wild-type strain 14028s. Bacteria were grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth or in N-minimal medium, pH 7.7, supplemented with 0.1% Casamino Acids, 38 mM glycerol, and 50 μM or 10 mM MgCl₂ (14, 48). When necessary, antibiotics were added at the following final concentrations: ampicillin, 50 μg/ml; kanamycin, 25 μg/ml; and tetracycline, 10 μg/ml. *Escherichia coli* DH5α was used as a host of plasmid for the preparation DNA.

**Construction of plasmids.** Plasmid pMS-phoP4, encoding a shortened derivative of the *phoP* promoter region including the PhoP box and the RNAP binding site fused to a promoterless *gfp* gene, was constructed by cloning a PCR fragment generated using primers 8142 and 8143 between BamHI and XhoI sites of plasmid pMS201 (49). Plasmid pMS-phoP4-pagK, encoding the *phoP* promoter region...
where the PhoP box was replaced by a PhoP box from the pagK promoter and fused to a promoterless gfp gene, was constructed by cloning a PCR fragment generated using primers 9171 and 9173 between BamHI and XhoI sites of plasmid pMS201 (49).

Plasmid pGRG36-phoP4 was constructed using pMS-phoP4 as the template, where primers 9403 and 9637 were utilized to amplify the gfp gene. The PCR products were digested with XhoI/NotI and ligated with pGRG36(XhoI/NotI) (50). This plasmid was transformed into EG13918 for specific insertion at the chromosomal att::Tn site.

Plasmid pGRG36-phoP4-pagK was constructed using pMS-phoP4-pagK as the template, where primers 9403 and 9637 were utilized to amplify the gfp gene. The PCR products were digested with XhoI/NotI and ligated with pGRG36(XhoI/NotI) (50). This plasmid was transformed into EG13918 for specific insertion at the chromosomal att::Tn site.

**In vivo expression assays.** Time course analysis of mRNA expression in N-minimal medium was performed as follows (20). Bacterial cells were grown in N-minimal medium (pH 7.7) supplemented with 10 mM MgCl₂ to mid-exponential phase. Cells were concentrated using a small volume of N-minimal medium with 10 mM MgCl₂. To activate transcription of the PhoP-regulated genes, the cell suspension was diluted to prewarmed medium, and the concentration of MgCl₂ in the medium was adjusted to 50 μM. Aliquots of cells were removed during growth at the designated times and mixed with RNA protect bacterial reagent (Qiagen) for stabilization of RNA, and total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen). After DNAse treatment of the total RNA solution, cDNA was synthesized using Omniprime reverse transcription reagents (Qiagen) and random hexamers. Quantification of cDNA was determined using SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems), and real-time amplification of PCR product was analyzed by running an ABI 7000 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). The relative amount of cDNA was calculated using a standard curve obtained from PCR on serially diluted genomic DNA as the templates. RNA levels of target genes were normalized to those of the 16S rRNA gene. The sequences of the primers used are presented in Table S5B. Data correspond to the averages from at least three independent experiments, which were smoothed (see "Data preprocessing") for clustering purposes.

Time course analysis of mRNA expression in organisms grown in LB broth was performed as follows. Salmonella Enterica grown in LB medium with 10 mM MgCl₂ were washed twice with LB and used to inoculate LB medium containing 10 mM MgCl₂. To activate transcription of the PhoP-regulated genes, cells were collected and washed with LB once and were shifted to prewarmed LB. Aliquots of cells were removed at the designated times, and cDNA synthesis and quantification of transcripts were carried out as described above. Data correspond to the means ± standard deviations (SD) from at least three independent experiments.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments.** Time course chromatin immunoprecipitation assay was performed as follows (20). Bacterial cells grown in N-minimal medium with 10 mM MgCl₂ were shifted to the medium containing 50 μM MgCl₂ as described above. Aliquots of cells obtained at the designated times were cross-linked using 1% of formaldehyde, and the ChIP assay was performed as described (20). Briefly, DNA was fragmented to an average size of 500 bp by sonication, and the DNA fragments were then gel purified with Qiagen agarose (Qiagen). The DNA fragments were then gel purified with Qiagen Quick columns (Qiagen). The DNA fragments were then gel purified with Qiagen Quick columns (Qiagen). The DNA fragments were then gel purified with Qiagen Quick columns (Qiagen). The DNA fragments were then gel purified with Qiagen Quick columns (Qiagen). The DNA fragments were then gel purified with Qiagen Quick columns (Qiagen).

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay.** The DNA fragments used as probes for this assay were generated as follows. (i) Sets of PCR fragments were generated using plasmid pMS201 as the template and primer 7817 (5′-CCAGTTTACTTTGCAGGGCTTC-3′) with each of the following primers: 9139, 9231, 9232, 9236, 9328, 9330, 9332, 9334, 9336, 9338, 9402, 9404, 9426, 9428, 9480, 9500, 9532, 9534, 9536, 9538, 9563, 9626, 9634, 9636, 9666, 9690, 9732, 9734, 9736, 9738. The DNA fragments were then gel purified with Qiagen columns (Qiagen). (ii) Sets of PCR fragments were generated using plasmid pMS201 as the template and primer 7817 (5′-CCAGTTTACTTTGCAGGGCTTC-3′) with each of the following primers: 9139, 9231, 9232, 9236, 9328, 9329, 9331, 9333, 9335, 9337, 9339, 9401, 9434, 9435, 9437, 9439, 9531, 9533, 9535, 9537, 9539, 9661, 9663, 9635, 9636, 9637, 9639, 9371, 9373, 9375, 9377. The DNA fragments were then gel purified with Qiagen columns (Qiagen). (iii) The PCR fragments from steps i and ii were then mixed and were used as the templates for generating PCR fragments using primers 9252 (5′-CCAGTTTACTTTGCAGGGCTTC-3′) and 7817 (5′-CCAGTTTACTTTGCAGGGCTTC-3′). The DNA fragments were then gel purified with Qiagen columns (Qiagen).

**Estimation of PhoP-P binding to multiple PhoP boxes in a promoter calculated from apparent affinities.** Let R be the regulator and P the promoter with two R-binding sites, and assume that the binding to the two sites is independent, where kᵢ = dissociation constant for R binding to site i.

By definition, at equilibrium (omitting brackets):

\[
k_2 = \frac{R_{P_00}}{P_{10}} = \frac{R_{P_01}}{P_{11}}
\]

and

\[
k_2 = \frac{R_{P_00}}{P_{10}} = \frac{R_{P_01}}{P_{11}}
\]

where \( R_i \) = concentration of free R. From equation 1:

\[
P_{10} = \frac{R_{P_00}}{k_1}
\]

and

\[
P_{10} = \frac{P_{01}}{P_{11}}
\]

From equation 2:

\[
P_{01} = \frac{R_{P_00}}{k_2}
\]

From equations 3 to 5:

\[
P_{11} = \frac{P_{01}P_{01}}{P_{00}} = \frac{R_{P_00}}{k_1k_2}
\]

Let the concentration of the promoter be \( P = P_{00} + P_{01} + P_{10} + P_{11} \). Substituting in equations 3, 5, and 6:

\[
P = P_{00} + \frac{R_{P_00}}{k_1} + \frac{R_{P_00}}{k_1} + \frac{R_{P_00}}{k_1k_2}
\]
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Simplifying, \( k_1 k_2 P = P_{01}(k_1 R + R k_2 + R^2) = P_{01}(k_1 + R)(k_2 + R) \) or

\[
P_0 = \frac{k_1 k_2 P}{(k_1 + R)(k_2 + R)} = k_1 k_2 PA
\]

Further,

\[
P_{01} = \frac{R}{k_1} \frac{R^2}{k_2} \frac{k_1 k_2 P}{(k_1 + R)(k_2 + R)} = \frac{R k_1 P}{(k_1 + R)(k_2 + R)} = k_1 R PA
\]

\[
P_{02} = \frac{R}{k_1} \frac{k_1 k_2 P}{(k_1 + R)(k_2 + R)} = \frac{k_1 R P}{(k_1 + R)(k_2 + R)} = k_1 R PA
\]

\[
P_{01} = \frac{R}{k_1} \frac{k_1 k_2 P}{(k_1 + R)(k_2 + R)} = \frac{k_1 R P}{(k_1 + R)(k_2 + R)} = k_1 R PA
\]

where

\[
A = \frac{1}{(k_1 + R)(k_2 + R)}
\]

Thus, the relative concentrations of the 4 states (or the relative promoter occupancies) are as follows: \( P_0 = P_{01} = P_{02} = P_1 \). The concentrations \( R \) may be approximated by \( R \) when there are many more regulator molecules than promoter molecules, so that the amount of \( R \) that is bound to the promoters \( P_{01} + P_{02} + P_1 \) can be ignored. For example, when \( R = 0.9 \mu M \) at the peak of the PhoP-P surge (assuming 10% of PhoP is phosphorylated) is much greater than the concentration of the promoters. Occupancy describes the fractional occupancies of the individual binding sites with respect to \( P \).

Data preprocessing. The raw data corresponding to the mRNA and chromatin immunoprecipitation signals were smoothed by a shape-preserving interpolant-fitting algorithm (Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolating Polynomial; Matlab 7.1) that finds values of an underlying interpolating function at intermediate points not described in the experimental assays. We applied a polynomial fit (sixth order) on each expression signal. This smoothing procedure captured the dynamic well, experimental assays. We applied a polynomial fit (sixth order) on each expression signal. This smoothing procedure captured the dynamic well,

Calculation of clusters and cluster rankings. We applied a hierarchical agglomerative clustering (Statistical Toolbox; Matlab 2007b) with a complete linkage method and correlation similarity measurement to group time courses. The function that controls the vertical order in which a row is plotted (Spotfire Decision Site 9.1.2) in a hierarchical clustering is defined as follows. Given two subclusters within a cluster (there are always exactly two subclusters), both subclusters are weighted and the subcluster defined as follows. Given two subclusters within a cluster (there are always exactly two subclusters), both subclusters are weighted and the subcluster with the highest weight is placed above the other subcluster. This function is systematically applied until a single cluster containing all rows is obtained. To calculate the weight \( w_j \) of a new cluster \( C_j \) formed from two subclusters \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) with a weight of \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) and each containing \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) rows, the following expression is used:

\[
w_j = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2}
\]

The weight of a subcluster with a single row is calculated as the average value of its columns.
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